MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

PETER R. TAFTT
ROBERT K. JOHNSON'
ALAN Y. FRIEDMAN'
RONALD L. OLSON'
RONALD L. OLSON'
RONALD L. OLSON'
RICHARD S. VOLPERT
SIMON M. LORNE
DENNIS E. KINNAIRD'
RICHARD S. VOLPERT
SIMON M. LORNE
DENNIS C. BROWNM
ROBERT E. DENNAM
ROBERT E. DENNAM
ROBERT E. DENNAM
ROBERT E. DENNAM
ROBERT E. LERMER
CHARLES D. SIEGAL
RONALD K. MEYER
ROBERT B. SIEGAL
RONALD K. MEYER
REGORTY P. STONE
VILMA S. MARTINEZ
BRAD D. BRIAN
BRADLEY S. PHILLIPS
GEORGE M. GARVEY
WILLIAM D. TEMKO
STEVEN L. GUISE'
ROBERT B. KNAUSS
R. GREGORY MORGAN
STEPHEN M. KRISTOVICH
JOHN W. SPIEGEL
TERRY E. SANCHEZ
STEVEN M. PERRY
RUTH E. FISHER
MARK B. HELM
JOSEPH D. LEE
MICHAEL E. SOLOFF
GREGORY D. PHILLIPS
LAWRENCE C. BARTH
MATHLER
LAWRENCE C. BARTH
KATHLER
LAWRENCE C. BARTH
KATHLER
LAWRENCE C. BARTH
KATHLER
M. MODOWELL
GLENN D. POMERANTZ

RONALD C. HAUSMANN
PATRICK J. CAFFERTY, JR.
JAY M. FUJITANILER
SANDRA SEVILEFJONES
MARK H. ESPISIN
HENRY WEISSMANN
KEVIN S. ALIRED
MARC A. BECKER
CYNTHIA L. BURCH
BART H. WILLIAMS
JEFFREY A. HEINTZ
JUDITH T. KITAND
KRISTIN INISLEY MYLES
MARC T.G. DWORSKY
JEROME C. ROTH
STEPHEN D. ROSE
JEFFREY L. BLEICH
GARTH T. VINCENT
TED DANE
MARK SHINDERMAN
TED DANE
MARK SHINDERMAN
TED DANE
MARK SHINDERMAN
TED TO BERN
TEVEN B. WEISBURD
EDWARD C. MAGEROTT, JR.
RICHARD E. DROCYM.
RICHARD E. DROCYM.
ROLLE A. DELL'MAN
MARY ANN TODD
MICHAEL J. O'SULLIVAN
MELLY M. KLAUS
DAVID B. GOLDMAN
BURTON A. GROSS
KEVIN S. MASUDA
HOJOON HWANG
KEUT N. KRAUS
DAVID B. GOLDMAN
BURTON A. GROSS
KEVIN S. MASUDA
HOJOON HWANG
KENISTIN S. ESCALANTE

355 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE
THIRTY-FIFTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-1560
TELEPHONE (213) 683-9100
FACSIMILE (213) 687-3702

33 NEW MONTGOMERY STREET

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105-9781

TELEPHONE (415) 512-4000

FACSIMILE (415) 512-4077

November 12, 2002

DAVID C. DINIELLI
ANDREA WEISS JEFFRIES
ALISON B. STEIN
MARSHA HYMANSON
MONICA WAHL SHAFFER
SUSAN R. SZABO
DAVID M. ROSENZWEIG
PETER A. DETREE
DEVON ALEXANDER GOLD
PAUL J. WATFORD
DAVID M. FRY
STEPHEN E. MORRISSEY
TAMERIN J. GODLEY
LINDA S. GOLDMAN
DANA S. TREISTER
TODD E. MOLZ
LINDA M. BURROW
LISA J. DEMSKY
ANDREW C. FINCH
MALCOLM A. HEINICKE
MICHAEL R. BARSA
JAMES C. RUTTEN
SEAN P. GATES
JOHN P. HUNT
J. MARTIN WILLHITE
PAUL A. DAVIS
RICHARD ST. JOHN
BRETT J. RODDA
AVITAL T. ZERHLAN
MELYIN T. DAVIS
BRIAN T. DALY
TED W. LIEU
TIMOTHY B. EVANS
ANY LYNNE BOYD
C. DAVID LECTOR

KIRSTIN M. AUL
JOSEPH S. KLAPACY
LISA VANCE CASTLETON
LAURA B. REIDEE
C. DABNEY O'RIORDAN
STEVE KIM
KARIN S. SCHWARTI
ALEXIS MARTIN NEEL
MICHAEL E. WATERSTON
BEONG-SOO KIM
DEBORAM N. PEARLSTEIN
ROHIT K. SINGUL
RANDALL G. SOMMER
BROOKS E. ALLEE
BROOKS E.

RICHARD D. ESBENSHADET OF COUNSEL

(213) 683-9299 (213) 683-4099 FAX

nguyentn@mto.com

E. LEROY TOLLES (RETIRED)

'A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

BY FAX AND U.S. MAIL

Richard Rosen, Esq. Arnold & Porter 555 Twelfth Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20004-1206

Re:

Subpoena to Micron Technology, Inc. in the Matter of Rambus, Inc.,

before the Federal Trade Commission

File No. Docket No. 9302

Dear Mr. Rosen:

I write to address the present status of our negotiations regarding the above-referenced subpoena.

<u>Pricing Issues</u>. As you know, we have now gone around and around with respect to the requests seeking pricing related documents. While I did at one time express a "willingness to significantly narrow [Rambus'] requests on this topic to capture the narrow issue regarding the effect of Rambus and Rambus' royalties on DRAM chip pricing," as you state in your letter of yesterday, my efforts have clearly failed.

A brief history of our dispute is in order. Upon receiving the subpoena, you objected to the pricing related requests as irrelevant, and even suggested Rambus' requests for these documents was ill-motivated. In response, we explained that the information sought is directly relevant to the FTC's theory of the case, *i.e.*, that Rambus's actions impact(ed) DRAM chip pricing. During our discussions of this theory, you indicated that your client would not likely have many documents. I then proposed that Micron enter into a stipulation that Rambus' actions have had no effect on DRAM pricing. You refused, and we then went back to square one with respect to this issue. In an attempt to reach compromise, I then set forth the proposal contained in my letter of November 1, 2002. That is, as I understand it, the proposal to which

Richard Rosen, Esq. November 12, 2002 Page 2

your letter of November 11 responded. As we discussed on November 6, we appear to have exhausted all avenues of possible compromise. The proposal contained in your letter of November 11 gets us no closer, for it fails to accommodate our discovery needs. We must reject that offer and turn this dispute over to Judge Timony to decide.

<u>Discussions of Rambus Technology</u>. As for your proposal with regard to the document requests we have been referring to generally as "Rambus Technology," we accept your proposal of yesterday, with the following modifications:

- (1) We accept your re-articulation of (1). That is, documents that discuss or reflect Micron's decisions to develop, manufacture, and market SDR and DDR SDRAM in connection with the consideration of the possible existence or non-existence of Rambus' rights to the technology considered for incorporation into those standards. As articulated, we understand this category to include documents that may be considered "business" in nature as well as documents that may be considered "technical" in nature.
- (2) We accept your proposal to modify and meld (2) and (3) into one general packaged request, with certain minor modifications. Our proposed insertions are underlined, and proposed deletions are bracketed:

Documents (other than Micron internal process, design, product engineering and testing documents) relating to (a) the discussion, evaluation and possible inclusion or non-inclusion of any of the nine technologies set forth in (my) restated Specification 12 – or any alternatives to any of those technologies – in any JEDEC standard SDRAM (i.e., SDR, DDR, DDR II and DDR III), SLDRAM or SyncLink DRAM, or standardization activities of AMI2 or ADT, and (b) any documents relating to any [JEDEC or SyncLink or SLDRAM] discussion (i) by or among Micron employees, or (ii) between Micron employees and others, who were involved in any way in JEDEC, SyncLink, SLDRAM, ADT, AMI2, or Team-DDR of patents or patent applications or intellectual property relating to these nine technologies or possible alternatives to these technologies considered for standardization.

Please let us know during our call scheduled for later today whether you are amenable to these modifications.

Sincerely,

Truc-Linh N. Nguyen

cc: Greg Stone 871563.2