AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CON		NTRACT	1. CONTRACT ID CODE			PAGE OF	PAGES 1	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ.	N/PURCHASE REQ. NO. jlm		5. PROJECT NO.	(If applicable)		
1	Execution	03-255 N/A						
6. ISSUED BY		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other the						
U.S. Department of Labor, ETA/OGCM Division of Contract Services		U.S. Department c	of La	CODE CODE CODE				
200 Constitution Avenue, NW Room C-4310	200 Constitution Avenue, NW							
Washington DC 20210		Washington DC 20210						
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State	and ZIP Code)		(X)	9A. AMENDMENT O	F SOLICITATION N	Ю.		
			RFP-DCS-03-0	07				
To all Offerors/Bidders		х	9B. DATED (SEE 17 12-18-2002	TEM 11)				
				10A. MODIFICATIO	N OF CONTRACT/C	ORDER NO.		
				10B. DATED (SEE	ITEM 13)			
CODE	FACILITY CODE				-,			
11. THIS ITEN	ONLY APPLIES TO A	MENDMENTS OF SO	DLIC	ITATIONS				
offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram wh KNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtu by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter m and date specified. ** HOUR & DATE for Rec 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)	E DESIGNATED FOR THE RE ue of this amendment you desi akes reference to the solicitat	CEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR re to change an offer alread ion and this amendment, and	R TO ly sub d is re	THE HOUR AND pritted, such cha eceived prior to	D DATE SPEC ange may be m	IFIED MAY ade		
	PLIES ONLY TO MODI				S,			
(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify a					I 10A.			
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAI		ANGES (such as changes in page	ying off	ice, appropriation date	e, etc.)			
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURS	UANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)								
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is	s required to sign this documer	nt and return o	copie	s to the issuing c	office.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UC The closing date for RFP-DCS-03-07 has	CF section headings, including solicitation been extended to a ne	-		ry 31, 2003 ý	b 2:00 p.m.	local ti	me.	

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) KEITH A. BOND Contracting Officer				
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED			
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY(Signature of Contracting Officer)				

## CONTINUATION PAGE

## 14. RESPONSES TO TECHNICAL QUESTIONS

1. Question: Would it be possible for us to get versions of the current California ALCO software and the current Regional Office ACU software? This would enhance our understanding of the computer environment underlying the simulation test.

Answer: No, we do not have the software at this time.

2. Question: Our MOBIS rates are for the period August 15, 2002 through August 14, 2003. I presume that there is no problem with us using these rates in the proposal, even though the RFP specifies the use of October 2, 2002 though September 30, 2003 rates.

Answer: Yes, you can use your rates for the period August 15, 2002 through August 14, 2003.

3. Question: We presume that the sample group of 1,000 – 1,500 applications will include both complete applications and incomplete applications. Is this assumption correct?

Answer: We expect that the sample application group will be randomly selected and will represent all types of applications, including incomplete applications.

4. Question: Under current case processing procedures, both the SWAs and the ROs adhere closely to queues of cases, one queue for traditional cases and one for the RiR applications, according to receipt date. When the contractor operates the simulation, will ETA expect the applications to be processed in the order that the applications had been received at the SWAs, or will the contractor be able to experiment with approaches that process applications out of order?

Answer: The contractor will be able to experiment with approaches that process applications out of order.

5. Question: Will ETA have a federal employee from the National Office of the Foreign Labor Certification designated as a Certifying Officer for the simulation run, or will the already-designated Certifying Officers from the ROs be used?

Answer: ETA has not designated a Certifying Officer (CO) for the simulation, yet. It will not be a current CO. We expect the CO will be a CO retiree or a National Office employee.

6. Question: For email connectivity between contractor staff and federal staff (under Computing Environment in the RFQ) will the contractor staff be provided ETA GroupWise accounts?

Answer: ETA GroupWise accounts can only be provided to contractor staff located in the DOL facility.

7. Question: The current "Application for Alien Employment Certification" (ETA 750), which was last revised in 1979, does not request fax numbers or email addresses from the applicants. Should the contractor expect that this contact information will not be available for the sample group of 1,000 – 1,500 applications?

Answer: The applications the contractor will be working with will have been filed using the current ETA 750.