


United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT



ANNUAL REPORT

JULY 1984 - JUNE 1985



**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

CHIEF JUDGE

Hon. Pierce Lively
Danville, Kentucky

ACTIVE JUDGES

Hon. Albert J. Engel
Grand Rapids, Michigan

Hon. Damon J. Keith
Detroit, Michigan

Hon. Gilbert S. Merritt
Nashville, Tennessee

Hon. Cornelia G. Kennedy
Detroit, Michigan

Hon. Boyce F. Martin, Jr.
Louisville, Kentucky

Hon. Nathaniel R. Jones
Cincinnati, Ohio

Hon. Leroy J. Contie, Jr.
Akron, Ohio

Hon. Robert B. Krupansky
Cleveland, Ohio

Hon. Harry W. Wellford
Memphis, Tennessee

Hon. H. Ted Milburn
Chattanooga, Tennessee

SENIOR JUDGES

Hon. Paul C. Weick
Akron, Ohio

Hon. Harry Phillips
Nashville, Tennessee

Hon. George Edwards
Cincinnati, Ohio

Hon. Anthony J. Celebrezze
Cleveland, Ohio

Hon. John W. Peck
Cincinnati, Ohio

Hon. Bailey Brown
Memphis, Tennessee

CIRCUIT EXECUTIVE

James A. Higgins

CLERK

John P. Hehman

SENIOR STAFF ATTORNEY

Kenneth A. Howe, Jr.

CONFERENCE ATTORNEY

Robert W. Rack, Jr.

LIBRARIAN

Kathy Welker



**ANNUAL REPORT
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

A continuing pattern of growth, change and evaluation has characterized the work of the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit in the 1980's. The pace of growth shows no sign of slackening, and the authorization of seven new district judgeships will add even more to the court's docket. The court of appeals continues to evolve as it responds to today's challenge and anticipates tomorrow's. The changes in the operations of the court are pervasive with virtually no aspect remaining untouched.

For example, the past twelve months have seen the court:

- develop, articulate and communicate to the practicing Bar a comprehensive set of internal operating procedures used by the court in dealing with a burgeoning caseload;
- continue a program of monitoring appellate transcript production which serves as a model for other courts of appeals and circuits;
- play a leading role in the creation and implementation of a new generation of automation for the nation's federal courts of appeals, developing a system which will be a prototype for many district and bankruptcy courts;
- establish an Attorney Advisory Committee to address an array of issues from the perspective of the practicing Bar;
- continue to work in partnership with the management staffs of the district and bankruptcy courts within the circuit in the solution of common problems;
- establish dialogues with other federal courts of appeals on issues of common concern;
- seek the assistance of such professional resources as the Federal Judicial Center in evaluating certain programs and practices;
- streamline the process by which attorneys appointed by the court to represent indigent litigants are compensated for their efforts;
- create a new local rule and procedures for review of capital cases brought by state defendants;
- upgrade the library facilities in Cincinnati and at five satellite library locations throughout the circuit; and
- accommodate the growth of the court to fifteen active judges by extensively remodeling the courthouse in Cincinnati, including the building of a new courtroom.

Despite the ever-increasing volume of filings and the extraordinary demands made on its judges, the court continues to maintain its commitment to a schedule which affords litigants greater opportunity for oral argument than any other federal appellate court in the country. The judges of this court have one of the highest levels of participation in decisions on the merits of any of the federal appellate courts. Even with the addition of new judgeships, the challenge remains to maintain these high levels of participation in the face of an expanding docket.

* * * * *

The Federal Judgeship Act of 1984 authorized four new judgeships for the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. In October 1984, the court welcomed into its ranks The Honorable H. Ted Milburn of Chattanooga, Tennessee, formerly of the district court bench in the Eastern District of Tennessee. Once the remaining new judgeships have been filled, as well as the additional vacancy created by former Chief Judge George Edwards' assumption of senior status on January 15, 1985, the court will number fifteen active and six senior judges.

The expansion of the court is reflected in the physical changes being made in the federal courthouse in Cincinnati to accommodate its needs. Additional chambers are being built and relocated so that all chambers, as well as the courtrooms, library and staff offices, will be located on three contiguous floors. There will be chambers for each judge of the court as well as facilities for visiting judges. A new en banc courtroom, similar to the current main courtroom, has been designed and construction is already underway.

As much as the court has grown in size in recent years, that increase has been outstripped by the continuing growth of the docket. For the twelve months ending June 30, 1985, it is projected that there will have been 3,222 new appeals filed. This amounts to a doubling of the caseload since 1976. A combination of innovative approaches to docket management, a busy schedule of oral argument and sheer hard work has enabled the court to increase its rate of dispositions by 225% during the same period.

This substantial increase in case dispositions has not, however, diluted that attention given those cases by judges of the court. During the year ending June 30, 1985, each active circuit judge will have participated in over 400 case decisions. Over 60% of these participations will have been in cases where the parties have had an opportunity to argue before a panel of judges. In the remaining 40%, panels met and reviewed the merits of each case, determining that oral argument was not necessary.

The tradition of favoring oral argument continues despite the expanding docket. Changes in the court's sitting schedule, which were made three-and-one-half years ago, have allowed the oral argument calendar to keep pace with the ever-increasing case load. Beginning in January 1982, the court adopted a schedule which finds it sitting thirty-two weeks each year. To meet this schedule, the court has split active judges into two groups, with each group sitting for eight two-week sessions during the year. Sessions are scheduled every month of the year. As the court prepares to face the future as a fifteen-judge court, it is re-assessing the options available to realign its sitting schedule and to maximize the use of judicial resources.

No such schedule can succeed without the support and participation of the senior circuit judges and the visiting judges who take time away from their own dockets to come to Cincinnati to sit on panels. As a result of their participation, the court will have heard argument during the current year in 30% more cases than could have been heard by active judges only. Overall, 1,220 oral arguments will have been scheduled in the twelve-month period ending this June 30th.

Of course, not all cases brought before the court of appeals warrant oral argument. The court continuously examines its docket to assure that places on the argument calendar will be judiciously allocated and that cases not requiring argument are addressed appropriately.

Sixth Circuit Rule 9 establishes standards for deciding such cases. With the help of the court's central legal staff, cases for which oral argument may not be necessary are identified and two such cases are assigned to each argument panel. The panel judges make their own review of these cases two to three weeks in advance and then meet together after their regular oral argument session to confer on the cases. At that meeting the panel determines whether oral argument is necessary to decide the case. Those decided under Rule 9 without argument account for 24% of all case dispositions on the merits.

There are responsibilities other than deciding cases which constitute a significant and growing element of each individual judge's workload. The two major categories are review of petitions for rehearing, including petitions for rehearing en banc, and disposition of motions presented to the court.

On the average, the court receives nearly 40 petitions for rehearing each month, and well over half of these are with the suggestion that they be reviewed by the entire court. Petitions for rehearing en banc consume a considerable portion of the judges' time, since each of these petitions requires careful scrutiny by each active judge, including those not sitting on the original decision panel. In less than 3% of the cases were the petitions granted.

Perhaps more significant in terms of judicial workload is the substantive motions traffic within the court of appeals. All active judges except the chief judge participate on a rotating basis on panels which review motions in cases which have yet to be scheduled for submission on the merits. These motions are not usually case dispositive, yet each of them demands careful attention by the judges.

Pursuant to Sixth Circuit Rule 18, during the twelve-month period, the court's three Conference Attorneys will have dealt with approximately 675 appeals. The primary goal of pre-argument conferences is settlement; secondary purposes are to clarify issues on appeal, reduce motions and eliminate procedural problems. The attorneys are also assisting the court by identifying cases where similar legal issues appear so that these cases can be argued before the same panel at the same time. Since June 1984, twenty-four cases have been assembled into nine groups for presentation to the court.

At the direction of the court, the Federal Judicial Center is working with the clerk's office to assist in conducting a detailed study of the effects of this program on the court's workload and practice. Statistics on conferenced and unconfereced cases will be collected for one year and questionnaires will be sent to participating lawyers. A written report will not be available until the last of the sample cases is disposed of, probably in about two years.

The growing volume of cases has made increasing demands upon the supporting staff as well as the court. The clerk's office, which is responsible for the day-to-day management of the court's docket, seeks to work with counsel to resolve any procedural matters which might otherwise delay their timely prosecution as they wend their way toward submission to the court. Immediately upon the docketing of every new appeal, counsel is sent a packet of information which explains counsel's obligations and provides guidance in the timely prosecution of the appeal. Included in this packet is the court's new Practice Guide, which includes the appellate rules and affords counsel the proper perspective from which to approach the appellate process. The Bar has reacted positively to receiving early contact and specific direction from the court.

Also included in the Practice Guide are the internal operating procedures of the court. These procedures, published on January 1, 1985, describe the responsibilities, functions, organization and procedures of the court. The large volume of filings requires that the court's procedures promote the efficiency of judges and of supporting personnel, and to that end new procedures are continually being tested and adopted.

In the Attorney Advisory Committee, the court has a new resource for obtaining input from the Bar on local rules and procedures, as well as other facets of its operation. This committee, brought into being pursuant to Sixth Circuit Rule 27, has been in operation since May 1984, and has already proven itself to be a valuable resource for the court.

One of the most difficult problems in the management of appellate dockets is the timely production of transcript by court reporters. The court is recognized nationally as a leader in managing the appellate transcript process, and in having forged a partnership with court reporters and district court personnel to effect responsible management of court reporting services at the district level. A standing committee of two active judges has lent vigorous support to the clerk's office in this effort, and last fall the full court endorsed a statement of policies regarding transcript which have been communicated to all district judges and court reporters. These policies complement the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and were adopted circuit-wide by the resolution of the circuit council. They define the respective obligations of counsel and court reporters with regard to the production of appellate transcript. The clerk has received valuable assistance in the implementation of the established standards from the court reporter coordinators designated by each district. In April of this year, the clerk of the court of appeals was invited to address meetings of court reporting personnel from around the country on Sixth Circuit transcript practice.

One of the most exciting developments on the horizon for the court is the advent of communication and information processing technology which will permit the free and virtually instantaneous flow of information among all of the judges' chambers throughout the circuit and the Cincinnati court offices. The court is giving preliminary attention to this project, and it is expected that progress will continue toward making such a system a reality.

The clerk's office has also embarked upon an ambitious automation program. The court is scheduled to receive hardware early next winter as part of the NewAIMS (Appellate Information Management System) project being developed by the Federal Judicial Center. This system, which will be designed to fully automate the collection, retrieval and reporting of docket information, as well as a wide array of collateral functions, is currently being tested in three other courts of appeal, where it has been enthusiastically received. The court has been actively involved in the development of

the project for two years, and the clerk's office has already laid the foundation for a smooth transition to an automated environment.

The clerk's office currently uses a personal computer to track all of its pending cases, and to report statistics for internal management purposes. The computer was used to generate the charts and tables contained in the second section of this report.

The court has enacted a local rule and established special procedures for the handling of cases which challenge a sentence of death imposed by a state court. The thrust of the rule is that this court, which may be called upon to review such a case at literally the eleventh hour, be fully apprised of the status of each case and provided by counsel with copies of all relevant papers before the appeal is actually docketed, so that it will be in a posture to act swiftly on an informed basis. The clerk's office has established contacts with the offices of the attorneys general, and other offices concerned with capital cases, in each of the three states in the circuit which have a death penalty statute. This has allowed the clerk's office to follow capital cases through the state system and into the district court, and to anticipate their arrival in the court of appeals.

Coordination of system-wide library activities and increased communication between the satellite libraries and the circuit library have been major goals of the past year. One cooperative project currently underway is the cataloging of the collections of all the libraries within the circuit on the new library computer. Plans for this project as well as collection development policies were formulated at a meeting of all satellite librarians in Cincinnati in January 1985.

This year saw both the addition of library personnel and the expansion of the circuit's satellite libraries. Space preparation for the new Cleveland library is nearing completion and plans are under way to move both the Detroit and Cincinnati libraries to larger quarters. These moves will provide space for significant collection development and growth and for more effective arrangements of work spaces and service areas.

* * * * *

The following provides more specific information regarding the cases brought before the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, including the types of cases filed, their origin and their disposition. This information illustrates some trends and points to some changes which have occurred over the past ten years.


STATUS OF THE DOCKET

The status of the docket for the past ten years is graphically displayed below. For this period, filings continue to increase at a considerable rate. As a result, in 1978 pending cases exceeded filings for the first time. Although new filings increased at a slightly greater rate than last year, the court was able to increase its dispositions by 15%. This allowed the court to keep to a minimum the number of pending cases awaiting disposition.

The table on the next page provides the number of filings, dispositions and pending cases for the past ten years. Also noted are the percentage increase in these figures over the preceding year. The bar graph gives another comparison of these figures.

FILINGS, DISPOSITIONS AND PENDING CASES

July 1, 1975 - June 30, 1985




STATUS OF THE DOCKET (con't.)

FILINGS, DISPOSITIONS AND PENDING CASES

	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Projected 1985
FILINGS	1628	1827	1795	1889	2103	2376	2541	2795	2996	3222
Annual Increase	13.4%	12.2%	-1.8%	5.2%	11.3%	13.0%	6.9%	10.0%	7.2%	7.5%
DISPOSITIONS	1396	1425	1503	1633	1832	2189	2551	2803	2743	3154
Annual Increase	5.8%	2.1%	5.5%	8.6%	12.2%	19.5%	16.5%	9.9%	-2.1%	15.0%
PENDING 6/30/85	1145	1547	1839	2095	2366	2553	2457	2449	2702	2770
Annual Increase	25.4%	35.1%	18.9%	13.9%	12.9%	7.9%	-3.8%	-0.3%	10.3%	2.5%


FILINGS, DISPOSITIONS AND PENDING CASES

July 1, 1975 - June 30, 1985



FILINGS

Since 1976, the number of cases filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit has doubled. In 1985 there are nearly 1,600 more cases filed than ten years earlier. The chart below depicts case filings as of June 30th for each year since 1976.



FILINGS (con't.)

The table below provides a summary of filings for the past ten years. This breakdown shows the types of cases that have made up the court's docket during the period. As has been the case for the past five years, civil cases continue to comprise almost three-fourths of our docket. Bankruptcy cases and prisoner petitions have also remained constant for that period. Direct criminal appeals have declined slightly.

The two pie charts on the next page show how case filings for the twelve month period ending June 30, 1985 are divided. The first chart represents cases filed in the court of appeals by case type — a topic discussed on the preceding pages. The second chart represents filings for 1985 broken down by the source of the appeals. The latter topic will be described in more detail on the following pages.


FILINGS BY CASE TYPE

	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Projected 1985
TOTAL CIVIL	981	1132	1112	1246	1476	1665	1866	2038	2198	2387
Pris Petitions	229	235	279	417	420	546	517	568	551	714
Civil Rights	171	177	216	219	272	304	396	411	405	527
Social Security	91	161	89	143	142	150	165	197	284	223
Diversity	148	147	167	165	212	254	261	240	231	288
Other Civil	342	412	361	302	430	411	527	622	727	635
BANKRUPTCY	21	28	26	20	21	44	52	63	97	81
CRIMINAL	383	371	456	354	347	351	347	380	405	377
ORIG PROCEEDINGS	27	29	20	34	21	33	21	32	29	25
AGENCY	216	267	181	235	238	283	255	282	267	354
TOTAL CASES FILED	1628	1827	1795	1889	2103	2376	2541	2795	2996	3224

FILINGS (con't.)


TOTAL FILINGS BY CASE TYPE

July 1, 1984 - June 30, 1985



(PATTERNED SECTIONS ARE CLASSIFIED AS CIVIL CASES)

SOURCES OF FILINGS




FILINGS BY SOURCE

This table and accompanying graph show the source of the new cases for each of the past ten years. There has been a sharp decline in filings from the State of Kentucky over the last year. Ohio's filings, on the other hand, continue to increase sharply. Michigan, however, remains the state from which most of the cases come, claiming twenty-eight percent of the cases filed in 1985.

SOURCE OF FILINGS		1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	Projected 1985
OHIO	Northern	264	304	241	265	327	284	359	388	481	518
	Southern	207	295	316	289	271	303	303	369	337	373
	TOTAL	471	599	557	554	598	587	662	757	818	891
KENTUCKY	Eastern	113	107	138	107	118	190	174	166	239	177
	Western	109	84	128	133	184	213	200	166	181	193
	TOTAL	222	191	266	240	302	403	374	332	420	370
MICHIGAN	Eastern	340	383	364	378	482	496	578	704	683	750
	Western	48	52	48	47	75	124	139	173	129	162
	TOTAL	388	435	412	425	557	620	717	877	812	912
TENNESSEE	Eastern	84	78	108	113	147	144	164	157	225	221
	Middle	75	97	90	118	78	113	152	135	162	180
	Western	124	103	135	150	141	149	144	160	166	189
	TOTAL	283	278	333	381	366	406	460	452	553	590
AGENCY		216	267	181	235	238	283	255	282	267	354
ORIG. PROCEEDINGS		27	29	20	34	21	33	21	32	29	25
BANKRUPTCY		21	28	26	20	21	44	52	63	97	81
TOTAL		1628	1827	1795	1889	2103	2376	2541	2795	2996	3223

SOURCE OF FILINGS

by State



□ Ohio ◇ Michigan △ Tennessee × Kentucky


FILINGS BY SOURCE (con't.)

The graphs on the following four pages show filings from the district courts of each state. The trends mirror the overall activity of these courts which is recorded in the tables found in the third section of this report. The graph on page 16 shows changes in agency and bankruptcy case filings along with cases originating in the court of appeals for the same period.

FILINGS BY SOURCE - OHIO

OHIO FILINGS


by District



FILINGS BY SOURCE - KENTUCKY

KENTUCKY FILINGS


by District



FILINGS BY SOURCE - MICHIGAN


MICHIGAN FILINGS

by District




FILINGS BY SOURCE - TENNESSEE

TENNESSEE FILINGS
by District



FILINGS BY SOURCE - OTHER

FILINGS FROM OTHER SOURCES




□ Agency

◇ Orig Proceedings

△ Bankruptcy

DISPOSITIONS

The bar graph below shows the case dispositions for each year since 1976. Dispositions have increased 130% during this period.




DISPOSITIONS (con't.)

The table below shows the categories of dispositions by the court. In 1985, 50% of all cases terminated during the year were afforded the opportunity for oral argument. The pie chart more vividly illustrates the breakdown of dispositions by category.


	SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS					Projected
	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
ORAL ARGUMENT (Bench Decisions)	1012	1327 (1)	1233 (66)	1354 (98)	1366 (73)	1570 (84)
SUMMARY DISPOSITIONS (Rule 9)	406	444	574	646	618	711
VOLUNTARY DISMISSALS	289	344	445	382	353	406
DISMISSALS FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION	95	107	187	217	211	243
SETTLEMENT PROGRAM			14	101	132	152
OTHER	45	42	98	103	63	72
TOTAL	1847	2264	2551	2803	2743	3154

SUMMARY OF DISPOSITIONS 1985



PENDING CASES

Between 1976 and 1985 the pending case load has increased by 142%. The chart below shows pending cases as of June 30th for each year since 1976.



**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS
OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

The following tables provide some information regarding the nine U.S. District Courts comprising the Sixth Circuit. The information highlights the cases commenced, terminated and pending in these courts for the twelve-month period ending December 31, 1984. The source of this information is the Federal Judicial Workload Statistics prepared by the Statistical Analysis and Reports Division of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C.

CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES				U.S. CIVIL CASES				PRIVATE CIVIL CASES			
	PENDING DEC. 31, 1983	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING DEC. 31, 1984	PENDING DEC. 31, 1983	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING DEC. 31, 1984	PENDING DEC. 31, 1983	COM- MENCED	TERMI- NATED	PENDING DEC. 31, 1984
6TH...	30,183	31,440	31,226	30,397	12,510	15,736	17,325	10,921	17,673	15,704	13,901	19,476
KY,E....	2,801	2,085	2,230	2,656	1,556	1,221	1,466	1,311	1,245	864	764	1,345
KY,W....	2,178	2,212	1,851	2,539	688	1,129	910	907	1,490	1,083	941	1,632
MI,E....	7,503	8,468	8,285	7,686	2,733	3,890	4,192	2,431	4,770	4,578	4,093	5,255
MI,W....	2,545	2,393	2,500	2,438	1,361	1,337	1,613	1,085	1,184	1,056	887	1,353
OH,N....	5,848	5,169	6,027	4,990	2,500	2,687	3,318	1,869	3,348	2,482	2,709	3,121
OH,S....	5,345	5,410	4,823	5,932	2,323	2,717	3,035	2,005	3,022	2,693	1,788	3,927
TN,E....	1,193	2,526	2,505	1,214	507	1,330	1,396	441	686	1,196	1,109	773
TN,H....	924	1,762	1,658	1,028	320	810	823	307	604	952	835	721
TN,W....	1,846	1,415	1,347	1,914	522	615	572	565	1,324	800	775	1,349

UNITED STATES CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING by Nature of Suit and District

CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	UNITED STATES CASES															
		TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CONTRACT	LAND CONDEMNATION	OTHER REAL PROPERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI-TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS				FORFEITURES AND PENALTIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
									MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN-DAMUS AND OTHER					
6TH...	31,440	15,736	6,013	28	712	202	6	192	137	184	119	30	440	130	6,979	410	554
KY,E....	2,085	1,221	178	13	72	12	-	11	15	61	29	4	170	5	591	24	38
KY,W....	2,212	1,129	551	3	77	14	1	15	12	1	1	-	31	5	363	31	24
MI,E....	8,468	3,890	1,191	1	21	64	-	47	42	54	43	6	47	28	2,168	89	89
MI,W....	2,393	1,337	693	1	17	14	-	7	8	-	1	1	10	5	535	11	34
OH,N....	5,169	2,687	1,064	6	281	41	4	34	21	4	6	-	28	36	1,050	48	64
OH,S....	5,410	2,717	1,004	-	227	22	-	35	6	2	2	-	35	30	1,176	47	131
TN,E....	2,526	1,330	597	4	10	15	-	15	12	5	1	-	93	10	411	46	111
TN,M....	1,762	810	487	-	2	10	1	8	10	3	1	1	18	8	156	66	39
TN,W....	1,415	615	248	-	5	10	-	20	11	54	35	18	8	5	129	48	24

CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	UNITED STATES CASES															
		TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CONTRACT	LAND CONDEMNATION	OTHER REAL PROPERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI-TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS				FORFEITURES AND PENALTIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
									MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN-DAMUS AND OTHER					
6TH...	31,226	17,325	6,051	110	572	244	6	177	139	167	97	32	334	124	8,396	405	471
KY,E....	2,230	1,466	157	52	63	14	-	3	14	52	34	8	107	5	904	19	34
KY,W....	1,851	910	455	23	74	13	-	7	11	-	6	-	22	4	251	20	24
MI,E....	8,285	4,192	1,165	2	22	80	-	42	38	49	25	4	52	22	2,488	116	87
MI,W....	2,500	1,613	708	11	12	27	-	6	8	2	1	1	7	8	782	13	27
OH,N....	6,027	3,318	1,236	10	203	47	4	47	21	5	4	1	26	39	1,552	57	66
OH,S....	4,823	3,035	1,127	1	180	19	-	31	13	3	1	-	16	25	1,476	43	100
TN,E....	2,505	1,396	561	5	8	17	1	13	13	5	1	-	78	8	540	47	99
TN,M....	1,658	823	454	4	2	9	1	6	9	2	2	2	17	7	236	48	24
TN,W....	1,347	572	188	2	8	18	-	22	12	49	23	16	9	6	167	42	10

CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL CIVIL CASES	UNITED STATES CASES															
		TOTAL U.S. CIVIL	CONTRACT	LAND CONDEMNATION	OTHER REAL PROPERTY	TORT ACTIONS	ANTI-TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS				FORFEITURES AND PENALTIES	LABOR SUITS	SOCIAL SECURITY	TAX SUITS	ALL OTHER
									MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE	HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	MAN-DAMUS AND OTHER					
6TH...	30,397	10,921	1,914	115	711	264	5	293	81	158	124	25	346	151	5,840	401	493
KY,E....	2,656	1,311	86	56	71	13	1	13	13	77	43	2	173	8	643	44	68
KY,W....	2,539	907	186	19	34	25	1	26	5	1	2	-	22	8	495	43	40
MI,E....	7,686	2,431	346	1	21	89	-	61	27	35	34	3	37	24	1,592	83	78
MI,W....	2,438	1,085	326	18	18	16	1	17	5	1	2	-	7	7	613	15	39
OH,N....	4,990	1,869	319	6	330	49	2	43	9	1	4	-	26	36	909	58	77
OH,S....	5,932	2,005	245	4	221	32	-	60	2	4	2	-	30	40	1,201	73	91
TN,E....	1,214	441	165	6	8	6	-	10	2	-	-	-	31	6	157	18	32
TN,M....	1,028	307	124	5	2	7	-	11	5	1	-	-	9	7	72	35	29
TN,W....	1,914	565	117	-	6	27	-	52	13	38	37	20	11	15	158	32	39

PRIVATE CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING
by Nature of Suit and District

CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PRIVATE CASES																
	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON-TRACT	REAL PROP-ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI-TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM-MERCE	PRISONER PETITIONS			COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
												HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	HAN-DAMUS AND OTHER			
6TH...	15,704	2,918	123	221	87	551	2,269	275	98	2,553	128	979	2,145	24	428	1,710	1,195
KY,E....	864	220	24	11	7	38	89	13	2	107	10	85	94	2	10	117	35
KY,M....	1,083	203	18	6	16	51	109	24	3	123	42	91	234	-	20	68	75
MI,E....	4,578	1,069	27	80	20	98	712	62	30	636	38	201	389	1	155	594	464
MI,M....	1,056	159	8	11	3	34	108	14	5	192	1	49	246	-	31	132	63
OH,N....	2,482	446	12	63	18	63	203	52	27	627	27	115	103	-	105	440	181
OH,S....	2,693	270	13	36	3	57	735	26	11	402	6	227	461	5	49	223	169
TN,E....	1,196	249	13	9	1	119	184	41	4	168	-	77	179	3	22	57	70
TN,M....	952	175	5	3	5	53	83	29	4	137	2	66	238	2	17	36	97
TN,W....	800	127	3	2	14	38	46	14	12	159	2	68	201	11	19	43	41

CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PRIVATE CASES																
	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON-TRACT	REAL PROP-ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI-TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM-MERCE	PRISONER PETITIONS			COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
												HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	HAN-DAMUS AND OTHER			
6TH...	13,901	2,739	129	225	95	531	1,695	279	117	2,435	169	888	1,622	21	441	1,589	926
KY,E....	764	216	21	20	12	40	90	11	2	85	10	56	52	2	15	111	21
KY,M....	941	190	16	11	14	46	90	27	5	111	61	72	154	-	21	75	48
MI,E....	4,093	905	42	52	23	115	684	62	33	616	46	199	349	-	145	561	261
MI,M....	887	120	9	17	10	35	98	12	8	151	3	58	199	-	31	94	42
OH,N....	2,709	501	13	91	22	83	244	74	33	728	36	101	82	1	99	432	189
OH,S....	1,788	220	12	24	1	44	195	20	19	320	7	185	290	3	67	189	192
TN,E....	1,109	280	9	9	1	101	166	34	8	163	-	70	129	2	22	48	67
TN,M....	835	173	3	1	1	35	66	21	3	121	3	68	200	2	28	26	84
TN,W....	775	134	4	-	11	32	62	18	6	140	3	79	167	11	13	53	92

CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY NATURE OF SUIT AND DISTRICT AS OF DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PRIVATE CASES																
	TOTAL PRIVATE CIVIL	CON-TRACT	REAL PROP-ERTY	FELA	MARINE PERSONAL INJURY	MOTOR VEHICLE PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER PERSONAL INJURY	OTHER TORT ACTIONS	ANTI-TRUST	CIVIL RIGHTS	COM-MERCE	PRISONER PETITIONS			COPYRIGHT PATENT TRADEMARK	LABOR SUITS	ALL OTHER
												HABEAS CORPUS	CIVIL RIGHTS	HAN-DAMUS AND OTHER			
6TH...	19,476	3,594	165	363	134	643	3,674	332	198	3,876	103	812	2,076	10	445	1,765	1,286
KY,E....	1,345	342	36	14	15	62	223	15	8	184	10	123	153	2	11	90	57
KY,M....	1,632	360	33	41	28	70	206	41	17	264	30	73	257	-	30	89	93
MI,E....	5,255	1,189	30	113	29	112	945	68	48	914	22	183	443	1	164	528	466
MI,M....	1,353	250	11	14	7	49	190	18	7	301	6	51	161	-	26	166	96
OH,N....	3,121	514	18	113	25	88	403	55	62	885	19	92	98	1	96	459	193
OH,S....	3,927	420	16	58	4	79	1,314	51	22	689	6	196	516	3	65	292	199
TN,E....	773	151	12	7	1	85	189	28	1	112	-	20	72	1	20	35	39
TN,M....	721	150	4	3	5	45	78	26	9	170	1	22	98	-	14	34	62
TN,W....	1,349	218	5	3	20	53	126	30	24	357	9	52	278	2	19	72	81

OTHER CIVIL CASES TERMINATED AND PENDING

CIVIL CASES PENDING, BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TIME PENDING
(LAND CONDEMNATION CASES ARE OMITTED)
AS OF DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	LENGTH OF TIME PENDING-				
		LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1 TO 2 YEARS	2 TO 3 YEARS	3 YEARS AND OVER	
					NUMBER	PERCENT*
6TH...	30,274	18,785	6,592	2,758	2,139	7.1
KY,E....	2,598	1,406	548	289	355	13.7
KY,W....	2,520	1,425	647	242	206	8.2
MI,E....	7,683	5,317	1,495	521	350	4.6
MI,W....	2,419	1,525	596	176	122	5.0
OH,N....	4,981	2,967	1,087	455	472	9.5
OH,S....	5,928	3,474	1,449	675	330	5.6
TN,E....	1,208	1,038	118	36	16	1.3
TN,M....	1,023	703	156	93	71	6.9
TN,W....	1,914	930	496	271	217	11.3

CIVIL CASES TERMINATED, BY DISTRICT AND ACTION TAKEN
(LAND CONDEMNATION CASES OMITTED)
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	NO COURT ACTION	COURT ACTION						
			TOTAL	BEFORE PRETRIAL	DURING OR AFTER PRETRIAL	DURING OR AFTER TRIAL			PERCENT REACHING TRIAL
						TOTAL	NON-JURY	JURY	
6TH...	31,113	13,290	17,823	12,927	3,809	1,087	520	567	3.5
KY,E....	2,178	767	1,411	1,189	161	61	25	36	2.8
KY,W....	1,828	735	1,093	734	283	76	19	57	4.2
MI,E....	8,281	3,050	5,231	3,416	1,513	302	122	180	3.6
MI,W....	2,489	1,211	1,278	1,092	135	51	19	32	2.0
OH,N....	6,016	2,824	3,192	1,968	1,032	192	109	83	3.2
OH,S....	4,822	2,296	2,526	2,156	269	101	58	43	2.1
TN,E....	2,500	1,049	1,451	923	345	183	88	95	7.3
TN,M....	1,654	757	897	813	33	51	29	22	3.1
TN,W....	1,345	601	744	636	38	70	51	19	5.2

LAND CONDEMNATION CASES PENDING
BY DISTRICT AND LENGTH OF TIME PENDING
AS OF DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	LENGTH OF TIME PENDING				
		LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1 TO 2 YEARS	2 TO 3 YEARS	3 YEARS AND OVER	
					NUMBER	PERCENT*
6TH...	123	27	20	15	61	49.6
KY,E....	58	13	3	7	35	60.3
KY,W....	19	2	5	3	9	47.4
MI,E....	3	1	1	-	1	-
MI,W....	19	1	2	-	16	84.2
OH,N....	9	7	1	1	-	-
OH,S....	4	-	1	3	-	-
TN,E....	6	3	2	1	-	-
TN,M....	5	-	5	-	-	-
TN,W....	-	-	-	-	-	-

CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING

CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JAN. 1, 1984				COMMENCED						
	TOTAL	FELDNY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*	TOTAL COMMENCED	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS				RE-OPENS	TRANS-FERS
						TOTAL	FELDNY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*		
6TH...	1,464	1,237	196	31	2,852	2,709	2,108	568	33	43	100
KY,E....	91	89	1	1	141	134	123	7	4	4	3
KY,W....	187	124	58	5	413	396	206	192	8	7	10
MI,E....	380	325	48	7	598	559	461	98	10	12	27
MI,W....	85	78	7	-	160	153	126	25	2	-	7
OH,N....	198	197	1	-	355	337	315	21	1	3	15
OH,S....	137	120	16	1	334	317	248	57	2	2	15
TN,E....	92	63	15	14	185	178	151	24	3	2	5
TN,W....	136	106	27	3	365	350	210	137	3	4	11
TN,W....	158	135	23	-	301	295	268	17	-	9	7

TOTAL TERMINATED	TERMINATED						PENDING DEC. 31, 1984				CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS				RE-OPENS	TRANS-FERS	TOTAL	FELDNY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*	
	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*							
2,943	2,797	2,068	664	65	45	101	1,373	1,206	157	10	...6TH
161	149	135	9	5	5	7	71	69	-	2	...KY,E
458	439	227	198	14	9	10	142	93	49	1	...KY,W
580	558	435	108	15	9	13	398	355	37	6	...MI,E
168	155	114	39	2	1	12	77	73	3	1	...MI,W
386	373	355	16	2	5	8	167	159	8	-	...OH,N
338	318	245	69	4	4	16	133	117	16	-	...OH,S
218	205	151	37	17	2	11	59	52	7	-	...TN,E
360	341	193	152	6	4	15	141	107	34	-	...TN,W
274	259	223	36	-	6	9	185	181	4	-	...TN,W

CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING (con't)

CRIMINAL CASES COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	GENERAL OFFENSES								
		HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZ-ZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT	FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING
6TH...	2,752	-	112	26	6	380	224	607	43	237
KY.E....	138	-	5	2	2	7	13	27	3	15
KY.W....	403	-	17	6	1	115	14	63	11	30
MI.E....	571	-	12	3	1	85	42	130	2	41
MI.W....	153	-	1	4	-	13	31	32	2	14
OH.N....	340	-	26	1	-	43	37	61	7	27
OH.S....	319	-	40	2	1	37	32	39	6	29
TN.E....	180	-	7	5	1	16	16	53	1	15
TN.M....	354	-	2	-	-	40	22	48	5	47
TN.W....	294	-	2	3	-	24	17	150	6	19

GENERAL OFFENSES--CONTINUED							SPECIAL OFFENSES				CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
DAPCA (DRUG) LAWS			WEAPONS AND FIRE-ARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI-GRATION LAWS	AGRI-CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAWS*	OTHER	
MARI-HUANA	NAR-COTICS	CONTROL-LED SUB-STANCES									
157	240	56	185	129	59	98	19	24	25	125	...6TH
11	14	5	8	1	10	5	-	4	-	6	...KY.E
28	19	7	20	14	3	17	-	12	2	19	...KY.W
60	69	8	34	4	10	20	16	-	9	25	...MI.E
3	24	3	8	-	1	4	2	2	2	7	...MI.W
6	49	8	31	-	6	14	1	-	5	18	...OH.N
22	27	6	28	9	9	21	-	-	4	8	...OH.S
3	13	-	15	3	6	3	-	5	1	17	...TN.E
16	12	6	28	98	6	8	-	1	2	13	...TN.M
8	13	13	13	-	8	6	-	-	-	12	...TN.W

CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING

CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED AND TERMINATED DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JAN. 1, 1984				COMMENCED						
	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*	TOTAL COM-MENCED	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS				RE-OPENS	TRANS-FERS
						TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*		
6TH...	2,072	1,838	203	31	3,959	3,799	3,157	604	38	54	106
KY,E....	170	168	1	1	222	215	204	7	4	4	3
KY,W....	254	191	58	5	523	500	304	187	9	13	10
MI,E....	564	508	49	7	918	877	775	90	12	13	28
MI,W....	110	103	7	-	233	226	193	29	4	-	7
OH,N....	247	246	1	-	440	421	393	27	1	4	15
OH,S....	235	215	19	1	447	429	352	75	2	2	16
TN,E....	108	78	16	14	270	263	231	29	3	2	5
TN,M....	158	126	29	3	478	460	317	140	3	4	14
TN,W....	226	203	23	-	428	408	388	20	-	12	8

TOTAL TERMINATED	TERMINATED						PENDING DEC. 31, 1984				CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
	ORIGINAL PROCEEDINGS				RE-OPENS	TRANS-FERS	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*	
	TOTAL	FELONY	MISDE-MEANOR	OTHER*							
3,965	3,779	2,975	726	78	68	119	2,066	1,893	163	10	...6TH
266	251	234	10	7	8	7	126	124	-	2	...KY,E
585	557	334	207	16	15	13	192	142	49	1	...KY,W
858	827	691	116	20	13	13	624	580	38	6	...MI,E
227	212	163	45	4	2	13	116	112	3	1	...MI,W
478	460	436	22	2	10	8	209	200	9	-	...OH,N
452	427	344	79	4	4	21	230	213	17	-	...OH,S
285	272	212	42	18	2	11	93	84	9	-	...TN,E
434	413	243	164	6	5	15	202	168	34	-	...TN,M
380	360	318	41	1	9	11	274	270	4	-	...TN,W

CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED, TERMINATED AND PENDING (con't.)

CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS COMMENCED (EXCLUDES TRANSFERS) DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	GENERAL OFFENSES								
		HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT	FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING
6TH...	3,853	-	138	28	10	497	244	825	64	350
KY,E....	219	-	7	2	5	17	16	34	4	30
KY,W....	513	-	22	7	2	120	17	95	19	46
MI,E....	890	-	14	3	1	129	45	193	2	71
MI,W....	226	-	2	5	-	18	31	41	3	20
OH,N....	425	-	31	1	-	52	38	67	7	33
OH,S....	431	-	48	2	1	46	32	44	7	36
TN,E....	265	-	10	5	1	27	20	70	2	17
TN,W....	464	-	2	-	-	57	25	73	5	68
TN,W....	420	-	2	3	-	31	13	202	15	29

GENERAL OFFENSES--CONTINUED							SPECIAL OFFENSES				CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
DAPCA (DRUG) LAWS			WEAPONS AND FIREARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI-GRATION LAWS	AGRI-CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAWS*	OTHER	
MARI-HUANA	NAR-COTICS	CONTROL-LED SUB-STANCES									
274	475	97	226	131	61	171	21	30	27	184	...6TH
17	31	8	11	1	11	11	-	4	-	10KY,E
32	38	10	24	14	3	20	-	15	2	26KY,W
131	128	16	39	4	10	40	18	-	10	30MI,E
4	41	4	10	-	1	27	2	3	3	11MI,W
8	77	9	44	-	6	21	1	-	5	25OH,N
38	56	25	32	9	9	22	-	-	4	20OH,S
9	36	2	16	3	6	5	-	7	1	28TN,E
20	31	10	31	100	6	15	-	1	2	17TN,W
15	37	13	19	-	9	10	-	-	-	17TN,W

CRIMINAL CASES PENDING
December, 31, 1985

ALL CRIMINAL CASES PENDING ON DEC. 31, 1984 BY MAJOR OFFENSE AND DISTRICT

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL	GENERAL OFFENSES							
		HOMICIDE	ROBBERY	ASSAULT	BURGLARY	LARCENY	EMBEZZLEMENT	FRAUD	AUTO THEFT
6TH...	1,373	1	56	16	2	166	76	304	35
KY,E....	71	1	3	-	1	3	1	14	9
KY,W....	142	-	6	3	-	36	5	18	9
MI,E....	398	-	8	3	-	50	17	76	2
MI,W....	77	-	-	3	1	5	10	16	-
DH,N....	167	-	15	1	-	19	16	26	-
DH,S....	133	-	17	2	-	15	7	15	2
TN,E....	59	-	3	1	-	6	4	16	-
TN,M....	141	-	1	1	-	21	9	29	7
TN,W....	185	-	3	2	-	11	7	94	6

FORGERY AND COUNTERFEITING	GENERAL OFFENSES--CONTINUED							SPECIAL OFFENSES				CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT
	DAPCA (DRUG) LAWS			WEAPONS AND FIREARMS	TRAFFIC	ESCAPE	OTHER	IMMI-GRATION LAWS	AGRI-CULTURAL ACTS	POSTAL LAWS*	OTHER	
	MARI-HUANA	NAR-COTICS	CONTROL-LED SUB-STANCES									
102	96	158	42	103	24	44	57	22	7	7	55	...6TH
8	5	5	-	4	-	7	5	-	2	-	3KY,E
8	10	11	6	7	3	1	10	1	4	1	3KY,W
24	51	68	14	20	2	10	14	17	-	4	18MI,E
8	2	15	2	6	-	2	2	1	-	1	3MI,W
11	3	26	2	20	-	5	13	2	-	1	7OH,M
13	11	13	7	13	-	6	8	-	-	-	4OH,S
5	2	4	1	8	-	2	-	-	1	-	6TN,E
14	6	6	3	14	19	3	2	-	-	-	6TN,M
11	6	10	7	11	-	8	3	1	-	-	5TN,W

THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURTS OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

The following tables summarize bankruptcy cases filed, terminated and pending in the Sixth Circuit's Bankruptcy Courts. This information also covers the twelve-month period ending December 31, 1984 and is taken from the Federal Judicial Workload Statistics prepared by the Statistical Analysis and Reports Division of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, D.C.

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
BUSINESS AND NONBUSINESS BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, BY CHAPTER OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE,
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	TOTAL FILINGS*	CHAPTER 7			TOTAL BUSINESS FILINGS*	CHAPTER 11			TOTAL NONBUSINESS FILINGS	CHAPTER 13		
		CHAPTER 7	CHAPTER 11	CHAPTER 13		CHAPTER 7	CHAPTER 11	CHAPTER 13		CHAPTER 7	CHAPTER 11	CHAPTER 13
6TH...	49,321	32,119	1,587	15,614	6,265	4,044	1,442	778	43,056	28,075	145	14,836
KY,E....	2,379	2,007	63	308	489	382	58	48	1,890	1,625	5	260
KY,W....	4,281	3,466	124	691	442	321	115	6	3,839	3,145	9	685
MI,E....	5,788	4,642	317	829	768	433	291	44	5,020	4,209	26	785
MI,W....	3,151	1,829	189	1,133	713	408	187	118	2,438	1,421	2	1,015
OH,N....	9,565	6,813	288	2,464	981	578	268	135	8,584	6,235	20	2,329
OH,S....	10,139	6,974	263	2,902	1,402	1,003	256	143	8,737	5,971	7	2,759
TN,E....	4,351	2,641	103	1,607	795	486	96	213	3,556	2,155	7	1,394
TN,M....	3,346	1,865	104	1,377	489	343	91	55	2,857	1,522	13	1,322
TN,W....	6,321	1,882	136	4,303	186	90	80	16	6,135	1,792	56	4,287

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURTS
ADVERSARY PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED,
TERMINATED, AND PENDING
UNDER THE BANKRUPTCY CODE
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JAN. 1, 1984	FILED	TERMINATED	PENDING DEC. 31, 1984
KY,E....	889	283	397	775
KY,W....	426	297	336	387
MI,E....	1,576	1,512	1,755	1,333
MI,W....	1,712	851	1,310	1,253
OH,N....	2,246	1,760	2,071	1,935
OH,S....	2,114	966	797	2,283
TN,E....	1,446	690	1,116	1,020
TN,M....	1,600	487	860	1,227
TN,W....	1,518	425	675	1,268

U.S. DISTRICT COURTS
BANKRUPTCY CODE PETITIONS COMMENCED, TERMINATED, AND PENDING
DURING THE TWELVE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DEC. 31, 1984

CIRCUIT AND DISTRICT	PENDING JAN. 1, 1984	COMMENCED*	TERMINATED	PENDING DEC. 31, 1984
KY,E....	5,801	2,379	1,903	6,277
KY,W....	6,487	4,281	5,392	5,376
MI,E....	8,947	5,788	7,190	7,545
MI,W....	7,589	3,151	2,910	7,830
OH,N....	16,610	9,565	9,724	16,451
OH,S....	16,316	10,139	8,856	17,599
TN,E....	7,758	4,351	4,089	8,020
TN,M....	5,234	3,346	3,095	5,485
TN,W....	11,329	6,321	6,146	11,504

*EXCLUDED FROM TOTAL COMMENCED:
PETITIONS FILED UNDER THE BANKRUPTCY ACT AND REOPENED
TOTAL PETITIONS COMMENCED (INCLUDING JOINT PETITIONS)