



E001277

Memorandum

TO : SEE BELOW

DATE: March 3, 1969

FROM : Acting Associate Director for Grant
and Contract Policy, DRMPSUBJECT: Memorandum Recording National Advisory Council actions on
Regional Medical Programs Applications

The attached memorandum replaces the individual memos (purple sheets) previously used for this purpose. It will also become part two of the minutes of the Council meeting at which the actions were taken.

Details of the recommendations and any specific conditions relative to the award are contained in the blue sheets. In a few instances in which some further detail is required, the Grants Review Branch is preparing, and will distribute shortly, addenda to the blue sheets.

Please bear in mind that these are the recommendations of the Council and have no administrative reductions or "holds" applied. Amounts eventually awarded will be different in almost all cases.

M. Phillips
Martha L. Phillips

Doctor Olson
Doctor Chadwick
Doctor Sloan
Mr. Chambliss
Doctor Imboden
Doctor Manegold
Doctor Mark
Miss Conrath
Mr. Lawton

✓ Mr. Lewis
Mr. Jones
Mr. Friedlander
Mr. Thorner
Mr. Peterson
Mr. Hilsenroth
Mr. Cavarocchi
Mrs. Silsbee
Doctor David

Memorandum

DATE: February 28, 1969

TO : Director
Division of Regional Medical Programs

FROM : Acting Associate Director for Grant and
Contract Policy, DRMP

SUBJECT: Recording of the actions taken by the National Advisory Council, on
Regional Medical Programs applications considered by them at the meeting
on February 20 and 21, 1969 ^{1/}

I. Approval, as requested, and as recommended and commented upon by
the Review Committee:

GREATER DELAWARE VALLEY

Operational = 01-\$587,631; 02-\$619,734; 03-\$629,627. NOTE: The
Council requested that staff be assured of the
budgeting details on project #4, and that the region
be urged to arrive at a satisfactory cooperative
inter-regional arrangement with the New Jersey Regional
Medical Program.

Planning = Approval for extension of the commitment for two additional
years, at the present annual level, to be awarded as the
operational core.

LOUISIANA


01-\$425,300; 02-\$400,186; 03-\$412,181

INDIANA

01-\$82,036; 02-\$88,850

1/ All amounts are direct costs only and, unless otherwise specified,
refer to 12 month periods.

The designations 01, 02, etc., relate to the first, second, etc.,
budget periods of the subject application, not necessarily the budget
periods which they will actually supplement.



MICHIGAN

01-\$49,135

GEORGIA

01-\$309,818 (this six month budget to be expanded for a 15-month period);
02-\$659,414

NORTH CAROLINA

01-\$50,407; 02-\$145,207; 03-\$144,572

MOUNTAIN STATES

01-\$256,537; 02-\$247,463; 03-\$272,301; 04-\$279,037, 05-\$273,252

ILLINOIS

01-\$184,500; 02-\$250,000; 03-\$270,000

SUSQUEHANNA VALLEY

2/69.1 = 01-\$129,742
2/69.2 = 01-\$231,175 (Nine months only)
2/69.3 = 01-\$169,202; 02-\$44,014; 03-\$45,614

II. Approval, in part, as specifically recommended and commented upon by the Review Committee

ALABAMA

2/69.1 = 01-\$256,683; 02-\$188,500
2/69.2 = 01-\$542,369; 02-\$424,617

NORTHERN NEW ENGLAND

01-\$728,727; 02-\$705,727; 03-\$700,727

NORHLANDS

01-\$1,306,934; 02-\$1,386,429; 03-\$1,394,962

OHIO STATE

01-\$157,890; 02-\$134,258; 03-\$55,572

OKLAHOMA

01-\$1,204,123 (Core for ten months only); 02-\$1,304,159; 03-\$839,205

HAWAII

01-\$30,000; 02-\$30,000

MISSOURI

2/69.1 = 01-\$3,400,000 NOTE: Council recommended the one year only, with decision on subsequent years to be made following the site visit.

2/69.2 = 01-\$74,532; 02-\$36,080; 03-\$36,084

CENTRAL NEW YORK

2/69.1 = 01-\$370,000 (of which \$60,000 is to be reserved); 02-\$372,335;
03-\$376,335

2/69.2 = 01-\$178,711; 02-\$156,957; 03-\$113,009

172,927

> Change per Diller 3/3/69

COLORADO-WYOMING

01-\$127,801; 02-\$223,312; 03-\$242,520

KANSAS

2/69.1 and 2/69.2 = 01-\$396,230; 02-\$359,269; 03-\$361,789; 04-\$144,017

MAINE

01-\$500,245; 02-\$493,604; 03-\$552,865

MEMPHIS

01-\$49,900; 02-\$26,884; 03-\$20,343

METROPOLITAN, D. C.

01-\$752,504; 02-\$737,604; 03-\$739,445

TENNESSEE MID-SOUTH

01-\$100,832; 02-\$38,361; 03-\$33,776

TRI-STATE

2/69.1 = 01-\$72,701 (for ten months); 02-\$69,308; 03-\$72,326
2/69.2 = 01-\$204,321 (for ten months)

WISCONSIN

01-\$370,080; 02-\$275,800; 03-\$200,800

FLORIDA

02S1 = 01-\$163,900; 02-\$163,900; 03-\$163,900

02S2 = Disapproved

02S3 = 01-\$163,272; 02-\$163,272; 03-\$163,272

02S4 = 01-\$73,172 (eight months)

First Operational = 01-\$792,251; 02-\$686,386; 03-\$690,879

Operational Supplement = 01-\$150,000; 02-\$150,000; 03-\$150,000. (The amount is approximate and Council delegates to staff, the setting of an exact amount. Commitment should be for three years.)

III. Return for revision under the conditions specified by the Review Committee

Arkansas

IV. Deferral for further review and advise as specified by the Review Committee

Ohio Valley
South Carolina

V. Disapproval under conditions specified by the Review Committee

New Jersey
Albany

VI. Approval under conditions specified by the Council

California (2/69.1) = Council endorsed the recommendations of the Committee on all components of this application except #28 (A Comprehensive Stroke Program). In this case they accepted the recommendations of the site visitors.

01-\$556,369; 02-\$546,145; and 03-\$547,655

(2/69.2) = Endorsed Committee recommendation (\$210,000 per month until June 30, 1969) with committed support for two additional years, in an amount to be set with the advice of site visitors.

(2/69.4) = Endorsed Committee recommendation - Project 23 - 01-\$122,050; 02-\$127,540; and 03-\$123,955. Project 22 to be returned for revision.

Intermountain (2/69.1) = Deferral, pending the development of a policy governing projects of this kind (see Council minutes)

(2/69.2 and 3) = 01-\$151,260; 02-\$145,451; 03-\$269,319;
04-\$265,253

Rochester = The Council endorsed the recommendations of the Committee except on project 13. In this case they recommended approval of the project in the reduced amount recommended by the site visitors, but that no additional funds be added to the total award to the region.

01-\$253,051; 02-\$184,164; 03-\$190,064

Western Pennsylvania = The Council endorsed the general recommendations of the Review Committee with the following specific additions:

- (a) The amount to be awarded for interim support of the core (April 1 thru June 30, 1969) is to be based upon an annual level not in excess of the present level plus \$100,000.
- (b) The amount for continuation of core activities under the operational grant (July 1, 1969 et seq.) will be set by the Council when it considers the entire operational application and has the findings of the site visit.

Maryland = The Council was unable to arrive at a recommendation because of the difference between the recommendations of the site visitors and the recommendations of the Committee. Authority for final action was delegated to a referee committee of three members. A total award ceiling of \$1,445,177 for projects was set. (NOTE: A report of the findings of the three member committee will be the subject of a subsequent memo.)