

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of)	
)	
REBECCA A. ULLMANN,)	
individually and as an)	ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM
institution-affiliated party)	FURTHER PARTICIPATION
of)	
)	FDIC-06-056e
MAINSTREET BANK)	
FOREST LAKE, MINNESOTA)	
)	
(Insured State Nonmember Bank))	
)	

Rebecca A. Ullmann ("Respondent") has been advised of her right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("NOTICE") issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), detailing the violations of law, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("ORDER") may issue, and has been further advised of her right to a hearing on the charges under section 8(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("Act"), 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e), and the FDIC Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("CONSENT AGREEMENT"), whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any violations of law, unsafe or unsound banking practices, or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the FDIC.

Upon due consideration, the FDIC determined it had reason to believe that:

1. Respondent has engaged in violations of law, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, as an institution-affiliated party of Mainstreet Bank, Forest Lake, Minnesota ("Bank").

2. By reason of such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, the Bank has suffered financial loss.

3. By reason of such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, the interests of the Bank's depositors have been prejudiced.

4. By reason of such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent has received financial gain.

5. Such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty involve personal dishonesty on the part of Respondent.

The FDIC further determined that such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrate Respondent's unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs, or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, of any other insured depository institution, or of any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

The FDIC, therefore, accepts the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issues the following:

**ORDER OF
PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION**

1. Unless Respondent receives prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D)

of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), Respondent is prohibited from:

- (a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);
- (b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);
- (c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or
- (d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. This ORDER will become effective immediately upon its issuance. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 26th day of July, 2006.

Lisa K. Roy
Associate Director
Division of Supervision
and Consumer Protection