# **Technology Assessment**





Percutaneous Myocardial Laser
Revascularization and Transmyocardial
Laser Revascularization

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 540 Gaither Road Rockville, Maryland 20850

June 22, 2004

# Percutaneous Myocardial Laser Revascularization and Transmyocardial Laser Revascularization

June 22, 2004

Prepared for:
Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
US Department of Health and Human Services
2101 East Jefferson Street
Rockville, MD 20852
www.ahrq.gov

Prepared by the Duke Center for Clinical Health Policy Research and Evidence-based Practice Center

Antonio Sarria-Santamera, MD, PhD Lawrence Liao, MD Ayn Huntington, AB David B. Matchar, MD

# **Table of Contents**

1	Introduction					
	1.1	Overvi	:w		5	
	1.2	Request by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services				
	1.3	Organization of the Report				
2	Background					
_	2.1	Background and Rationale for Use of the Technologies				
	2.2	CMS and FDA Status				
	2.3	Current Technology				
	2.0	2.3.1 Co <sub>2</sub> Lasers				
		2.3.2				
		2.3.2				
	2.4	2.3.3 XeCl Excimer Lasers  Possible Mechanisms of Action				
	2.4					
		2.4.2				
		2.4.3		ation		
		2.4.4			_	
		2.4.5			_	
	2.5		•			
3					_	
	3.1	Overview of the Literature Search				
	3.2	Literature Search Strategy				
	3.3	Results of the Literature Search				
	3.4	Import	int Issues Consider	ed	24	
4	Results					
	4.1	Efficac	/ – Randomized Co	ntrolled Trials	25	
		4.1.1				
			4.1.1.1 Overview	N	25	
				ries	27	
		4.1.2				
				N		
				ries		
	4.0	4.1.3				
		4.1.5		N		
		4.1.3.2 Summaries				
	4.2					
		4.2.1				
				<i>N</i>		
				ries		
		4.2.2				
				N		
			4.2.2.2 Summai	ries	52	
		4.2.3	PMR		54	
			4.2.3.1 Overview	N	54	
				ries		
5	Conclusio		56			
6	References					
7	Bibliography					
8	Appendices					
-	• •					

8.2	Study	Summary Tables	74			
8.3	Qualit	Quality Score Description				
8.4		80				
	8.4.1	TMR alone	80			
	8.4.2	TMR with CABG	112			
		PMR				

## 1. INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Overview

Over the last two decades, transmyocardial laser revascularization (TMR) and percutaneous myocardial laser revascularization (PMR) have been developed to treat refractory angina in patients with coronary artery disease that is not amenable to revascularization with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG). These new techniques create multiple, small channels in ischemic myocardium using laser irradiation with the goal of improving local blood flow.

## 1.2 Request by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), on behalf of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), has requested a technology assessment on TMR and PMR in preparation for a Medicare Coverage Advisory Committee meeting on this topic.

The specific aims of this technology assessment are as follows:

- 1. Provide a summary and description of the technology.
- 2. Review the peer-reviewed clinical literature on the outcomes associated with the use of:

- a. TMR as a stand-alone procedure;
- b. TMR used together with CABG;
- c. PMR.
- Review of available information on clinical trials underway as a horizon scan for this technology.

In addition, studies on the mechanism of action and the specific lasers used for each of the procedures were reviewed, and a description of those findings is included in this report.

# 1.3 Organization of the Report

A background section provides an overview of how the technologies work, what lasers are used, and the status of the technologies in terms of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval and CMS coverage. In addition, several common hypotheses on the mechanism of action for laser revascularization are summarized.

Results are discussed under two main headings: efficacy and safety/utilization. To assess the efficacy of TMR and PMR, we reviewed randomized controlled trials (RCTs). For the more general questions of

safety and utilization – phenomena that may not be well reflected in a trial setting – we focused on observational studies. Under each of the main headings, we provide an overview of all the studies in that section, followed by brief summaries of each study.

#### 2. BACKGROUND

## 2.1 Background and Rationale for Use of the Technologies

Sen and colleagues first described transmyocardial revascularization using hollow needles in 1965 [Sen, 1965]. This technique attempted to mimic reptilian hearts, which are predominantly perfused by endothelium-lined channels that fill directly from the ventricular cavity [Allen, 1999; Huikeshoven, 2002]. Since Sen's pioneering work, investigators have examined other methods for channel creation including drills, ultrasound, cryotherapy, radiofrequency, and saline jets [Huikeshoven, 2002]. These experiments eventually resulted in Mirhoseini and colleagues' 1981 report of transmyocardial revascularization using laser irradiation [Mirhoseini, 1981]. Because the carbonization associated with its use was observed to inhibit lymphocyte, macrophage, and fibroblast migration, laser revascularization was felt to promote less scar formation and better patency than pure mechanical methods [Huikeshoven, 2002].

Since their development, laser techniques have supplanted the other methods and have become the standard for myocardial revascularization.

There are now two approaches for laser revascularization: surgical and percutaneous. The surgical approach for TMR was described first and

generally involves a left anterior thoracotomy to permit direct application of the laser probe on the myocardium. Surgical TMR using minimally invasive techniques has also been reported [Trehan, 1998]. With the probe, a series of channels (approximately 25 to 40) are created transmurally from the epicardial surface [Saririan, 2003]. Digital pressure usually controls external bleeding, but sutures must sometimes be placed in the epicardium for hemostasis [Allen, 1999; Frazier, 1999].

More recently, percutaneous approaches to laser revascularization have also been developed. PMR employs catheter-based laser systems that are introduced into the left ventricle from the femoral artery. The laser probe is guided by fluoroscopy to the endocardium surface, where pulses are delivered to create channels [Saririan, 2003]. In contrast to TMR, which creates transmural channels from the epicardial surface, PMR devices operate from the endocardial surface and are designed to penetrate less than the full wall thickness [Saririan, 2003]. The typical PMR probe channel measures 5-6 mm deep in walls of at least 8 mm thickness [Saririan, 2003].

#### 2.2 FDA and CMS Status

The CMS Coverage Issues Manual describes the indications for which TMR is covered by CMS. The following excerpt from the coverage manual describes the status of TMR coverage:

...as a late or last resort for patients with severe (Canadian Cardiovascular Society classification Classes III or IV) angina (stable or unstable), which has been found refractory to standard medical therapy, including drug therapy at the maximum tolerated or maximum safe dosages. In addition, the angina symptoms must be caused by areas of the heart not amenable to surgical therapies such as percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty, stenting, coronary atherectomy or coronary bypass. Coverage is further limited to those uses of the laser used in performing the procedure which have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the purpose for which they are being used.

Patients would have to meet the following additional selection guidelines:

- 1. An ejection fraction of 25% or greater;
- 2. Have areas of viable ischemic myocardium (as demonstrated by diagnostic study) which are not capable of being revascularized by direct coronary intervention; and
- Have been stabilized, or have had maximal efforts to stabilize acute conditions such as severe ventricular arrhythmias, decompensated congestive heart failure or acute myocardial infarction.

Coverage is limited to physicians who have been properly trained in the procedure. Providers of this service is performed must also document that all ancillary personnel, including physicians, nurses, operating room personnel and technicians, are trained in the procedure and the proper use of the equipment involved. Coverage is further limited to providers which have dedicated cardiac care units. including the diagnostic and support services necessary for care of patients undergoing this therapy. In addition, these providers must conform to the standards for laser safety set by the American National Standards Institute, ANSIZ1363 [CMS, 2004].

FDA-approved lasers and their uses are outlined in the next section of this report (Section 2.3).

PMR is not currently covered by CMS. The application for pre-market FDA approval for PMR was denied in March, 2004, though further efforts are ongoing to obtain FDA approval for the technology [CardioGenesis, 2004].

## 2.3 Current Technology

Three types of lasers have been examined in clinical trials: carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>); holmium: yttrium-aluminum-garnet (Ho:YAG); and xenon chloride (XeCl) excimer. The latter two types may be used with fiber-optic catheters and therefore can be applied in percutaneous or thoracoscopic approaches [Abo-Auda, 2003]. The FDA has approved only Ho:YAG (CardioGenesis Corporation) and CO<sub>2</sub> lasers (PLC Medical Systems) for use with TMR.

## 2.3.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Lasers

These lasers were the first type used for TMR and operate in the infrared range of the light spectrum [Huikeshoven, 2002]. CO<sub>2</sub> systems cannot be

employed through optical fibers and therefore are limited to use in surgical TMR.

## 2.3.2 Ho: YAG Lasers

These lasers were the second type developed for TMR and deliver energy in the mid infrared range through an optical fiber [Huikeshoven, 2002].

Because these systems utilize an optical fiber, they may be employed with PMR in addition to TMR.

Three systems using Ho:YAG lasers (Biosense, Eclipse, CardioGenesis) have been examined in clinical studies of PMR, though to date none have been approved by the FDA. The Biosense system (Johnson & Johnson) does technically differ from the other two systems in that it lases from the endocardial surface, rather than from within the myocardium after physical puncture of the endocardium.

#### 2.3.3 XeCl Excimer Lasers

Excimer lasers work in the ultraviolet range and are the least clinically tested type of lasers in this context [Huikeshoven, 2002]. These lasers have not been approved by the FDA.

## 2.4 Possible Mechanisms of Action

The possible mechanism of action for TMR and PMR remains controversial. As the open channel hypothesis has fallen by the wayside, the three most popular proposed mechanisms are angiogenesis, cardiac denervation, and the placebo effect.

As a comprehensive review of the experimental data is beyond the scope of this work, these potential mechanisms are described only briefly below.

#### 2.4.1 Creation of Patent Endocardial Channels

The initial rationale for TMR and PMR was creation of patent channels from the left ventricular (LV) cavity to provide oxygenated blood directly to the myocardium. The data to support this mechanism are controversial, but the preponderance of experimental evidence refutes this hypothesis.

While some experimental studies have reported persistently patent channels in the days to weeks following TMR procedures, most pathologic studies have shown that clot formation causes occlusion of TMR channels within days of the procedure [Fisher, 1997; Hardy, 1987; Saririan, 2003;

Whitaker, 1999]. Moreover, most studies have shown no acute improvement in perfusion following TMR, which would be expected if patent channels were the predominant mechanism of action [Saririan, 2003; Whitaker, 1999].

In the weeks that follow, some of these channels may re-open, though the reason some open and others close is not known. Most studies involving follow up over several months have reported lack of channel patency [Huikeshoven, 2002; Whitaker, 1999], but a minority of studies has reported evidence for patent channels [Whitaker, 1999]. These few studies claiming patent channels have also reported significant recoil and dimunition of channel size from 1 mm at creation to 75 µm at follow up [Whitaker, 1999].

However, even if patency were maintained, investigators have argued that the channels created by TMR could not contribute in any meaningful way to perfusion. First, Pifarre and colleagues have shown that since LV cavity pressure is usually less than the intramyocardial pressure surrounding TMR channels, the latter could not be filled from the former [Huikeshoven, 2002; Pifarre, 1969]. Second, since the internal surface area of TMR channels is less than 0.01 percent of the capillary internal surface area, any

blood flow would contribute only minimally to oxygenation [Huikeshoven, 2002]. For these theoretical reasons, and because of the lack of significant clinical or experimental reasons in its support, this proposed mechanism of action has been largely abandoned.

## 2.4.2 Stimulation of Angiogenesis

Following TMR procedures, animal studies have shown increased vascular density within TMR scar [Huikeshoven, 2002; Whitaker, 1999]. These findings have led to the hypothesis that TMR may act by stimulating angiogenesis. TMR has been reported to upregulate vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) messenger ribonucleic acid (RNA) and increase expression of other growth factors [Horvath, 1999; Chu, 1999]. Whether this is a specific effect of laser irradiation or a non-specific response to tissue injury is unclear [Chu, 1999]. In either case, data regarding the extent of new vessel growth (whether confined to the TMR channels or extending into the surrounding tissue) have been controversial [Huikeshoven, 2002].

The angiogenesis hypothesis is indirectly supported by animal studies demonstrating no immediate post-procedure perfusion changes but an

improved blood flow after 4 to 26 weeks [Bridges, 2004; Huikeshoven, 2002]. Improved perfusion has been reported with all three available laser types in both canine and porcine models [Hughes, 2000; Huikeshoven, 2002; Martin, 2000; Yamamoto, 1998]. However, these findings have not been consistently replicated in humans. While some studies have reported better perfusion at follow up [Frazier, 1999], others have not demonstrated significant improvement [Allen, 1999; Burkhoff, 1999].

#### 2.4.3 Myocardial Denervation

Because angiogenesis requires some time for new vessel growth, this mechanism cannot explain the angina relief that some patients may experience within days of treatment. One possible explanation for this acute pain relief is cardiac denervation. According to this hypothesis, the laser irradiation and consequent thermal tissue effects produce damage in the heart's sympathetic nerve fibers.

Several experimental studies have demonstrated destruction of cardiac nerve fibers with TMR at 2 to 10 weeks [Arora, 2001; Huikeshoven, 2002; Kwong, 1997; Le, 2000; Yamamoto, 2000]. However, other experimental studies have not found evidence for denervation [Hirsch, 1999; Hughes,

1999]. In one porcine experimental study, there was evidence for regional denervation at 3 days post-operatively with re-innervation at 6 months [Hughes, 2004]. In one of the few clinical studies of denervation, Al-Sheikh and colleagues found that six of eight patients had positron emission tomography (PET) evidence for increased denervation defects following TMR [Al-Sheikh, 1999]. In 1-year follow-up exercise testing of patients who had originally been randomized to TMR or placebo and those randomized to PMR or placebo, Myers and colleagues found no echocardiographic evidence for increased silent ischemia in the TMR and PMR groups [Myers, 2002].

#### 2.4.4 Placebo Effect

Because of the conflicting experimental and clinical evidence for a definite mechanism of action, a placebo effect has been proposed as the etiology for the angina relief seen in clinical studies. Clinical TMR studies cannot be blinded because of the ethical dilemmas associated with sham surgery, but one double-blinded, randomized trial of PMR has been performed. In this study, angina relief in the PMR group was significantly higher than in the sham group [Salem, 2004]. In contrast, a larger PMR study (n = 298) with a

different sham procedure showed no difference between groups [DIRECT, 2001].

# 2.4.5 Other

Besides the hypotheses described above, other proposed mechanisms of action for TMR include improved cardiac compliance because of scar formation and myocardial destruction allowing redistribution of blood flow [Huikeshoven, 2002].

## 2.5 Utilization

Although initially intended for patients not amenable to standard revascularization techniques, TMR has also been applied as an adjunct to conventional CABG or other cardiac procedures [Allen, 2000; Peterson, 2003]. The use of TMR as an adjunct to other cardiac revascularization is "off-label" from its current FDA indication.

In collaboration with the FDA and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS), Peterson and colleagues reviewed the STS database for 1998 to 2001 to examine the use and safety of TMR in community practice [Peterson, 2003]. The STS database is a voluntary, multi-center clinical database that

collects information from two-thirds of US cardiothoracic hospitals and has patient, clinical, and acute outcomes data on more than 2.1 million cardiothoracic procedures [Peterson, 2003].

This review found that more combined procedures (2475 TMR plus CABG, 581 TMR plus other cardiac procedures such as valve surgery) have been performed than TMR-only procedures (661) [Allen, 2000; Peterson, 2003]. By 2001, 131 (36 percent) of STS sites were performing TMR with a median volume of 12 procedures (range 1 to 150) during the 4-year study period [Allen, 2000; Peterson, 2003].

## 3. METHODS

## 3.1 Overview of the Literature Search

A search of the MEDLINE and PubMed databases was conducted. The International Network of Agencies for Health Technology Assessment (INAHTA) database (<a href="www.inahta.org">www.inahta.org</a>) and National Guideline Clearinghouse (<a href="www.guideline.gov">www.guideline.gov</a>) were searched for technology assessments and guidelines. Evidence-based medicine reviews and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials were searched to obtain additional reviews and trials not captured in the initial search. References from recent reviews and technology assessments were reviewed for additional pertinent articles.

A horizon scan was conducted by searching ClinicalTrials.gov (<a href="www.clinicaltrials.gov">www.clinicaltrials.gov</a>) and Current Controlled Trials (<a href="www.controlled-trials.com">www.controlled-trials.com</a>).

## 3.2 Literature Search Strategy

Due to the broad nature of the report and the relatively limited scope of the literature on the topic, we decided to conduct basic keyword searches,

limiting results by "English language" and "human subjects." The search strategy was as follows:

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1966 to May Week 1 2004>

- 1 transmyocardial revascularization.mp. (123)
- 2 transmyocardial laser revascularization.mp. (321)
- 3 percutaneous myocardial laser revascularization.mp. (14)
- 4 percutaneous myocardial revascularization.mp. (19)
- 5 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 (427)
- 6 limit 5 to (human and english language) (263)

To limit the literature to the most useful and pertinent studies, the following criteria were used to exclude irrelevant abstracts:

- Study has fewer than 12 patients;
- Study does not have human subjects;
- Abstract is not in English;
- 30-day mortality is not reported.

#### 3.3 Results of the Literature Search

The search of the MEDLINE and PubMed databases yielded 263 abstracts for review. Review of these abstracts produced 56 articles for full-text

review. Updated searches, review of references, and suggestions from AHRQ and peer reviewers uncovered an additional 19 articles. Full-text review utilized the same general exclusion criteria as were used during abstract review. Articles were categorized as RCTs or observational studies (which included cohort studies and case series). Inclusion criteria for the articles were as follows:

RCTs: Trial reports efficacy of TMR or PMR in terms of angina and/or survival.

#### Observational studies:

- Surgical complications related to TMR or PMR are reported, including mortality and other serious complications such as tamponade, reoperation, and infection.
- The nature of utilization of TMR or PMR is mentioned (i.e., alone vs. with CABG, patient eligibility criteria).

Two physicians – one an MD/PhD (methodologist), and the other an MD (cardiologist) – independently reviewed the articles and extracted general information on objectives, design, participants' age, and outcomes.

Detailed information was extracted only from studies that met the major inclusion criteria, and data were collected on study design. RCT study

quality was assessed using the Jadad score [Jadad, 1996] modified to suit the technologies under consideration here (Appendix 8.3).

Observational study quality was assessed using the criteria described by Sackett [Sackett, 2000], also modified to more directly apply to the technologies at hand (Appendix 8.3). Disagreements were resolved by consensus, and a third physician was consulted to decide any remaining questions.

After applying inclusion criteria to the full-text articles, 38 publications, representing 14 RCTs, 21 observational studies, and three follow-up reports of other studies were included. These articles are described in the "Results" section below, with abstracted data provided in the evidence tables (Appendix 8.4).

Evidence tables for all included articles are provided in Appendix 8.4. Data abstracted from each article include:

 Study characteristics – including location, number of centers, type of laser used, number of channels created, whether enrollment was consecutive, and length of follow up.

- Patient characteristics including number of subjects (men and women), mean age, race and angina class, inclusion and exclusion criteria, patient history, and physical examination findings.
- Results including mortality (30-day and other time points), mean angina class, and other outcomes reported (such as improvement of two or more CCS classes, exercise time).
- Quality score as described above, a quality score was assigned to each article, including any notes of important details of the study not captured elsewhere in the evidence table.

The horizon scan found no relevant studies to be currently underway.

# 3.5 Important Issues Considered

Two issues were considered by the authors of this review to be especially relevant to the evaluation of TMR and PMR and were given special attention in this evidence summary. First, the placebo effect is potentially powerful influence in response. The presence and nature of blinding are accordingly detailed in the evidence tables. Second, because of concern about a mechanism of action, each study was specifically examined for ancillary results that might shed light on this issue.

## 4. RESULTS

Of the 75 full-text papers reviewed, 38 (51 percent) met the inclusion criteria. Of these, 14 (37 percent) were RCTs and 21 (55 percent) were longitudinal observational studies (Table 1). Three observational studies included patients receiving TMR as sole therapy and TMR plus CABG. Three studies (eight percent) providing additional long-term follow-up information for included RCT papers are mentioned briefly with their primary paper summaries and have separate evidence tables in Appendix 8.4.

All studies together contributed a total of 3602 TMR procedures conducted alone, 3031 TMR procedures done with CABG, and 955 PMR procedures.

## 4.1 Efficacy – Randomized Clinical Trials

# 4.1.1 TMR

## **4.1.1.1 Overview**

Seven trials of TMR versus medical therapy met the inclusion criteria. Two of those trials also have published extended follow-up data and these are included here as well.

Quality scores for the included studies were 2 or 3 (on a 4-point scale). No TMR study was double-blinded. Three were multicenter trials, and five included more than 100 patients. Mean age of the patients was between 60 and 64 years. Prior myocardial infarction was present in 64 percent to 80 percent of patients.

None of the TMR-versus-medical therapy trials indicated a benefit in terms of mortality at 1 year. The only benefit in significant survival following TMR as sole therapy compared to medical treatment was found in a 5-year follow up of one of the included trials. All trials showed statistically significant improvements in angina symptoms at 1 year and when assessed longer term. One trial demonstrated improved myocardial perfusion in some patients [Frazier 1999]. Two trials observed reductions in hospitalizations or of coronary events at 1 year [Allen, 1999; Frazier, 1999], and two indicated improved exercise time [Allen, 1999; Burkhoff, 1999]; others did not find those benefits. Four trials that evaluated quality of life (using the Duke Activity Status Index [DASI] or Seattle Angina Questionnaire [SAQ]) found significant improvements at 1 year [Allen, 1999; Frazier, 1999; Burkhoff, 1999; Schofield, 1999].

## 4.1.1.2 Summaries

Aaberge and colleagues [Aaberge, 2000] reported the results of a singlecenter Norwegian trial in which 100 patients with refractory angina not amenable to traditional revascularization were randomized to receive TMR or medical therapy. A CO<sub>2</sub> laser was used. Of the patients randomized to TMR, 76 percent were in New York Heart Association (NYHA) class III, and 24 percent were in NYHA class IV. These authors found no improvement in 30-day or 12-month mortality. Thirty-day mortality was four percent in the TMR group and zero percent in the control group. Twelve-month mortality was 12 percent and eight percent, respectively. At 1 year, 39 percent of the TMR patients experienced an improvement of two or more NYHA functional classes compared with zero percent of the medically treated group. With regard to other outcomes, there was no evident difference between TMR and medically treated patients in total exercise time or maximal oxygen consumption. However, time to chest pain was significantly longer in TMR versus medically managed patients, and angina was reported as an exercise-limiting factor in significantly fewer TMR than medically managed patients (62 percent vs. 76 percent).

In a follow up of the same group of patients, Aaberge and associates reported 32-month to 60-month outcomes (mean 43 months) [Aaberge, 2002]. Again, no benefit was noted in mortality, which was 24 percent in the medical therapy group and 22 percent in the TMR. However, 60 percent of the TMR patients were in NYHA class I or II, whereas 16 percent of the medically treated patients were in class II or III (none were in class I). Twenty-four percent of patients in the TMR group improved by two or more NYHA functional classes compared with three percent of the medically treated patients.

Allen and coworkers [Allen, 1999] reported a 275 patient RCT in which Ho:YAG laser TMR was compared with medical therapy. All patients were reported to have medically refractory CCS class IV angina. Under an FDA-approved protocol, a priori criteria were prospectively defined to permit crossover of medically managed patients, allowing unstable patients to receive TMR. Thirty-day mortality was similar in both groups: five percent in the TMR group and two percent in the control group. One-year Kaplan-Meier survival was similar between TMR (84 percent) and medically managed (89 percent) patients. After 12 months of follow up, 76 percent of the patients in the TMR group and 32 percent of the patients treated

medically had a reduction in angina of two or more CCS classes. Angina improvement in crossover patients was similar to that observed for patients randomized to TMR. Cardiac-related re-hospitalization was less common in the TMR group (33 percent) than in the medically treated group (61 percent). Patients randomized to TMR also had a significantly greater exercise tolerance (5.0 vs. 3.9 metabolic equivalents). A significant improvement was also noted in quality-of-life scores and rates of cardiac-related re-hospitalization.

Recently, a 5-year follow up of this work has been reported [Allen, 2004]. Long-term survival was significantly increased in patients randomized to TMR versus patients randomized to medical therapy (65 percent vs. 52 percent) and was found to be significantly predicted by 1-year angina improvement in TMR patients. Reductions in angina of two or more classes were found in 88 percent of the TMR group, compared to only 44 percent of the medical treated patients.

Burkhoff and associates [Burkhoff, 1999a] performed a prospective, multicenter, RCT of Ho:YAG laser TMR compared with medical therapy.

One hundred eighty-two patients from 16 centers in the United States were

randomly assigned to undergo TMR (n = 92) or medical management (n = 90). All subjects had CCS class III or IV angina (38 percent class III, 62 percent class IV). Operative mortality rate was low (one percent), while 12-month mortality was similar for TMR (five percent) and medically treated patients (10 percent). At 12 months, 52 percent of TMR and 86 percent of medically treated patients had CCS class III or IV angina. In addition, TMR was associated with statistically significant greater exercise tolerance and better quality of life as compared with medical therapy.

Frazier and colleagues published the results of an RCT conducted in 12 US Centers. Patients where randomized to CO<sub>2</sub> laser TMR or medical therapy and followed for 12 months [Frazier, 1999]. Sixty-nine percent of the patients assigned to TMR and 63 percent of the patients assigned to medical therapy had CCS class IV angina. Sixty (60 percent) of the medically treated patients who developed unstable angina crossed over to TMR therapy. Angina reduced two or more CCS classes for 72 percent of the patients in the TMR group and 13 percent of patients receiving the medical therapy. Although total survival was not different in the treatment arms at 30 days (three percent vs. seven percent) or 12 months (15 percent vs. 21 percent), event-free survival was higher in TMR patients

compared to medically managed patients at 1 year (66 percent vs. 11 percent). Survival free of cardiac events was defined as freedom from death, Q-wave myocardial infarction, cardiac-related hospitalization, and subsequent revascularization. The percentage of myocardial segments with fixed or reversible perfusion defects was assessed at 12 months using thallium 201 single-photon emission computed tomographic (SPECT) imaging. This percentage decreased by an average of 20 percent in the TMR group and worsened by 27 percent in the medical treatment group. Patients in the TMR group had a greater improvement (38 percent) in their quality of life than patients in the medical treatment arm (six percent). Admissions for unstable angina were two percent in the TMR group and 40 percent in the medical group. Angina was improved by two or more classes in 72 percent of the patients receiving TMR and in 13 percent of those receiving medical therapy.

Huikeshoven and colleagues have reported the results of a Dutch single-center trial. Thirty patients were randomly assigned to receive XeCl TMR or medical therapy [Huikeshoven, 2003]. No differences in survival were identified. No deaths were reported for the medically managed patients in the 12-month follow up, while TMR patients had a seven percent 12-month

mortality. Quality-of-life scores (EuroQol, SF-24, SAQ) were significantly better in the TMR group than in the control group.

Jones and colleagues [Jones, 1999] performed an RCT in a single US center. In this trial, 86 patients were assigned to receive either Ho:YAG TMR or medical therapy. All had advanced cardiac ischemia with CCS class III or IV angina, took at least two cardiac medications at maximum doses, and were ineligible for angioplasty or bypass. Twelve-month survivals were the same (12 percent) for both groups. At 1 year TMR patients had lower mean angina class than control patients (1.71  $\pm$  0.2 vs. 3.86  $\pm$  0.05). Exercise tolerance time at 1 year was better in TMR patients than control group patients (490  $\pm$  17 seconds vs. 294  $\pm$  12 seconds).

Schofield and colleagues [Schofield, 1999] reported a single-center, prospective, randomized trial in which 188 patients were randomly assigned to CO<sub>2</sub> laser TMR or medical therapy. Mortality at 30 days was zero percent in the control group and five percent in the TMR group, while at 12 months it was 11 percent in the TMR group and four percent in the medically treated group, although the differences in mortality were not statistically significant. The authors reported significantly less severe

angina symptoms in the TMR group. Twenty-five percent of the TMR group but only four percent of the medical group had a reduction of two or more CCS classes. At 1 year, investigators identified a doubling of the fixed defects in the medically managed group and no increase in fixed defects in the TMR group. There were, however, no significant differences in exercise capacity.

#### 4.1.2 TMR with CABG

#### **4.1.2.1 Overview**

Only one study was identified in this category. This multicenter trial had a quality score of 3 (of a possible 4). This trial has extended follow-up data after the first publication, which is in press for publication in August 2004.

## **4.1.2.2 Summaries**

Allen and colleagues [Allen, 2000] reported a US multicenter trial in which 263 patients whose standard of care was CABG and who had one or more ischemic areas not amenable to bypass grafting were prospectively randomized to receive CABG plus TMR to areas not graftable (n = 132) or CABG with non-graftable areas left unrevascularized (n = 131). Mean age of these patients was 64 years; 34 percent had previous myocardial

infarction, and mean EF was 51 percent. The operative mortality rate after CABG plus TMR was 1.5 percent versus 7.6 percent after CABG alone, although the Parsonnet-predicted mortality risk was comparable (6.3 percent, CABG plus TMR vs. 6.6 percent, CABG alone). One-year survival (95 percent vs. 89 percent) and freedom from major adverse cardiac events defined as death or myocardial infarction (92 percent vs. 86 percent) favored the combination of CABG plus TMR. Baseline to 12-month improvement in angina and exercise treadmill scores was similar between groups.

A follow-up study of the above trial assessed the long-term results of 218 of the original 263 patients (83 percent) [Allen, in press]. Kaplan-Meier survival up to 6 years was similar between CABG/TMR and CABG alone patients (76 percent vs. 80 percent). Using an intention-to-treat analysis based on independent blinded assessments, the 5-year follow up indicated that both groups experienced significant angina improvement from baseline; however, compared with patients receiving CABG alone, the TMR-plus-CABG group had a statistically lower mean angina score (0.4  $\pm$  0.7 vs. 0.7  $\pm$  1.1), a statistically lower proportion of patients with class III or IV angina (0 percent [0/68] vs. 10 percent [6/60]), and a trend towards

greater number of angina-free patients (78 percent [53/68] vs. 63 percent [38/60]).

# 4.1.3 PMR

## 4.1.3.1 Overview

The search identified six publications that report results of RCTs of PMR that met the inclusion criteria. One study, however, included some patients that were also included in other trials. Quality scores ranged from 2 to 4 (of a possible 4). Notably, three trials were double-blinded [Leon, 2004; Salem, 2004; Stone, 2002]. None of the reports reviewed showed improved mortality, and four indicated angina relief. Other benefits found in some trials were quality of life and exercise time.

One study was conducted at a single center, another included patients just from two centers, and the other three were multi-center. Each study included more than 100 patients.

Mean age ranged from 61 to 65 years. Prevalence of prior myocardial infarction ranged from 63 percent to 72 percent of patients. All patients included had angina class III or IV.

Of the three double-blinded PMR trials, no relative improvement in angina was found in two [Leon, 2004; Stone, 2002], while significant benefit was identified in one [Salem, 2004]. As noted below in the summaries, studies of PMR are heterogeneous with regard to patient population (e.g., presence of bypassable lesions), equipment/procedures used, and follow-up approach.

#### **4.1.3.2 Summaries**

Gray and colleagues [Gray, 2003] reported data from a single-center UK trial in which 73 patients were randomized to receive either PMR or medical therapy. Twenty-one of those patients were included also in the Potential Class Improvement from Intramyocardial Channels (PACIFIC) trial (see below). Inclusion criteria were: stable angina pectoris (class III or IV), unsuitable for conventional revascularization, evidence of reversible ischemia by thallium-201 scintigraphy, EF of  $\geq$  25 percent, and myocardial wall thickness  $\geq$  8 mm. Patients were followed up at 3, 6, and 12 months. The primary end point was exercise time. Secondary end points included angina scores, LVEF, quality of life, changes in medical therapy, and hospitalizations. No peri-procedure deaths occurred among the 36 patients

randomized to PMR, while 12-month mortality was three percent for both groups. At 12 months, exercise times were higher by 109 seconds in the PMR group, but lower by 62 seconds in the control group. Angina scores improved by two classes in 36 percent of PMR-treated patients at 12 months compared with zero percent of the control patients. Quality of life according to the SAQ was significantly better in PMR subjects.

Leon has reported the results of a 298 patient RCT of the Biosense PMR system versus medical therapy. Patients with functional class III or IV were divided into three arms (placebo = 102, low dose = 98, high dose = 98). Low-dose patients received 10-15 channels/zone and high-dose patients received 20-25 channels/zone. The 102 patients in the placebo group received a sham procedure. No crossovers were allowed. Mortality was similar among the groups. Major adverse cardiovascular event-free survival at 30 days significantly favored the placebo arm. No difference in improvement in functional classes (i.e., by two or more classes) was observed among the three groups. Exercise duration among the three groups was not significantly different. In terms of magnitude of ischemia, as assessed with SPECT, no consistent differences that would suggest a therapeutic effect were noted.

Oesterle and colleagues have reported the results of the PACIFIC trial [Oesterle, 2000]. In this trial, investigators randomly assigned 221 patients with refractory angina (135 class III and 86 class IV) and reversible perfusion defects on thallium stress testing to either PMR with continued medical treatment or to medical treatment alone. A total of 11 patients died during the follow-up period (eight PMR, three control). Overall survival showed no significant difference between the groups. In the PMR group, exercise duration increased by a median of 89 seconds as compared with an increase of 12.5 seconds in the control group. In addition, the CCS class assigned by the investigators decreased by at least two classes in 46 percent of patients assigned to PMR compared with only 11 percent of control patients. Quality of life, measured using the SAQ, was significantly better in the PMR than in the medically treated group at 1 year. As with all trials, assignment of anginal class was not blinded. Notably, the investigators examined ways in which this may have introduced bias by comparing the investigators' assessments of angina class with those made by an independent panel without knowledge of treatment assignment. Results indicated that study investigators assigned significantly lower CCS scores to PMR patients than did the blinded panel. However, grades from

the blinded panel were still significantly lower with PMR than with medical therapy.

Recently, Salem and colleagues reported results of the Blinded Evaluation of Laser Intervention Electively for Angina Pectoris (BELIEF) trial, a Norwegian RCT involving two centers [Salem, 2004]. A total of 82 patients were randomized to PMR using the Eclipse system or to a sham procedure and were followed for improvement in CCS functional class, exercise tolerance, and quality of life. An exclusion criterion in this trial was unstable angina requiring hospitalization within 14 days of change of medication. More than 80 percent of patients were in functional class III at baseline. There were no deaths in the PMR group through 1 year, with two deaths in the sham group (4.8 percent). This difference was not statistically significant. At 6 months, 41 percent of patients in the PMR group improved by two or more CCS classes compared with only 13 percent in the control group. There was no significant difference in exercise duration between the two groups, although patients in the control group had a faster onset of chest pain. Furthermore, there appeared to be a mild improvement in quality-of-life scores in favor of the PMR group.

Stone and colleagues have reported the results of the Prospective, Multicenter, Randomized trial of PMR in Patients with Nonrecanalizable Chronic Total Occlusions [Stone, 2002]. A total of 141 patients with class III to IV angina and a non-recanalizable chronic total occlusion were randomized to either PMR with the Eclipse system or to maximal medical therapy. Randomization took place after an unsuccessful, uncomplicated attempt to cross the chronic occlusion. Blinding was achieved through heavy sedation, dark goggles, and the concurrent performance of PCI in all patients. To assess the adequacy of blinding, patients completed a questionnaire before discharge regarding their belief about treatment assignment. Symptom-limited stress testing was performed at baseline and at 6 months. No significant differences between control and intervention were found for mortality (nine percent in both groups), angina class improvement, or revascularizations. The primary end point was the change in total exercise time from baseline to follow up. Exercise time improved by 62 seconds in the PMR group and by 54 seconds in the medical therapy group; however, this was not statistically significant.

Whitlow and colleagues have published the results of an RCT involving 330 patients with class III or IV rejected for conventional revascularization.

Subjects were randomized to receive either PMR using the Eclipse system or maximum medical therapy or [Whitlow, 2003]. Patients were followed up at 3, 6, and 12 months. Mortality was similar between groups at 1 year (7.9 percent, PMR vs. 6.7 percent, medical therapy). Patients who underwent PMR had statistically greater improvements in exercise duration (mean change from baseline 100 seconds longer for PMR vs. 20 seconds shorter for medical therapy). PMR patients' angina classification improved significantly, as well as the proportion of patients with an improvement of at least two functional classes at 1 year (38 percent vs. 19 percent). Quality of life, measured using the DASI, was significantly better for PMR patients at 1 year.

# 4.2 Safety and Utilization – Observational Studies

### 4.2.1 TMR

# **4.2.1.1 Overview**

Fifteen observational studies satisfied inclusion criteria for this review.

These studies reflected experience with over 2300 procedures. Eleven of the studies reported single-center data. Two studies analyzed data obtained from registries.

In terms of length of follow up, two studies, including one of the registry studies, followed patients to 30 days only. Thirteen studies followed patients up to 1 year. One study evaluated outcomes to a mean of 5 years. Mean patient age ranged from 57 to 67 years.

Short-term (30-day) mortality ranged from three percent to 15 percent. One-year mortality ranged from 15 percent to 26 percent. Beyond this, Schneider and colleagues [Schneider, 2001] reported a 36 percent mortality at 36 months, and, based on 5-year follow-up of a large multicenter observational study, Horvath noted a 42 percent mortality [Horvath, 2003].

Four of these observational studies included data both for patients who received TMR as sole therapy or TMR combined with CABG [Burns, 1999; Guleserian, 2003; Peterson, 2003; Schneider, 2001].

Two of the studies were based on registries that allowed examination of trends and outcomes in community practice. Both were the largest in terms of number of cases included and participating centers. One of the registries was conducted outside of the US and prior to the randomized trial

experience. The other registry was retrospective, involving passive 30-day reporting from sites that participated voluntarily.

Some differences between the characteristics of included patients were identified between these two works and the other works. The percentage of patients in angina class III or IV was approximately 75 percent, while in other observational studies nearly all were in the most severe angina classes. (As noted above, randomized controlled trials used angina class III/IV as an inclusion criterion.) Another apparent difference in the patients reported in observational studies compared to patients in clinical trials is that LVEF is slightly lower and unstable angina more prevalent.

Though these studies were not examined specifically for evidence of treatment efficacy, before/after comparisons often showed reductions in angina score. Of the 15 studies identified, 10 showed an improvement in angina symptoms, and only three of them could not identify significant differences from baseline through follow up. Improvement in angina symptoms tended to be higher at 6 months, persisting usually at least 12 months. TMR has also been associated in those works with improvements

in quality of life, decreased medication usage, reduced hospital admissions, and improved exercise tolerance.

## **4.2.1.2 Summaries**

Two reports were based on information extracted from TMR registries. Peterson and colleagues identified 3717 patients receiving TMR using either the FDA-approved CO<sub>2</sub> or Ho:YAG laser system at 173 US hospitals participating in the STS National Cardiac Database [Peterson, 2003]. From 1998 to 2001, the number of sites performing TMR increased from 33 (seven percent of total STS sites) to 131 (36 percent of total). The volume of procedures per site also increased during this period. Overall mortality rate of TMR as sole procedure was 6.4 percent. Operative risks were significantly higher in those patients with recent myocardial infarction, unstable angina, and depressed ventricular function. Patients without recent myocardial infarction, unstable angina, or depressed ventricular function had an operative mortality rate of 3.7 percent.

Burns reported data from 16 of the 22 centers in Europe and Asia registered with the Transmyocardial Laser Revascularization International Registry using a CO<sub>2</sub> laser [Burns, 1999]. Data were collected prior to the

US randomized trials to support FDA approval. TMR operative details were available on 932 patients. One hundred and seventy-seven cases had TMR combined with CABG, and 11 with percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA). There was a high variation across centers in reporting information and follow up. In-hospital death rate was 9.7 percent. Other early complications were consistent with similar cardiothoracic surgical procedures. There was a decrease of two or more CCS angina classes in 47.3 percent, 45.4 percent, and 34·0 percent of survivors at 3, 6, and 12 months follow up, respectively. Preoperative treadmill exercise time was 6 minutes. This increased by 42 seconds at 3 months, 1 minute 43 seconds at 6 months, and 1 minute 50 seconds at 12 months.

Agarwal and colleagues have reported results from 102 patients who underwent isolated TMR using an CO<sub>2</sub> laser at a single center in India [Agarwal, 1999]. The early mortality was 15 percent. At 1 year there was significant improvement in angina class and effort tolerance, but no significant change in LVEF; mortality at 12 months was 17 percent.

Allen and colleagues presented information on 42 patients with refractory angina who received Ho:YAG TMR, were not candidates for PTCA or

CABG, had either CCS class IV angina (n = 23) or unstable angina (n = 19), and were unable to be weaned from intravenous nitroglycerin [Allen, 1998]. Peri-operative mortality was 12 percent, with no late deaths. At 3-month (n = 33) and 6-month (n = 21) follow up the mean angina class was  $1.5 \pm 0.1$  and  $1.1 \pm 0.1$ , respectively.

Burkhoff and colleagues [Burkhoff, 1999b] assessed outcomes of 132 patients with severe angina who underwent TMR as sole therapy with a CO<sub>2</sub> laser in a single center. Approximately half of the patients enrolled had unstable angina. Thirty-day and 12-month mortality were, respectively, 12 percent and 22 percent.

Dowling and colleagues have reported outcomes of Ho:YAG TMR performed in 85 class IV patients, all with unstable angina, at 14 centers [Dowling, 1998]. Operative mortality was 12 percent, and 12-month mortality was 22.4 percent. At 3 months, 86 percent of patients had class II angina or better. At 6 and 12 months, 77 percent and 75 percent of patients, respectively, had class II angina or better. Mean angina class at 6 months was  $1.5 \pm 1.1$  and was  $1.6 \pm 1.3$  at 12 months.

Hattler and colleagues reported outcomes of CO<sub>2</sub> TMR performed in 76 patients with unstable angina and compared their outcomes with 91 stable patients receiving TMR during the same period [Hattler, 1999]. Operative mortality was 16 percent in unstable patients and three percent in stable patients. One-year mortality was 26 percent in unstable patients and 14 percent in stable patients; however mortality between 30 days and 1 year was similar between groups (13 percent and 11 percent). Significant improvement in angina class (two or more classes from baseline) was observed in patients who received TMR while unstable at 3 months (69 percent), and at 6 and 12 months (82 percent and 82 percent), and was similar to stable patients who received TMR.

Horvath and coworkers [Horvath, 1997] presented data from 200 patients at eight US hospitals. TMR was used as the sole therapy for patients with ischemic heart disease not amenable to PTCA or CABG. TMR was performed with a  $CO_2$  laser. The patients were followed for a combined 1560 months (mean  $10 \pm 3$  months per patient). Their mean age was 63 years and their EF was 47 percent. The peri-operative mortality was nine percent. Angina class decreased significantly from before treatment to 3, 6, and 12 months. A significant decrease in the number of perfusion defects

in the treated LV free wall was observed, as well as a decrease in the number of admissions for angina in the year after the procedure when compared with the year before treatment. Recently, Horvath and colleagues have published a 5-year follow up. From the original enrolment, patients who were lost, died, or received an additional revascularization procedure were excluded for this analysis. The results for the remaining group of 78 show that after an average of 5 years, the average angina class significantly improved to  $1.6 \pm 1$ . Sixty-eight percent of patients experienced a decrease in angina of at least two classes, and 17 percent were angina-free. Five-year SAQ scores revealed an average improvement of 170 percent over the baseline results.

Nagele and colleagues reported the results of a total of 60 patients who suffered from refractory angina that could not be revascularized by conventional methods who were suggested for TMR [Nagele, 1998]. Sole TMR was performed with a CO<sub>2</sub> laser. In 126 candidates for the procedure, their refractory status was confirmed by checking antianginal medication and increased when possible. Patients were reevaluated between 1 and 2 months later. The decision to proceed with the TMR was then made. Medical management by intensification of drug treatment was possible in

about 50 percent of patients initially submitted for TMR. After 3 months the CCS fell from  $3.31 \pm 0.51$  to  $1.84 \pm 0.77$  in 49 patients, but increased in the total group to  $2.02 \pm 0.92$  after 6 months (n = 47), to  $2.26 \pm 0.99$  after 1 year (n = 42), to  $2.47 \pm 1.11$  after 2 years (n = 38), and to  $2.58 \pm 0.9$  after 3 years (n = 19). MIBI/PET data at rest and after 6 months was worse in the TMR group. The peri-operative mortality was 12 percent. Mortality after 1 and 3 years was 23 percent and 30 percent, respectively. There was a high rate of cardiac events and new procedures.

Guleserian and coworkers [Guleserian, 2003] reported during a 24-month period 81 consecutive high-risk patients at a single center who underwent either sole therapy TMR (n = 34) or TMR with CABG (n = 47) using a Ho:YAG laser. High-risk patients were considered as those with EF ≤ 40, unstable angina, or congestive heart failure. Patients were demographically similar, except that sole TMR therapy patients were more likely to have had prior CABG than were CABG plus TMR or CABG-alone patients (96 percent, 24 percent, and 15 percent, respectively) and a significantly higher incidence of prior myocardial infarction (75 percent, 56 percent, and 35 percent, respectively). For sole therapy TMR, quality of life was diminished comparing TMR with CABG and CABG only. In the TMR

group, 30-day mortality was nine percent; after CABG plus TMR, it was four percent.

Schneider and colleagues [Schneider, 2001] assessed outcomes of 41 patients at a single center in Germany who underwent TMR using a Ho:YAG-laser, 14 as TMR alone and 27 with additional CABG. Follow up was obtained at 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months in this prospective study. Only 50 percent of TMR alone patients (n = 8) and 22 percent of combined TMR and CABG patients (n = 6) were available for long-term follow up. TMR CCS class improved up to 18 months postoperatively, and after 24 and 36 months postoperatively there was absence of a positive effect of TMR: the CCS class decreased to 2.4 as compared to 3.5 preoperatively. After a combined CABG and TMR there was a significant decrease in angina at all times. The CCS functional class in these patients was 1.7 at 36 months as compared to 3.5 preoperatively. There was no significant change in exercise tolerance as compared to preoperatively. LVEF did not improve in either of the groups. Thallium scintigraphy indicated no improvement in myocardial perfusion in laser treated areas. Mortality rates at 36 months were 36 percent in the TMR group and 11 percent in the combined TMR plus CABG group.

Five trials have reported information from 21, 34, 34, 15, and 16 patients, respectively, at single centers who received TMR as sole therapy [Cooley, 1996; De Carlo, 2000; Landolfo, 1999; Lee, 2000; Muxi, 2003]. Thirty-day mortality in those studies ranged from three percent to 10 percent. Twelve-month mortality was 13 percent to 24 percent. All these studies identified angina improvements in patients receiving TMR. In the study by Landolfo and colleagues, despite the lack of demonstrable improvement in myocardial perfusion, TMR improved symptoms, although the maximal improvement was seen at 6 months post-TMR. Muxi and colleagues reported a significant decrease in angina at 3, 6, and 12 months from baseline, with a significant improvement in myocardial perfusion in laser-treated areas.

# 4.2.2 TMR with CABG

#### **4.2.2.1 Overview**

Six observational studies of TMR plus CABG met the inclusion criteria.

Four of them reported data on patients who received CABG plus TMR together with data on patients who received only TMR. Mean age in these studies ranged from 61 to 65 years. Previous myocardial infarction was

reported in 50 to 77 percent of patients. Fifty-eight to 100 percent of patients had angina class III/IV, and EF ranged from 33 to 51 percent.

# 4.2.2.2 Summaries

Gregoric and colleagues [Gregoric, 2003] reported data on 17 patients who underwent TMR CO<sub>2</sub> laser combined with CABG. The patients had a mean age of 63 years and a mean EF of 33 percent. All but one patient had undergone previous coronary surgery. The mean follow-up period was 6.2 months. One patient died. At follow-up examination after a mean of 6 months (range, 2 to 9 months), 15 patients remained free of angina and one had mild angina. None had required further hospitalization.

The 47 high-risk patients assessed in the single-center study conducted by Guleserian and colleagues experienced a four percent 30-day mortality [Guleserian, 2003].

Peterson and colleagues analyzed the STS registry reported data from 2475 patients who received TMR combined with CABG [Peterson, 2003].

Overall operative mortality in this group was 4.2 percent. When considering patients without recent myocardial infarction, unstable angina,

or depressed LVEF, the operative mortality rate was 2.6 percent. This study also compared 390 patients in the STS database who received CABG plus TMR with a control group created from 39,000 CABG-only patients with triple-vessel disease who received fewer than three grafts. Operative mortality was similar between groups.

Stamou and colleagues [Stamou, 2002] have reported the results of 30-day and 3-, 6-, and 12-month clinical follow-up after CABG plus Ho:YAG or CO<sub>2</sub> TMR in a consecutive series of 169 patients with refractory angina pectoris and at least one myocardial ischemic area not amenable to CABG. One-year survival and event-free survival were 85 percent and 81 percent, respectively. At the end of the first year after the procedure, seven patients (four percent) had angina class III/IV versus 152 patients (90 percent) at baseline.

Long-term mortality (36-month) identified by Schneider and colleagues in a group of 27 patients was 11 percent [Schneider, 2001]. There was a significant decrease in angina at all times. The CCS functional class in these patients was 1.7 at 36 months as compared to 3.5 preoperatively.

Wehberg and colleagues have published the results of a single-center retrospective analysis of 6-month follow up of 255 consecutive patients who received either CABG alone (n = 219) or CABG and TMR (n = 36). TMR was performed in non-graftable regions. Patients had CCS angina scores III or IV and EF  $\geq$  30 percent. Age and EF were similar for both groups, although a significantly higher percentage of patients in the CABG group had congestive heart failure (28 percent vs. eight percent). One-month mortality was similar in both groups (2.3 percent in postoperative angina scores were similar in both groups. the CABG group and zero percent in the CABG plus TMR). Other major adverse outcomes were also similar in the two groups. One-month

### 4.3.2 PMR

### **4.3.2.1 Overview**

Two observational studies of PMR were identified. Mean age was 61 and 62 years, prior myocardial infarction was present in 60 percent and 68 percent of patients, and mean EF was 38 percent and 48 percent. Only one of these studies reported baseline angina class. Both studies report 6-month follow up. No deaths were reported during this period in either study.

#### **4.3.2.2 Summaries**

Kaul and colleagues reported information from 35 patients from a single center in India with zero percent mortality after a 6-month follow up after PMR treatment with the Eclipse device [Kaul, 1999]. All patients were reported to have class III or IV angina prior to PMR; at 3 months mean angina class was  $0.94 \pm 0.65$ , and at 6 months mean angina class was  $1.08 \pm 0.58$ .

Kornowski and colleagues presented data from 77 patients treated at three US hospitals with PMR using the Biosense device [Kornowski, 2000]. There were no deaths at 6 months. Exercise duration significantly increased from  $387 \pm 179$  seconds at baseline to  $479 \pm 161$  seconds at 6 months. The time to onset of angina increased significantly from  $293 \pm 167$  seconds at baseline to  $414 \pm 169$  seconds at 6 months. Time to ST-segment depression (greater than 1 mm) also increased significantly from  $327 \pm 178$  seconds at baseline to  $436 \pm 175$  seconds at 6 months. Angina by CCS class improved from  $3.3 \pm 0.5$  at baseline to  $2.0 \pm 1.2$  at 6 months.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

TMR has been evaluated in seven clinical trials; all seven studies report significant improvement in the frequency and/or severity of angina after TMR, with no net improvement in survival. Two trials with prolonged follow up suggest that symptomatic improvement is persistent, although other studies demonstrate a trend towards diminished relief after the first 6 months following TMR. The only benefit in survival following TMR as sole therapy compared to medical treatment has been found in a 5-year follow up of a multicenter, randomized experience. In addition to symptomatic relief, TMR was associated with an increase in exercise tolerance and quality of life. There were no consistent trends regarding the impact of TMR on admission for unstable angina, reduction in antianginal medications, cardiac events, or other complications (in particular congestive heart failure that might follow myocardial tissue damage due to therapy).

Any symptomatic benefit of TMR appears to be out of proportion to demonstrable improvement in myocardial perfusion. Only one of three trials that examined myocardial perfusion demonstrated some improvement in perfusion after TMR.

Only one trial assessed the benefit of TMR plus CABG; this suggested that the addition of TMR significantly reduced mortality without influencing anginal symptoms. Although both groups realized significant angina relief through 1 year, 5-year follow up indicated that CABG plus TMR provided superior angina relief compared to CABG alone. Regarding the 12-month survival benefit, it appeared to be explained entirely by the lower rate of 30-day mortality in TMR plus CABG vs. CABG alone patients (1.5 percent vs. 7.6 percent).

Both clinical trials and observational studies provide information on the adverse effects of TMR. In clinical trials, 30-day mortality was variable, up to five percent. In observational studies, 30-day mortality was up to 15 percent, with 12-month mortality ranging between 13 percent and 25 percent. Risks appear to be higher in those patients with recent acute cardiac events, unstable angina, and depressed ventricular function.

In addition, there are some data from observational studies regarding utilization of the procedure. Notably, TMR – a procedure intended as palliative therapy for advanced refractory coronary disease – is frequently

used for less severe patients in community practice. Approximately 25 percent of patients have angina that is not severe enough to satisfy FDA labeling requirements or Medicare coverage criteria for use of TMR.

Although the evidence is not as consistent, PMR trials suggest that the procedure can improve angina symptoms; this finding was reported in four of six trials. As with TMR, there is no evidence of physiological changes or increases in survival.

The available studies have notable limitations. These include:

- <u>Lack of a clear definition of "maximal medical therapy" prior to</u>
   inclusion in a study and in the control arm of clinical trials. It appears
   that a significant proportion of patients initially referred for TMR with
   refractory angina can be stabilized medically.
- Frequent treatment crossovers. In two major trials, Frazier and colleagues and Allen and colleagues allowed crossovers from the medical therapy group to the TMR group. In the Frazier trial, crossover was allowed as "an incentive for patients assigned to maximal therapy to remain in the study if medical therapy failed."

- Frequent lack of blinding in outcomes assessment. This could lead to
  an apparent increased therapeutic effect of TMR/PMR. Though it is
  evidently difficult (though not impossible) to blind patients to their
  treatment, it is feasible to blind the individual responsible for
  assessing trial outcomes, as was done in blinded validations of two
  trials at 1 year and in the randomized long-term follow-up studies.
- Presence of a placebo effect. This is likely to be a powerful factor in an intervention such as TMR or PMR, particularly in early follow up.

#### 6. REFERENCES

Aaberge L, Nordstrand K, Dragsund M, et al. Transmyocardial revascularization with CO2 laser in patients with refractory angina pectoris. Clinical results from the Norwegian randomized trial. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2000;35(5):1170-7.

Aaberge L, Rootwelt K, Blomhoff S, et al. Continued symptomatic improvement three to five years after transmyocardial revascularization with CO(2) laser: a late clinical follow-up of the Norwegian Randomized trial with transmyocardial revascularization. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2002;39(10):1588-93.

Agarwal R, Ajit M, Kurian VM, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization: early results and 1-year follow-up.[see comment]. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;67(2):432-6.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, Angell WW. Transmyocardial Revascularization: 5-Year Flow-Up of a Prospective, Randomized Multicenter Trial. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2004;77:1228-34.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, DelRossi AJ, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization combined with coronary artery bypass grafting: a multicenter, blinded, prospective, randomized, controlled trial.[see comment]. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 2000;119(3):540-9.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, Fudge TL, et al. Comparison of transmyocardial revascularization with medical therapy in patients with refractory angina.[see comment]. New England Journal of Medicine 1999;341(14):1029-36.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, Heimansohn DA, et al. Transmyocardial revascularization utilizing a holmium: YAG laser. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery. 14 Suppl 1:S100-4, 1998.

Burkhoff D, Schmidt S, Schulman SP, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularisation compared with continued medical therapy for treatment of refractory angina pectoris: a prospective randomised trial. ATLANTIC Investigators. Angina Treatments-Lasers and Normal Therapies in Comparison.[comment]. Lancet 1999;354(9182):885-90.

Burkhoff D, Wesley MN, Resar JR, et al. Factors correlating with risk of mortality after transmyocardial revascularization. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 1999;34(1):55-61.

Burns SM, Sharples LD, Tait S, et al. The transmyocardial laser revascularization international registry report. European Heart Journal 1999;20(1):31-7.

Cooley DA, Frazier OH, Kadipasaoglu KA, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization: clinical experience with twelve-month follow-up. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 1996;111(4):791-7; discussion 797-9.

De Carlo M, Milano AD, Pratali S, et al. Symptomatic improvement after transmyocardial laser revascularization: how long does it last? Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2000;70(3):1130-3.

DIRECT Investigators. DMR in Regeneration of Endomyocardial Channels Trial (DIRECT). ACC Clinical Trials - March 2001; Available online: http://www.acc.org/education/online/trials/acc2001/direct.htm

Dowling RD, Petracek MR, Selinger SL, et al. Transmyocardial revascularization in patients with refractory, unstable angina. Circulation 1998;98(19 Suppl):II73-5; discussion II75-6.

Frazier OH, March RJ, Horvath KA. Transmyocardial revascularization with a carbon dioxide laser in patients with end-stage coronary artery disease.[see comment]. New England Journal of Medicine 1999;341(14):1021-8.

Gray TJ, Burns SM, Clarke SC, et al. Percutaneous myocardial laser revascularization in patients with refractory angina pectoris. American Journal of Cardiology 2003;91(6):661-6.

Gregoric I, Messner G, Couto WJ, et al. Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting and transmyocardial laser revascularization via a left thoracotomy. Texas Heart Institute Journal 2003;30(1):13-8.

Guleserian KJ, Maniar HS, Camillo CJ, et al. Quality of life and survival after transmyocardial laser revascularization with the holmium:YAG laser. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2003;75(6):1842-7; discussion 1847-8.

Hattler BG, Griffith BP, Zenati MA, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization in the patient with unmanageable unstable angina. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;68(4):1203-9.

Horvath KA, Aranki SF, Cohn LH, et al. Sustained angina relief 5 years after transmyocardial laser revascularization with a CO(2) laser. Circulation 2001;104(12 Suppl 1):181-4.

Horvath KA, Cohn LH, Cooley DA, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization: results of a multicenter trial with transmyocardial laser revascularization used as sole therapy for end-stage coronary artery disease. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 1997;113(4):645-53; discussion 653-4.

Huikeshoven M, van der Sloot JA, Tukkie R, et al. Improved quality of life after XeCl excimer transmyocardial laser revascularization: results of a randomized trial. Lasers in Surgery & Medicine 2003;33(1):1-7.

Jones JW, Schmidt SE, Richman BW, et al. Holmium: YAG laser transmyocardial revascularization relieves angina and improves functional status. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;67(6):1596-601; discussion 1601-2.

Kaul U, Shawl F, Singh B, et al. Percutaneous transluminal myocardial revascularization with a holmium laser system: procedural results and early clinical outcome.[see comment]. Catheterization & Cardiovascular Interventions 1999;47(3):287-91.

Kornowski R, Baim DS, Moses JW, et al. Short- and intermediate-term clinical outcomes from direct myocardial laser revascularization guided by biosense left ventricular electromechanical mapping. Circulation 2000;102(10):1120-5.

Landolfo CK, Landolfo KP, Hughes GC, et al. Intermediate-term clinical outcome following transmyocardial laser revascularization in patients with refractory angina pectoris. Circulation 1999;100(19 Suppl):II128-33.

Lee LY, O'Hara MF, Finnin EB, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization with excimer laser: clinical results at 1 year. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2000;70(2):498-503.

Muxi A, Magrina J, Martin F, et al. Technetium 99m-labeled tetrofosmin and

iodine 123-labeled metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy in the assessment of transmyocardial laser revascularization. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 2003;125(6):1493-8.

Nagele H, Stubbe HM, Nienaber C, et al. Results of transmyocardial laser revascularization in non-revascularizable coronary artery disease after 3 years follow-up [ssee comments][see comment]. European Heart Journal 1998;19(10):1525-30.

Oesterle SN, Sanborn TA, Ali N, et al. Percutaneous transmyocardial laser revascularisation for severe angina: the PACIFIC randomised trial. Potential Class Improvement From Intramyocardial Channels.[comment]. Lancet 2000;356(9243):1705-10.

Peterson ED, Kaul P, Kaczmarek RG, et al. From controlled trials to clinical practice: monitoring transmyocardial revascularization use and outcomes. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2003;42(9):1611-6.

Salem M, Rotevatn S, Stavnes S, et al. Usefullness and Safety of Percutaneous Myocardial Laser Revascularization for Refractory Angina Pectoris. The American Journal of Cardiology 2004;93:1086-91.

Schneider J, Diegeler A, Krakor R, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization with the holmium: YAG laser: loss of symptomatic improvement after 2 years. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery 2001;19(2):164-9.

Schofield PM, Sharples LD, Caine N, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularisation in patients with refractory angina: a randomised controlled trial.[comment][erratum appears in Lancet 1999 May 15;353(9165):1714]. Lancet 1999;353(9152):519-24.

Stamou SC, Boyce SW, Cooke RH, et al. One-year outcome after combined coronary artery bypass grafting and transmyocardial laser revascularization for refractory angina pectoris. American Journal of Cardiology 2002;89(12):1365-8.

Stone GW, Teirstein PS, Rubenstein R, et al. A prospective, multicenter, randomized trial of percutaneous transmyocardial laser revascularization in patients with nonrecanalizable chronic total occlusions.[see comment]. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2002;39(10):1581-7.

Wehberg KE, Julian JS, Todd JC 3rd, et al. Improved patient outcomes when transmyocardial revascularization is used as adjunctive revascularization. Heart Surgery Forum 2003;6(5):328-30.

Whitlow PL, DeMaio SJ Jr, Perin EC, et al. One-year results of percutaneous myocardial revascularization for refractory angina pectoris. American Journal of Cardiology 2003;91(11):1342-6.

#### 7. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Aaberge L, Nordstrand K, Dragsund M, et al. Transmyocardial revascularization with CO2 laser in patients with refractory angina pectoris. Clinical results from the Norwegian randomized trial. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2000;35(5):1170-7.

Aaberge L, Rootwelt K, Blomhoff S, et al. Continued symptomatic improvement three to five years after transmyocardial revascularization with CO(2) laser: a late clinical follow-up of the Norwegian Randomized trial with transmyocardial revascularization. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2002;39(10):1588-93.

Abo-Auda W, Benza RL. Transmyocardial and percutaneous myocardial revascularization: current concepts and future directions. Journal of Heart & Lung Transplantation 2003; 22(8): 837-42.

Agarwal R, Ajit M, Kurian VM, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization: early results and 1-year follow-up.[see comment]. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;67(2):432-6.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, Angell WW. Transmyocardial Revascularization: 5-Year Flow-Up of a Prospective, Randomized Multicenter Trial. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2004;77:1228-34.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, DelRossi AJ, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization combined with coronary artery bypass grafting: a multicenter, blinded, prospective, randomized, controlled trial.[see comment]. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 2000;119(3):540-9.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, Fudge TL, et al. Comparison of transmyocardial revascularization with medical therapy in patients with refractory angina.[see comment]. New England Journal of Medicine 1999;341(14):1029-36.

Allen KB, Dowling RD, Heimansohn DA, et al. Transmyocardial revascularization utilizing a holmium: YAG laser. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery 1998;14 Suppl 1:S100-4.

Al-Sheikh T, Allen KB, Straka SP, et al. Cardiac sympathetic denervation after transmyocardial laser revascularization. Circulation 1999;100(2):135-

Arora RC, Hirsch GM, Hirsch K, Armour JA. Transmyocardial laser revascularization remodels the intrinsic cardiac nervous system in a chronic setting. Circulation 2001;104 [suppl I]:I115-I120.

Bridges, C. R. // Horvath, K. A. // Nugent, W. C. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Practice Guideline Series: Transmyocardial Laser Revascularization. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2004; 77: 1494-1502.

Burkhoff D, Schmidt S, Schulman SP, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularisation compared with continued medical therapy for treatment of refractory angina pectoris: a prospective randomised trial. ATLANTIC Investigators. Angina Treatments-Lasers and Normal Therapies in Comparison.[comment]. Lancet 1999;354(9182):885-90. (a)

Burkhoff D, Wesley MN, Resar JR, et al. Factors correlating with risk of mortality after transmyocardial revascularization. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 1999;34(1):55-61. (b)

Burns SM, Sharples LD, Tait S, et al. The transmyocardial laser revascularization international registry report. European Heart Journal 1999;20(1):31-7.

CardioGenesis Corporation. CardioGenesis Announces Status of PMR Submission. News release dated March 25, 2004. Access: www.cardiogenesis.com.

Chu VF, Giaid A, Kuang JQ, et al. Thoracic Surgery Directors Association Award. Angiogenesis in transmyocardial revascularization: comparison of laser versus mechanical punctures. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999; 68(2):301-7; discussion 307-8.

CMS (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services). Coverage Issues Manual. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services Website: Last updated November 26, 2003. Accessed June 22, 2004. Access: http://www.cms.hhs.gov/manuals/06 cim/ci00.asp

Cooley DA, Frazier OH, Kadipasaoglu KA, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization: clinical experience with twelve-month follow-up. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 1996;111(4):791-7; discussion 797-9.

De Carlo M, Milano AD, Pratali S, et al. Symptomatic improvement after transmyocardial laser revascularization: how long does it last? Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2000;70(3):1130-3.

DIRECT Investigators. DMR in Regeneration of Endomyocardial Channels Trial (DIRECT). ACC Clinical Trials - March 2001; Available online: http://www.acc.org/education/online/trials/acc2001/direct.htm

Dowling RD, Petracek MR, Selinger SL, et al. Transmyocardial revascularization in patients with refractory, unstable angina. Circulation 1998;98(19 Suppl):II73-5; discussion II75-6.

Fisher PE, Khomoto T, DeRosa CM, et al. Histologic analysis of transmyocardial channels: comparison of CO2 and holmium:YAG lasers. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1997; 64(2):466-72.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of Safety and Effectiveness – Eclipse TMR Holmium Laser System P970029. Food and Drug Administration Website 1999. Access: <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/pdf/P970029b.pdf">http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/pdf/P970029b.pdf</a>.

Frazier OH, March RJ, Horvath KA. Transmyocardial revascularization with a carbon dioxide laser in patients with end-stage coronary artery disease.[see comment]. New England Journal of Medicine 1999;341(14):1021-8.

Gray TJ, Burns SM, Clarke SC, et al. Percutaneous myocardial laser revascularization in patients with refractory angina pectoris. American Journal of Cardiology 2003;91(6):661-6.

Gregoric I, Messner G, Couto WJ, et al. Off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting and transmyocardial laser revascularization via a left thoracotomy. Texas Heart Institute Journal 2003;30(1):13-8.

Guleserian KJ, Maniar HS, Camillo CJ, et al. Quality of life and survival after transmyocardial laser revascularization with the holmium:YAG laser. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2003;75(6):1842-7; discussion 1847-8.

Hardy RI, Bove KE, James FW, et al. A histologic study of laser-induced transmyocardial channels. Lasers in Surgery and Medicine 1987;6(6):563-73.

Hirsch GM, Thompson GW, Arora RC, Hirsch KJ, Sullivan JA, Armour JA. Transmrocardial laser revascularization does not denervate the canine heart. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;68:460-8.

Horvath KA, Chiu E, Maun DC, et al. Up-regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor mRNA and angiogenesis after transmyocardial laser revascularization. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;68(3):825-9.

Horvath KA, Cohn LH, Cooley DA, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization: results of a multicenter trial with transmyocardial laser revascularization used as sole therapy for end-stage coronary artery disease. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 1997;113(4):645-53; discussion 653-4.

Hughes GC, Baklanov DV, Annex BH, et al. Denervation is not the long-term mechanism of action of transmyocardial laser revascularization. Circulation 1999;100:1292.

Hughes GC, Kypson AP, Annex BH, et al. Induction of angiogenesis after TMR: a comparison of holmium:YAG, CO2, and excimer lasers. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2000;70:540-9.

Hughes GC, Baklanov DV, Biswas SS, et al. Regional cardiac sympathetic innervation early and late after transmyocardial laser revascularization. Journal of Cardiac Surgery 2004;19:21-7.

Huikeshoven M, van der Sloot JA, Tukkie R, et al. Improved quality of life after XeCl excimer transmyocardial laser revascularization: results of a randomized trial. Lasers in Surgery & Medicine 2003;33(1):1-7.

Huikeshoven M, Beek JF, van der Sloot JA, et al. 35 years of experimental research in transmyocardial revascularization: what have we learned? Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2002 Sep;74(3):956-70.

Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? Controlled Clinical Trials 1996; 17(1):1-12.

Jones JW, Schmidt SE, Richman BW, et al. Holmium:YAG laser transmyocardial revascularization relieves angina and improves functional status. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999;67(6):1596-601; discussion 1601-2.

Kaul U, Shawl F, Singh B, et al. Percutaneous transluminal myocardial revascularization with a holmium laser system: procedural results and early clinical outcome.[see comment]. Catheterization & Cardiovascular Interventions 1999;47(3):287-91.

Kornowski R, Baim DS, Moses JW, et al. Short- and intermediate-term clinical outcomes from direct myocardial laser revascularization guided by biosense left ventricular electromechanical mapping. Circulation 2000;102(10):1120-5.

Kwong KF, Kanellopoulos GK, Nickols JC, et al. Transmyocardial laser treatment denervates canine myocardium. Journal of Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgery 1997;114(6):883-9; discussion 889-90.

Landolfo CK, Landolfo KP, Hughes GC, et al. Intermediate-term clinical outcome following transmyocardial laser revascularization in patients with refractory angina pectoris. Circulation 1999;100(19 Suppl):II128-33.

Le E, Powers ER, Leong-Poi H, Rim SJ, Pelberg RA, Kaul S. Transmyocardial revascularization ameliorates ischemia by cardiac denervation and not by angiogenesis: new insights using contrast echocardiography. Circulation 2000;102;3188.

Lee LY, O'Hara MF, Finnin EB, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization with excimer laser: clinical results at 1 year. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2000;70(2):498-503.

Martin JS, Sayeed-Shah U, Byrne JG, et al. Excimer versus carbon dioxide transmyocardial laser revascularization: effects on regional left ventricular function and perfusion. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 2000;69;1811-6.

Mirhoseini M, Cayton MM. Revascularization of the heart by laser. Journal of Microsurgery 1981 Jun;2(4):253-60.

Muhkerjee D, Comella K, Bhatt D, *et al.* Direct Myocardial Revascularization and Angiogenesis-How Many Patients Might Be Eligible? American Journal of Cardiology 1999;84(5):598-600.

Muxi A, Magrina J, Martin F, et al. Technetium 99m-labeled tetrofosmin and iodine 123-labeled metaiodobenzylguanidine scintigraphy in the assessment of transmyocardial laser revascularization. Journal of Thoracic & Cardiovascular Surgery 2003;125(6):1493-8.

Myers J, Oesterle SN, Jones J, Burkhoff D. Do transmyocardial and percutaneous laser revascularization induce silent ischemia? An assessment by exercise testing. American Heart Journal 2002; 143:1052-7.

Nagele H, Stubbe HM, Nienaber C, et al. Results of transmyocardial laser revascularization in non-revascularizable coronary artery disease after 3 years follow-up [ssee comments][see comment]. European Heart Journal 1998;19(10):1525-30.

Oesterle SN, Sanborn TA, Ali N, et al. Percutaneous transmyocardial laser revascularisation for severe angina: the PACIFIC randomised trial. Potential Class Improvement From Intramyocardial Channels.[comment]. Lancet 2000;356(9243):1705-10.

Peterson ED, Kaul P, Kaczmarek RG, et al. From controlled trials to clinical practice: monitoring transmyocardial revascularization use and outcomes. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2003;42(9):1611-6.

Pifarre R, Jasuja ML, Lynch RD, Neville WE. Myocardial revascularization by transmyocardial acupuncture. A physiologic impossibility. Journal of Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgery 1969; 58(3):424-31.

Sackett DL, Straus SE, Richardson WS, et al. Prognosis. In *Evidence-Based Medicine: How to Practice and Teach EBM (Second Edition)*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingston/Harcourt Publishers Limited. 2000.

Salem M, Rotevatn S, Stavnes S, et al. Usefullness and Safety of Percutaneous Myocardial Laser Revascularization for Refractory Angina Pectoris. The American Journal of Cardiology 2004;93:1086-91.

Saririan M, Eisenberg MJ. Myocardial laser revascularization for the treatment of end-stage coronary artery disease. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2003; 41(2): 173-83.

Schneider J, Diegeler A, Krakor R, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularization with the holmium: YAG laser: loss of symptomatic improvement after 2 years. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery 2001;19(2):164-9.

Schofield PM, Sharples LD, Caine N, et al. Transmyocardial laser revascularisation in patients with refractory angina: a randomised controlled

trial.[comment][erratum appears in Lancet 1999 May 15;353(9165):1714]. Lancet 1999;353(9152):519-24.

Sen PK, Udwadia TE, Kinare SG, Parulkar GB. Transmyocardial Acupuncture: A new approach to myocardial revascularization. Journal of Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgery 1965; 50:181-9.

Stamou SC, Boyce SW, Cooke RH, et al. One-year outcome after combined coronary artery bypass grafting and transmyocardial laser revascularization for refractory angina pectoris. American Journal of Cardiology 2002;89(12):1365-8.

Stone GW, Teirstein PS, Rubenstein R, et al. A prospective, multicenter, randomized trial of percutaneous transmyocardial laser revascularization in patients with nonrecanalizable chronic total occlusions.[see comment]. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2002;39(10):1581-7.

Whittaker P. Transmyocardial revascularization: the fate of myocardial channels. Annals of Thoracic Surgery 1999; 68(6): 2376-82.

Whitlow PL, DeMaio SJ Jr, Perin EC, et al. One-year results of percutaneous myocardial revascularization for refractory angina pectoris. American Journal of Cardiology 2003;91(11):1342-6.

Yamamoto N, Kohmoto T, Gu A, et al. Angiogenesis is enhanced in ischemic canine myocardium by transmyocardial laser revascularization. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 1998;31:1426-33.

# 8. Appendices Appendix 8.1 Glossary

#### Abbreviations used in the text

AHRQ Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

BELIEF Blinded Evaluation of Laser Intervention Electively

for Angina Pectoris

CABG Coronary artery bypass grafting

CCS Canadian Cardiovascular Society

CMS Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services

CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide

DASI Duke Activity Status Index

ECG Electrocardiogram

EF Ejection fraction

FDA Food and Drug Administration

Ho:YAG Holmium:yttrium-aluminum-garnet

INAHTA International Network of Agencies for Health Technology

Assessment

J Joule

LV Left ventricle (or ventricular)

LVEF Left ventricular ejection fraction

MI Myocardial infarction

mm Millimeter

μm Micrometer

NR Not reported

NYHA New York Heart Association

PACIFIC Potential Class Improvement from Intramyocardial

Channels

PCI Percutaneous coronary intervention

PET Positron emission tomography

PMR Percutaneous myocardial laser revascularization

PTCA Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty

QoL Quality of life

RCT Randomized controlled trial

RNA Ribonucleic acid

SAQ Seattle Angina Questionnaire

SPECT Single-photon emission computed tomography

STS Society of Thoracic Surgeons

TMR Transmyocardial revascularization

VEGF Vascular endothelial growth factor

XeCl Xenon Chloride

# **Appendix 8.2 Study Summary Tables**

### TMR alone

Table 1. Characteristics of RCT studies of TMR alone

Author	N	#	Mean	Quality	Previous	III/IV	LVEF	30- d	12 m	Long	Angina	Other
/ tatrior	'`	centers	age	Score	MI (%)	(%)	(%)	mortality	mortality	term	7 tilgilla	Otrici
		Cerners			IVII ( 70 )	(70)	(70)	,	,			
			(yrs)	(out of				(%)	(%)	mortality		
				4)						(%)		
<ol> <li>Aaberge</li> </ol>	100	1	63	2	70	100	49	4	12	23%	+	Hospital,
										(32-60		Exercise
										month)		
2. Allen	275	18	60	2	64	100	47	5	16	35% (5	+	Events,
										year)*		QoL,
										, , ,		Excercise
3. Burkhoff	182	16	64	3	70	100	50	1	5	NR	+	NR
4. Frazier	192	12	61	1	80	100	50	3	15	NR	+	Events,
												Perfusion,
												QoL
5. Huikeshoven	30	1	63	1	NR	NR	55	0	7	NR	+	QoL
6. Jones	86	1	62	2	68	NR		2	12	NR	+	Exercise
7. Schofield	188	1	60	2	74	100	48	5	11	NR	+	Exercise
Total	1053											

<sup>\*</sup> p = 0.05 Mortality in the TMR group compared with mortality in the control group.

Table 2. Characteristics of Observational studies of TMR alone

Author	N	#	Mean age	Previous	III/IV	LVEF	30-d mortality	12-m mortality	Angina
		centers	(years)	MI (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
1. Agarwal	102	1	57	32	54	45	15	17	ND
2. Allen	42	1	62	69	100	45	12	NR	+
3. Burkhoff	132	1	61	NR	100	44	12	22	NR
4. Burns	932	21	62	77	75	49	10	NR	+
5. Cooley	21	1	63	57	NR	48	10	24	+
6. De Carlo	34	1	67	88	59	47	3	15	+
7. Dowling	85	14	63	72	100	48	12	22	+
8. Guleserian	34	1	61	NR	NR	NR	9	NR	ND
9. Hattler	76/91	13	64/61	75/79	100/100	NR	16/3	26/14	NR
10. Horvath	200	8	63	78	100	45	9	18	+
11. Horvath f/u	78	13	NR	NR	NR	NR	N/A	42 (5 year)	+
12. Landolfo	34	1	61	76	100	51	6	15	+
13. Lee	15	1	63	80	100	38	7	13	+
14. Muxi	16	1	60		100	57	0	6 (6 months)	+
15. Nagele	60	1	64	57	100	54	12	23	ND
16. Peterson	661	173	62	53	78	46	6	NR	NR
17. Schneider	14	1	65	57	NR	52	0	36 (36 months)	ND
Total	2549							,	

### **TMR plus CABG**

Table 3. Characteristics of RCT studies of TMR + CABG

Author	N	#	Mean	Quality	Previous	III/IV	LVEF	30- d	12 m	Long term	Angina
		centers	age	Score	MI (%)	(%)	(%)	mortality	mortality	mortality	
			(years)	(out of 4)	, ,	. ,	, ,	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Allen	263	24	64	3	34	NR	51	1.5	5	24% (5 year)	ND

Table 4. Characteristics of Observational studies of TMR + CABG

Author	Ν	#	Mean age	Previous MI	III/IV (%)	LVEF (%)	30-d mortality	12-m mortality	Angina
		centers	(years)	(%)			(%)	(%)	
1. Gregoric	17	1	63	71	76	33	6	6 (6 m)	+
2. Guleserian	47	1	61	NR	NR	NR	4	NR	ND
3. Peterson	2475	173	65	50	58	50	4	NR	NR
4. Schneider	27	1	64	77	NR	51	0	11 (36 m)	+
5. Stamou	166	1	63	64	90	NR	8	15	+
6. Wehberg	36	1	63	NR	100	52	0	NR	ND
Total	2768								

Table 5. Mortality in studies that compare TMR as sole therapy vs. TMR + CABG

Author	TMR (%)	TMR + CABG (%)
1. Guleserian (30 day)	9	4
2. Peterson (30 day)	6	4
3. Wehberg (30 day)	2	0
4. Schneider (36 month)	36	11
5. Burns (30 day)	10 (no differe	ntiated mortality data)

#### **PMR**

Table 6. Characteristics of RCT studies of PMR

Author	N	#	Mean	Quality	Previous	III/IV	LVEF	30- d	12 m	Angina	Other
		centers	age	Score	MI (%)	(%)	(%)	mortality	mortality		
			(years)	(out of 4)				(%)	(%)		
<ol> <li>DIRECT</li> </ol>	196	Multi	63	N/A	NR	NR	NR	4/8	11/14	ND	Exercise, MACE
2. Gray	73	1	61	3	72	100	48	0	3	+	Exercise, QoL
<ol><li>Oesterle</li></ol>	221	13	62	3	65	100	NR	NR	7	+	Exercise, QoL
4. Salem	82	2	66	5	63	100	64	0	0	+	QoL
5. Stone	141	17	65	4	65	100	52	0	9	ND	NR
6. Whitlow	330	20	63	3	67	100	47	NR	8	+	Events, Exercise, QoL
Total	843										

Table 7. Characteristics of Observational studies of PMR

	N	# centers	Mean age	30-d mortality	6-m mortality	Angina	Previous MI	Class III/IV	LVEF
			(years)	(%)	(%)		(%)	(%)	(%)
1. Kaul	35	1	62	0	0	+	60	100	38
2. Kornowski	77	3	61	0	0	+	68	NR	48
Total	112								

+: Study showed significant improvement in angina

ND: Study did not show significant improvement in angina

NR: Not reported

#### **Appendix 8.3 Quality Score Description**

#### RCT Quality Score

The Jadad et al. (1996) instrument for assessing the quality of randomized clinical trials was used as the basis for developing the RCT quality score used in this report.

- 1. Randomized was the study described as randomized (this includes the use of words such as randomly, random and randomization)
  - To receive a "1" the randomization must be described and appropriate, as described in Jadad, 1996
- 2. Blinded was the study described as double blind
  - To receive a "1" the blinding must be described and appropriate, as described in Jadad, 1996
- 3. Withdrawals/ dropouts described was there a description of withdrawals and dropouts
  - To receive a "1" the withdrawls/dropouts must be described
- Targeting strategy defined did the authors adequately describe the section of myocardium targeted for revascularization (where the channels were placed and why)
  - To receive a "1" the targeting strategy must be adequately described

#### Observational Study Quality Score

The Sackett (1996) prognosis worksheet was used to develop the summative quality score for observational studies.

1. Patients identified at common point – Was a defined, representative sample of patients assembled at a common point in the course of their disease (at the point prior treatment failed).

Examples of situations warranting a score of 0 for this quality point:

- a mix of patients who failed and who have not failed;
- inclusion of patients who fit a wide variety of definitions of failure
- 2. Sufficient follow-up Was patient follow-up sufficiently long and complete? (≥ 30 days)
- 3. Blinded outcome assessment Were objective outcome criteria applied in a "blind" fashion? (Angina assessed by someone other than the surgical team OR objective measures used – e.g. thallium stress test)
- 4. Measurement and adjustment for confounders If subgroups with different prognoses are identified, was there adjustment for important prognostic factors?
- Targeting strategy defined did the authors adequately describe the section of myocardium targeted for revascularization (where the channels were placed and why)

## Transmyocardial Revascularization – Randomized Controlled Trials

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	on Outcomes										Quality Score
Aaberge 2000	Geographic Location: Norway	N overall: 100 N int:50	Inclusion Criteria: Refractory angina not candidates for	Sympton										Randomization described and appropriate: 1
#490	Number of centers:1	N con:50 N women:	PCI/CABG  Exclusion Criteria:	Month	Survi	val	Angi Clas		Cla	ngina ass /ement	Exer time (		Hospital	Double- blinding described and
		14	Age>75; LVEF<30		N	%	Mean	SD	N	%	Mean	SD	N	appropriate: 0
	Dates of data collection:	Mean age:	No ischemia; HF; Inability to have	1	47/49	96	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Withdrawals/
	1995-98	62.5	tests or surgery	3	46/49	94	2.3*	-	14	29*	538	148	-	dropouts described:
	Taskaslasıı	A	l lintama (n	12	43/49	88	2.0*	-	19	39*	550	152	40	1
	Technology: TMR alone	Age range: NR	History (n intervention) Diabetes:11	Control										Targeting strategy defined: 0
	Type of laser used: CO2	Race: NR	Hyperlipidemia:38	Month	Survi	val	Angi Clas		Cla	ngina ass /ement	Exer time (		Hospital	Total: 2
	Number of	Angina	Hypertension:14		N	%	Mean	SD	N	%	Mean	SD	N	Notes:
	channels:	Class III: 71	Family History:	3	48/50	96	3.1	-	0	0	570	176	-	1 patient randomized to TMR
	47	IV: 29	NR	12	46/50	92	3.1	-	0	0	560	184	45	underwent
	Consecutive enrollment: Y  Length of follow-up: 1 year  Control: medical therapy		Prior MI: 35  Pre-operative unstable angina: NR Prior PCI/ CABG: 45 P.V.D:15  Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction: 49%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: DSE or Tetrofosmin	*p<0.01	comparir	ng rela	ative cha	anges	betweer	n groups	5			concurrent LIMA bypass and was excluded from f/u

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	S									Quality Score
			Severity of disease: LM 12 3-v: 44 2-v: 6											
Aaberge 2002	Geographic Location: Norway	N overall: 99 N int: 49	Inclusion Criteria: Class III/IV angina refractory to	Sympton	ion									Randomization described and appropriate: 1
#80	Number of centers:	N con: 50 N women:	medical rx not amenable to PCI/CABG	Month	Survi	val	Angin Class		Hospital during f/u	С	Angina lass ovement	C	Angina class ovement	Double-blinding described and
	1	14			N	%	Mean	SD	N	N	%	N	%	appropriate: 0
	Dates of data collection: 2000-2001	Mean age: 62.5	Exclusion Criteria: Age>75; LVEF<30%; No reversible ischemia;	32-60	38/49	78	IV:18% III:13% II:39% I:21%	-	138	9	24*	23	61**	Withdrawals/ drop- outs described: 1
	(original operations 1995-99)	Age range: NR	Overt HF; Inability to undergo study tests or surgery	Control		<u> </u>	1.2 1 /0	l						Targeting strategy defined: 0
	Technology: TMR alone	Race: NR	History (n intervention) Diabetes: 11	Month	Survi	val	Angin Class		Hospital during f/u	С	Angina lass vement	С	Angina lass ovement	Total: 2
	Type of laser	Angina Class*	Diabetes: 11		N	%	Mean	SD	N	Ń	%	N	%	This is f/u to
	used: CO2	III: 71 IV: 29 *taken	Hyperlipidemia: 37 Hypertension: 14	32-60	37/50	76	IV:27% III:78% II:16%	-	181	1	3	9	24	Aaberge study #490
	Number of channels: 48 +/-7	from original article	Family History: NR Prior MI: 34	*p=0.001		<u> </u>	I: 0%	<u> </u>						
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes		Pre-operative unstable angina:NR	p 3.01	~									
	Length of follow-up: 32-60 months		Prior PCI/ CABG: 44											

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	s								Quality Score
	Control: medical therapy		P.V.D: 15  Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 49%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: DSE or SPECT  Severity of disease: 3-v dz: 43 LM dz: 12										
Allen 1999 #530	Geographic Location: US Number of centers: 18	N overall: 275 N int: 132 N con: 143 N women: 68	Inclusion Criteria: Medically refractory class IV angina not amenable to PCI/CABG Reversible ischemia within distal 2/3 of LV	Sympton Intervent Month		al %	Improve in Angin class	a 2+	Freedo from treatm failur N	n ent	Ischemia on thallium		Randomization described and appropriate: 1  Double-blinding described and appropriate: 0
	collection: 1996-98	Mean age:	LVEF> 25%  Exclusion Criteria:								from baseline	_	Withdrawals/ drop- outs described: 0
	Technology: TMR alone	Age range:	Contraindication for general anesthesia	3 6	125/132	95	95/115	- 83* 86*	-	-	-		Targeting strategy defined: 1
	Type of laser used:	NR Race:	Severe COPD (FEV1< 55%) Need for IV	12	- 111/132	84	84/98 58/76	76*	96/132	- 73*	-0.9		Total: 2
	Holmium  Number of channels: 39+/- 11  Consecutive enrollment:	Angina Class III: 0 IV: 275	antianginals Inability to undergo thallium NQWMI within 2 wks QWMI within 3 wks Long-term anticoagulation										Notes: Control group divided into 97 who received medicine only plus 46 that were "treatment failures" and

Study C	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population										Quality Score
Le fo ye	ength of ollow-up: 1 ear Control: nedical nerapy	Patients	Mural thrombus Severe arrhythmias Decompensated CHF History (n intervention) Diabetes: 61 Hyperlipidemia: 104 Hypertension: 92 Family History: 66 Prior MI: 84 Pre-operative unstable angina: NR Prior PCI/CABG: 121 P.V.D:NR  Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction/ LVEF < 30%: Mean LVEF 47% Objective evidence of ischemia: Dipyridamole- thallium Severity of disease: NR		N 95/97 - 127/14 relative rossover er" patieccontrol) opart of a from the	to co grounts w who r separ	% 98 - 89 ntrol p excl	ose initia teria for rotocol. I issigned ngina lass	a 2+ es %	domly as ent failur were no nterventi svement gina 2+ sses % - 3 87 3 82	eent ee %		crossed-over into TMR

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es								Quality Score	
Allen 2004 #1660	Geographic Location: US Number of centers: 9  Dates of data collection: 1996-1998  Technology: TMR alone  Type of laser used: Holmium  Number of channels: 39+/- 11  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 5 years  Control: medical therapy	N overall: 212 N int: 100 N con: 112 N women: 52 Mean age: 60 Age range: NR Race: NR Angina Class Ill: 0 IV: 212	Inclusion Criteria: Medically refractory class IV angina not amenable to PCI/CABG Reversible ischemia within distal 2/3 of LV LVEF> 25%  Exclusion Criteria: Contraindication for general anesthesia Severe COPD (FEV1< 55%) Need for IV antianginals Inability to undergo thallium NQWMI within 2 wks QWMI within 3 wks Long-term anticoagulation Mural thrombus Severe arrhythmias Decompensated CHF  History (n intervention) Diabetes: 43 Hyperlipidemia: 78 Hyperlipidemia: 78 Hypertension: 70 Family History: 63 Prior MI:	Sympton Intervent Month  1 3 6 12 60 Control Month  60 When crosurvival improver *p<0.000 **p<0.000 **p<0.000 **mp=0.02 Crossove Month	N	%   97   -   -   65	ir  2 1  oup is ex  ns = 539  gina 2+ c  d to cond	SD - 1.3 1.2 1.4 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1	N	ina 2+   ses	from N	gina- ee	Randomization described and appropriate: 1  Double-blinding described and appropriate: 0  Withdrawals/ dropouts described: 0  Targeting strategy defined: 1  Total: 2  Notes: This is 5-yr f/u paper with subset of patients from Allen study #530	omment [PC1]:

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es							Quality Score
			84 Pre-operative unstable angina: NR Prior PCI/CABG: 92									
İ			P.V.D:NR									
			Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 47%									
			LVEF < 30%: NR									
			Objective evidence of ischemia: Dipyridamole-thallium									
			Severity of disease: NR									
Burkhoff	Geographic	N overall:	Inclusion Criteria:	Sympton	n Status							Randomization
1999a	Location: US	182 N int: 92	Refractory Class	Intervent								described and appropriate: 1
#1480	Number of centers:	N con: 90 N women:	LVEF >=30% Reversible ischemia by thallium	Month	Survi	val	Change in Exercise time (sec)	2+ ang class improve	s	reversible defects		Double-blinding described and
	16	17 (it	Fundamina Oritaria		N	%	Mean	N	%	%		appropriate: 0
	Dates of data	appears that the	Exclusion Criteria: Patients without1	1	91/92	99	-	_	-	-		Withdrawals/ drop-
	collection:	numbers	"protected" region	12	87/92	95	+65*	47/77	61	11.5		outs described: 1
	NR	for M/F are reversed in	USA Substantial change									Targeting strategy
	Technology:	table 1)	in angina	Control	1		T a			T	i	defined: 1
	TMR alone	Mean age:	Change in angina meds <21 d	Month	Surv	ıval	Change in Exercise	2+ an	SS	reversible defects		Total: 3
	Type of laser used:	64	MI < 3 mo Severe CHF		L	0.4	time (sec)	improv				
	usea: Holmium	Age range: 36-78	Cardiac transplant Poor surgical	1	N 90/90	% 100	Mean -	N -	%	%		Notes:

Study Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es						Quality Score
Study  Characteristics  Number of channels: 18 (9-42)  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 1 year  Control: medical therapy	No. of Patients  Race: NR  Angina Class III: 70 IV: 112	Patient Population  candidates  History (n intervention) Diabetes: 33  Hyperlipidemia: 71  Hypertension: 68  Family History: 66  Prior MI: 64  Pre-operative unstable angina: 0  Prior PCI/ CABG: 43  P.V.D: NR  Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 50%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: Dipyridamole- thallium  Severity of disease: NR	12   *p<0.000	81/90	90	-46	8/73	11	12	Quality Score

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	s							Quality Score
Frazier 1999 #520	Geographic Location: US  Number of centers: 12  Dates of data collection: 1995-98  Technology: TMR alone  Type of laser used: CO2  Number of channels: 36 ± 13  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 1 tear  Control: medical therapy	N overall: 192 N int: 91 N con: 101 N women: 40 Mean age: 61 years Age range: NR Race: NR Angina Class III: 76 IV: 126	Inclusion Criteria: Refractory Class III/IV angina not amenable to PCI/CABG Reversible ischemia of LV free wall  Exclusion Criteria: LVEF<20% Major concurrent illness  History (n intervention) Diabetes: 36  Hyperlipidemia:52  Hypertension:59  Family History:NR  Prior MI: 75  Pre-operative unstable angina: 7  Prior PCI: 43 CABG: 84  P.V.D: NR  Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 50%  LVEF < 30%: NR	Sympton   Intervent   Month     1   3   6   12	N 88/91 85/91 81/91 78/91 Survi N 38/41 38/41 37/41 34/41	%   97   93   89   85	ment % - 67* 67* 72*  gina s ment % - 6 6 13  entrol	N - 50 47 38 Chai perff N - 38 35 13	Chang perfus  % - +22 +14 +20  ange in usion	P		Randomization described and appropriate: 1  Double-blinding described and appropriate: 0  Withdrawals/ dropouts described: 0  Targeting strategy defined: 0  Total: 1  Notes: Angina improvement for med rx group + crossovers was 20%, 27% and 43% at 3,6, and 12 months.

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	:S								Quality Score
			Objective evidence of ischemia: Thallium										
			Severity of disease: 3 v dz: 100%										
Huikes-	Geographic	N overall:	Inclusion Criteria:	Sympton	n Status								Randomization
hoven 2003	Location: Netherlands	30 N int: 15	Refractory Class III/IV angina	Intervent									described and appropriate:1
#1760	Number of centers: 1	N con: 15 N women:	Reversible ischemia  Exclusion Criteria:	Month	Surv	ival	Euro0 mobi		EuroC usu activ	al	Euro0 pai		Double-blinding described and
		3	NR		N	%	score	SD	score	SD	score	SD	appropriate: 0
	Dates of data collection:	Mean age:		1	15/15	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	Withdrawls/ drop-
		60.4	History (n	12	14/15	93	2.3	0.5	2.5*	0.5	2.2	0.6	outs described: 0
	Technology: TMR alone	Age range:	intervention) Diabetes: NR	Control									Targeting strategy defined: 0
	Type of laser used: Excimer	Race:	Hyperlipidemia:	Month	Surv	ival	Euro0 mobi		EuroC usu activ	al	Euro0 pai		Total: 1
	Number of				N	%	score	SD	score	SD	score	SD	
	channels: 46 +/- 10	Angina Class	Hypertension: NR	1	15/15	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	Notes:
		III: NR		12	15/15	100	2.3	0.5	1.7	0.6	1.8	0.7	
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes	IV: NR Mean: 3.8	Family History: NR Prior MI:	*p<0.01									
	Length of follow-up: 12		NR										
	months		Pre-operative unstable angina:										
	Control: medical		NR										
	therapy		Prior PCI: 7 CABG:15										
			P.V.D: NR										

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es							Quality Score
			Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction: Mean 55% Objective evidence of ischemia: NR Severity of disease: NR									
Jones 1999	Geographic Location:	N overall: 86	Inclusion Criteria: Class III/IV angina	Symptor								Randomization described and
#610	US Number of centers:	N int:43 N con:43 N women:	not amenable to PCI/CABG Ischemia by thallium	Intervent Month	ion Survi	ival	angina improve		Exer time (sec)	Sx improve		appropriate: 1  Double-blinding described and
	Dates of data	0	1 area with		N	%	Mean	SD	Mean	N	%	appropriate: 0
	collection: 1996-97	Mean age:	adequate perfusion by cath	1	42/43	98	-	-	-	-	-	Withdrawals/ drop-
		62	,	3	-	-	-	-	481**	36/41	88	outs described: 0
	Technology: TMR alone	Age range: NR	Exclusion Criteria: Acs requiring hospitalization < 21	6 12	38/43	- 88	- 1.71*	0.2	514*** 490***	34/41	83	Targeting strategy defined: 1
	Type of laser used: Holmium	Race:	days Revasc or MI < 3 months	Control								Total: 2
	Number of channels: NR	Angina Class	EF< 30% COPD if felt to preclude exercise	Month	Survi		angina improve	ement	Exer time (sec)			Notes: No 30 day mortality in control arm
		III: NR	testing		N	%	Mean	SD	Mean			reported—consider
	Consecutive enrollment:	IV: NR	LM > 70% without bypass to LAD or	1	-	-	-	-	-			exclusion
	Yes		LCX	3	-	-	-	-	334	ļ		
	l anoth of		CHF	6	20/42	-	- 2 77	- 0.07	316			
	Length of follow-up:		History (n	12	38/43	88	3.77	0.07	294	J		
	1 year		intervention) Diabetes: NR	*p<0.000 **p=0.00 ***p=0.0	02							

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es.					Quality Score
		Patients	Hyperlipidemia: NR Hypertension: 27 Family History: NR Prior MI: 31 Pre-operative unstable angina: 0 Prior PCI/ CABG: 41 P.V.D: NR  Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 46.3%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: Dipyridamole thallium  Severity of disease: Avg numdz v 2.5							
Schofield 1999 #1490	Geographic Location: UK Number of centers:1	N overall: 188 N int:94 N con:94	Inclusion Criteria: Reversible ischemia Exclusion Criteria: Unable to exercise	Sympton Interventi Month			Exer time difference	2+ ar cla	ISS	Randomization described and appropriate: 1  Double-blinding
	Dates of data collection:	N women: 19	LVEF < 30% Suitable for PCI/CABG		N	%	(sec) Mean	improv N	%	described and appropriate: 0
	1993-98	Mean age: 60	IV therapy for angina	3	89 -	95	+43	23*	34	Withdrawals/ drop- outs described: 1
	Technology: TMR alone	Age range:	Life expectancy <12 months from non-	6 12	-	- 89	+36 +40	15* 18*	22 25	Targeting strategy

tudy Characterist
Type of lase used: CO2  Number of channels: Median 30 (75)  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 3 years  Control: medical managemer

#### Transmyocardial Revascularization – Observational Studies

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	s								Quality Score
Agarwal 1999 #700	Design  Geographic Location: Madras, India  Number of centers: 1  Dates of data collection: 12/94-9//97	No. of Patients  N overall: 102  N women: 8  Mean age: 56.7±9.2 years	Patient Population  Inclusion Criteria: Severe angina refractory to maximal medical therapy not amenable to conventional PTCA and CABG  Exclusion Criteria:	Sympton Intervent Month  1 3	n Status	% 14.7	Angi Clas Mean 0.7 0.7		TM durat (mir Mean 6.5 6.5	ion	LVI Mean 42.2 45.6	SD 11.7 18.0	Patients identified at common point: 0 Sufficient follow- up: 0 Blinded outcome assessment: 0
	Technology: TMR alone	Age range: 30- 79 years	LVEF < 30%; No evidence of reversible ischemia	6 12	- 17/102	- 16.7	0.8	1.1 0.9	8.0 9.7	3.7 4.0	46.0 42.0	11.6 11.7	Measurement and adjustment for confounders:
	Type of laser used: 800-W CO <sub>2</sub> laser  Number of channels: 23±8  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 12 months	Race: NR  Angina Class (n) II: 47 III: 44 IV: 11	History (n) Diabetes: 50 Hyperlipidemia: 43 Hypertension: 51 Family History: NR Prior MI: 33 Pre-operative unstable angina: 9 Prior PCI/ CABG: 13 P.V.D: NR Exam Ejection Fraction: 44.7%±10.5% Objective evidence of ischemia: Stress thallium										Targeting strategy defined: 0  Total: 0  Notes:

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es						Quality Score
			Severity of disease: LM 13								
Allen 1998	Geographic Location: Louisville, KY	N overall: 42	Inclusion Criteria: Refractory angina not amenable to	Sympton Intervent		S					Patients identified at common point: 1
#780	Number of centers: 2  Dates of data collection: 1/96-1/97  Technology: TMR alone  Type of laser used: Holmium YAG laser	N women: 14 Mean age: 62±11 years Age range: 38-79 years Race: NR Angina Class III: 3 IV: 39	PTCA/CABG; Stable class IV or unstable angina unable to be weaned fron intravenous antianginals; CAD not amenable to PTCA/ CABG; evidence of ischemic myocardium; LVEF>25%  Exclusion Criteria: Intolerance to anesthesia; Uncompensated	Month  1 3 6	N 5/42 -	% 12	Mean - 1.5 1.1	≥ ang	oped :2 gina sses % 88 80		Sufficient follow-up: 0  Blinded outcome assessment: 0  Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0  Targeting strategy defined: 0
	Number of channels: $45\pm11$ Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 12 months		heart failure; severe arrhythmia; chronic anticoagulation; hemorrhagic propensity  History (n) Diabetes: 22  Hyperlipidemia: 31  Hypertension: 31  Family History: NR  Prior MI: 29								Total: 1  Notes:

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Pre-operative unstable angina: 19 Prior PCI/ CABG: 19/31 P.V.D: NR Exam Ejection Fraction: 45%±11% (30%-84%) Objective evidence of ischemia: NR Severity of disease: NR		
Burkhoff 1999b #650	Geographic Location: Louisville, KY Number of centers: 1 Dates of data collection: 2/94-10/96 Technology: TMR alone Type of laser used: CO <sub>2</sub> Number of channels: NR	N overall: 132 N women: 23 Mean age: 61.1±11.3 years  Age range: 38-84 years  Race: NR  Angina Class III: 7 IV: 125	Inclusion Criteria: Medically refractory angina class III or IV  Exclusion Criteria: NR  History (n) Diabetes: NR  Hyperlipidemia: NR  Hypertension: NR  Family History: NR  Prior MI: NR	Symptom Status   Intervention   Month   Deaths   N   %     1   16/132   12.1     12   29/132   22	Patients identified at common point: 1 Sufficient follow-up: 1 Blinded outcome assessment: 0 Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0 Targeting strategy defined: 0 Total: 2

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
	Consecutive enrollment: NR Length of follow-up: NR		Pre-operative unstable angina: 63  Prior PCI/ CABG: 111  P.V.D: NR  Exam Ejection Fraction: 44%±12% (15%-68%)  Objective evidence of ischemia: NR  Severity of disease: NR		Notes:
Burns 1999 #1590	Geographic Location: International Number of centers: 21 Dates of data collection: 11/93-4/97 Technology: TMR alone; TMR with CABG Type of laser used: CO <sub>2</sub> Number of channels: 28.6±12.2	N overall: 932  N women: 148  Mean age: 62±8.7 years  Age range: 32-84 years  Race: NR  Angina Class III and IV: n=699	Inclusion Criteria: Availability of patient characteristics, risk factors and cardiac history, operative details, combined procedures and in- hospital complications  Exclusion Criteria: NR  History (n) Diabetes: 212  Hyperlipidemia: NR  Hypertension: 339  Family History: NR  Prior MI: 713	Symptom Status  Includes TMR and TMR/CABG High variation across centers in reporting information/ follow-up In-hospital mortality: 90	Patients identified at common point: 1  Sufficient follow-up: 0  Blinded outcome assessment: 0  Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0  Targeting strategy defined: 0  Total: 1  Notes:

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es								Quality Score
	Consecutive enrollment: NR Length of follow-up: 12 months		Pre-operative unstable angina: 437 Prior PCI/ CABG: 209/500 P.V.D: 193 Exam Ejection Fraction: 49%±14.9% LVEF<30%: 10% Objective evidence of ischemia: NR Severity of disease: NR										
Cooley 1996 #1650	Geographic Location: Houston, TX	N overall: 21 N women: 3	Inclusion Criteria: PET confirmed perfusion defects in left ventricular free wall	Sympton Intervent Month		rival	Angi Clas	na	TTM (	min)	LVEF	(%)	Patients identified at common point: 1 Sufficient follow-
	centers: 1	3	wali		N	%	Mean		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	up: 1
	Dates of data	Mean age: 63±10 years	Exclusion Criteria: NR	1	19/21	90%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Blinded outcome
	collection: NR	OUT IO years	INIT	3	19/21	90%	-	-	-	-	-	-	assessment: 0
		Age range:	History (n)	6	16/21	76%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Magaurana
	Technology: TMR alone	NR	Diabetes: 3	12	16/21	76	1.8	0.6	10.0	3.8	50	8	Measurement and adjustment
	Type of laser used: CO <sub>2</sub>	Race: NR Angina Class	Hyperlipidemia: NR Hypertension: NR										for confounders: 0 Targeting
	Number of channels: 36+/-5	III: NR IV: NR	Family History: NR Prior MI: 12										strategy defined: 0 Total: 1

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es						Quality Score
	Consecutive enrollment:		Pre-operative unstable angina: 4 Prior PCI/ CABG: 20								Notes: Additional data
	Length of follow-up: 12 months		P.V.D: NR  Exam Ejection Fraction: 48%±10%  LVEF < 35%: n=7  Objective evidence of ischemia: 201Ti-SPECT  Severity of disease: CCS: 3.7±0.4								taken from Frazier Circ 192(1) II: 58-65
De Carlo 2000	Geographic Location:	N overall: 34	Inclusion Criteria: CCS III/IV refractory to maximal medical	Sympton							Patients identified at
#1570	Pisa, Italy  Number of	N women:	treatment; not suitable for PTCA/	Intervent Month	Surv	ival		ped ≥2 classes	Angina	a Class	common point: 1 Sufficient follow-
	centers: 1		CABG; LVEF>30%;		N	%	N	%	Mean	SD	up: 1
	Dates of data	Mean age: 67±7 years	Ischemia and viability shown on Ti-	1	33/34	97	-	i	-	-	Blinded outcome
	collection:	,	SPECT	12	29/34	85	10/23	43.5	1.8	1.8	assessment: 0
	11/95-6/99 Technology: TMR alone	Age range: 46-79 years Race: NR	Exclusion Criteria: NR	36	25/34	74	7/23	30	-	-	Measurement and adjustment for confounders:
	Type of laser used: Holmium: YAG	Angina Class III and IV: 20	History (n) Diabetes: 14 Hyperlipidemia: 21								Targeting strategy defined:
	Number of channels: 36±9		Hypertension: 19 Family History: NR								Total: 3

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
study	Consecutive enrollment: Yes Length of follow-up: 35±10 months	No. of Patients	Prior MI: 30  Pre-operative unstable angina: 14  Prior PCI/ CABG: 13/27  P.V.D: NR  Exam (n) Ejection Fraction: 47%±9%  LVEF < 30%: 0 (excluded)  Objective evidence of ischemia: 201Ti-SPECT  Severity of disease: CCS: 3.5±0.5	Outcomes	Notes:
•					

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	:S							Quality Score
Dowling	Geographic	N overall:	Inclusion Criteria:	Sympton	า Status							Patients
1998	Location: USA	85	Refractory angina									identified at
			despite IV therapy;	Intervent							-	common point: 0
#790	Number of	N women:	LVEF>25%;	Month	Deaths	;		ngina	Angina	a Class		
	centers: 14	21%	Contraindication					ass				Sufficient follow-
			CABG/PTCA					vement				up: 1
	Dates of data	Mean age:			N	%	N	%	Mean	SD		
	collection:	63±10 years	Exclusion Criteria:	1	10/85	12	-	-	-	-		Blinded outcome
	4/96-2/97	A	Severe COPD; Q	3	12/85	15	63/72	87.5%	1.4	1.1		assessment: 0
	Tachnalagu	Age range:	wave MI within 3	6			54/70	77%	1.5	1.1		Magazzamant
	Technology: TMR alone	NR	weeks; Non Q wave MI within 2 weeks;	_	-	-						Measurement and adjustment
	TIVIR alone	Race: NR	Decompensated	12	19/85	22	42/57	75%	1.6	1.3		for confounders:
	Type of laser	Race. NR	heart failure; Life				•	•			•	0
	used:		threatening									0
	Holmium laser	Angina Class	arrhythmias;									Targeting
	Tioimiam laser	III: 0	Bleeding disorder;									strategy defined:
	Number of	IV: 85	Mural thrombus									0
	channels:											
	35±11		History (n)									Total: 1
			Diabetes: 37 (44%)									
	Consecutive		, ,									
	enrollment:		Hyperlipidemia: 61									Notes:
	Yes		(72%)									
	Length of		Hypertension: 67									
	follow-up:		(79%)									
	12 months											
			Family History: NR									
			D: M 04 (700()									
			Prior MI: 61 (72%)									
			Dro operativo									
			Pre-operative unstable angina: NR									
			unstable angina. NR									
			Prior PCI/ CABG: 42									
			(49%)/ 71 (84%)									
			(4370)/ / 1 (0470)									
			P.V.D: NR									
İ												
			Exam									
l			Ejection Fraction:									
			48%±84%									
Ī												

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			LVEF < 30%: NR		
			Objective evidence of ischemia: NR		
			Severity of disease: NR		
Hattley	O a a manufai a	N. a. canalle	Inclusion Oritoria	Computer Status	Deticate
Hattler 1999	Geographic Location: USA	N overall: 76 with	Inclusion Criteria: Chronic angina	Symptom Status	Patients identified at
1333	Location. OSA	unmanageable	poorly responsive to	Unmanageable unstable angina	common point: 0
#1830	Number of	unstable	medical therapy; a	Month Deaths Angina III- Angina I	common points o
	centers: 13	angina (UA)	level of angina that	I IV I	Sufficient follow-
		and 91 with	would allow	N % %	up: 0
	Dates of data	chronic	thorough	1 12 16	
	collection:	angina (CA)	preoperative	3 18 51	Blinded outcome
	1995	N1	evaluation with		assessment: 0
	Technology:	N women: UA: 46	radionuclide myocardial perfusion	6 28 43	Measurement
	TMR alone	CA: 19	scans: reversible	12   20   26   24   46	and adjustment
	TIVII CAIOTIC	OA. 10	ischemia		for confounders:
	Type of laser	Mean age:	demonstrated by		0
	used:	UA: 64 years	radionuclide		
	CO <sub>2</sub> laser	CA: 61 years	perfusion scans;	Chronic angina	Targeting
			severe diffuse	Month Deaths Angina III- Angina I	strategy defined:
	Number of	Age range: NR	coronary artery	N % % %	0
	channels:		disease; end-stage		Total: 0
	UA: 30±9 CA: 33±10	Race: NR	coronary disease with	1 3 3	Total: 0
	CA. 33±10	racc. Nix	contraindications to	3 24 47	
	Consecutive		further medical or	6 26 49	Notes: Data on
	enrollment:	Angina Class	surgical	12 10 14 33 50	protocol group is
	NR	UA:	revascularization or		follow up of
		IV: 100%	transplantation.		previously
	Length of	CA:	Unstable angina		reported data
	follow-up: 12	III: 20% IV: 80%	patients had to have been admitted to an		(Horvath 1997)
	months	17. 00%	ICU or CCU with		
			refractory angina for		
			7 days with three		

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
	<u> </u>		failed attempts at		,
			weaning them off		
			intravenous		
			antianginal		
			medications before		
			being taken to the		
			operating room for		
			laser		
			revascularization.		
			Exclusion Criteria:		
			NR		
			History (n)		
			History (n) Diabetes: UA: 24;		
			CA: 42		
			J <u>-</u>		
			Hyperlipidemia: UA:		
			39; CA: 56		
			Llowenter district 115		
			Hypertension: UA:		
			37: CA: 58		
			Family History: NR		
			Prior MI: UA: 57;		
			CA: 72		
			Pre-operative		
			unstable angina:		
			anotable angina.		
			Prior PCI/ CABG:		
			66, 83		
			DVD		
			P.V.D:		
			Exam		
			Ejection		
			Fraction<45%:		
			UA: 38; CA: 32		
			Objective evidence		
			of ischemia: NR	l i	Į.

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es							Quality Score
			Severity of disease: NR									
Horvath 2001	Geographic Location: USA	N overall: 78 (195 initially)	Inclusion Criteria: CCS angina class III or IV, be 18 years	Sympton Intervent								Patients identified at common point: 0
#1820	Number of centers: 8	N women: NR Mean age: NR	old, have an ejection fraction of 20%, have evidence of	Month	Death	IS	Angi clas		Improver > 2 clas			Sufficient follow- up: 1
	Dates of data collection:	Age range: NR	reversible ischemia, and not be		N	%	Mean	SD	N	%		Blinded outcome
	1993-96	Race: NR	candidates for CABG or PCI.	12 60	41/195 82/195	21 42	1.5 1.6	1.1	70/195 53/78	35 68		assessment: 0
	Technology: TMR alone  Type of laser used: CO <sub>2</sub> laser  Number of channels:	Angina Class Mean: 3.7±0.4	Exclusion Criteria: NR History (n) Diabetes: 22 Hyperlipidemia: 53			ement	in the 5	parar	neters of th	1	Q versus	Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0 Targeting strategy defined: 0
	20±8		Hypertension: 51									Total: 1
	Consecutive enrollment:  Length of follow-up: 5 yrs (max 7.2		Family History: NR Prior MI: NR Pre-operative unstable angina:51									Notes: 5 year follow up of previously reported data (Horvath 1997, Frazier 1999).
	yrs)		Prior PCI/ CABG: NR P.V.D: NR Exam Ejection Fraction: NR Objective evidence of ischemia: NR									Patients who died or underwent an additional revascularization were not included in this report.

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	s				Quality Score
			Severity of disease: NR						
Horvath 1997 #1530	Geographic Location: USA  Number of centers: 8  Dates of data collection: 8/92-7/95  Technology: TMR alone  Type of laser used: CO2  Number of channels: 30 +/- 12  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 12 months	N overall: 200  N women: 44  Mean age: 63±10 years  Age range: 35-85 years  Race: NR  Angina Class III: 40 IV: 160	Inclusion Criteria: Severe angina refractory to medical therapy; Reversible ischemia; Contraindication of CABG/PTCA  Exclusion Criteria: NR  History (n) Diabetes: 69  Hyperlipidemia: 133  Hypertension: 131  Family History: NR  Prior MI: 155  Pre-operative unstable angina: 178  Prior PCI/ CABG: 56/164  P.V.D: NR  Exam Ejection Fraction: 45%±10% (Range 15%-75%)  LVEF < 30%: NR	Sympton Intervent Month  1 3 6 12	ion	### aths    %   9   -   -   18	Dropped angina classe N - 117/156 108/143 70/95	а	Patients identified at common point: 1 Sufficient follow-up: 1 Blinded outcome assessment: 0 Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 1 Targeting strategy defined: 0 Total: 3 Notes:
			Objective evidence of ischemia: Stress						

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es			•	•			Quality Score
			scans with thallium or technetium									
			Severity of disease: NR									
Landolfo 1999	Geographic Location: Durham, NC	N overall: 34	Inclusion Criteria: Severe diffuse CAD not amenable to	Sympton Intervent		itus						Patients identified at common point: 1
#1600	(Duke University)	N women: 14	PTCA/CABG; Evidence of ischemia	Month		eaths	an	ped ≥2 gina sses	Ang Cla		Hospitalization	Sufficient follow- up: 1
	Number of	Mean age:			N	%	N	%	Mean	SD	N	
	centers: 1	61±9 years	Exclusion Criteria: NR	1	2	6	-	-	-	-	-	Blinded outcome assessment: 0
	Dates of data	Age range: 43-	INIX	3	-	-	4/31	13	2.9	0.7	17	assessment. 0
	collection:	75	History (n)	6	-	-	5/30	17	2.5	0.7	7	Measurement
	10/95-8/97	Race: NR	Diabetes: 24	12	5	14.7	4/30	13	2.8	0.9	26	and adjustment for confounders:
	Technology:		Hyperlipidemia: 32	18	7	21	3/27	11	-	-	-	1
	TMR alone	Angina Class	Hypertension: 32									Targeting
	Type of laser used: CO <sub>2</sub>	IV: 20	Family History: 21									strategy defined: 0
	Number of channels:		Prior MI: 26									Total: 3
	22+/-10		Pre-operative unstable angina: NR									Notes:
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes		Prior PCI/ CABG: 31									
	Length of		P.V.D: NR									
	follow-up: 12 months		Exam Ejection Fraction: 51%±9%									
			LVEF < 30%:NR									
			Objective evidence of ischemia: TI-									

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es								Quality Score
,			SPECT										
			Severity of disease: 3 vessel: n=34; CCS mean 3.5±0.5										
Lee 2000	Geographic Location: New York, NY	N overall: 15	Inclusion Criteria: CAD not amenable to PTCA/CABG;	Sympton Intervent		itus							Patients identified at common point: 1
#1580	Number of	N women: 4	Reversible myocardial	Month		eaths	Angi Clas		Exerc	ion	Wee	cérin	Sufficient follow-
	centers: 1	Moon ogo:	ischemia; CCS class		N.	0/	NA	CD	(mii		usa		up: 1
	Dates of data	Mean age: 63±12 years	III/IV angina refractory to medical	l	N	%	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Blinded outcome
	collection:	COLIZ youro	therapy	1	1	6.6	1.6	0.6	8.0	3.9	5.2	2.6	assessment: 0
	12/96-4/98	Age range: 42-		3	-	-	1.5	8.0	8.5	4.4	3.8	1.7	
	Tashnalası	79 years	Exclusion Criteria: CVA or MI < 6 wks	6	2	13.3	1.9	0.9	-	-	3.8	1.5	Measurement
	Technology: TMR alone	Race: NR	Coumadin Infection	12	2	13.3	1.8	8.0	9.0	3.9	1.7	0.5	and adjustment for confounders:
	Type of laser used: Excimer  Number of channels: 41±16	Angina Class III: 6 IV: 9	CHF Severe COPD USA requiring IV meds Hospitalization < 2 wks										Targeting strategy defined: 0
	Consecutive enrollment:		History (n) Diabetes: 10										Notes:
	Length of		Hyperlipidemia: NR										
	follow-up: 12 months		Hypertension: 9										
			Family History: NR										
			Prior MI: 12										
			Pre-operative unstable angina: NR										
			Prior PCI/ CABG:										

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			8/12		
			P.V.D: NR		
			Exam Ejection Fraction: 38%±8%		
			LVEF < 30%: none		
			Objective evidence of ischemia: Thallium SPECT		
			Severity of disease: CCS mean 3.5±0.5		

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es				Quality Score
Muxi	Geographic	N overall: 16	Inclusion Criteria:	Sympton	_				Patients
2003	Location:		Class III/IV angina						identified at
	Spain	N women:4	Not candidates for	Intervent					common point: 1
#1740	1		PCI/CABG	Month	Surv	ival	Ang		
	Number of						Cla		Sufficient follow-
	centers: 1	Mean age:	Footonian Odianian		N	%	Mean	SD	up: 1
	Dates of data	60 +/-8	Exclusion Criteria: NR	1	16/16	100	-	-	Blinded outcome
	collection:	Age range:	INIX	3	16/16	100	1.63	0.72	assessment: 0
	CONCOUCT.	NR		6	15/16	94	1.8	0.86	dooddoniont. o
	Technology:		History (n)	12	15/16	94	1.93	0.8	Measurement
	TMR alone	Race:	Diabetes: 6		10/10	0-1	1.00	0.0	and adjustment
	TMR with	NR							for confounders:
	CABG		Hyperlipidemia:						0
	PMR	Angina Class	12						Targeting
	Type of laser used:	IV: NR	Hypertension: 8						strategy defined:
	holmium:YAG		Family History: NR						Total: 2
	Number of channels:		Prior MI: NR						Total. 2
	34 +/-14		Pre-operative						Notes:
			unstable angina: NR						
	Consecutive		D: DO!/ 04B0						
	enrollment: Yes		Prior PCI/ CABG: 11						
	Length of follow-up: 12		P.V.D: NR						
	months		Exam						
			Ejection Fraction: Mean 57% +/-13%						
			LVEF < 30%: NR						
			Objective evidence						
			of ischemia: Dipyridamole						
			Tetrofosmin scan						
			Severity of disease:						
			1 v dz: 2						
			2 v dz: 3						

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			3 v dz: 11		
					1

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	:S				Quality Score
Nagele	Geographic	N overall:	Inclusion Criteria:	Sympton		us			Patients
1998	Location:	60	Refractory angina	, ,					identified at
	Hamburg,		judged unsuitable for	Intervent					common point: 1
#1610	Germany	N women:	conventional	Month	De	aths	Ang		
		19	procedures and not				Cla		Sufficient follow-
	Number of		responsive to		Ν	%	Mean	SD	up: 1
	centers: 1	Mean age:	increase in med rx	1	7	12	-	-	Blinded outcome
	Dates of data	63.9±7.6 years	Exclusion Criteria:	3	-	-	1.8	0.8	assessment: 0
	collection: NR	Age range: NR	NR	6	-	_	2.0	0.9	assessment. 0
				12	14	23	2.3	1.0	Measurement
	Technology:	Race: NR							and adjustment
	TMR alone		History (%)	24	-	-	2.5	1.1	for confounders:
			Diabetes: 18	36	16	26.7	2.6	0.9	1
	Type of laser	Angina Class	(29.9%)						T C
	used: CO2	III: NR IV: NR	Hyperlipidemia: 36						Targeting strategy defined:
	Number of	IV. INK	(60%)						o strategy defined.
	channels: 33		(0070)						0
	onamiolo. co		Hypertension: 37						Total: 3
	Consecutive		(62%)						
	enrollment:		, ,						
	Yes		Family History: NR						Notes:
	I amouth of		D.: MI- 0.4 (50.70()						
	Length of follow-up: 3		Prior MI: 34 (56.7%)						
	years		Pre-operative						
	ycars		unstable angina: NR						
			anotable anginariti						
			Prior PCI/ CABG: 14						
			(23.3%)/ 47 (78.3%)						
			P.V.D: NR						
			Exam						
			Ejection Fraction:						
			53.6%±15%						
			00.0702.070						
			LVEF < 30%: NR						
			Objective evidence						
			of ischemia:						
			Sestamibi						

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Severity of disease: CCS mean 3.3±0.5		
Peterson 2003 #20	Geographic Location: USA Number of centers: 173 Dates of data collection: 1/98-12/01 Technology: TMR alone  Type of laser used: NR Number of channels: NR Consecutive enrollment: NR Length of follow-up: NR	N overall: 661 Women: 30% Mean age: 62±11 years Age range: NR Race: NR Angina Class III: 32% IV: 46%	Inclusion Criteria: All patients in STS database with TMR  Exclusion Criteria: NR  History (%) Diabetes: 49%  Hyperlipidemia: 74%  Hypertension: 76%  Family History: 45%  Prior MI: 53%  Pre-operative unstable angina: NR  Prior PCI/ CABG: 91%  P.V.D: NR  Exam Ejection Fraction: 46%±13%  LVEF ≤ 45%: 42%  Objective evidence of ischemia: NR  Severity of disease: NR	Symptom Status   Intervention   Month   Survival   N   %   1   -   6.4       TMR with CABG data reported in separate table	Patients identified at common point: 1 Sufficient follow-up: 0 Blinded outcome assessment: 0 Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 1 Targeting strategy defined: 0 Total: 2 Notes:

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	s							Quality Score
Schneider	Geographic	N overall:	Inclusion Criteria:	Symptom	n Stat	us						Patients
2001	Location: Leipzig,	14	Class III/IV refractory to medical	Interventi								identified at common point: 1
#1560	Germany  Number of	N women: 3	therapy; Presence of areas of reversible ischemia; Ineligible	Month	Dea	aths	Angi Clas		Exerc capa (W	city		Sufficient follow- up: 1
	centers: 1	Mean age:	for CABG/PTCA		N	%	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
		64.5±5 years		1	0	0	-	-	-	-		Blinded outcome
	Dates of data collection:	Age range: NR	Exclusion Criteria: LVEF < 25%; USA;	6	-	-	1.6	0.7	102.1	17		assessment: 0
	3/96-2/99	Age range. NK	MI < 6 months	12	-	-	1.6	0.7	100	16		Measurement
		Race: NR		18	-	-	1.7	0.5	91.7	13		and adjustment
	Technology: TMR alone		History (n) Diabetes: NR	24	-	-	2.3	0.9	91.7	20		for confounders: 0
	Type of laser used:	Angina Class III: NR IV: NR	Hyperlipidemia: NR	36	5	36	2.4	1.1	85	22		Targeting strategy defined:
	Holmium:YAG		Hypertension: NR	TMR with	CAE	3G da	ta report	ted in	separate	table		0
	Number of channels:		Family History: NR									Total: 2
	23±6		Prior MI: 8									Notes:
	Consecutive enrollment:		Pre-operative unstable angina: NR									110.00.
	Length of		Prior PCI: 4									
	follow-up: 36 months		Prior CABG: 6									
			P.V.D:NR									
			Exam Ejection Fraction: 52.2%±10%								 	

Study	Design	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			LVEF < 30%: NR		
			Objective evidence of ischemia: dipyridamole thallium		
			Severity of disease: NR		

## Transmyocardial Revascularization with CABG – Randomized Controlled Trials

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	s					Quality Score	
Allen 2000 #410	Geographic Location: US	N overall: 263 N int: 132	Inclusion Criteria: Not amenable to complete CABG; Viable myocardium	Symptom Status Intervention						Randomization described and appropriate: 1	
#410	24	N con:131	Exclusion Criteria: Severe COPD;	Month	Sur	vival	red	a Class uction	time (min)	Double-blinding described and appropriate: 1	
	Dates of data	N women: 118	NQWMI < 3 wks enrollment;		N	%	Mean	SE	) Mean	Withdrawals/ drop-outs described: 1	
	collection: 1996-1997	110	Severe arrhythmia; Decompensated HF	1	130*	98.5	-	-	-	described. 1	
		Mean	·	3	-	-	0.4	-	-	Targeting strategy	
	Technology:	age:	History (n intervention)	6	120	-	-	-	-	defined: 0	
	TMR with CABG	63.5	Diabetes: 91	12	84	95	0.5	-	6.1	Total: 3	
	Type of laser used: Holmium	Age range:	Hyperlipidemia: NR				1				
	Normalisan of	NR	Hypertension: NR	Control						Notes:	
	channels:				Class (mir				Exer time (min)		
	25+/- 10	NR	Prior MI: 90		N	%	Mean	SD	Mean		
	Consecutive	Angina	1 1101 11111 00	1	121	92.4	-	-	-		
	enrollment: Yes	Class III: NR	Pre-operative unstable angina: NR	- 6	- 108	-	0.4	-	-		
	Length of follow-up: 1 yr	IV: NR	Prior CABG: 40	12	80	89	0.6	-	5.6		
	Control: CABG alone		P.V.D: 30	*p=0.02							
	alone		Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 51%								
			LVEF < 30%: NR								
			Objective evidence of ischemia: NR								
			Severity of disease: NR								

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es				Quality Score
Gregoric 2003 #1770	Geographic Location: US  Number of centers: 1  Dates of data collection: 2000-2001  Technology: TMR with off pump CABG  Type of laser used: CO2  Number of channels: 37  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 6 months	N overall: 17 N women: 9  Mean age: 63  Age range: 44-85  Race: NR  Angina Class III: 4 IV: 13	Inclusion Criteria: NR  Exclusion Criteria: NR  History (n) Diabetes: 12 Hyperlipidemia: Hypertension: 16 Family History: NR Prior MI: 12 Pre-operative unstable angina: NR Prior CABG: 16 P.V.D: NR Exam Ejection Fraction: NR LVEF<35%: n=12 Objective evidence of ischemia: NR Severity of disease: 3-v dz: 6 4-v dz: 3	Sympton Intervent Month  1 6	ion	vival	Angi Cla: Mean - 0.1		Patients identified at common point: 1  Sufficient follow-up: 1  Blinded outcome assessment: 0  Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0  Targeting strategy defined: 1  Total: 3  Notes:
				1					

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	S								Quality Score
Guleserian 2003 #1750	Geographic Location: US Number of	N overall: 81 (34 TMR alone; 47 TMR+CABG)	Inclusion Criteria: NR	Sympton TMR alor		val	Seat	tla	Seat	tle.	Seat	llo.	Patients identified at common point:
#1750	centers: 1	N women:	Exclusion Criteria: NR	World			phy limita	s tion	angina	freq	disea perce	se ept	Sufficient
	Dates of data collection:	35	Note: Patient	1	N 31/34	% 91	Score	SD -	Score	SD	Score	SD -	follow-up: 0
	2/2000-3/02	Mean age: 61 +/- 11	characteristic data not provided by intervention	18	-	-	39	25	53	34	48	27	Blinded outcome
	Technology: TMR alone:	Age range:	group. Numbers below are aggregate numbers	TMR + C	ABG	ı		I		I			assessment: 0
	TMR with CABG	34-85 Race:	for patients receiving TMR alone and patients receiving TMR plus	Month	Survi	val	Seat phy limita	s	Seat angina		Seat disea perce	se	Measurement and adjustment for
	Type of laser used:	NR	CABG.		N	%	Score	SD	Score	SD	Score	SD	confounders: 0
	holmium:YAG	Angina Class III: NR	History (n) Diabetes:	18	45/47	96	- 71	- 26	94	- 23	- 88	20	Targeting strategy
	Number of channels: 30 +/- 9 with TMR alone; 17+/66 with TMR+CABG  Consecutive enrollment: Yes  Length of follow-up: 18 months	IV: NR	48 (59%)  Hyperlipidemia: 64 (79%)  Hypertension: 71 (88%)  Family History: NR  Prior MI: 57 (70%)  Pre-operative unstable angina: 30 (37%)  Prior CABG: 42(52%)  P.V.D: NR	18-month not signi This stud	ficantly of	differe	ent. ´		`				defined: 0  Total: 1  Notes: Evident that all available data not reported in paper.

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Exam Ejection Fraction: Mean 44% +/- 14%		
			LVEF < 30%: NR		
			Objective evidence of ischemia: NR		
			Severity of disease: 3 v dz: 46 (57%) LM dz: 11 (14%)		
Peterson 2003	Geographic Location: USA	N overall: 2475	Inclusion Criteria: All patients in STS	Symptom Status	Patients identified at
#20	Number of centers: 173	Women: 27%	database with TMR+CABG	Intervention   Month   Survival   N   %	common point:
	Dates of data collection: 1/98-	Mean age: 65±10 years	Exclusion Criteria: NR	1 - 4.2	Sufficient follow-up: 0
	12/01 Technology:	Age range: NR	History (%) Diabetes: 50%	Note: Data on TMR conducted alone reported in separate table	Blinded outcome assessment: 0
	TMR with	Race: NR	Hyperlipidemia: 68%		Measurement
	Torreflere	Angina Class	Hypertension: 76%		and adjustment for
	Type of laser used: NR	III: 35% IV: 23%	Family History: 43% Prior MI: 50%		confounders: 1 Targeting
	Number of channels: NR		Pre-operative unstable angina: NR		strategy defined: 0
	Consecutive enrollment: NR		Prior PCI/ CABG: 43%		Total: 2
	Length of follow-up: NR		P.V.D: NR		Notes:
	·		Exam Ejection Fraction: 50%±14%		

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	:S							Quality Score
			LVEF ≤ 45%: 37%  Objective evidence of ischemia: NR  Severity of disease: NR									
Schneider 2001 #1560	Geographic Location: Leipzig, Germany	N overall: 27 N women: 13	Inclusion Criteria: Class III/IV refractory to medical therapy; Presence of areas of reversible ischemia;	Sympton  TMR wit	h CA		Angi	na	Exerc	cise	I	Patients identified at common point:
	Number of centers: 1	Mean age:	Eligible for CABG				Clas		capacity (W)			Sufficient follow-up: 1
		63.9±8 years	Exclusion Criteria: LVEF		N	%	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		'
	Dates of data collection: 3/96-	Age range:	< 25%; USA; MI < 6 months	1	0	0	-	-	-	-		Blinded outcome
	2/99	NR	WII V O IIIOIIUIS	6	-	-	1.6	0.9	100	29		assessment: 0
			History (n)	12	-	-	1.5	8.0	106	36		
	Technology: TMR with	Race: NR	Diabetes: NR	18	ı	-	1.4	0.9	100	40	I	Measurement and adjustment
	CABG		Hyperlipidemia: NR	24	ı	-	1.6	8.0	92.9	40	l	for
		Angina Class	<u>.</u>	36	3	11	1.7	0.5	95	33	I	confounders: 0
	Type of laser used: Holmium:YAG Number of channels: 16±6	III: NR IV: NR	Hypertension: NR Family History: NR Prior MI: 21 Pre-operative unstable angina: NR	TMR alor	ne da	ıta rep	oorted in	separ	ate table			Targeting strategy defined: 0 Total: 2
L fo	Consecutive enrollment: Yes		Prior PCI: 10									Notes:
	Length of follow-up: 36 months	follow-up: 36	Prior CABG: 11 P.V.D:NR									
			Exam Ejection Fraction:									

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			51%±12% LVEF < 30%: NR		
			Objective evidence of ischemia: dipyridamole thallium		
			Severity of disease: NR		
Stamou 2002 #100	Geographic Location: Washington, DC	N overall: 169 N women:	Inclusion Criteria: Intractable angina and ≥1 major vessel or branch not amenable to	Symptom Status  Intervention  Month Deaths Angina	Patients identified at common point:
	Number of centers: 1	Mean age:	surgical revascularization; Presence of viable	Class III/IV N % N %	Sufficient follow-up: 0
	Dates of data collection: 3/96-2/00	62.6±9.6 Age range:	myocardium surrounding nongraftable areas	3 5 3 6 7 4 12 24 15 7 4	Blinded outcome assessment: 0
1	Technology: TMR with CABG	Race: NR Angina Class	Exclusion Criteria: Recent MI within previous week before surgery; Severe arrhythmias;	In-hospital mortality 14/169 (8.3%)	Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0
	used: CO <sub>2</sub> / Holmium:YAG	111/10. 132	Decompensated heart failure		Targeting strategy
	Number of channels: 23.7±8.6		History (n) Diabetes: 89		defined: 0
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes		Hyperlipidemia: NR Hypertension: 129		1
	Length of follow-up: 12		Family History: 116		Notes:
	months		Prior MI: 108  Pre-operative unstable		
			angina: 106		

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Prior PCI/ CABG: 160		
			P.V.D: NR		
			Exam (n) Ejection Fraction: NR		
			LVEF < 35%: 27		
			Objective evidence of ischemia: NR		
			Severity of disease: NR		
Wehberg 2003	Geographic Location:	N overall: 255	Inclusion Criteria: CCS III/IV; Severe 3-	Symptom Status	Patients identified at
#1850	Salisbury, MD, USA	CABG: 219 TMR + CABG: 36	vessel CAD, EF≥30% Exclusion Criteria:	CABG only Month Deaths Angina Class	common point:
	Number of centers: 1	N women: NR	Required emergency revascularization	N % Mean  1 - 2.3 0.3	Sufficient follow-up: 0
	Dates of data collection:	Mean age: CABG:	procedure within 12 hours; diagnosed acute MI within 72 hours:	TMR + CABG	Blinded outcome
	Unspecified 6 month period	65.4±1.4 yrs	persistent unstable angina despite	Month Deaths Angina Class	assessment: 0
	Technology:	63.3±1.6 yrs	continuous intravenous treatment	N % Mean 1 0 0* 0.4	Measurement and adjustment
	TMR with CABG	Age range: NR	History (n) Diabetes: NR	*p=0.80	for confounders: 0
	Type of laser used: Holmium:YAG	Race: NR Angina Class	Hyperlipidemia: NR		Targeting strategy defined: 0
	Number of channels: NR	(mean): CABG: 3.5 TMR/CABG:	Hypertension: NR		Total:
		3.4	Family History: NR		
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes		Prior MI: NR  Pre-operative unstable		Notes:

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
	Length of follow-up: 1 month	Patients	angina: NR  Prior CABG: CABG: 5.5% TMR/CABG: 11.1%		
			P.V.D: NR  Exam (n) Ejection Fraction: CABG: 48.5±1.6% TMR/CABG: 51.6±0.9%		
			LVEF < 35%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: NR  Severity of disease: 3 vessel disease with diameter lumen reduction ≥ 75%		

## Percutaneous Myocardial Revascularization – Randomized Controlled Trials

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	S						Quality Score
DIRECT	Geographic	N overall: 298	Inclusion Criteria:	Sympton	stat	tus					Randomization
2001	Location: USA	N LD: 98 N HD: 98	Known coronary	Lliah Doa	~ (DC	) OE obs	unnala)				described and
#1820	Number of	N GD. 96 N con: 102	disease; Severe symptoms despite	High Dos Month		1-25 CH2	Angina	Change in	Major Adverse		appropriate: N/A
#1020	centers: Multi-	14 0011. 102	medical therapy; Not	IVIOTILIT		ree	Class	Exercise	Coronary		Double-blinding
	center	N women: NR	eligible for PTCA or			rvival	III/IV	time (sec)	Events (MACE)		described and
		(predominantly	bypass with		N	%	N	Mean	%		appropriate: N/A
	Dates of data	male)	reproducible positive	1	-	95.9	-	-	-		N.C. 1 1 /
	collection: NR		exercise tests associated with	6	-	-	35	26.9	10.2		Withdrawls/ drop-outs
	Technology:	Mean age: 63	angina; Reversible	12	_	78.6		_	-		described: N/A
	PMR	vears	ischemia			70.0					described. 14// (
		,				Targeting					
	Type of laser		Exclusion Criteria:	Low Dos	e (10	-15 cha	nnels)				strategy defined:
	used: Holmium- YAG	Age range: NR	NR	Month	MA	ACE-	Angina	Change in	Major Adverse		N/A
	YAG		History (n			ree	Class	Exercise	Coronary		Total:
	Number of	Race: NR	intervention)			rvival	III/IV	time (sec)	Events (MACE)		N/A
	channels:	1100011111	Diabetes: NR		N	%	N	Mean	%		
	Low Dose (LD):			1	-	91.8	-	-	-		
	10-15	Angina Class	Hyperlipidemia: NR	6	-	-	47	34.9	9.2		Notes:
	High dose (HD): 20-25	III: NR IV: NR	Lhanartanaian, ND	12	-	85.7	-	-	-		Due to lack of a formalized report
	20-25	IV. INIX	Hypertension: NR								of this study,
	Consecutive		Family History: NR				_	_			there was not
	enrollment: NR		, ,				m procedure		Marian Automa		enough
	_		Prior MI: NR	Month		ACE- ree	Angina Class	Change in Exercise	Major Adverse Coronary		information
	Length of		Day and the			rvival	III/IV	time (sec)	Events (MACE)		available to
	follow-up: 12 months		Pre-operative unstable angina: NR		N	%	N	Mean	%		assign a quality score.
	monuis		unstable angina. Nix	1	_	100		_	0		Score.
	Control:		Prior PCI/ CABG: NR	6	_	-	44	30.7	8.8		DIRECT is a
	Placebo (sham			12		88.7		-	-		follow-up to a
	procedure)		P.V.D: NR	12	-	00.7		-	-		Phase I safety
			Even (intervention)								and efficacy
			Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction:	Mortali		study, results reported in					
			approx. 50%	Higher		Kornowski, 2000					
									high-dose group		(#1350).
			LVEF < 30%: NR						s improvement in ang	ina	

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Objective evidence of ischemia: SPECT.  Severity of disease: "Severe"	At 6 months no differences that would suggest a therapeutic effect in magnitude of ischemia measured by SPECT at rest or stress.	
Gray 2003 #1210	Geographic Location: UK Number of centers: 1  Dates of data collection: 1997-2000 Technology: PMR  Type of laser used: holmium (Cardiogenesis) Number of channels: NR  Consecutive enrollment: Yes Length of follow-up: 1 year  Control: medical therapy	N overall: 73 N int:36 N con:37 N women: 3 Mean age: 61 Age range: 43-72 Race: NR Angina Class III: 48 IV:25	Inclusion Criteria: Refractory Class III/IV angina Ischemia on thallium EF > 25% Target myocardial wall thickness 8+ mm Not amenable to PCI/CABG  Exclusion Criteria: QWMI < 3 months; NQWMI < 6 wks; USA; Change in meds < 2 wks; Significant arrhythmias; HF; PVD; AS; renal failure; LV thrombus History (n intervention) Diabetes:7  Hyperlipidemia: 32  Hypertension: 21  Family History: 26  Prior MI: 26  Pre-operative unstable angina: 0  Prior PCI/ CABG: 35	Symptom Status   Intervention   Month   Survival   Change   in Exer   class   improvement	Randomization described and appropriate: 1  Double- blinding described and appropriate: 0  Withdrawals/ dropouts described: 1  Targeting strategy defined: 1  Total: 3  Notes: 21 of the 73 patients reported in this paper were included in the data of the PACIFIC trial (Oesterle #1450)

			P.V.D: 0						
			Exam ( intervention) Ejection Fraction: 48%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: Thallium  Severity of disease: NR						
#1450 Lo US #1450 Nu ce Da co NF Te PN Ty us Hc (E: Nu ch me (8	Geographic ocation: IS and UK Is and UK Is and UK Is and UK Is and UK Is and UK Is and	N overall: 221 N int: 110 N con:111 N women: 31 Median age: 62 Age range: 38-90 Race: NR Angina Class III: 135 IV:86	Inclusion Criteria: Class III/IV angina; Reversible ischemia; LVEF >= 30%  Exclusion Criteria: Exer tolerance not limited by angina; Symptomatic HF; Rx with >80mg lasix QD; LVEF < 8 mm; Renal insufficiency; AS; PVD; LV thrombus; Signif ventricular arrhythmias; USA; Angina meds adjusted < 2 wks; Transmural MI < 3 months; NQWMI < 6 wks  History (n intervention) Diabetes: 53  Hyperlipidemia: 78	showed h	Surviv  N 102  Survi N 108  seed on ir nigher an	% 93 val val 97	Exercise Time (sec) Mean Change +89*  Exercise time (sec) Mean Change +12.5  ators' unmasked lass, but still result of (p=0.02)	ement % 46  aa class ement % 11  ts. Maskec	Randomization described and appropriate: 1  Double-blinding described and appropriate: 0  Withdrawals/drop-outs described: 1  Targeting strategy defined: 0  Total: 2  Notes: 30d mortality not directly reported

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
Study	Characteristics follow-up: 1 yr	No. of Patients	Patient Population  Hypertension: 75  Family History: 70  Prior MI: 71  Pre-operative unstable angina: 0  Prior PCI/ CABG: 95  P.V.D: 0  Exam intervention) Ejection Fraction (median): 50%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: Dipyridamole thallium  Severity of disease: NR	Outcomes	Quality Score
Salem 2004 #1810	Geographic Location: Norway Number of	N overall: 82 N int: 40 N con: 42 N women:	Inclusion Criteria: Not suitable for conventional revascularization, stable CCS III/IV	Symptom Status Intervention	Randomization described and appropriate: 1 Double- blinding

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome							Quality Score
	centers: 2	7	angina refractory to	Month	Sur	vival		vement		ovement	described and
			maximally tolerated					class		class	appropriate: 1
	Dates of data	Mean age:	medication;		Ν	%	N	%	N	%	
	collection: 1999-	66	reversible ischemia;	1	40	100	-	-	-	-	Withdrawals/
	2000		EF≥ 25% and wall	3	40	100	<u> </u>	_	-		dropouts
		Age range: NR	thickness ≥ 8 mm.					-		40***	described: 1
	Technology:			6	40	100	39	63*	39	40***	Tanatina
	PMR	Race: NR	Exclusion Criteria:	12	40	100	39	63**	39	35**	Targeting
	Type of laser	Race. NR	Recent AMI;	<u> </u>			· L	1		1	strategy defined:
	used:		symptomatic CHF;								1
	CardioGenesis	Angina Class	significant	Control							Total: 4
	CardioGenesis	III: 71	arrhythmias;	Month	Sur	vival	Improv	/ement	Impro	vement	Total. 4
	Number of	IV: 11	ventricular thrombus;				≥1 c	class		class	
	channels:	'*. ''	significant PVD;		N	%	N	%	N	%	Notes:
	19±4.5		aortic stenosis or	1	41	-	-	-	_	_	110100.
	.020		mechanical aortic	3	40	_	_	_	_		
	Consecutive		prostesis; unstable							-	
	enrollment: Yes		angina requiring	6	40	-	40	36	40	12	
			hospitalization within	12	40	95	40	38	40	14	
	Length of		14 days or change of	ļ					l.		
	follow-up: 12		medication.								
	months			*p=0.03							
				**p=0.04							
			History (n	***p<0.0	1						
			intervention)								
			Diabetes: 5								
			Hyperlipidemia: NR								
			Hypertension: 19								
			_ , ,,,,								
			Family History: 28								
			D MI. OF								
			Prior MI: 25								
			Pre-operative								
			unstable angina:								
			None (excluded)								
			INOTIC (CACIOUCU)								
			Prior PCI/ CABG: 36								
			1 1101 1 01/ 0/100. 30								
			P.V.D: NR								

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Exam Ejection Fraction: 64%±12%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia: Exercise testing or technetium sestamibi stress myocardial perfusion scanning  Severity of disease: NR		
Stone 2002 #70	Geographic Location: US Number of centers: 17	N overall: 141 N int: 71 N con: 70 N women: 28	Inclusion Criteria: Class III/IV angina; Failed PCI of chronic total occlusion; No other lesions for PCI/CABG; Viability by thallium,	Symptom Status  Intervention  Month Survival 2+ angina class Exer improvement time  N % N % sec	Randomization described and appropriate: 1 Double-blinding described and appropriate: 1

collection: N Technology PMR Type of lase used: Holmium (Eclipse) Number of channels: 20 (15-25) Consecutive enrollment: Length of	y: Age range: 54-72 er Race: NR Angina Class	echo, RNA, or LV gram; Wall thickness >=9mm  Exclusion Criteria: LVEF<30%; Mi < 3 months; LV aneurysm; Mural thrombus; AS, AI, or prosthetic Ao Valve:	In-hospital 3 6  Control Month	71/71 - 65/71	100 - 91.4		- 56* 49**	- - +86	Withdrawals/ drop-outs described: 0
PMR Type of last used: Holmium (Eclipse) Number of channels: 20 (15-25) Consecutive enrollment: Length of	Age range: 54-72  Race: NR  Angina Class III: 88	Wall thickness >=9mm  Exclusion Criteria: LVEF<30%; Mi < 3 months; LV aneurysm; Mural thrombus; AS, AI, or prosthetic	6 Control	65/71					drop-outs described: 0
PMR Type of lase used: Holmium (Eclipse) Number of channels: 20 (15-25) Consecutive enrollment: Length of	Age range: 54-72 er Race: NR Angina Class III: 88	>=9mm  Exclusion Criteria: LVEF<30%; Mi < 3 months; LV aneurysm; Mural thrombus; AS, AI, or prosthetic	Control		91.4	-		+86	described: 0
Type of lase used: Holmium (Eclipse)  Number of channels: 20 (15-25)  Consecutive enrollment: Length of	Face: NR  Angina Class III: 88	Exclusion Criteria: LVEF<30%; Mi < 3 months; LV aneurysm; Mural thrombus; AS, AI, or prosthetic	Control		01.4		40	100	
used: Holmium (Eclipse)  Number of channels: 20 (15-25)  Consecutive enrollment: Length of	Race: NR Angina Class III: 88	LVEF<30%; Mi < 3 months; LV aneurysm; Mural thrombus; AS, AI, or prosthetic		Surv					
follow-up: 6 months	Yes	Decompensated HF; VT/VF < 1 wk; Unable to do baseline ETT; PCI < 6 wks; Noncardiac condition limiting life expectancy < 1 yr; In other study Unable/unwilling to do f/u testing  History (n intervention) Diabetes:29  Hyperlipidemia: NR Hypertension: 50  Family History: NR  Prior MI: 46  Pre-operative unstable angina: NR  Prior CABG: 60	In-hospital   3   6	N 69/70 - 64/70	98.6 - 91.2		gina class ovement % - 38 37	Exer time sec +69	Targeting strategy defined: 1  Total: 3  Notes: 30 d mortality not directly reported

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es								Quality Score
			Exam (intervention) Ejection Fraction (mean): 52%  LVEF < 30%: NR										
			Objective evidence of ischemia: not standardized										
			Severity of disease: 3v dz: 55% Lm dz: 17.4%										
Whitlow 2003	Geographic Location: USA	N overall: 130 N int: 64 N con: 166	Inclusion Criteria: Medically refractory Class III/IV rejected	Sympton Intervent	ion								Randomization described and appropriate: 1
#1800	Number of centers: 20	N women: 56	for CABG/PCI, LVEF ≥ 30%, wall thickness ≥ 9 mm, angina	Month	Sur	vival	Angin Class		QoL-D Improve	-	Improve ≥2class	Treadmill Time (sec)	Double-blinding described and
	Dates of data collection: USA	Mean age: 64	during exercise stress test.	6	N -	%	Mean 2.2*	SD -	Mean	SD	%	Mean Change +87**	appropriate: 0 Withdrawls/
	Technology: PMR	Age range:	Exclusion Criteria: MI within 3 weeks,	12	51	79.7	1.9**	-	10.0***	12.9	55**	+100**	drop-outs described: 1
	Type of laser used: Eclipse holmium YAG	Race: NR	comorbid condition that prohibits treadmill, aortic stenosis, mechanical										Targeting strategy defined: 1
	Number of channels: 19±7	Angina Class III: 38 IV: 26	aortic valve, left ventricular thrombus.										Total: 3
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes		History (n intervention)	Control	Sui	vival	Angi	ina	QoL-I	DASI	Improve	Treadmill	Notes:
	Length of follow-up: 12		Diabetes: 30			%	Cla		Improv		≥2class	Time (sec) Mean	
	months		Hyperlipidemia: 51  Hypertension: 49	6	N -	-	Mean 2.6	50	iviean -	-	-	Change -60	
	Control: medical therapy		Family History: NR	12	155	93.4	2.4	-	5.7	10.3	31	-20	

Study Chara	acteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
			Prior MI: 43  Pre-operative unstable angina: 3 (on IV NTG)  Prior PCI/ CABG: 45/54  P.V.D: NR  Exam Ejection Fraction: 47±10  LVEF < 30%:  Objective evidence of ischemia: NR  Severity of disease: NR	*p=0.003 **p≤0.001 ****p=0.005  Freedom from death given in a Kaplan-Meier curve for 0-12 months. Exact 30-day mortality not reported.	

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	es					Quality Score
Kaul 1999 #660	Geographic Location: New Delhi, India  Number of centers: 1  Dates of data collection: 6/97-5/98  Technology: PMR  Type of laser used: Holmium:YAG (Eclipse)  Number of channels: 15±5  Consecutive		Inclusion Criteria: CAD no amenable to PTCA/CABG; CCS III/IV despite intensive medical treatment; Inducible ischemia on stress test or unstable angina (ST depression); >9mm wall thickness of left ventricle; EF>0.25%  Exclusion Criteria: Decompensated HF; Ventricular tachycardia; Ventricular fibrillation; Acute MI within 4 weeks  History (n) Diabetes: 10  Hyperlipidemia: 14  Hypertension: 15	Sympton Intervent Month  1 3 6	n Status ion Surv N 35/35 35/35	% 100 100 -	ped ≥2 classes % 83 71 73	Angin Mean 0.82 0.94 1.08	a Class  SD  0.7  0.65  0.58	Quality Score  Patients identified at common point: 1  Sufficient follow-up: 0  Blinded outcome assessment: 0  Measurement and adjustment for confounders: 0  Targeting strategy defined: 0  Total: 1  Notes:
	Consecutive enrollment: Yes Length of follow- up: NR		Family History: 14  Prior MI: 21  Pre-operative unstable angina: NR  Prior PCI/ CABG: 23  P.V.D: NR  Exam  Ejection Fraction: 38%±7%  LVEF < 30%: NR  Objective evidence of ischemia:							Notes:

Study	Characteristics	No. of Patients	Patient Population	Outcome	:S								Quality Score
			NR Severity of disease: Triple vessel n=22										
Kornowski 2000	Geographic Location: USA	N overall: 77	Inclusion Criteria: Symptomatic CAD with refractory angina CCS III/IV	Sympton Intervent		tus							Patients identified at common point: 1
#1310	Number of centers: 3	N women: 21	despite best pharmacological therapy; Poor candidate for PTCA/CABG	Month	Dea		Angi Clas	SS	ang	ed ≥2 gina sses	se	e to ST- gment ssion (sec)	Sufficient follow- up: 1
	Dates of data		Fortunian Oritoria		N	%	Mean	SD	N	%	Mean	SD	Disabel and and
	collection: NR	Mean age:	Exclusion Criteria: Severe LVEF<30%; MI < 1	1	0	0	2.1	1.1	-	-	400	172	Blinded outcome assessment: 0
	Technology:	61±11	month; PTCA within 4 months;	3	-	-	-	-	25/76	33	-	-	assessment. 0
	PMR	years	Chronic atrial fibrillation; Major comorbidity	6	0	0	2.0	1.1	27/63	43	436	175	Measurement and adjustment
	Type of laser used: Holmium:YAG	Age range: 36-82 years	History (n) Diabetes: 35										for confounders: 0
	Number of channels: 26±10	Race:	Hyperlipidemia: 63										strategy defined:
	Consecutive enrollment: NR	Angina	Hypertension: NR Family History: NR										Total: 3
	Length of follow- up: 6 months	Class III: 49 IV: 38	Prior MI: 44										Notes:
	apro menare		Pre-operative unstable angina: NR										
			Prior PCI/ CABG: 47/67										
			P.V.D: NR										
			Exam Ejection Fraction: 48%±11%										
			LVEF < 30%: NR										

Study	Characteristics	No. of	Patient Population	Outcomes	Quality Score
		Patients			
			Objective evidence of ischemia: SPECT Dual isotope Severity of disease: CCS mean 3.3±0.5		