	UNITE	ED STAT	ES OF	AME	RICA
REFORI	THE	FEDERA	AL TRA	DE C	OMM

	HEALTHER SOCIAL
	OCT 2 1 2004
In the Matter of	SECRETARY
BASIC RESEARCH, L.L.C.,)
A.G. WATERHOUSE, L.L.C.,)
KLEIN-BECKER USA, L.L.C.,)
NUTRASPORT, L.L.C.,)
SOVAGE DERMALOGIC) Docket No. 9318
LABORATORIES, L.L.C.,)
BAN, L.L.C.,) PUBLIC DOCUMENT
DENNIS GAY,)
DANIEL B. MOWREY, and)
MITCHELL K. FRIEDLANDER,)
) ~~~
Respondents.)
)

COMPLAINT COUNSEL'S OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY RESPONSE TO PARTS OF RESPONDENT'S SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL RELATED TO PENDING MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENSES, AND OPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO THE REST OF THE SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL

Complaint Counsel move to stay their response to Respondent Basic Research LLC's Second Motion to Compel with respect to their document requests nos. 6, 7, 27, and 29, and to extend the time for responding to the remaining requests identified in that Motion from October 25, 2004, to November 3, 2004, and in support thereof state as follows:

- 1. On October 13, 2004, Respondent Basic Research served its *Second Motion to Compel* ("Motion"). Complaint Counsel's response is currently due on October 25, 2004.
- 2. Pursuant to RULE OF PRACTICE 4.3, the Administrative Law Judge may extend any time limit prescribed or allowed by the Rules.
- 3. On October 19, 2004, Complaint Counsel, Robin M. Richardson, discussed the relief sought in this Request with counsel for Respondent, Jeffrey Feldman. On October 20,

2004, Mr. Feldman represented that he opposed Complaint Counsel's motion to stay and for an enlargement of time.

- 4. Good cause exists to justify the stay of Complaint Counsel's response to certain portions of Respondent's Motion. Document requests 6,7, 27, and 29 relate to Respondents' alleged defenses and the pending *Motion to Strike*. Specifically, Respondent seeks to compel a response to request 6 which seeks "all expert reports" filed in administrative or Section 13(b) proceedings, and to request 7 which seeks "all depositions taken of Federal Trade Commission substantiation experts in any weight loss cases." Respondent also seeks to compel a response to request 27, which now seeks "all documents relating to requests by advertisers of dietary weight loss products seeking clarification on the substantiation standards applicable in this case," and request 29, which seeks "[a]ll documents related to requests made to the Federal Trade Commission by advertisers seeking approval of advertising prior to dissemination."
- 5. These four requests relate to the *Fifth Amendment*, *First Amendment*, and Administrative Procedures Act defenses that are the subject of Complaint Counsel's *Motion to Strike*. The Court has recently directed the parties to brief the issue of whether discovery should be limited if Respondents' defenses are not stricken. As a result, Complaint Counsel anticipates that the Court's rulings on the *Motion to Strike* and proper scope of discovery for this matter will control the proper scope of discovery, and it would be more efficient to respond (and perhaps resolve) these issues then with the benefit of the Court's guidance.
- 6. Good cause also exists to justify a brief enlargement of time until November 3, 2004 to respond to the remaining portions of Respondent's Second Motion to Compel.

 Complaint Counsel's time to prepare its response to Respondent Basic Research's Motion has fallen within the same time period in which Complaint Counsel have continued to prepare and

completed their privilege log, and traveled across the country to meet with experts in anticipation of providing expert witness reports. In addition Complaint Counsel are currently preparing responses to Respondents' numerous interrogatories and requests for admissions (approximately 58 in all) and engaging in their own affirmative discovery both with respect to Respondents and multiple third parties. Finally, Complaint Counsel is also preparing the additional briefing ordered by the Court that is due October 28, 2004.

7. A proposed order is attached hereto for the Court's convenience.

Date: October 21, 2004

Respectfully submitted by:

Laureen Kapin

(202) 326-3237

Joshua S. Millard

(202) 326-2454

Robin M. Richardson (202) 326-2798

Laura Schneider

(202) 326-2604

Division of Enforcement Bureau of Consumer Protection Federal Trade Commission 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20580

COUNSEL SUPPORTING THE COMPLAINT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 21st day of October, 2004, I caused Complaint Counsel's Opposed Motion to Stay Response to Parts of Respondent's Second Motion to Compel and Opposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Rest of the Second Motion to Compel to be served and filed as follows:

(1) the original, two (2) paper copies filed by hand delivery and one (1) electronic copy via email to:

Donald S. Clark, SecretaryFederal Trade Commission
600 Penn. Ave., N.W., Room H-159
Washington, D.C. 20580

- two (2) paper copies served by hand delivery to:

 The Honorable Stephen J. McGuire

 Administrative Law Judge

 600 Penn. Ave., N.W., Room H-104

 Washington, D.C. 20580
- one (1) electronic copy via email and one (1) paper copy by first class mail to the following persons:

Stephen E. Nagin
Nagin Gallop Figuerdo P.A.
3225 Aviation Ave.
Miami, FL 33133-4741
(305) 854-5353
(305) 854-5351 (fax)
snagin@ngf-law.com

For Respondents

Ronald F. Price
Peters Scofield Price
310 Broadway Centre
111 East Broadway
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
(801) 322-2002
(801) 322-2003 (fax)
rfp@psplawyers.com

For Respondent Mowrey

Jeffrey D. Feldman

FeldmanGale, P.A.
201 S. Biscayne Blvd., 19th Fl.
Miami, FL 33131-4332
(305) 358-5001
(305) 358-3309 (fax)

JFeldman@FeldmanGale.com
For Respondents
A.G.
Waterhouse, LLC, Klein-Becker
USA, LLC, Nutrasport, LLC,
Sovage Dermalogic Laboratories,
LLC, and BAN, LLC

Mitchell K. Friedlander 5742 West Harold Gatty Dr. Salt Lake City, UT 84116 (801) 517-7000 (801) 517-7108 (fax) Respondent Pro Se mkf555@msn.com Richard D. Burbidge

Burbridge & Mitchell 215 S. State St., Suite 920 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 (801) 355-6677 (801) 355-2341 (fax) rburbidge@burbidgeandmitchell.com For Respondent Gay

Laurlen harm COMPLAINT COUNSEIL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

)	
In the Matter of) .	
•)	
BASIC RESEARCH, L.L.C.,)	
A.G. WATERHOUSE, L.L.C.,)	
KLEIN-BECKER USA, L.L.C.,)	
NUTRASPORT, L.L.C.,)	
SOVAGE DERMALOGIC)	Docket No. 9318
LABORATORIES, L.L.C.,)	
BAN, L.L.C.,)	PUBLIC DOCUMENT
DENNIS GAY,)	
DANIEL B. MOWREY, and)	
MITCHELL K. FRIEDLANDER,)	
)	∵~ €
Respondents.)	· >-
)	

TO: The Honorable Stephen J. McGuire Chief Administrative Law Judge

DONE AND ORDERED this

All counsel of record

ORDER ON OPPOSED MOTION TO STAY AND FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

THIS CAUSE came before the Administrative Law Judge on Complaint Counsel's Opposed Motions for Stay and for Extension of Time to Respond to Basic Research's Second Motion to Compel. Having reviewed the Motion, it is ORDERED that Complaint Counsel's Motion is GRANTED. Complaint Counsel's response to Respondent's Motion to Compel discovery in response to Respondent's document requests 6, 7, 27, and 29 are stayed pending the Court's ruling on Complaint Counsel's Motion to Strike. Thereafter, Complaint Counsel's response shall be due within five calendar days of the Court's ruling. Complaint Counsel shall have respond to the rest of Respondent Basic Research's Second Motion to Compel no later than November 3, 2004.

day of October, 2004.

	Stephen J. McGuire
•	Administrative Law Judge
Copies furnished to:	