DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA SUPERIOR COURT, PROBATE DIVISION -- Customer Service Survey **COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF SEPTEMBER and FEBRUARY 2004 SURVEYS**

Total Respondents in September 155 **Total Respondents in February** 286

Among the respondents who identified their roles in the Court that day, over a third (36%) were attorneys in September. In February, attorneys were 47% of the respondents. Dercent (evaluding Dercent (evaluding Bereentage point

			Percent (excluding	Percent (excluding	Percentage point	
			no response)	no response)	change	
Role	Frequency	Percent	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sept	
Attorney	50	32.3	36.0	46.6	-10.6	
Party in a case	21	13.5	15.1	15.3	-0.2	
Title researcher	13	8.4	9.4	8.0	1.3	
Paralegal	4	2.6	2.9	2.8	0.1	
Other	53	34.2	38.1	29.7	8.4	
Total	139	89.7	100.0	100.0		
No Response	16	10.3	# respo	onding		
All surveys	155	100.0	139	249		

Nearly one quarter of the respondents (23%) came to the Court to review a file, and nearly one fifth (19%) to file pleadings. Comparable numbers in February were 35% and 25%, respectively. This corresponds to a decline in these two categories, with increases in visits regarding small estates and other matters.

				Percentage point
		Percent	Percent	change
Reason of Visit	Frequency	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sept
Review of a Court file	36	23.2	35.0	-11.7
Filing pleadings	30	19.4	24.8	-5.5
Filing will/Obtaining copies	8	5.2	13.3	-8.1
Inventory, accounting and fee compensation matters	9	5.8	9.8	-4.0
Intervention and conservatorship proceedings	12	7.7	9.4	-1.7
Small estates	27	17.4	8.0	9.4
Other	43	27.7	16.8	11.0
No Response	14	9.0	5.6	3.4
		# respondi	ng	
		141	270	

				Percentage point
		Percent	Percent	change
Reason of visit: Other, Categorized:	Frequency	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sept
Obtain forms/documents/information	13	8.4	7.3	1.0
Filing for extension/motion/disclaimers/accounts/guardian report	10	6.5	4.5	1.9
Bond	7	4.5	0.0	4.5
Other	5	3.2	2.1	1.1
Open an estate	4	2.6	1.0	1.5
Will probate	3	1.9	1.0	0.9
Speak to Deputy	1	0.6	0.3	0.3
Fiduciary appointment	0	0.0	0.3	-0.3
Total	43	27.7	16.8	

Over ninety percent of the respondents agreed or strongly agreed that the service they received in various areas of the Probate Division was courteous and responsive. Ninety seven percent agreed or strongly agreed they received assistance within 10 minutes of waiting, and 98% agreed or strongly agreed their visit was a positive experience. These results correspond to further improvements from February results of 95% and 96%, respectively. (even though statistically within the margin of errors). The highest improvement was for Deputy Register of Wills (a key driver of Overall Satisfaction), and the largest decline was for Guardianship of Minors Case Clerk.

		Percent Total	Percent	Percentage point			Change in mean
	Total Valid	Agree	Total Agree	change	Mean Score	Mean Score	score
Summary Table	Response	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sept	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sep
Q2- I received assistance within 10 minutes of waiting.	143	97.2	94.5	2.7	3.83	3.73	0.09
Q3- Overall, my visit today was a positive experience.	128	97.7	96.2	1.5	3.79	3.74	0.05
The service was courteous and responsive from:							
Q4.1- File Room Clerk	104	99.0	98.7	0.4	3.86	3.85	0.01
Q4.2- Adult Cons./Guard. Clerk	35	97.1	97.2	-0.1	3.83	3.79	0.04
Q4.3- Decedents' Estates or Guardianship of Minors Case Clerk	30	90.0	96.7	-6.7	3.57	3.77	-0.20
Q4.4- Deputy Register of Wills	50	96.0	93.9	2.1	3.82	3.63	0.19
Q4.5- Duty Auditor	30	96.7	100.0	-3.3	3.73	3.79	-0.06
Q4.6- Small Estates Specialist	40	97.5	100.0	-2.5	3.78	3.91	-0.14

Percent of responses strongly agreed to receiving assistance within 10 minutes of waiting increased from 82% in February to 87% in September. Percentage point Percent (including Percent (including Percent (excluding Percent (exc. N/O and no N/O and no N/O. excluding no N/O and no change Frequency responses) Sept responses) Sept response) Sept Mean Score resp) Feb from Feb to Sept Q2- I received assistance within 10 minutes of waiting. 3.83 5.0 4- Strongly agree 124 80.0 86.1 86.7 81.8 3- Agree 15 9.7 10.4 10.5 12.8 -2.3 2- Disagree 2 1.3 1.4 1.4 2.6 -1.2 1- Strongly disagree 2 1.3 1.4 1.4 2.9 -1.5 0- No opinion 0.6 0.7 Total 144 92.9 100.0 100.0 100.0 No Response 11 7.1 143 # of valid responses 274 155 100.0 All surveys

Statistically significant differences among respondents in February, based on the role in agreement that they received service within 10 minutes of waiting disappeared with higher percentages of respondents in most categories responding as strongly agree.

All of the attorneys responding to this question agreed or strongly agreed (87% strongly agreed) that they received service within 10 minutes of waiting. Comparable scores in February were 90% of the attorneys agreed or strongly agreed, with 75% strongly agreed. Additionally, all of the title researchers and parties in a case responding to this question agreed or strongly agreed they received service within 10 minutes of waiting.

Q2- I received assistance within 10 minutes of waiting. (Sept 2004)

	Attorney	Party in a case	Title researcher	Other	Paralegal	Total
	40	15	10	44	2	111
4- Strongly agree	87%	83%	83%	92%	67%	87%
	6	3	2	3	0	14
3- Agree	13%	17%	17%	6%	0%	11%
	0	0	0	1	1	2
2- Disagree	0%	0%	0%	2%	33%	2%
	0	0	0	0	0	0
1- Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	46	18	12	48	3	127
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Q2- I received assistance within 10 minutes of waiting. (Feb 2004)

	Attorney	Party in a case	Title researcher	Other	Paralegal	Total
	82	28	20	56	6	192
4- Strongly agree	75%	80%	100%	85%	86%	80%
	17	6	0	8	1	32
3- Agree	15%	17%	0%	12%	14%	13%
	5	1	0	1	0	7
2- Disagree	5%	3%	0%	2%	0%	3%
	6	0	0	1	0	8
1- Strongly disagree	5%	0%	0%	2%	0%	3%
	110	35	20	66	7	239
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Percent of responses strongly agreed to their visit being a positive experience increased from 79% in February to 83% in September. Percentage point Percent (including Percent (including Percent (excluding Percent (exc. N/O and no N/O and no N/O, excluding no N/O and no change responses) Sept responses) Sept response) Sept Mean Score resp) Feb from Feb to Sept Frequency Q3- Overall, my visit today was a positive experience. 3.79 4- Strongly agree 106 68.4 82.2 82.8 78.7 4.1 3- Agree 19 12.3 14.7 14.8 17.4 -2.6 2- Disagree 1 0.6 0.8 8.0 3.0 -2.2 2 1- Strongly disagree 1.3 1.6 1.6 0.9 0.7 0- No opinion 0.6 8.0 Total 129 83.2 100.0 100.0 100.0 128 # of valid responses 235 No Response 26 16.8 100.0 All surveys 155

Statistically significant differences among respondents in February, based on the role in agreement that their visit was a positive experience disappeared with higher percentages of respondents almost across the board responding as strongly agree.

All of the attorneys responded agreed or strongly agreed (83% strongly agreed) their visit was a positive experience. Comparable scores in February were 94% of the responding attorneys agreeing or strongly agreeing, with 73% strongly agreeing. Additionally, all of the parties in a case responding to this question agreed or strongly agreed (81% strongly agreed vs. 70% in February) their visit was a positive experience. There was a slight decline among title researchers from all of those responding to this question having agreed or strongly agreed in February to 91% of those responding agreed or strongly agreed in September that their visit was a positive experience.

Q3- Overall, my visit today was a positive experience, (Sept 2004)

	Attorney	Party in a case	Title researcher	Other	Paralegal	Total
	33	13	10	38	3	97
4- Strongly agree	83%	81%	83%	84%	100%	84%
	7	3	1	7	0	18
3- Agree	18%	19%	8%	16%	0%	16%
	0	0	0	0	0	0
2- Disagree	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	0	0	1	0	0	1
1- Strongly disagree	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	1%
	40	16	12	45	3	116
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Q3- Overall, my visit today was a positive experience. (Feb 2004)

	Attorney	Party in a case	Title researcher	Other	Paralegal	Total
	69	21	13	50	4	157
4- Strongly agree	73%	70%	87%	85%	67%	76%
	20	8	2	8	2	40
3- Agree	21%	27%	13%	14%	33%	19%
	5	1	0	0	0	7
2- Disagree	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	3%
	1	0	0	1	0	2
1- Strongly disagree	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%
	95	30	15	59	6	206
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

What Derives OVERALL SATISFACTION?

Regression analysis results from combined February and September data indicate that agreement on "receiving service within 10 minutes of waiting," and "courteous and responsive service from Deputy Register of Wills " have the strongest impact on having "a positive experience with the visit," followed by "courteous and responsive service from Small Estates Specialist" and "from File Room Clerk" and helpful information in brochures "When Someone Dies" and "Small Estate Proceedings."

Dependent Variable: Q3- Overall, my visit today was a positive experience	e.
Independent Variables	Beta Scores from Regression Analysis
Q2r- I received assistance within 10 minutes of waiting.	35%
Q4.4r- The service from Deputy Register of Wills was courteous and responsi	v 32%
Q4.6r- The service from Small Estates Specialist was courteous and responsi	v 20%
Q4.1r- The service from File Room Clerk was courteous and responsive	17%
Q7.1r- Information in the When Someone Dies brochure was helpful	14%
Q7.4r- Information in the Small Estate Proceedings brochure was helpful	11%

Almost all responding (99%) in both February and September surveys agreed or strongly agreed "the service from File Room Clerk was courteous and responsive".									
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point		
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change		
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept		
Q4.1- The service was courteous and responsive from: File	Room Clerk				3.83				
4- Strongly agree	90	58.1	77.6	86.5		86.7	-0.1		
3- Agree	13	8.4	11.2	12.5		12.0	0.5		
2- Disagree	1	0.6	0.9	1.0		0.9	0.1		
1- Strongly disagree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.4	-0.4		
0- No opinion	12	7.7	10.3						
Total	116	74.84	100.00	100.0		100.0			
No Response	39	25.16		104	# of valid responses	225			
All surveys	155	100.00							

Percent of strongly agree responses to courteous and responsive service from Adult Cons./Guard. Clerk slightly increased from 83% to 86%. Percent (including Percent (including Percent (excluding Percent (exc. Percentage point N/O. excluding no N/O and no N/O and no N/O and no change Frequency responses) Sept responses) Sept response) Sept Mean Score resp) Feb from Feb to Sept Q4.2- The service was courteous and responsive from: Adult Cons./Guard. Clerk 3.83 2.4 4- Strongly agree 30 19.4 53.6 85.7 83.3 3- Agree 4 2.6 7.1 11.4 13.9 -2.5 2- Disagree 1 0.6 1.8 2.9 1.4 1.5 1- Strongly disagree 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1.4 -1.4 0- No opinion 21 13.5 37.5 Total 56 36.1 100.0 100.0 100.0 No Response 99 63.9 35 # of valid responses 72 All surveys 155 100.0

All of the attorneys responding agreed or strongly agreed (91% strongly agreed) "the service from Adult Cons./Guard. Clerk was courteous and responsive". Comparable scores in February were 96% of the attorneys agreed or strongly agreed, with 79% strongly agreed.

Q4.2- The service was courteous and responsive from: Adult Cons./Guard. Clerk. (Sept 2004)

	Attorney	Party in a case	Title researcher	Other	Paralegal	Total
	10	0	4	9	1	24
4- Strongly agree	91%	0%	80%	100%	50%	83%
	1	1	1	0	1	4
3- Agree	9%	50%	20%	0%	50%	14%
	0	1	0	0	0	1
2- Disagree	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3%
	11	2	5	9	2	29
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Q4.2- The service was courteous and responsive from: Adult Cons./Guard. Clerk. (Feb 2004)

	Attorney	Party in a case	Title researcher	Other	Paralegal	Total
	22	8	4	16	2	52
4- Strongly agree	79%	100%	80%	76%	100%	81%
	5	0	1	4	0	10
3- Agree	18%	0%	20%	19%	0%	16%
	1	0	0	0	0	1
2- Disagree	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%
	0	0	0	1	0	1
1- Strongly disagree	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	2%
	28	8	5	21	2	64
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

The scores in the area of "courteous and responsive service from Decedents' Estates or Guardianship of Minors Case Clerk" experienced highest decline between February and September surveys with 90% agreed or strongly agreed in September vs. 97% in February.

		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q4.3- The service was courteous and responsive	from: Decedents' Estates	or Guardianship o	f Minors Case Cler	k	3.57		
4- Strongly agree	22	14.2	44.0	73.3		80.0	-6.7
3- Agree	5	3.2	10.0	16.7		16.7	0.0
2- Disagree	1	0.6	2.0	3.3		3.3	0.0
1- Strongly disagree	2	1.3	4.0	6.7		0.0	6.7
0- No opinion	20	12.9	40.0				
Total	50	32.3	100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	105	67.7		30	# of valid responses	60	
All surveys	155	100.0					

Percent of <u>strongly</u> agree responses to *courteous and responsive service from Deputy Register of Wills*, a key driver of overall satisfaction showed a significant increase from 73% to 86%.

		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
Fr	equency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q4.4- The service was courteous and responsive from: Deputy Re	egister o	f Wills			3.82		
4- Strongly agree	43	27.7	64.2	86.0		72.8	13.2
3- Agree	5	3.2	7.5	10.0		21.1	-11.1
2- Disagree	2	1.3	3.0	4.0		2.6	1.4
1- Strongly disagree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		3.5	-3.5
0- No opinion	17	11.0	25.4				
Total	67	43.2	100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	88	56.8		50	# of valid responses	114	
All surveys	155	100.0					
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
Fr	equency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q4.5- The service was courteous and responsive from: Duty Audi	tor				3.72		
4- Strongly agree	24	15.5	49.0	80.0		79.2	0.8
3- Agree	5	3.2	10.2	16.7		20.8	-4.2
1- Strongly disagree	1	0.6	2.0	3.3		0.0	3.3
0- No opinion	19	12.3	38.8				
Total	49	31.6	100.0	100.0		100.0	

Percent of <u>strongly</u> agree responses to *courteous and responsive service from Small Estates Specialist* showed a decline from 91% in February to 83% in September. However, due to small sample size, this difference was not statistically significant.

68.4

100.0

106

155

No Response

All surveys

		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q4.6- The service was courteous and responsive from: Small	l Estates Spec	cialist			3.78		_
4- Strongly agree	33	21.3	56.9	82.5		91.3	-8.8
3- Agree	6	3.9	10.3	15.0		8.7	6.3
1- Strongly disagree	1	0.6	1.7	2.5		0.0	2.5
0- No opinion	18	11.6	31.0				
Total	58	37.4	100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	97	62.6		40	# of valid responses	46	
All surveys	155	100.0					
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		Percent (including N/O and no	Percent (including N/O, excluding no	Percent (excluding N/O and no		Percent (exc. N/O and no	Percentage point change
	Frequency	, ,		, ,	Mean Score	,	• .
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Other		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no	Mean Score 3.90	N/O and no	change
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Other 4- Strongly agree		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
•	, ,	N/O and no responses) Sept	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept	N/O and no response) Sept		N/O and no resp) Feb	change from Feb to Sept
4- Strongly agree	, ,	N/O and no responses) Sept	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept	N/O and no response) Sept		N/O and no resp) Feb	change from Feb to Sept
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree	, ,	N/O and no responses) Sept 11.6 1.3	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 52.9 5.9	N/O and no response) Sept 90.0 10.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 74.1 14.8	change from Feb to Sept 15.9 -4.8
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree	18 2 0 0	N/O and no responses) Sept 11.6 1.3 0.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 52.9 5.9 0.0	N/O and no response) Sept 90.0 10.0 0.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 74.1 14.8 3.7	change from Feb to Sept 15.9 -4.8 -3.7
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total	18 2 0	N/O and no responses) Sept 11.6 1.3 0.0 0.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 52.9 5.9 0.0 0.0	N/O and no response) Sept 90.0 10.0 0.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 74.1 14.8 3.7 7.4	change from Feb to Sept 15.9 -4.8 -3.7
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion	18 2 0 0	N/O and no responses) Sept 11.6 1.3 0.0 0.0 9.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 52.9 5.9 0.0 0.0 41.2	N/O and no response) Sept 90.0 10.0 0.0	3.90	N/O and no resp) Feb 74.1 14.8 3.7 7.4	change from Feb to Sept 15.9 -4.8 -3.7

30 # of valid responses

72

Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from:		Percent
Other (Categorized)	Frequency	Sept 2004
Order Desk	2	1.3
Surety Bond	2	1.3
Robert Nielubowski	1	0.6
Mr. LaBriola	1	0.6
Cashier	2	1.3
Secretary	1	0.6
Gdn for minor's prop	1	0.6
Gurtz	1	0.6
Customer service	1	0.6
Ms. Hill Total	1 13	0.6 8.4
Total	13	0.4
	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Order	Desk	
4- Strongly agree	2	100.0
Total	2	100.0
	_	D 10 1
O47 The semiles was sounteened and recognize from County	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Surety	Bona 1	50.0
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree	1	50.0 50.0
Total	2	100.0
Total	2	100.0
	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Rober		
4- Strongly agree	1	100.0
Total	1	100.0
	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Mr. La		
4- Strongly agree	1	100.0
Total	1	100.0
	Fraguency	Porcont Sont
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Cashie	Frequency	Percent Sept
4- Strongly agree	2	100.0
Total	2	100.0
	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Secret	ary	
4- Strongly agree	1	100.0
Total	1	100.0
	_	
O47 The complex was assumed as a discount of the C47	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Gdn fo	-	400.0
4- Strongly agree	1	100.0 100.0
Total	1	100.0
	Frequency	Percent Sept
Q4.7- The service was courteous and responsive from: Custon		r diddir dopt
4- Strongly agree	1	100.0
Total	1	100.0

Twenty-three percent of the respondents received a	<mark>brochure in ad</mark>	vance of the visit,	and all but one w	ere in English.	
					Percentage point
		Percent (including	Percent (excluding	Percent (excluding no	change
Q5- Received any brochures in advance of visit	Frequency	no responses) Sept	no response) Sept	response) Feb	from Feb to Sept
No	105	67.7	74.5	80.4	-6.0
Yes	36	23.2	25.5	19.6	6.0
Total	141	91.0	100.0	100.0	
No Response	14	9.0			
All surveys	155	100.0			

				Percentage point change
Q6- Language of brochure	Frequency	Percent Sept	Percent Feb	from Feb to Sept
English	47	30.3	23.8	6.5
Spanish	1	0.6	0.0	0.6
No Response	107	69.0	76.2	-7.2
Total	155	100.0	100.0	

The highest increase in agreed or strongly agreed that the information in the brochure was helpful between February and September surveys was for *Opening a Probate* Proceeding brochure, followed by Small Estate Proceedings and When Someone Dies brochures. The largest decline in scores between February and September was for the Guardianship and Conservatorship of Adults brochure. In addition, Guardianship for Minor brochure received one of the lowest scores. Since the helpfulness of information in this area has an impact on overall customer satisfaction, any improvements in this brochure can help improve the overall satisfaction scores.

		Percent Total	Percent	Percentage point			Change in mean
	Total Valid	Agree	Total Agree	change	Mean Score	Mean Score	score
Summary Table- Information in the brochure was helpful:	Response	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sept	Sept 2004	Feb 2004	from Feb to Sep
Q7.1- When Someone Dies	21	95.2	91.9	3.3	3.76	3.57	0.19
Q7.2- Opening a Probate Proceeding	24	95.8	90.5	5.4	3.79	3.52	0.27
Q7.3- Guardianship of Minor	14	85.7	88.0	-2.3	3.64	3.44	0.20
Q7.4- Small Estate Proceedings	25	96.0	91.7	4.3	3.72	3.61	0.11
Q7.5- General Info- Small Estates	18	88.9	90.9	-2.0	3.72	3.58	0.15
Q7.6- Guardianship and Conservatorship of Adults	13	76.9	85.7	-8.8	3.38	3.36	0.03
Q7.7- Conservatorship	10	80.0	79.2	0.8	3.40	3.33	0.07
Q7.8- Record Keeping and Filing Duties	12	91.7	90.0	1.7	3.67	3.63	0.03

		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q7.1- Information in the brochure was helpful: When Someone	Dies				3.76		
4- Strongly agree	17	11.0	38.6	81.0		70.3	10.7
3- Agree	3	1.9	6.8	14.3		21.6	-7.3
2- Disagree	1	0.6	2.3	4.8		2.7	2.1
1- Strongly disagree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		5.4	-5.4
0- No opinion	23	14.8	52.3				
Total	44	28.4	100.0	100.0		100.0	·
No Response	111	71.6		21	# of valid responses	37	
All surveys	155	100.0					

		Percent (including N/O and no	Percent (including N/O, excluding no	Percent (excluding N/O and no		Percent (exc. N/O and no	Percentage point change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q7.2- Information in the brochure was hel	1 7		100,000,000,000	100,000,000,000,000	3.79	100[7]	
4- Strongly agree	20	12.9	43.5	83.3		66.7	16.7
3- Agree	3	1.9	6.5	12.5		23.8	-11.3
2- Disagree	1	0.6	2.2	4.2		4.8	-0.6
1- Strongly disagree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		4.8	-4.8
0- No opinion	22	14.2	47.8				
Total	46	29.7	100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	109	70.3		24	# of valid responses	42	
All surveys	155	100.0					
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q7.3- Information in the brochure was he	•				3.64		
4- Strongly agree	12	7.7	31.6	85.7		64.0	21.7
3- Agree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		24.0	-24.0
2- Disagree	1	0.6	2.6	7.1		4.0	3.1
1- Strongly disagree	1	0.6	2.6	7.1		8.0	-0.9
0- No opinion	24	15.5	63.2				
Total	38	24.5	100.0	100.0	<i>u</i>	100.0	
No Response	117	75.5		14	# of valid responses	25	
All surveys	155	100.0					
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		Percent (including N/O and no	Percent (including N/O, excluding no	Percent (excluding N/O and no		Percent (exc. N/O and no	Percentage point change
	Frequency	N/O and no	`	` `	Mean Score		change
Q7.4- Information in the brochure was he		, ,	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no	Mean Score 3.72	N/O and no	• .
Q7.4- Information in the brochure was held-Strongly agree		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings	N/O and no responses) Sept	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept	N/O and no response) Sept		N/O and no resp) Feb	change from Feb to Sept
4- Strongly agree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings	N/O and no responses) Sept	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept	N/O and no response) Sept		N/O and no resp) Feb	change from Feb to Sept
4- Strongly agree3- Agree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6
4- Strongly agree3- Agree2- Disagree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6
4- Strongly agree3- Agree2- Disagree1- Strongly disagree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0		N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0	3.72	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0	3.72	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25	3.72	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc.	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no	# of valid responses	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc.	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept	# of valid responses	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was held	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency Ipful: General Info- Information in	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was held 4- Strongly agree 3- Agree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency Ipful: General Info- Information in 15	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7 0.6	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7 2.4	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3 5.6	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb 72.7 18.2	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6 -12.6
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was held 4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency Ipful: General Info- Information in 15 1	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7 0.6 1.3	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7 2.4 4.8	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3 5.6 11.1	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb 72.7 18.2 3.0	Change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6 -12.6 8.1
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was held 4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency Ipful: General Info- Information in 15 1 2 0	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7 0.6 1.3 0.0	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7 2.4 4.8 0.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3 5.6	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb 72.7 18.2	change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6 -12.6
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was hel 4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency Ipful: General Info- Information in 15 1 2 0 24	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7 0.6 1.3 0.0 15.5	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7 2.4 4.8 0.0 57.1	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3 5.6 11.1 0.0	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb 72.7 18.2 3.0 6.1	Change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6 -12.6 8.1
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was hel 4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total	Small Estate Proceedings	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7 0.6 1.3 0.0 15.5 27.1	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7 2.4 4.8 0.0	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3 5.6 11.1 0.0	# of valid responses Mean Score 3.72	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb 72.7 18.2 3.0 6.1	Change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6 -12.6 8.1
4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion Total No Response All surveys Q7.5- Information in the brochure was hel 4- Strongly agree 3- Agree 2- Disagree 1- Strongly disagree 0- No opinion	Ipful: Small Estate Proceedings 19 5 1 0 24 49 106 155 Frequency Ipful: General Info- Information in 15 1 2 0 24	N/O and no responses) Sept 12.3 3.2 0.6 0.0 15.5 31.6 68.4 100.0 Percent (including N/O and no responses) Sept 1 the brochure was 9.7 0.6 1.3 0.0 15.5	N/O, excluding no responses) Sept 38.8 10.2 2.0 0.0 49.0 100.0 Percent (including N/O, excluding no responses) Sept helpful: Small Estat 35.7 2.4 4.8 0.0 57.1	N/O and no response) Sept 76.0 20.0 4.0 0.0 100.0 25 Percent (excluding N/O and no response) Sept es 83.3 5.6 11.1 0.0	# of valid responses Mean Score	N/O and no resp) Feb 72.2 19.4 5.6 2.8 100.0 36 Percent (exc. N/O and no resp) Feb 72.7 18.2 3.0 6.1	Change from Feb to Sept 3.8 0.6 -1.6 -2.8 Percentage point change from Feb to Sept 10.6 -12.6 8.1

		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
	_	N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q7.6- Information in the brochure was helpful: Guardianship		•			3.38		
4- Strongly agree	9	5.8	23.1	69.2		57.1	12.1
3- Agree	1	0.6	2.6	7.7		28.6	-20.9
2- Disagree	2	1.3	5.1	15.4		7.1	8.2
1- Strongly disagree	1	0.6	2.6	7.7		7.1	0.5
0- No opinion	26	16.8	66.7				
Total	39	25.2	100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	116	74.8		13	# of valid responses	28	
All surveys	155	100.0					
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q7.7- Information in the brochure was helpful: Conservators	hip	. , ,			3.40		•
4- Strongly agree	. 6	3.9	16.7	60.0		62.5	-2.5
3- Agree	2	1.3	5.6	20.0		16.7	3.3
2- Disagree	2	1.3	5.6	20.0		12.5	7.5
1- Strongly disagree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		8.3	-8.3
0- No opinion	26	16.8	72.2	0.0		0.0	0.0
Total	36	23.2	100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	119	76.8			# of valid responses	24	
All surveys	155	100.0		10	" or valid reoperioes		
7 iii dai voyd	100	100.0					
		Percent (including	Percent (including	Percent (excluding		Percent (exc.	Percentage point
		N/O and no	N/O, excluding no	N/O and no		N/O and no	change
	Frequency	responses) Sept	responses) Sept	response) Sept	Mean Score	resp) Feb	from Feb to Sept
Q7.8- Information in the brochure was helpful: Record Keepi			responses, copt	теоропое, еерг	3.67	1000/100	поштев то осрг
4- Strongly agree	9	5.8	22.5	75.0	0.07	76.7	-1.7
3- Agree	2	1.3	5.0	16.7		13.3	3.3
2- Disagree	1	0.6	2.5	8.3		6.7	1.7
1- Strongly disagree	0	0.0	0.0	0.0		3.3	-3.3
• • •	-			0.0		3.3	-3.3
0- No opinion Total	28 40	18.1 25.8	70.0 100.0	100.0		100.0	
No Response	115	25.8 74.2	100.0		# of valid responses	30	
·	_			12	# or valid responses	30	
All surveys	155	100.0					
					Percentage point		
		Percent (including	Percent (excluding	Percent (excluding no			
Q8- Reason for disagreement in Q7	Frequency	no responses) Sept		response) Feb	from Feb to Sept		
Not detailed enough	2	1.3	40.0	37.5			
Did not have time to read	3	1.9	60.0	50.0			
Other	3	1.9	00.0	12.5			
				12.5			
Total	_	2.0	100.00				
Total	5	3.2	100.00	87.5			
Total No Response All surveys	5 150 155	3.2 96.8 100.0	100.00				

The most frequently mentioned suggestions for improvement was "public access to computers" suggested by 9% of those who made a suggestion. Twenty-eight percent of those who made an additional comment/suggestion commented on overall excellent service and 35% reported that everything was fine as it is.

	F	Percent (including	Percent (excluding P	ercent (excluding no	
Suggestions for improvement (Categorized)	Frequency no	responses) Sept	no response) Sept	response) Feb	
No, things are fine	16	10.3	34.8	23.9	
Comment on overall excellent service	13	8.4	28.3	21.7	
Repair public access computer and install more terminals	4	2.6	8.7	2.2	
Comment on outstanding service received from a particular emplo	3	1.9	6.5	13.0	
Train staff in courtesy and public service	2	1.3	4.3	8.7	
Comment on disappointing service received from particular emplo	2	1.3	4.3	3.3	
Need for more staff	2	1.3	4.3	15.2	
Copier availability	2	1.3	4.3		
There has been an improvement compared to a previous visit	1	0.6	2.2	3.3	
Train staff in complexities of proceedings	1	0.6	2.2		
Total	46	29.7	100.0		
No Response	109	70.3	# respo	nding	
All surveys	155	100.0	46	92	
			30%	32%	