Dr. Francis Crick
Department of Physics
Cavendish Laboratory
University of Cambridge
Cambridge, Encland
Dear Francis:
I had delayed answerine your letter of iuly $2^{\prime \prime}$ with a view to waitine until I could arrive at a completely satisfactory and reproducible method of shocking E. coli protoplosts. actually, I am not completely satisfied yet, but we have a rrocedure which, at lest in our hands, gives us excellent results four ont of five times. The procedure is briefly described as follows.

Cells are grown un overnight in the Tifco Den-assay medium. In the morning they are diluted by a factor of three with cresh medium containine sufficient sucrose and renicillin to yield a final concentration of $18 \%$ for the former and 1000 units/ml for the latter. The culture is then reincubated with shaking, and within a moriof of $21 / 2$ hours, complete conversion to nrotonlasts is achieved. For synthetic activity we find that it is not advisable to extend this poriod much longer. Thus, serious losses in enzyme-forming ability occur with an arditional $3 \Lambda_{1}$ of an hour incubation.

The protonlasts obtained are soun down and resumnended in our shocking medium, which is as follows: $18 \%$ sucrose, $0.6 \% \mathrm{HDP}$ (Mr salt), $1 \% \mathrm{AA}, 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}_{2}, 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MnCl}_{2}$, anc 1000 units/ml penicillin. To this is added 10 volumes of distilled water, suddenly with shaking. Hithin $1 / 2$ minute, sufficient sucrese is added (in the form of a solution) to give a final concentration of 10 右. The rosultinc material is then spun and the pellets recovered and washed with $10 \%$ sucrose. It is imnortant to avoid the presence of inoreanic phosrhate at any stape subsequent to the production of the shockate. One may use Tris at a low level (.01M) as a suitale bufferine arent.

I am sending under senarate cover a cony of my Johns Hopkins naper which I finally had mimeographed. I would annreciate hearing from you your opinion, particularly with rosnect to the first nortion of the manuscrint in which I say onenly some obvious thinfs that perhaps need be explicitly stated. I recognize, however, that it is symntomatic of an infliction unique to pedagogues, characterized by the comnulsion to raise the obvious to heights of originality.

Sincerely yours,

S. Spiegelman<br>Professor of Bacteriology

## SS:jb

P.S. Am writing to Sydney in the next day or so.

