Department of Health and Human Services

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

USAGE AND DOCUMENTATION OF HOME OXYGEN THERAPY



JUNE GIBBS BROWN Inspector General

AUGUST 1999 OEI-03-96-00090

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services programs as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by them. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide program of audits, investigations, inspections, sanctions, and fraud alerts. The Inspector General informs the Secretary of program and management problems and recommends legislative, regulatory, and operational approaches to correct them.

Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) is one of several components of the Office of Inspector General. It conducts short-term management and program evaluations (called inspections) that focus on issues of concern to the Department, the Congress, and the public. The inspection reports provide findings and recommendations on the efficiency, vulnerability, and effectiveness of departmental programs.

OEI's Philadelphia office prepared this report under the direction of Robert Vito, Regional Inspector General, and Linda Ragone, Deputy Regional Inspector General. Principal OEI staff included:

REGION

HEADQUARTERS

Robert Katz Lauren McNulty Amy Sernyak Brian Ritchie, *Program Analyst*Elise Stein, *Program Specialist*Bambi Straw, *Program Specialist*Barbara Tedesco, *Mathematical Statistician*Vanessa Blankenship
James Grossman
Paul Heineman
Kimberly Hughes
Candace Sullivan

To obtain copies of this report, please call the Philadelphia Regional Office at (800) 531-9562. Reports are also available on the World Wide Web at our home page address:

http://www.dhhs.gov/progorg/oei

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE

To determine if supplier documentation accurately reflects beneficiaries' medical need and reported use of home oxygen therapy.

BACKGROUND

Medicare covers home oxygen therapy for beneficiaries diagnosed with significant hypoxemia (a deficiency in the amount of oxygen in the blood). Home oxygen therapy accounts for the largest share of Medicare payments for durable medical equipment. Medicare allowances for oxygen equipment totaled over \$2 billion in 1997.

Suppliers of home oxygen equipment submit claims to the Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carriers for processing and payment. According to Medicare guidelines, oxygen suppliers must submit Certificates of Medical Necessity with oxygen claims, and keep original CMNs in their records. Home oxygen CMNs must contain sufficient medical information, including diagnoses and results of laboratory tests, to establish that beneficiaries meet Medicare coverage requirements.

For this inspection, we collected data from Medicare beneficiaries with paid claims for oxygen equipment in 1996 and the durable medical equipment suppliers who provided this equipment.

FINDINGS

Nearly one-quarter of oxygen Certificates of Medical Necessity were inaccurate or incomplete.

While almost all beneficiaries used their stationary oxygen equipment, 13 percent of beneficiaries reported never using their portable systems.

Suppliers reported providing services, but were unable to fully document all of these services.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To address the vulnerabilities identified in this report, we recommend that the Health Care Financing Administration:

Ensure that system edits in place at the DMERCs are able to identify incomplete CMNs, and delay payments for oxygen equipment claims until complete CMNs are submitted. The HCFA should also conduct periodic checks to ensure that original CMNs signed by beneficiaries' physicians and kept on file by oxygen suppliers confirm the electronic versions of CMNs that suppliers submit to Medicare carriers.

Target oxygen equipment claims for focused medical review. We realize that it would not be manageable or cost effective to perform extensive reviews on all oxygen equipment claims. However, we believe that our findings raise issues that can only be addressed through individual case review.

Work to quickly establish specific service standards for home oxygen equipment suppliers as mandated by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. As part of these standards, we believe that suppliers should be required to maintain adequate documentation to verify the provision of equipment and patient services.

Continue to alert physicians to the critical role they play in determining beneficiaries' medical need for and utilization of medical equipment paid for by Medicare. In a January 1999 Fraud Alert concerning physician certification of the need for medical supplies and services, our office emphasized that, by signing CMNs, physicians are attesting that information on the entire CMN is true, accurate, and complete to the best of their knowledge.

AGENCY COMMENTS

We received comments on our draft report from HCFA. The full text of these comments can be found in Appendix C. A summary and our response follows.

HCFA Comments

The HCFA concurred with all of our recommendations. They reported that current policy calls for DMERC systems to edit required fields on electronic CMNs for completeness. The HCFA also stated that the file copy CMNs the OIG reviewed are used primarily for suppliers' own records, and therefore may be abbreviated and may not include all the information the suppliers submit electronically. Nevertheless, HCFA agreed they should make it clear to suppliers that file copy CMNs signed by physicians should contain all the information suppliers' submit electronically.

Based on the information presented in our report, HCFA commented that portable oxygen systems might prove to be a fruitful target for focused medical review. The HCFA also indicated that they are planning to develop a regulation that will set service standards for home oxygen equipment suppliers.

The HCFA also provided two technical comments. With respect to our finding that Medicare paid \$263 million in 1996 for oxygen equipment covered by inaccurate or incomplete CMNs, HCFA stated this figure does not necessarily represent payments made for medically unnecessary or unreasonable services. The agency also believed it is important to clarify why laboratory reports did not confirm test results detailed on the CMNs, and to distinguish between test results recorded on CMNs that could not be supported by suppliers' records and test results recorded on CMNs that differed from suppliers' records.

OIG RESPONSE

We appreciate HCFA's positive response to our recommendations, and we concur with their proposed actions. We appreciate the fact that HCFA agreed to make it clear to suppliers that CMNs kept on file should contain all the information submitted electronically. While some suppliers may have retained only abbreviated versions of the CMN in their files, this practice is not in keeping with current policy. The *DMERC Supplier Manuals* require suppliers to keep the original CMN signed by the physician on file, along with any additional medical necessity information. This CMN must include all required information and be filled out completely and accurately. We believe, in most cases, the information from this file copy CMN is used to develop the final electronic version of the CMN that is submitted for claims processing. Therefore, in order to meet the requirements for coverage of oxygen therapy, file copies of the CMNs we reviewed should have been complete and accurate. As presented in this report, we did not always find this to be the case.

In response to HCFA's technical comments, we agree the \$263 million for oxygen equipment supported by inaccurate or incomplete CMNs does not presuppose a finding of medically unnecessary services. Rather, this estimate represents services where suppliers did not provide us with the complete documentary support required for Medicare reimbursement. With regard to HCFA's comments about laboratory test results, we have revised the relevant heading in the report. Our finding only relates to suppliers who provided laboratory test results for our review. When we report non-confirming test results, this refers only to laboratory tests where information from the actual lab report differed from information listed on the CMN.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAG	Ε
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
NTRODUCTION	5
FINDINGS 1	0
Inaccurate and Incomplete Certificates of Medical Necessity	0
Use of Oxygen Equipment	. 1
Lack of Service Documentation	3
RECOMMENDATIONS	5
APPENDICES	
A: Estimates and Confidence Intervals	7
B: Certificate of Medical Necessity for Home Oxygen (Form HCFA-484) 2	23
C: Comments on the Draft Report	24

INTRODUCTION

PURPOSE

To determine if supplier documentation accurately reflects beneficiaries' medical need and reported use of home oxygen therapy.

BACKGROUND

Medicare Payment Policies and Expenditures

Home oxygen therapy accounts for the largest share of Medicare payments for durable medical equipment (DME). Medicare payments for oxygen equipment more than doubled between 1992 and 1996, increasing from about \$900 million in 1992 to about \$1.9 billion in 1996. Medicare allowances for oxygen equipment totaled over \$2 billion in 1997.

Medicare reimburses suppliers for home oxygen equipment based on monthly fee schedule allowances which vary by State. These monthly fee schedule allowances cover the oxygen equipment, oxygen contents including all refills, equipment set-up and maintenance, and patient training. During the period of our review, monthly fee schedule allowances were about \$300 for stationary oxygen equipment rentals, and about \$50 for portable oxygen equipment rentals. The 1997 Balanced Budget Act legislated oxygen fee schedule reductions totaling 30 percent, with a 25 percent cut effective January 1, 1998 and a further 5 percent cut effective January 1, 1999.

Medicare Coverage of Home Oxygen Therapy

Section 1861 (S)6 of the Social Security Act authorizes Medicare coverage of home oxygen therapy. Medicare covers home oxygen therapy for beneficiaries diagnosed with significant hypoxemia (a deficiency in the amount of oxygen in the blood). This condition is usually associated with severe respiratory problems. Medicare beneficiaries may qualify for home oxygen therapy if 1) their ability to breathe is severely impaired, 2) laboratory tests demonstrate medical need for oxygen therapy, 3) the attending physician prescribes oxygen therapy, and 4) alternative treatment modalities have been tried or considered and deemed clinically ineffective.

Oxygen delivery systems fall into two categories: stationary systems and portable systems. Beneficiaries may qualify for rental of stationary and/or portable oxygen systems. Stationary oxygen equipment includes oxygen concentrators, liquid systems, and gas systems. Oxygen concentrators are electrically powered machines which generate higher

concentrations of oxygen from room air. Gas and liquid oxygen systems administer oxygen directly from tanks or cylinders. Portable oxygen equipment includes small liquid cylinders and small gas tanks. These systems allow patients to perform activities away from their stationary units, including activities outside the home.

Medical Documentation

Initial oxygen claims sent to the DMERCs must include a Certificate of Medical Necessity (CMN) for home oxygen therapy. Most suppliers submit claims and CMNs electronically. The CMN must contain sufficient medical information, including diagnoses and results of laboratory tests, to establish that the beneficiary meets coverage requirements. The CMN must be completed, signed, and dated by the ordering physician. According to Medicare guidelines, oxygen suppliers must submit appropriate CMNs with oxygen claims, and keep original CMNs in their records. The DMERCs revised the original home oxygen CMN (Form HCFA-484) and required suppliers to submit the revised version (Form HCFA-484.2) with claims after April 1, 1998. A copy of Form HCFA-484 is provided in Appendix B.

Medicare requires documentation of hypoxemia, as demonstrated by the results of either an arterial blood gas test (PaO₂ or PO₂) or an oxygen saturation test (O₂Sat). These tests measure the amount of oxygen in a patient's blood. Arterial blood gas tests provide a more precise and reliable measurement. Medicare guidelines stipulate that arterial blood gas test results are preferred for documenting medical necessity.

For coverage purposes, Medicare classifies beneficiaries into one of three groups depending on the results of arterial blood gas or oxygen saturation tests.

Group I. Medicare covers home oxygen therapy if a patient's arterial blood gas test result is at or below 55 mm Hg or their oxygen saturation test result is at or below 88 percent, at rest. Coverage is also available if the patient has higher blood gas levels at rest, but meets required levels during exercise or sleep. Physicians must re-certify beneficiaries in this group after 12 months of therapy, and suppliers must submit re-certification CMNs with oxygen claims for the 13th month of therapy.

Group II. Coverage is available if a patient's arterial blood gas test result is between 56 and 59 mm Hg or their oxygen saturation test result equals 89 percent, and there is evidence of 1) dependent edema suggesting congestive heart failure, 2) pulmonary hypertension or cor pulmonale, or 3) erythrocythemia with a hematocrit greater than 56 percent. Physicians must re-certify beneficiaries in this group if their oxygen therapy will continue beyond three months. Suppliers must submit re-certification CMNs containing new arterial blood gas or oxygen saturation test results with claims for the fourth month of therapy.

Group III. According to the Medicare Coverage Issues Manual Section 60-4, carriers must apply a "rebuttable presumption" of non-coverage for a patient with an arterial blood gas test result at or above 60 mm Hg, or an oxygen saturation test result at or above 90 percent. Physicians must submit additional evidence to carrier medical reviewers to justify the medical need for oxygen therapy in order for Medicare to reimburse claims in this group. According to the Coverage Issues Manual, "HCFA expects few claims to be approved for coverage in this category."

METHODOLOGY

Sampling

We selected a stratified random sample of Medicare beneficiaries with paid claims for stationary and/or portable oxygen equipment. Beneficiaries were selected from a database containing 5 percent of home oxygen therapy claims paid by Medicare in 1996. The 5 percent file was created from the 1996 HCFA Common Working File, which was 89 percent complete at the time of sample selection.

We designed a sample with three strata using two stratification criteria - total allowance and number of months of billings per beneficiary. Stratum 1 contains all beneficiaries in the 5 percent file whose total allowances for oxygen equipment were greater than \$4500. Stratum 2 contains beneficiaries with 4 or more months of billings in 1996. Stratum 3 contains beneficiaries with 3 or fewer months of billings in 1996. We selected a total of 801 beneficiaries. After removing deceased beneficiaries identified through the Medicare Beneficiary Enrollment Database, our working sample contained 529 beneficiaries. A description of each stratum is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Beneficiary Sample Specifications

Strata	Criteria	Population	Selected Sample	Working Sample
1	Total allowances per beneficiary > \$4500	107	107	86
2	Beneficiaries with ≥ 4 months of billings	24689	408	304
3	Beneficiaries with ≤ 3 months of billings	8499	286	139
Total		33295	801	529

Data Collection and Analysis

We collected data from Medicare beneficiaries and their suppliers from August 1997 to April 1998. The Survey Data Analysis (SUDAAN) software package was used to compute point estimates and corresponding 95 percent confidence intervals. We used sample beneficiaries' claims for oxygen equipment to compute allowance totals. (Point estimates and confidence intervals for all statistics presented in the Findings of this report are provided in Appendix A.)

It is important to note that the sampling unit for this inspection was the Medicare beneficiary; therefore, we cannot generalize supplier-specific data to the population of home oxygen suppliers. This information is only representative of the group of suppliers who provided oxygen to sample beneficiaries.

Beneficiary data. We designed a telephone survey instrument to collect data from sample beneficiaries. Survey items focused on the types of oxygen equipment used, frequency and duration of daily oxygen use, portable system use, and frequency of supplier service visits. We completed telephone interviews with 303 of the 529 beneficiaries in our sample, a 57 percent response rate. Of non-respondents, 10 percent were beneficiaries for whom we could not find phone numbers, 18 percent could not be reached by phone after multiple attempts, 13 percent refused to participate, and 2 percent were beneficiaries for whom we had incomplete surveys. Based on beneficiary responses, we determined whether and to what extent beneficiaries were using their stationary and portable oxygen systems, and whether and how often beneficiaries received portable oxygen refills and equipment service visits from suppliers.

Supplier and CMN Data. We developed a self-administered survey instrument for all suppliers who billed Medicare for sample beneficiaries' oxygen therapy claims in 1996. We mailed 605 survey instruments to these suppliers. Many of the questions on the supplier survey mirrored those on the beneficiary survey, including questions about oxygen equipment and supplier services provided to sample beneficiaries. We requested that suppliers provide copies of sample beneficiaries' oxygen CMNS, as well as lab reports showing arterial blood gas and/or oxygen saturation test results. Of the 605 surveys mailed, 91 percent (n=550) were completed and returned.

Based on supplier survey responses, we determined the types of stationary and portable equipment provided to beneficiaries, and the number of portable oxygen refills and equipment service visits provided to beneficiaries. In almost all cases, suppliers submitted file copy CMNS rather than printouts of electronic transmission CMNS. After reviewing all CMNS submitted with each supplier survey, we identified the earliest dated CMN covering oxygen therapy provided in 1996. Herein, this CMN will be referred to as the review CMN. All review CMNS were original forms (HCFA-484) in effect prior to DMERC revision. We used the review CMN to complete a series of questions regarding

document completeness, test results and dates, equipment prescription and justification, and re-certification.

After receiving completed supplier survey instruments, we selected a small sub-sample of 26 suppliers for a follow-up documentation review. All of these suppliers indicated that they had provided portable oxygen refills and/or oxygen equipment service visits between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1997. In a mail request, we asked these suppliers to submit documentation to support their portable refill activity and/or equipment service visits. Twenty-three of the 26 suppliers provided the requested materials. One supplier submitted only a partial response, which we excluded from our review. Finally, two suppliers did not respond to the mail request despite multiple attempts to secure requested documentation.

This study was conducted in accordance with the *Quality Standards for Inspections* issued by the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency.

FINDINGS

Nearly one-quarter of oxygen Certificates of Medical Necessity were inaccurate or incomplete

Twenty-three percent of the oxygen CMNS we reviewed had one or more of the following problems: incompleteness, non-confirming lab reports, and lack of justification for portable oxygen where portable claims were paid. Based on claims for oxygen services covered by these CMNS, we estimate that Medicare paid \$263 million in 1996 for oxygen equipment covered by inaccurate or incomplete CMNS.

Fourteen percent of CMNS were incomplete

According to Medicare guidelines, home oxygen CMNS must contain specific information to establish a beneficiary's medical need for the benefit. If CMNS do not contain all of this information, Medicare payments should not be processed. However, 14 percent of CMNS had one or more required sections not completed. Incomplete sections included oxygen prescription date, length of need for oxygen therapy, name and address of provider performing qualifying tests, oxygen prescription, physician signature, and date signed by physician. About 48 percent of these incomplete CMNS were *initial* certifications for home oxygen therapy.

Some lab reports provided by suppliers did not confirm CMN test results

Thirty percent of suppliers submitted actual lab reports corresponding to the arterial blood gas or oxygen saturation test results recorded on review CMNS. Of these suppliers who submitted actual lab reports, 27 percent submitted reports that did not confirm the test results recorded on CMNS. Many of these lab reports did not agree with the test condition reported on the review CMN. The test condition indicates the circumstances under which the arterial blood gas or oxygen saturation test was performed, such as "at rest," "while sleeping," "while exercising," or "on oxygen." Other lab reports did not match the test values or test dates reported on review CMNS.

The agreement of actual lab reports with test results recorded on CMNS varies by type of qualifying test. While 33 percent of CMNS with pulse oximetry test results had non-confirming lab reports, 20 percent of CMNS with arterial blood gas test results had non-confirming lab reports. For CMNS with both pulse oximetry and arterial blood gas test results, only 10 percent had corresponding lab reports that did not confirm CMN results.

Medicare paid for portable oxygen equipment not justified on CMNS

Medicare reimbursed portable equipment claims for 23 percent of review CMNS that did not provide justification for portable oxygen equipment. In order for portable oxygen equipment to be covered during the period of our review, beneficiaries' physicians must have prescribed a portable system and included a narrative justification of why the patient needed portable equipment on the CMN.

While almost all beneficiaries used their stationary oxygen equipment, 13 percent of beneficiaries reported never using their portable systems

Overall, beneficiaries were using stationary oxygen equipment as part of a prescribed home oxygen therapy regimen

Almost all beneficiaries used the stationary oxygen equipment paid for by Medicare, and most beneficiaries reported using these systems every day. Only 6 percent of beneficiaries reported that they did not use their stationary equipment every day (n=14). Twelve of the 14 beneficiaries used oxygen concentrators, one used a stationary liquid system, and one used a stationary gas system. All but one of these beneficiaries reported using the stationary equipment a few times per week.

Thirteen percent of beneficiaries never used their portable oxygen equipment

Thirteen percent of beneficiaries indicated that they had never used the portable oxygen equipment paid for by Medicare. We estimate that Medicare paid about \$9.7 million in 1996 for portable oxygen equipment that beneficiaries never used.

Nearly one in five beneficiaries who did report using their portable oxygen equipment used the portable systems only two days per month or less. Nine percent of beneficiaries used their portable oxygen systems one day per month or less; another 10 percent of beneficiaries reported using their portable equipment one day every two weeks. Beneficiaries with gas portable systems used their portable equipment significantly less than beneficiaries with liquid portable systems, as shown in Table 2. Twenty-eight percent of beneficiaries with gas portable systems used the systems one day every two weeks or less, compared to only 8 percent of beneficiaries with liquid portable systems. Fifty-eight percent of beneficiaries with gas portable systems used the systems every day or a few days per week, while about 82 percent of beneficiaries with liquid portable systems used these systems every day or a few days per week.

Table 2. Frequency of Beneficiaries' Portable Oxygen Use

How Often Portable System Was Used:	Overall	Portable Gas ¹	Portable Liquid ¹
Every Day	21 %	22 %	29 %
Few days per week	36 %	36 %	53 %
One day per week	10 %	14 %	9 %
One day every 2 weeks	10 %	17 %	2 %
One day per month or less	9 %	11 %	6 %
Never used portable system	13 %	NA	NA

¹ Computations of use percentages by portable equipment type exclude beneficiaries who reported never using portable systems.

Information gathered from suppliers may provide further evidence of beneficiaries' infrequent usage of their portable systems. About 22 percent of sample suppliers who billed for portable oxygen equipment in 1996 did not provide any refills for these portable systems between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1997. We estimate that Medicare paid about \$24 million in 1996 for portable equipment claims for which suppliers did not provide refills.

As shown in Table 3, almost all of the suppliers who did not provide refills were providing gas portable systems to beneficiaries. Twenty-nine percent of gas portable suppliers reported that they did not provide any refills between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1997, while less than one percent of liquid portable suppliers did not provide any refills during the two-year period.

Table 3. Portable Refills Provided By Portable System Supplied

Whether Supplier Provided Portable Refills:	Overall	Gas Portable Only	Liquid Portable Only	Supplied Both Types
Provided Refills	78 %	71 %	99 %	94 %
Did Not Provide Refills	22 %	29 %	1 %	6 %

Suppliers reported providing services, but were unable to fully document all of these services

Suppliers reported providing portable system refills and equipment service visits

Suppliers who provided portable oxygen equipment refills were asked to report the number of refills they provided between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1997. We confined our analysis of portable system refills to suppliers who provided oxygen to beneficiaries for the full two-year period of review. Suppliers who furnished gas portable systems reported providing a median of 23 refill tanks, or about one tank per month, to beneficiaries over this period. One of these suppliers reported providing 559 refill tanks to a beneficiary during this period; while, for seven cases, suppliers reported providing only one refill tank over the two-year period. Suppliers who furnished liquid oxygen systems reported refilling beneficiaries' stationary tanks, from which portable units were replenished, a median of 51 times over the two-year period. The reported number of liquid system refills ranged from a minimum of zero to a maximum of 210.

Suppliers were also asked to report how many times they sent an employee to subject beneficiaries' homes to make sure that oxygen equipment was operating properly for the period January 1, 1996 to December 31, 1997. Again, we confined our review of equipment service visits to suppliers who provided oxygen to beneficiaries for the full two-year period. Suppliers reported providing a median of 13 equipment service visits to beneficiaries during this period, or about one visit every other month. The number of equipment service visits provided varied greatly from a minimum of zero visits to a maximum of 209 visits between January 1, 1996 and December 31, 1997.

Some suppliers were unable to provide documentation to support their reported services

We asked a small sub-sample of suppliers to submit documentation to support the refill activity they reported in our survey. These suppliers indicated when they completed the survey that they had documentation to support refill activity. The six sub-sample suppliers who provided liquid systems were able to fully document all refill activity. However, only 42 percent of the 12 sub-sample suppliers who provided gas portable systems were able to fully document refill activity. Of the 58 percent that could not fully document the number of portable gas refills they reported in our survey, three suppliers documented over half of reported refills, and 4 suppliers documented only 50 percent or less of the reported refills. Two of the four suppliers in the latter group did not provide any documentation supporting the number of reported refills.

When asked to submit documentation supporting oxygen equipment service visits, only about 35 percent of sub-sample suppliers (8 of 23) were able to fully document these visits. Of those that could not fully document service visits, 7 suppliers documented 80 percent or more of reported visits, 5 suppliers documented 54 to 68 percent of visits, and 3 suppliers documented 50 percent or less of reported equipment service visits.

RECOMMENDATIONS

To address the vulnerabilities identified in this report, we recommend that the Health Care Financing Administration:

Ensure that system edits in place at the DMERCs are able to identify incomplete CMNs, and delay payments for oxygen equipment claims until complete CMNs are submitted. The HCFA should also conduct periodic checks to ensure that original CMNs signed by beneficiaries' physicians and kept on file by oxygen suppliers confirm the electronic versions of CMNs that suppliers submit to Medicare carriers.

Target oxygen equipment claims for focused medical review. We realize that it would not be manageable or cost effective to perform extensive reviews on all oxygen equipment claims. However, we believe that our findings raise issues that can only be addressed through individual case review.

Work to quickly establish specific service standards for home oxygen equipment suppliers as mandated by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997. As part of these standards, we believe that suppliers should be required to maintain adequate documentation to verify the provision of equipment and patient services.

Continue to alert physicians to the critical role they play in determining beneficiaries' medical need for and utilization of medical equipment paid for by Medicare. In a January 1999 Fraud Alert concerning physician certification of the need for medical supplies and services, our office emphasized that, by signing CMNs, physicians are attesting that information on the entire CMN is true, accurate, and complete to the best of their knowledge.

AGENCY COMMENTS

We received comments on our draft report from HCFA. The full text of these comments can be found in Appendix C. A summary and our response follows.

HCFA Comments

The HCFA concurred with all of our recommendations. They reported that current policy calls for DMERC systems to edit required fields on electronic CMNs for completeness. The HCFA also stated that the file copy CMNs the OIG reviewed are used primarily for suppliers' own records, and therefore may be abbreviated and may not include all the information the suppliers submit electronically. Nevertheless, HCFA agreed they should

make it clear to suppliers that file copy CMNs signed by physicians should contain all the information suppliers' submit electronically.

Based on the information presented in our report, HCFA commented that portable oxygen systems might prove to be a fruitful target for focused medical review. The HCFA also indicated that they are planning to develop a regulation that will set service standards for home oxygen equipment suppliers.

The HCFA also provided two technical comments. With respect to our finding that Medicare paid \$263 million in 1996 for oxygen equipment covered by inaccurate or incomplete CMNs, HCFA stated this figure does not necessarily represent payments made for medically unnecessary or unreasonable services. The agency also believed it is important to clarify why laboratory reports did not confirm test results detailed on the CMNs, and to distinguish between test results recorded on CMNs that could not be supported by suppliers' records and test results recorded on CMNs that differed from suppliers' records.

OIG RESPONSE

We appreciate HCFA's positive response to our recommendations, and we concur with their proposed actions. We appreciate the fact that HCFA agreed to make it clear to suppliers that CMNs kept on file should contain all the information submitted electronically. While some suppliers may have retained only abbreviated versions of the CMN in their files, this practice is not in keeping with current policy. The *DMERC Supplier Manuals* require suppliers to keep the original CMN signed by the physician on file, along with any additional medical necessity information. This CMN must include all required information and be filled out completely and accurately. We believe, in most cases, the information from this file copy CMN is used to develop the final electronic version of the CMN that is submitted for claims processing. Therefore, in order to meet the requirements for coverage of oxygen therapy, file copies of the CMNs we reviewed should have been complete and accurate. As presented in this report, we did not always find this to be the case.

In response to HCFA's technical comments, we agree the \$263 million for oxygen equipment supported by inaccurate or incomplete CMNs does not presuppose a finding of medically unnecessary services. Rather, this estimate represents services where suppliers did not provide us with the complete documentary support required for Medicare reimbursement. With regard to HCFA's comments about laboratory test results, we have revised the relevant heading in the report. Our finding only relates to suppliers who provided laboratory test results for our review. When we report non-confirming test results, this refers only to laboratory tests where information from the actual lab report differed from information listed on the CMN.

APPENDIX A

Estimates and Confidence Intervals

	PAGE
TABLE 1.	Inaccurate or Incomplete CMNS
TABLE 2.	Incomplete CMNS
TABLE 3.	Actual Lab Reports and Test Results Recorded on CMNS
TABLE 4.	Agreement of Actual Lab Reports With CMN Test Results By Type of Qualifying Test
TABLE 5.	Source of Conflict Between Actual Lab Reports and Test Results Recorded on CMNS
TABLE 6.	Medicare Paid for Portable Oxygen Equipment Not Justified on CMNS 19
TABLE 7.	Distribution of Beneficiaries By Stationary Equipment Use
TABLE 8.	Beneficiaries Who Never Used Portable Oxygen Equipment
TABLE 9.	Distribution of Beneficiaries By Frequency of Portable Equipment Use 20
TABLE 10.	Frequency of Portable Equipment Use: Gas Portable Equipment
TABLE 11.	Frequency of Portable Equipment Use: Liquid Portable Equipment 21
TABLE 12.	Portable Oxygen Equipment Refills
TABLE 13.	Portable Equipment Refills and Equipment Service Visits Reported By Suppliers, 2-Year Period
TABLE 14.	Supporting Documentation: Portable Equipment Refills
TABLE 15.	Supporting Documentation: Equipment Service Visits

Estimates and Confidence Intervals

The tables below contain statistical estimates presented in the Findings section of this report. We used the Survey Data Analysis (SUDAAN) software package to compute percentages, means, medians, totals and confidence intervals. These estimates are weighted based on the stratified random sample design and are reported at the 95 percent confidence level.

Table 1. Inaccurate or Incomplete CMNs

	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval
Percent of Incomplete or Inaccurate CMNs	22.85%	18.96% - 27.01%
Total Medicare Allowances in 1996 for Oxygen Equipment Covered by Incomplete or Inaccurate CMNs	\$262,625,991	\$205,904,911 - \$319,347,071

Table 2.

Incomplete CMNs

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Incomplete CMNs	13.56%	10.17% - 16.95%
Incomplete Initial CMNs	48.37%	34.89% - 61.85%

Table 3.

Actual Lab Reports and Test Results Recorded on CMNs

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Suppliers Submitted Actual Lab Reports	29.83%	25.34% - 34.32%
Actual Lab Reports Did Not Confirm Test Results Recorded on Review CMNs	26.62%	18.72% - 34.52%

Table 4.

Agreement of Actual Lab Reports With CMN Test Results By Type of Qualifying Test*

Type of Test Recorded on CMN	Percent of CMNs With Non-Confirming Lab Reports	95% Confidence Interval
Oxygen Saturation Test Only	33.43%	22.61% - 44.25%
Arterial Blood Gas Test Only	19.65%	5.46% - 33.84%
Both Test Types	10.41%	0% - 24.62%

^{*} Difference between percentages is significant at the 94% confidence level (p=0.0522).

Table 5.

Source of Conflict Between Actual Lab Reports and Test Results Recorded on CMNs

	Number of Cases
Test Condition	25
Test Values	12
Test Date	9
Test Type	3
Provider Performing Qualifying Test	1

Table 6.

Medicare Paid for Portable Oxygen Equipment Not Justified on CMNs

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Review CMNs Without Portable Justification:	23.42%	14.11% - 32.73%
Portable Claims Paid	(n=24)	

Table 7.

Distribution of Beneficiaries By Stationary Equipment Use

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Used Stationary Oxygen Equipment	98.83%	97.61% - 100%
Used Stationary Oxygen Equipment Every Day	94.44%	91.36% - 97.52%
Did Not Use Stationary Oxygen Equipment Every Day	5.56%	2.48% - 8.64%

Table 8.

Beneficiaries Who Never Used Portable Oxygen Equipment

	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval
Percent of Beneficiaries Who Never Used Portable Equipment Reimbursed by Medicare	13.46% (n=30)	8.64% - 18.2.8%
Total Medicare Allowances in 1996 For Portable Equipment Beneficiaries Never Used	\$9,734,960	\$5,182,570 - \$14,287,350

Table 9.

Distribution of Beneficiaries By Frequency of Portable Equipment Use

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Every Day	21.25%	15.25% - 27.25%
Few Days Per Week	36.36%	29.28% - 43.44%
One Day Per Week	10.44%	6.17% - 14.71%
One Day Every 2 Weeks	9.86%	5.43% - 14.29%
One Day Per Month or Less	8.63%	4.55% - 12.71%

Table 10.

Frequency of Portable Equipment Use: Gas Portable Equipment

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Every Day	22.41%	13.93% - 30.86%
Few Days Per Week	35.72%	26.02% - 45.42%
One Day Per Week	14.27%	7.56% - 20.95%
One Day Every 2 Weeks	16.68%	9.08% - 24.28%
One Day Per Month or Less	10.92%	4.80% - 17.04%

Table 11.

Frequency of Portable Equipment Use: Liquid Portable Equipment

	Percent	95% Confidence Interval
Every Day	29.31%	17.31% - 41.31%
Few Days Per Week	53.43%	40.12% - 66.74%
One Day Per Week	9.16%	1.83% - 16.49%
One Day Every 2 Weeks	2.02%	0% - 5.94%
One Day Per Month or Less	6.09%	0% - 12.73%

Table 12.

Portable Oxygen Equipment Refills

	Point Estimate	95% Confidence Interval
Total Medicare Allowances in 1996 for Portable Equipment for which Suppliers Did Not Provide Refills	\$23,782,718	\$17,051,620 - \$30,513,816

Table 13.

Portable Equipment Refills and Equipment Service Visits
Reported by Suppliers, 2-Year Period

Type of Service	Median	IQR*	Minimum	Maximum
Gas Portable System Refills	23.20	59.22	1	559
Liquid Portable System Refills	51.24	62.03	0	210
Equipment Service Visits	12.65	15.28	0	209

^{*} The interquartile range (IQR) is the difference between the 25th and 75th percentiles in a distribution. It is a measure of spread that discounts extreme cases, and is therefore insensitive to outliers.

Table 14.

Supporting Documentation: Portable Equipment Refills

Degree To Which Reported Services Were Documented	Gas Portable Refills	Liquid Portable Refills
Fully Documented Services	5	6
Documented over 50 Percent of Services	3	0
Documented 50 Percent or Less of Services	4	0
Total	12	6

Table 15.

Supporting Documentation: Equipment Service Visits

Degree To Which Reported Services Were Documented	Equipment Service Visits
Fully Documented Services	8
Documented 80-96 Percent of Services	7
Documented 54-68 Percent of Services	5
Documented 25-50 Percent of Services	3
Total	23

Form HCFA-484

	ATTENDING PHYSICIAN	'S CERTIFICATION OF MEDI	CAL NECESSITY FOR
		ERAPY (Legible handwritten e	
Public reporting burden for this collecti he data needed, and completing and his burden, to HCFA, P.O. Box 20097	on of information is estimated to average 15 minutes reviewing the collection of information. Send comme Bakimore, MD 21207; and to the Office of Informati	per response, including the time for reviewing into regarding this burden settimate or any other on and Regulatory Afairs, Office of Manageme	instructions, searching eaisting data sources, gathering and maintaining raspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing of and Budget, Washington, OC 2000.
Patient's Namo, Address,			Address, and Identification No.
			Renewed
	ORMATION BELOW TO BE ENT		OR PHYSICIAN'S EMPLOYEE
 Perlinent Diagnoses, 	ICD-9-CM Codes, and Findings - C	CHECK ALL THAT APPLY:	2.A. I last examined this patient for this
Emphysema (492	.8) Chronic Obst	tructive Bronchitis (491.2)	condition on:
OPD (496)		tructive Asthma (493.20)	Month Day Year
Cor Pulmonale (4	40 Marie - 18 - 18 Marie - 18 Mar	Heart Failure (428.0)	2.B. Home oxygen prescribed:
Interstitial Disease	[18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18]	Polycythemia (289.0)	Month Day Year
L_IOther Specify	Code Hematocni 5	57% or more Yes No	2.C. Estimated length of need:
			1-3 months 4-12 months Lifetime
3.A. Results of Most Rec	ent Arterial Blood Gas and/or Oxyq	2.C. Physician/Prov	Breathing Room Air) vider Performing Test(s) (Printed/Typed Name
ZES AL David	PO2 02 Saturation Date	and Address):	
(1) At Rest		Table 1	
(2) Sleeping			
(3) Exercising			
(4) Other :			
3,5. If performed under c	onditions other than room air, expli	airi.	
NOTE: If PO2 Level ex- compelling medical evide	ceeds 59 mm Hg or the arterial bloo ince. Check block if you have a	od saturation exceeds 89% at r attached a separate statement	est on room air, the claim will be disallowed without on your letterhead of additional documentation.
Oxygen Flow Rate : _	Liters per minute	Continuous (24 hrs/day)
	Enter hrs/day): Walking	SteepingExercise t	
	rescribed # you have prescribed	a naticular form of delivery ich	eck applicable block(s). Otherwise leave blank.
Oxygen Equipment P		a particular sorm or dome of, or	
 Oxygen Equipment P A. Supply System 		(1) 전에 전 10 전에 보고 10 전에	ery System
A. Supply System (1) Stationary	Concentrator Liqui	id Oxygen (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula
A. Supply System		id Oxygen (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula) 02 Conserving Device
Supply System (1) Stationary Source	Concentrator Liqui	id Oxygen (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula) 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System
A. Supply System (1) Stationary	Concentrator Liqui	id Oxygen (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula) 02 Conserving Device
Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe	B. Delivid Oxygen (12	ery System) Nasal Cannula t) 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System
Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other	B. Deliv	ery System) Nasal Cannula b) 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other Transtracheai Catheter
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source (6. If you have prescribed.	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other	d Oxygen 8. Delivir (2	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulso 02 System Reservoir System Other Transtracheai Catheter) Other patient_regularly pursues which require this system
Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source (6. If you have prescribed.	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other	d Oxygen 8. Delivit (2)	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulso 02 System Reservoir System Other Transtracheai Catheter) Other patient_regularly pursues which require this system
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source If you have prescribed in the home and whice	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other a portable or ambulatory system, of cannot be met by a stationary sy	describe activities/exercise that extend (e.g., amount and frequence CERTIFICATION	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other Transtracheai Catheter) Other patient regularly pursues which require this system
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source if you have prescribed in the home and whice THE PATIENT HAS APPRO AS PRESCRIBED IS MEDICANY STATEMENT ON MY LETTERS	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other I a portable or ambulatory system, of a cannot be mel by a stationary sy	describe activities/exercise that stem (e.g., amount and freque certification) T MEASURES WITHOUT SUCCESSEE AND NECESSARY FOR THE LAS BEEN COMPLETED BY ME, O	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other () Transtracheai Catheter () Other () patient regularly pursues which require this system not of arribulation). ESS. OXYGEN THERAPY AND OXYGEN EQUIPMENT TREATMENT OF THIS PATIENT. THIS FORM AND R BY MY EMPLOYEE AND REVIEWED BY ME. THE
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source 6. If you have prescribed in the home and which THE PATIENT HAS APPRO AS PRESCRIBED IS MEDICANY STATEMENT ON MY LEFOREGOING INFORMATION CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other a portable or ambulatory system, of cannot be met by a stationary system. CALLY TRIED OTHER TREATMEN CALLY INDICATED AND IS REASONAL ETTERHEAD ATTACHED HERETO NO. IN IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLIAL FACT MAY SUBJECT ME TO CIV	d Oxygen (1 (2 (3 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other Other Other Datient regularly pursues which require this system ney of ambulation). SS, OXYGEN THERAPY AND OXYGEN EQUIPMENT TREATMENT OF THIS PATIENT. THIS FORM AND R BY MY EMPLOYEE AND REVIEWED BY ME. THE ANY FALSIFICATION, OMISSION, OR
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source (8) If you have prescribed in the home and which THE PATIENT HAS APPROAS PRESCRIBED IS MEDICANY STATEMENT ON MY LEFOREGOING INFORMATION CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL CONCEALMENT ON CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL CONCEALMENT OF	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other a portable or ambulatory system, of cannot be met by a stationary system. PRIATELY TRIED OTHER TREATMEN CALLY INDICATED AND IS REASONAL ETTERHEAD ATTACHED HERETO HAND IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLI	d Oxygen (1 (2 (3 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other () Transtracheai Catheter () Other () patient regularly pursues which require this system or of arribulation). ESS. OXYGEN THERAPY AND OXYGEN EQUIPMENT TREATMENT OF THIS PATIENT. THIS FORM AND R BY MY EMPLOYEE AND REVIEWED BY ME. THE
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source (8. If you have prescribed in the home and which THE PATIENT HAS APPROAS PRESCRIBED IS MEDICANY STATEMENT ON MY LEFOREGOING INFORMATIO CONCEALMENT OF MATERIAL Attending Physician's Signature.	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other a portable or ambulatory system, of cannot be met by a stationary system. CALLY TRIED OTHER TREATMEN CALLY INDICATED AND IS REASONAL ETTERHEAD ATTACHED HERETO NO. IN IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLIAL FACT MAY SUBJECT ME TO CIV	B. Delivid Oxygen (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other Other () Transtracheal Catheter) Other patient regularly pursues which require this system noy of ambulation). ES. OXYGEN THERAPY AND OXYGEN EQUIPMENT TREATMENT OF THIS PATIENT. THIS FORM AND R BY MY EMPLOYEE AND REVIEWED BY ME. THE ANY FALSIFICATION, OMISSION, OR
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source 6. If you have prescribed in the home and whice THE PATIENT HAS APPRO AS PRESCRIBED IS MEDIC ANY STATEMENT ON MY L FOREGOING INFORMATIO CONCEALMENT OF MATER Attending Physician's Sky	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other Dispersion of the cannot be met by a stationary sy PRIATELY TRIED OTHER TREATMEN CALLY INDICATED AND IS REASONAL ETTERHEAD ATTACHED HERETO H. IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLICATION OF THE COMPLI	B. Delivid Oxygen (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other Other Other Datient regularly pursues which require this system ney of ambulation). SS, OXYGEN THERAPY AND OXYGEN EQUIPMENT TREATMENT OF THIS PATIENT. THIS FORM AND R BY MY EMPLOYEE AND REVIEWED BY ME. THE ANY FALSIFICATION, OMISSION, OR
A. Supply System (1) Stationary Source (2) Portable or Ambulatory Source 6. If you have prescribed in the home and whice THE PATIENT HAS APPRO AS PRESCRIBED IS MEDIC ANY STATEMENT ON MY L FOREGOING INFORMATIO CONCEALMENT OF MATER Attending Physician's Sky	Concentrator Liqui Compressed Gas Othe Liquid Oxygen Compressed Gas Other Dispersion of the cannot be met by a stationary sy PRIATELY TRIED OTHER TREATMEN CALLY INDICATED AND IS REASONAL ETTERHEAD ATTACHED HERETO H. IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLICATION OF THE COMPLI	B. Delivid Oxygen (1) (2) (3) (4) (4) (5) (6) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (1) (1) (1	ery System) Nasal Cannula () 02 Conserving Device Pulse 02 System Reservoir System Other Other Other Datient regularly pursues which require this system ney of ambulation). SS, OXYGEN THERAPY AND OXYGEN EQUIPMENT TREATMENT OF THIS PATIENT. THIS FORM AND R BY MY EMPLOYEE AND REVIEWED BY ME. THE ANY FALSIFICATION, OMISSION, OR

Comments on the Draft Report

In this appendix, we present in full the comments from the Health Care Financing Administration.





DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Health Care Financing Administration

The Administrator Washington, D.C. 20201

DATE:

JUN 3 0 1999

TO:

June Gibbs Brown Inspector General

FROM:

Nancy-Ann Min DeParle

Administrator

SUBJECT: Office of Inspector General (OIG) Draft Report: "Usage and

Documentation of Home Oxygen Therapy," (OEI-03-96-00090)

Nancy-1 DeParle

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the issues raised in the above-referenced report concerning beneficiary use and supplier documentation of home oxygen therapy. The report indicates that nearly one-quarter of oxygen Certificates of Medical Necessity (CMNs) were inaccurate or incomplete and estimates that Medicare paid \$263 million in 1996 for oxygen equipment covered by such CMNs. The report also found that 13 percent of beneficiaries surveyed reported never using their portable oxygen systems.

HCFA concurs with the OIG report recommendations. Our specific comments follow:

OIG Recommendation

HCFA should ensure that system edits in place at the Durable Medical Equipment Regional Carriers (DMERCs) are able to identify incomplete CMNs, and delay payments for oxygen equipment claims until complete CMNs are submitted. The HCFA should also conduct periodic checks to ensure that original CMNs signed by beneficiaries' physicians and kept on file by oxygen suppliers confirm the electronic versions of CMNs that suppliers submit to Medicare carriers.

HCFA Response

We concur. Payments for oxygen equipment claims should not be paid until complete CMNs are submitted. The DMERC system edits for completeness of all required fields in the electronic version of the CMN. This is our current policy, and we will endeavor to ensure that it is applied uniformly by all four DMERCs.

It is our understanding that the OIG reviewed hard copy CMNs from supplier files in this study. Since these hard copies are used by suppliers primarily for their own records, we believe many of them are abbreviated and do not include all the information the suppliers submit electronically. We would also point out that, during routine postpay audits, contractors verify the validity and accuracy of the CMNs to ensure that the items are medically necessary. Nevertheless, we agree that HCFA should make it clear to suppliers

Page 2 - June Gibbs Brown

that the hard copy CMNs signed by beneficiaries' physicians which they keep on file should contain all the information they submit electronically. We also agree that HCFA should conduct periodic checks to ensure that this is being done.

OIG Recommendation

HCFA should target oxygen equipment claims for focused medical review.

HCFA Response

We concur. As oxygen is such a large percentage of the total payments for DME, the DMERCs already target a large number of suppliers for focused review. The OIG study, however, suggests that—while almost all beneficiaries used their stationary oxygen equipment, 13 percent of beneficiaries reported never using their portable systems—the latter might prove to be an especially fruitful target of focused reviews of home oxygen.

OIG Recommendation

HCFA should work to quickly establish specific service standards for home oxygen equipment suppliers as mandated by the Balanced Budget Act of 1997.

HCFA Response

We concur. When we release the final regulation detailing the additional business standards for Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetic Orthotic, Supplies (DMEPOS) suppliers, we will begin working on another regulation which will set service standards for home oxygen suppliers. (The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for the business standards was published in the <u>Federal Register</u> on January 20, 1998.)

OIG Recommendation

HCFA should continue to alert physicians to the critical role they play in determining beneficiaries' medical need for and utilization of medical equipment paid for by Medicare.

HCFA Response

We concur. Education of physicians is an ongoing process. We plan to emphasize the physicians role as "gatekeeper," and require that all carriers publish DMEPOS related issues in their bulletins, which are geared directly to the physician community. Currently, some DMERCs do publish articles geared to educate physicians in carrier bulletins and we are working on expanding that process.

Technical Comments

We have the following comments on the report findings listed on page 5 of the report.

Page 3 - June Gibbs Brown

"Medicare paid \$263 million in 1996 for oxygen equipment covered by inaccurate or incomplete CMNs."

This number does not necessarily represent payments made for services that were not medically necessary or reasonable.

"Twenty-seven percent of laboratory reports provided by suppliers did not confirm CMN test results."

Thirty-three percent of CMNs had non-confirming pulse oximetry laboratory reports. Twenty percent of CMNs had non-confirming arterial blood gas laboratory reports. -- It is important to explain why these reports were non-confirming and make a distinction between test results identified on the CMN that could not be supported by the supplier's records and test results on the CMN that were different than what appeared in the supplier's records. The second circumstance indicates possible fraud while the first represents a possible documentation problem.