AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT BPA NO.		1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE 1	OF PAGES			
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 3/29/2005	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE RE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. jlm 05-0722			5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)		
6.ISSUED BY U.S. Department of Labor, ETA/OGCM Division of Contract Services 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Room N4472 Washington DC 20210	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) U.S. Department of Labor, ETA Division of Contract Services 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Room N4472 Washington DC 20210							
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State		(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DOL05RP20025						
To all Offerors			9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)					
			Х	10A. MODIFICATION	N OF CONTRACT/	/ORDER NO.		
CODE	FACILITY CODE			10B. DATED (SEE	ITEM 13)			
11. THIS ITE	M ONLY APPLIES TO	AMENDMENTS OF S	OLIC	CITATIONS				
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment posts (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning0 offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram we knowledgment to be received at the Place RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virt by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter and date specified. 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)	copies of the amendmentmentmentmentmentmentmentmentmentment	nent; (b) By acknowledging r he solicitation and amendme RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIC sire to change an offer alrea	eceipt ent nur DR TO ady sub	of this amendments. FAILURE THE HOUR AND Committed, such cha	ent of each cop OF YOUR A DDATE SPEC ange may be r	py of the C- CIFIED MAY made		
	PLIES ONLY TO MOD				S,			
(X) A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify	THE CONTRACT/ORE authority) THE CHANGES SET FORT				10A.			
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED T SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FA		CHANGES (such as changes in	paying of	fice, appropriation date	e, etc.)			
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PUR	SUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)								
	is required to sign this docum			es to the issuing o	office.			
Please see attached Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document reference 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		nanged, remains unchanged and in ful 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONT KEITH A. BOND Contracting Offi	force an RACTING		e or print)	16C DATE SIGNED		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERI		racting Officer)		16C. DATE SIGNE	U	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature	or Conti	acting Officer)		I		

AMENDMENT NO. 2

CONTINUATION PAGE

14. DISCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT (CONT'D)

ANSWERS TO REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION

1. Question: How will DoL ensure cooperation and data contributions from the YDOP project directors and their partners at each site, including court personnel?

Answer: The grantees have formally agreed to participate in this evaluation, including cooperating with data requests from the evaluator. While the courts and legal systems have not yet formally agreed to participate, the sites have established relationships with them. The grantees will secure the courts' cooperation with assistance from the evaluation contractor.

2. Question: What assistance will DoL give the contractor in handling likely FERPA and HPPA issues with youth clients' data?

Answer: The contractor should propose the data collection methods they believe will be most effective and describe in their proposal how they will deal with any issues arising from their recommended data sources.

3. Question: What is the total approximate client base at the sites? Is DoL confident that each of the projects will be able to produce 400 clients, and if not, does the contractor work with fewer clients at these sites, or does DoL wish another site not on the list of 6 to be substituted?

Answer: Please refer to the quarterly quantitative reports posted on the web for the total approximate client base. Each site has assured the Department that they will recruit at least 400 youth; therefore, the sites are not expected to make up any enrollment shortages in other sites and the Department does not wish another site to be substituted.

4. Question: Is DoL open to the contractor doing the random assignment for all sites, instead of the contractor training six sets of personnel in six locations and risking uneven implementation, and consequent contamination of the samples and skewing of results?

Answer: Yes. The contractor should propose the random assignment procedures they believe will yield the most valid results.

5. Question: To what extent are the youth clients in these six YODP projects also considered WIA Youth clients, and therefore already in the WIASRD database (or earlier databases). If they are WIA Youth clients, to what extent will the contractor have access to WIA data already compiled within DoL?

Answer: YODP clients are not included in the WIASRD database.

6. Question: Collecting information on long-term wage gain would imply use of the WRIS. What assistance will DoL give to the contractor in accessing WRIS in each site's host state, or obtaining state data warehouse contacts and agreements for the warehouse to do the computer runs? Will the contract budget bear the cost of any such computer runs by state data warehouses, or will DoL enable free access?

Answer: The contractor should propose the data collection methods they believe will be most effective and describe in their proposal how they will deal with any issues arising from their recommended data sources.