

Counsel responded that it would not join the motion, but would not object to “a short extension of time” on the condition that Respondent would not request a delay in discovery or the trial date. Respondent cannot agree to such conditions for a host of reasons. Respondent will set forth those reasons in detail in a motion regarding discovery and the trial date that will be filed shortly.

Simultaneously with the filing of this motion, Respondent is also filing a motion for a more definite statement. The decision on that motion may affect the relief requested in this motion. If that motion is granted, Respondent would request that it have the greater of October 20, 2008, or consistent with Rule 3.12(9)(2), ten (10) days after service of the more definite statement, as the time by which it must answer or otherwise respond. Respondent would not change the dates for a scheduling conference on either October 22 or 23, 2008, irrespective of the Court’s decision on the motion for a more definite statement.

WHEREFORE, for these and such other reasons as may appear just to the Court, Respondent requests that this Motion to Extend Respondent’s Time to Respond to Complaint and to Set Date for Initial Scheduling Conference be granted, and that the attached Order be entered.

Dated: September 23⁻¹⁷, 2008

Respectfully submitted,



William L. Rikard, Jr.
Eric D. Welsh
Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein, LLP
Three Wachovia Center
401 South Tryon Street, Suite 3000
Charlotte, NC 28202
Telephone: (704) 335-9011
Facsimile: (704) 334-4706

John F. Graybeal
Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein, LLP
Wachovia Capitol Center
150 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1400
Raleigh, NC 27601
Telephone: (919) 835-4599
Facsimile: (919) 834-4564

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 25, 2008, I caused to be filed via hand delivery and electronic mail delivery an original and one copy of the foregoing ***Motion to Extend Respondent's Time to Respond to Complaint and to Set Date for Initial Scheduling Conference***, and that the electronic copy is a true and correct copy of the paper original and that a paper copy with an original signature is being filed with:

Donald S. Clark, Secretary
Office of the Secretary
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Rm. H-135
Washington, DC 20580
secretary@ftc.gov

I hereby certify that on September 25, 2008, I served via hand delivery and first-class mail delivery a copy of the foregoing ***Motion to Extend Respondent's Time to Respond to Complaint and to Set Date for Initial Scheduling Conference*** with:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580

I hereby certify that on September 25, 2008, I served via first-class mail delivery and electronic mail delivery a copy of the foregoing ***Motion to Extend Respondent's Time to Respond to Complaint and to Set Date for Initial Scheduling Conference*** with:

J. Robert Robertson, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
rrobertson@ftc.gov

Steven Dahm, Esq.
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20580
sdahm@ftc.gov



Adam C. Shearer
Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein LLP
Three Wachovia Center
401 South Tryon Street, Suite 3000
Charlotte, NC 28202
Telephone: (704) 335-9050
Facsimile: (704) 334-4706

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
BEFORE THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of

**Polypore International, Inc.
a corporation.**

Docket No. 9327

PUBLIC DOCUMENT

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Motion to Extend Respondent's Time to Respond to Complaint and to Set Date for Initial Scheduling Conference and the Court being fully informed, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Motion be GRANTED and that the Respondent shall answer or otherwise respond to the complaint on or before October 20, 2008, and that the scheduling conference shall be held October ____, 2008, at _____.m.

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell
Chief Administrative Law Judge (Acting)

September ____, 2008