

### Validity Testing Information Part I

Laboratory Name: National Toxicology Lab, Inc  
Address: 1100 California Ave, Bakerfield, CA  
Responsible Person: Thomas C. Sneath (Printed Name)

I certify that the answers and information provided are true and correct as of this date. Any false, fictitious, or fraudulent answers or information provided may violate Federal Law and could subject me to prosecution, monetary penalties, or both (Sec 18 U.S.C. 1001; 31 U.S.C. 3801-812).

*Thomas C. Sneath*  
Signature, Responsible Person

10/4/00  
Date

Thomas C. Sneath  
Printed Name, Responsible Person

## Validity Testing Information Part II

Conduct an audit of all DOT regulated specimens from the date your laboratory started validity testing. Summarize your findings in an Excel spread sheet in both hard copy and electronic format. Provide the following information in a separate column of the spreadsheet/audit for each DOT regulated specimen that was reported either adulterated or substituted:

*Note: Retain a copy of this information to ensure that you would be able to retrieve additional data.*

I certify that the answers and information provided are true and correct as of this date. Any false, fictitious, or fraudulent answers or information provided may violate Federal Law and could subject me to prosecution, monetary penalties, or both (Sec 18 U.S.C. 1001; 31 U.S.C. 3801-812).

Thomas Seath  
Signature, Responsible Person

10/4/00  
Date

Thomas Seath  
Printed Name, Responsible Person



RESEARCH TRIANGLE INSTITUTE

National Laboratory Certification Program

November 2, 2000

0154  
Mr. Thomas Sneath  
Mr. Hasmukh Shah  
National Toxicology Laboratories, Inc.  
1100 California Avenue  
Bakersfield, CA 93304

Dear Mr. Sneath and Mr. Shah:

The enclosed critique was developed from the inspection report associated with the October 18, 2000, specimen validity testing inspection of your laboratory under the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP). The laboratory's procedures appeared to be in compliance with program guidance issued in Program Document 035 (September 28, 1998) and Program Document 037 (July 28, 1999).

The laboratory must review the enclosed critique and take all necessary corrective actions. All corrective actions must be implemented within 30 days receipt of this correspondence and will be reviewed at the next inspection.

If you have any questions or if we can be of further assistance, please call me at (919) 541-6176 or Dr. Michael Baylor at (919) 541-7043.

Sincerely,

Susan Crumpton  
NLCP Technical Analyst

Enclosure

cc: Project Files/svt154

---

## NATIONAL LABORATORY CERTIFICATION PROGRAM

---

### Document Review and Critique

---

Laboratory I.D. Number: 0154  
Document No. Final

Laboratory: National Toxicology Laboratories, Inc.

Location: Bakersfield, CA

Document Reviewed:  Specimen Validity Testing Inspection Report

Date: 18 October 2000

---

A review of the National Laboratory Certification Program (NLCP) consensus inspection report has been completed. Issues identified during the inspection are described on the following pages. Evidence that appropriate remedial action has been taken is required for continued certification.

The following comments were noted, and appear in the same order as the corresponding questions in the Laboratory Inspection Report:

Section E. Standard Operating Procedures - Procedures Manual

---

Section F. Chain-of-Custody, Accessioning, and Security

Section G. Quality Control and Quality Assurance

Section I. Specimen Validity Tests

Section K. Records Audit

---

Section L. Certification and Reporting

---