1		
2		
3		
1		
5		
5		
,		
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
)	AT SEATTLE	
)	FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION,	
	Plaintiff,	
	v.	
	CANADA PREPAID LEGAL SERVICES, INC., D.B.A. BSI PREMIUM BONDS;	Civil No.
	DAVID JOHN EDWIN HYDE and JOSEPH SHAWN PROULX, individually and as directors of	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER
	Canada Prepaid Legal Services, Inc.; E.R.S. HOLDINGS LTD.;	EQUITABLE RELIEF
	NEIL JOHN SCHULER, individually and as Director of E.R.S. Holdings Ltd.;	
	ITH ENTERPRISES LTD.; KAILEY LEWIS BABUIN, individually and as	
	Director of ITH Enterprises Ltd.; NAGG HOLDINGS LTD.;	
	WAYNE WEIS, individually and as Director of	
	NAGG Holdings Ltd.; TIMOTHY RYAN BABUIN;	
	557631 B.C. LTD., D.B.A. GUARANTEED CAPITAL HOLDINGS;	
	FERNANDO VILLAGRAN, individually and as president of 557631 B.C. Ltd.;	
	CALGARY CONCRETE AND HOME IMPROVEMENT CORP., D.B.A. UNION GLOBAL	
•	TRADING; MARTIN ROY LAMB, individually and as Director of Calgary Concrete and Home Improvement Corp.,	
	Defendants.	
	Detellualits.	
,	Plaintiff Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission") brings this action under	
	Sections 5(a), 13(b) and 19 of the Federal Trade Commiss	sion Act ("FTC Act"), 15 U.S.C.

§§ 45(a), 53(b) and 57b, and the Telemarketing and Consumer Fraud and Abuse Prevention Act ("Telemarketing Act"), 15 U.S.C. § 6101 *et seq.*, to obtain temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, rescission of contracts, restitution, disgorgement, and other equitable relief for defendants' deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), and the FTC's Trade Regulation Rule entitled "Telemarketing Sales Rule" ("TSR"), 16 C.F.R. Part 310.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C. §§ 45(a), 53(b), 57b, 6102(c), and 6105(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337(a), and 1345.
- 2. Venue in the Western District of Washington is proper under 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b) and 6103(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), (c) and (d).

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff, Federal Trade Commission, is an independent agency of the United States Government created by statute. 15 U.S.C. § 41 *et seq*. The Commission enforces Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45 (a), which prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce. The Commission also enforces the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310, which prohibits deceptive or abusive telemarketing acts or practices. The Commission may initiate federal district court proceedings to enjoin violations of the FTC Act and the TSR and to secure such equitable relief as is appropriate in each case, including restitution for injured consumers. 15 U.S.C. §§ 53(b), 57b, and 6105(b).
- 4. Defendant Canada Prepaid Legal Services, Inc., d.b.a. BSI Premium Bonds, ("BSI") is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada. Its office and principal place of business have been at 201 96 East Broadway, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. Its registered office is at 315 615 S.E. Marine Drive, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. BSI has used a mailing address at #125 5934 Fraser Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada. BSI has transacted business throughout the United States.
- 5. Defendant E.R.S. Holdings Ltd. ("ERS"), is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada. Its office and principal place of business have been at 207 West 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Vancouver,

- B.C. Its registered office is located at 379 916 N. Broadway, Vancouver, B.C. ERS has also maintained a mailing address at Box 34069, Dept. 577, Seattle, WA. ERS has transacted business in the state of Washington and throughout the United States.
- 6. Defendant ITH Enterprises Ltd. ("ITH"), is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada. Its office and principal place of business have been at 207 West 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Vancouver, B.C. Its registered office is located at 667 1755 Robson Street, Vancouver, B.C. ITH has transacted business throughout the United States.
- 7. Defendant NAGG Holdings Ltd., a.k.a. North American Gaming & Gambling Holdings Ltd. ("NAGG"), is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada. Its office and principal place of business have been at 207 West 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Vancouver, B.C. Its registered office is located at 102 468 Seymour Street, Vancouver, B.C. NAGG has maintained mailing addresses at 379 916 W. Broadway, Vancouver, B.C., Box 34069, Dept. 577, Seattle, WA, and 577 101-1001 W. Broadway, Vancouver, B.C., and a business office at 808 Nelson Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor, Vancouver, B.C. NAGG has transacted business in the State of Washington and throughout the United States.
- 8. Defendant 557631 B.C. Ltd., d.b.a. Guaranteed Capital Holdings (GCH), is incorporated in British Columbia, Canada. Its office and principal place of business have been at 253 1917 West 4<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Vancouver, B.C. Its registered office is at 6200 McKay Avenue, #141-955, Burnaby, B.C. It has maintained a mailing address at 351 3495 Cambie Street, Vancouver, B.C. GCH has transacted business throughout the United States.
- 9. Defendant Calgary Concrete and Home Improvement Corp., d.b.a. Union Global Trading ("UGT"), is incorporated in Alberta, Canada. Its office and principal place of business have been at 269 1039 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. Its registered office is at #206, 2723 37 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. UGT also has a mailing address at #731 101 1001 W. Broadway, Vancouver, B.C. UGT has transacted business throughout the United States.
- 10. Defendant David John Edwin Hyde ("Hyde") is a Director of Canada Prepaid Legal Services, Inc., d.b.a. BSI. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in

17

26

28

concert with others, he has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of BSI, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Hyde has transacted business throughout the United States.

- 11. Defendant Joseph Shawn Proulx ("Proulx") is a Director of Canada Prepaid Legal Services, d.b.a. BSI. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of BSI, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Proulx has transacted business throughout the United States.
- 12. Defendant Neil John Schuler, a.k.a. John Norman Schuler ("Schuler"), is Director of ERS. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of ERS, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Schuler has transacted business in the State of Washington and throughout the United States.
- 13. Defendant Kailey Lewis Babuin ("K. Babuin") is Director of ITH. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of ITH, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. K. Babuin has transacted business throughout the United States.
- 14. Defendant Wayne Weis ("Weis") is Director of NAGG and also does business as Lifetime Trust Corporation ("LTC"). At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of NAGG and LTC, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Weis has transacted business in the State of Washington and throughout the United States.
- Defendant Timothy Ryan Babuin ("T.Babuin"), at all times relevant to this 15. Complaint, acting along or in concert with others, has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of ITH and NAGG. T.Babuin has transacted business in the State of Washington and throughout the United States.
- 16. Defendant Fernando Villagran ("Villagran") is president and sole owner of 557631 B.C. Ltd., d.b.a. GCH. He also does business as Overseas Registry Service ("ORS"),

International Bond Headquarters ("IBH"), and Advanced Treasury Systems ("ATS"). At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has participated directly in, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of GCH, ORS, IBH, and ATS, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Villagran has transacted business throughout the United States.

17. Defendant Martin Roy Lamb ("Lamb") is Director of UGT. At all times relevant to this Complaint, acting alone or in concert with others, he has participated directly, or has had authority to control, the acts and practices of UGT, including the acts and practices set forth in this Complaint. Lamb has transacted business throughout the United States.

## **COMMERCE**

18. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendants' course of business, including the acts and practices alleged herein, has been and is in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in Section 4 of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 44.

### **DEFENDANTS' BUSINESS PRACTICES**

19. Defendants ITH, NAGG, ERS, GCH, T.Babuin, K.Babuin, Weis, Schuler, and Villagran (hereinafter "Babuin Defendants"), BSI, Hyde, and Proulx induce United States residents, many of whom are elderly, to purchase or pay fees associated with purported United Kingdom Premium Savings Bonds. During telephone solicitations, the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have made varied representations to consumers to induce their purchase of the purported bonds or to induce their payment of various fees. For example, the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx represent that by purchasing the purported bonds, consumers will receive substantial monthly payments. They have also told consumers that by purchasing the bonds, consumers would be entered into monthly drawings to win substantial cash prizes. The Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have advised some consumers that they must pay taxes or processing fees in order to receive the bonds or prizes that they have won. These telephone representations have been made by some or all of the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx since at least early 1999.

27

28

1

- 20. Relying on the statements and representations of the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx, consumers have paid the these defendants thousands of dollars with the expectation of receiving cash prizes, monthly cash payments or bond investments with the chance to participate in monthly drawings for cash prizes. In fact, consumers who pay the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx receive nothing of value. National Savings, the second largest savings institution in the U.K., is the only organization authorized to sell Premium Savings Bonds. Genuine Premium Savings Bonds, offered by National Savings, are government securities issued by the British Treasury. National Savings has never authorized any third party to sell its bonds to U.S. consumers. Premium Savings Bonds can only be issued to individuals, not businesses, and the bonds are not transferable. The interest earned on the genuine bonds is pooled and then distributed to randomly-selected bond holders through monthly prize drawings. Because these bonds have a lottery feature, they cannot legally be sold in the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 1301 and 1302. The Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx implicitly tell consumers that it is legal for the them to sell and for consumers to purchase the bonds. The Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have not advised consumers that the sale and trafficking in foreign lottery materials is a crime in the U.S.
- 21. Defendants ERS, ITH, GCH, NAGG, UGT, Schuler, K.Babuin, T.Babuin, Villagran, Weis, and Lamb have charged consumers' credit cards without the consumers' authorization or have charged consumers more than the amount the consumers authorized. In other cases, they have told consumers that they would not be charged until they received one or more of their purported monthly cash awards. After obtaining consumers' credit card account numbers, these defendants charged consumers the entire amount immediately. Defendants UGT and Lamb charged consumers' credit card accounts without any prior telephone contact with the consumers. In some instances, these consumers subsequently received promotional materials in the mail from defendant UGT
- 22. In late 1999, defendants Hyde, T.Babuin, and Lamb, who appear to be central figures in this operation, established credit card processing arrangements with an offshore credit card processing service. Hyde was the first to establish a merchant account with the credit card

processing company, followed by T.Babuin, using Hyde as a reference, and then days later by Lamb. They falsely implied to the credit card processor that they were Internet merchants authorized to sell British Premium Savings bonds via their web sites. Hyde and T.Babuin assisted and facilitated third party telemarketers by using their deceptively obtained credit card merchant accounts to process credit card transactions on behalf of defendant and non-defendant third-party telemarketers and sellers.

- 23. At Hyde's direction, proceeds from the BSI operation were wired from the credit card processor to accounts in Britain and Canada controlled by Hyde and Proulx, respectively. At defendant T.Babuin's direction, proceeds from the ITH operation were wired from the credit card processor to a Bank of Nevis account titled Blue Gold Corporation, of which T.Babuin has reportedly claimed to be a director. At defendant Lamb's direction, proceeds from the UGT operation were wired from the credit card processor to a Bank of Nevis account titled Maverick Financial Ltd.
- 24. Defendants are associated or connected in various ways. The merchant accounts for defendants BSI, ITH, and UGT show charges to the same consumers' credit card accounts within days or weeks of each other, suggesting that the consumer names or account numbers were shared by the three entities. The Babuin Defendants have shared various offices, phone rooms, mail drop boxes, contact names, and/or telephone numbers at various times, and the materials they send to consumers are similar or virtually identical to the materials sent to consumers by defendant BSI.

## **VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 5 OF THE FTC ACT**

- 25. Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a), prohibits unfair or deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce.
- 26. Misrepresentations or omissions of material fact constitute deceptive acts or practices prohibited by Section 5(a) of the FTC Act.
- 27. An act or practice is unfair and violates Section 5 if it is one that causes or is likely to cause substantial injury to consumers which is not reasonably avoidable by consumers

- a. defendants ERS, ITH, NAGG, GCH, UGT, Schuler, T.Babuin, K.Babuin, Villagran, Weis, and Lamb did charge consumers' credit card accounts without the consumers' authorization or did charge in excess of the amount authorized by consumers: and
- b. consumers did not agree to purchase goods or services from them and therefore do not owe money to defendants.
- 33. Therefore, the representations set forth in Paragraph 31 are false and misleading and constitute deceptive acts or practices in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

### **COUNT THREE**

- 34. In numerous instances, defendants UGT and Lamb charged the credit card accounts of consumers.
- 35. Consumers have not contacted, been contacted by, purchased from, agreed to purchase from, or agreed to be billed by defendants UGT and Lamb. Consumers have never heard of UGT prior to receiving their account statements. Therefore, consumers cannot reasonably avoid defendant UGT's billing for services or products which consumers did not purchase.
- 36. Defendants UGT's and Martin Lamb's practice of charging consumers' credit card accounts without authorization causes substantial injury to consumers that is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition.
- 37. Therefore, defendants' practice, as outlined above, is unfair and violates Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

#### **COUNT FOUR**

38. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing purported foreign bonds with lottery features to U.S. consumers, the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have represented, expressly or by implication, that it is legal for the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx to sell such bonds to consumers in the United States and for consumers in the United States to purchase such bonds.

- 39. In truth and in fact, the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have failed to disclose to consumers that the sale and trafficking in foreign lotteries is a violation of federal criminal law.
- 40. In light of the representations made in Paragraph 38, above, the Babuin Defendants', BSI's, Hyde's, and Proulx's failure to disclose this material fact is deceptive, and violates Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

## **VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEMARKETING SALES RULE**

In the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6101, et seq., Congress directed the FTC to prescribe rules prohibiting deceptive telemarketing acts or practices. On August 16, 1995, the Commission promulgated the TSR, 16 C.F.R. Part 310. The TSR became effective December 31, 1995.

- 41. The Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx are "telemarketers" or "sellers" engaged in "telemarketing" as those terms are defined in the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.2(r), (t) and (u).
- 42. The TSR requires sellers and telemarketers to disclose all material restrictions, limitations, or conditions to purchase, receive, or use the goods or services that are the subject of the sales offer. 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(ii).
- 43. The TSR prohibits sellers and telemarketers from "making a false or misleading statement to induce any person to pay for goods or services." 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(4).
- 44. It is a violation of the Rule for any person to provide substantial assistance or support to any seller or telemarketer when that person knows or consciously avoids knowing that the seller or telemarketer is engaged in any practice that violates § 310.3(a) of the Rule. 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(b).
- 45. Pursuant to Section 3(c) of the Telemarketing Act, 15 U.S.C. § 6102 (c), and Section 18(d)(3) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 57a(d)(3), violations of the TSR constitute deceptive acts or practices in or affecting commerce, in violation of Section 5(a) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 45(a).

### **COUNT FIVE**

52. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing purported bonds to U.S. consumers, defendants T.Babuin, K.Babuin, ITH, Hyde, Proulx, and BSI have provided substantial assistance or support to other sellers or telemarketers, knowing or consciously avoiding knowing that the those sellers or telemarketers were engaged in acts or practices that violate § 310.3(a), as set forth in Paragraphs 46 through 51 above. Defendants T.Babuin, K.Babuin, ITH, Hyde, Proulx, and BSI have thereby violated Section 310.3(b) of the Rule, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(b).

### **COUNT EIGHT**

53. In numerous instances, in connection with telemarketing purported bonds to U.S. consumers, the Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have failed to disclose that the sale of and trafficking in foreign lottery materials is a crime in the United States. The Babuin Defendants, BSI, Hyde, and Proulx have thereby violated Section 310.3(a)(1)(ii) of the TSR, 16 C.F.R. § 310.3(a)(1)(ii).

# **COMMON ENTERPRISE**

54. The Babuin Defendants and the several d.b.a. entities used by them have operated as a common business enterprise while engaging in the deceptive acts and practices and Telemarketing Sales Rule violations alleged above.

# **CONSUMER INJURY**

55. Consumers throughout the United States have suffered and continue to suffer substantial monetary loss as a result of defendants' unlawful acts or practices. In addition, defendants have been unjustly enriched as a result of their unlawful practices. Absent injunctive relief by this Court, the defendants are likely to continue to injure consumers, reap unjust enrichment, and harm the public interest.

### THIS COURT'S POWER TO GRANT RELIEF

56. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. § 53(b), empowers this Court to grant injunctive and other ancillary relief, including consumer redress, disgorgement, and restitution to prevent and remedy any violations of any provision of law enforced by the Commission.