THE ALLIANCE FOR HUMAN RESEARCH PROTECTION (AHRP)

142 West End Ave. suite 28P New York, NY 10023

April 4, 2003
Tommy Thompson, Secretary
Department of Health and Human Services
Dockets Management Branch
(HFA-305), Docket Number 02N-0475,
Food and Drug Administration, 5630
Fishers Lane, Room 1061
Rockville, MD 20852.

Re: Docket No. 02N-0475: Draft "Financial Relationships and Interests in Research Involving Human Subjects: Guidance for Human Subject Protection"

Dear Secretary Thompson:

We appreciate the sustained effort initiated by your office to formulate guidelines for the purpose of curbing, hopefully eliminating, financial and other conflicts of interest that adversely impact on the protection of human subjects in clinical research conducted or supported by the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and its agencies, which are overseen by the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) or the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). We gratefully accept the opportunity offered by your solicitation of public comments.

The Alliance for Human Research Protection (AHRP) is a national network of professionals and lay people dedicated to advancing responsible and ethical medical research practices, to ensure that the human rights, dignity and welfare of human subjects are adequately protected. Members of our organization are on record having pointed out as early as 1997 that underlying conflicts of interest have threatened to undermine both the safety of human subjects and the integrity of research findings reported in scientific literature

Within the last two years numerous independent surveys and investigative news reports have documented systemic breach of research ethics. In October 2002, a Duke University survey of 108 U.S. medical schools revealed that medical institutions fail utterly to meet national and international standards aimed at ensuring the integrity of clinical research and the safety of human subjects. [See, Schulman KA, et al, Provisions in clinical trial agreements, New England Journal of Medicine, v. 347, no 17, Oct 24, 2002, pp 1335-1341.]

Corporate sponsors maintain control over the entire process including, research design, subject selection, data collection analysis, and corporate sponsors selectively skew the findings that are published, thereby corrupting the integrity of the scientific literature that informs the public and clinicians who may be misled to prescribe harmful medications.

Ethical scientists as well as concerned citizens are appalled that corporate influences in academia have given rise to conflicts of interest that have derailed ethical conduct in clinical research. Dr.

02N-0475

01

Drummond Rennie, an editor at *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, acknowledged that the Duke University report "shows what an appalling state everyone was in." [See, Science & Technology Desk. Research contracts violate guidelines, *United Press International*, October 23, 2002. Accessed on April 4, 2003 at: http://www.upi.com/view.cfm?StoryID=20021023-035801-7188r]

Accordingly, the Alliance for Human Research Protection (AHRP) takes the opportunity to provide DHHS with a model affidavit whose purpose is to assist in operationalizing the proposed Guidance for Human Subject Protections (March 31, 2003). The AIIRP Affidavit Stating No Significant Conflicts of Interest is in full accord with existing federal regulations [42 CFR 50.603, 45 CFR 94.3] and does not change any existing regulations or requirements.

Enforceable truth telling in our view is the antidote to many of the problems that the proposed new DHHS guidelines address. We believe, the affidavit is a self-executing, valid method for ensuring the absence of significant conflicts of interest—as defined in the HHS Draft Guidance—while also revealing possible non-significant, but demonstrable, conflicts of interest. If adopted by DHHS, research investigators, institutions, members of Institutional Review Boards (IRB), and any other parties involved in the conduct, monitoring, or reporting of clinical research, would personally execute the affidavit.

The AHRP affidavit incorporates the language of. (a) the DHHS proposed new federal guidelines, (b) the New York State Disclosure Statement for Certain Academic Employees pursuant to the State Ethics Commission Advisory Opinion No. 90-15 relative to the filing requirements of Section 73-a of the Public Officers Law, and (c) the U.S. Income Tax Return for Estates and Trusts. Furthermore, by utilizing the AHRP Affidavit, the proposed DHHS Guidance can be operationalized without any added cost to the taxpayer—as no additional agents are needed to monitor or oversee those executing the Affidavit.

Sincerely.

John H. Noble, Jr., Treasurer, AHRP

703 - 425-2120. E-mail: inoble4@cox.net

Vera Sharav, President, AHRP

212-595-8974. E-mail:veracare@ahrp.org

Affidavit Stating No Significant Conflicts of Interest

I swear, subject to the penalties of	f perjury in federal and state
Name	
laws, that I assume the duties and responsibilities of the role o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
member of research team [], Institutional Review Board (IRB)	
□, other □ (specify:)	with no significant ¹ conflicts of
interest in relation to the named project or manuscript:	
Project or Manuscript Name	•
These possible significant conflicts of interest are listed in the Human Services guidance for Institutional Review Boards (IR institutions, and other interested parties, entitled "Financial Research Involving Human Subjects: Guidance for Human Sub	Bs), investigators, research elationships and Interests in
These significant conflicts of interest may arise from any finar could adversely affect the rights and welfare of human subject review of proposed research, reporting of research findings, or publication that involve such subjects, including:	s or the complete and accurate
 How the research is supported or financed Where and by whom the study is designed Where and by whom the resulting data is analyzed Receipt of compensation that may be affected by the study Proprietary interests in the project, including patent licensing agreements Equity interest in the research sponsor as either a publicly held company Significant payments of other sorts, e.g., grants, con equipment, retainers for ongoing consultation, and Receipt of payment per participant or incentive pay individual or institutional hourly compensation 	ts, trademarks, copyrights, and ublicly held company or non-mpensation in the form of honoraria
I attest to having possible non-significant conflicts of interest aforementioned sources as herein specified:	in relation to one or more of the
:	
As defined in the Draft Guidance, pursuant to 42 CFR 50.603,	5 CFR 94.3.

consultant or lect	source and description of any outside enture fees, whether public or private for the ated for the present year. Use additional	e most recent past chronological year		
Source	Description	Description		
compensated, hel	, trusteeship, directorship, partnership, or d by you or your spouse or marital partn rm, corporation, association, partnership aployer	er within the past 10 chronological		
	Employer's Name			
DO NOT LIST C	COMPENSATION AMOUNTS. Use add	itional sheets as necessary.		
Self / Spouse	Organization and Address	Position Description		
3. List name and o spouse or marital	describe the nature and source of any cur partner	rent employment or occupation of		
Source	Nature			
	of warrants or stocks, and investment inte your spouse or both at time of this filing. as necessary.			
Self/Spouse	Issuing Entity			

Self/Spouse	Issuing Entity	
	is form is available for public insp	ng disclosure of public information, the pection. Statements are not available for
	ary in federal and state laws, I decommend is true, correct, and com-	lare that to the best of my knowledge plete.
Signature	<u> </u>	Date