DVWS 2.1.0: Problems, Fixes, and Workarounds As of 3/27/2009

Benefits Module							
Pop	Problem Description	Potential Effect	What to Do Now	DOL Long- Term Response			
3, 3a, 13	In extract files with multiple duplicate errors, the software displays only one record of some duplicate error sets. In some cases the other record(s) of the sets are parsed; in others, the record(s) may not be accounted for at all, so that total of parsed + error records < loaded records.	Incidence of affected records seems to be relatively low but may distort validation counts.	Note effect in RV Comments if this causes Population 3, or 3a, or 13 to fail Report Validation.	Will be fixed in next release, due in summer 2009.			
4	DV software considers a CWC first payment to be only the payment for the first compensable CWC week. However, Handbook 401allows a state to report an adjustment payment as the first payment if that is the first CWC payment made.	DV software will understate the number of CWC first payments where adjustment payments are the only payments made in a quarter.	Note effect in RV Comments if this causes Population 4 to fail Report Validation.	Because of the small number of CWC transactions in most states, the DV software will not be changed.			
5	Software cannot correctly count the number of claimants affected by multi-claimant nonmons unless state builds one record for each claimant. If the state does build one record for each claimant, then the software cannot the number of multi-claimant determinations.	States with many multi-claimant nonmons that create 1 record per determination will count determinations correctly but undercount number of claimants affected, and vice versa.	Build 1 record per nonmon to validate number of determinations correctly, as this is a workload item. If number of multi- claimants is large enough to fail line 102 of ETA 207, note estimated number of denied multi-claimants in RV comments.	This is long-term fix because it requires adding a field to extract record and adopting the approach used in Appeals populations.			
14	Software implements rule that overpayments are to be removed after being reported for 8 quarters if not in active collection status (Field 7=N) by checking that age in days at end of 9 th quarter is 731-820 days. However, records established at beginning of 2nd, 3rd, and 4 th calendar quarters can be 821 or 822 days old. These are erroneously rejected.	If state validates Pop 14 for 2 nd , 3 rd , or 4 th quarter, enough Active Collection Status = N records could be rejected to cause Population to fail RV.	Note effect in RV Comments if this causes Population 14 to fail Report Validation.	Bug has been fixed; the fix will be included in next release, due in summer 2009.			
Tax Module							
1	If the extract file contains secondary codes for Employer Type (Field 4), the software	Validator cannot assess whether secondary codes	Population 1 can be submitted by selecting N/A for	Bug has been fixed; the fix will be included in next			

	displays only headers when sort 1.4 or 1.5 is selected; no counts are shown.	support basic code of C or R.	these sorts.	release, due in summer 2009.		
Benefits and Tax Modules						
Pop	Problem Description	Potential	What to Do	DOL Long-		
		Effect	Now	Term Response		
Ben 4,12, 13, 14; Tax 4, 5	To obtain the validation counts involving \$ balances for the RV computation, the software sums individual records (in \$\$\$.¢¢ format) and then drops the cents to enter the single \$ value on the RV screen. E.g., \$245.95 is entered as \$245. Handbook 401 instructs states to round using the 5/4 rounding method, and report a sum of \$245.95 as \$246.	In rare instances, involving small report and validation counts, the difference between rounding and truncation can cause or contribute to an RV failure.	Note effect in RV Comments if this causes Benefits Population 4, 12, 13 or 14: or Tax Population 4 or 5 to fail Report Validation.	Will be fixed in next release, due in summer 2009.		