Guidance for Industry

Process Validation: General Principles and Practices

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact Brian Hasselbalch or Grace McNally (CDER) 301-796-3286 or 301-796-3279, Christopher Joneckis (CBER) 301-827-0373, or Dennis Bensley (CVM) 301-827-6956.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM)

November 2008 Current Good Manufacturing Practices (CGMP)

Guidance for Industry Process Validation: General Principles and Practices

Additional copies are available from:

Office of Training and Communication Division of Drug Information, HFD-240 Center for Drug Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Building 51, Room 2201 Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002 (Tel) 301-796-3400 http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm

and/or

Office of Communication, Training and Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40 Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research Food and Drug Administration 1401 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-1448 (Tel) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800 http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm

and/or

Communications Staff, HFV-12 Center for Veterinary Medicine Food and Drug Administration 7519 Standish Place, Rockville, MD 20855 (Tel) 240-276-9300 http://www.fda.gov/cvm/guidance/published.htm

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM)

November 2008 Current Good Manufacturing Practices (CGMP)

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR PROCESS VALIDATION	4
IV.	RECOMMENDATIONS	6
А.	General Considerations for Process Validation	6
B.	Specific Stages and Activities of Process Validation in the Product Lifecycle	6
2.	. Stage 1 – Process Design . Stage 2 – Process Qualification . Stage 3 – Continued Process Verification	9
V.	CONCURRENT RELEASE OF PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION BATC	
VI.	DOCUMENTATION	15
VII.	ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY	16

Draft — Not for Implementation

Guidance for Industry¹

Process Validation: General Principles and Practices

3

1 2

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

1718 I. INTRODUCTION

1920 This guidance outlines the general principles and approaches that FDA considers to be

21 appropriate elements of process validation for the manufacture of human and animal drug and

22 biological products, including active pharmaceutical ingredients (API or drug substance),

- collectively referred to in this guidance as *drugs* or *products*. This guidance incorporates
- 24 principles and approaches that all manufacturers can use in validating a manufacturing process.
- 25

26 This guidance aligns process validation activities with the product lifecycle concept and with

27 existing FDA guidance.² The lifecycle concept links product and process development,

28 qualification of the commercial manufacturing process, and maintenance of the process in a state

29 of control during routine commercial production. This guidance promotes modern

30 manufacturing principles, process improvement, innovation, and sound science.

31

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER), in cooperation with the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) and the Center for Veterinary Medicine (CVM) at the Food and Drug Administration. FDA's Office of Regulatory Affairs (ORA) also contributed significantly to the development of this guidance.

² See the FDA/International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidances for industry: Q8 Pharmaceutical Development, Q9 Quality Risk Management, and when finalized, Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System (a notice of availability for the May 2007 ICH draft guidance, Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System, published in the Federal Register on July 13, 2007 (72 FR 38604)). We update guidance documents periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the CDER guidance page at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm, the CBER guidance page at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm, or the CVM guidance page at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/published.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

32 33	The following categories of drugs are within the scope of this guidance:
34	Human drugs
35	• Veterinary drugs
36	Biological and biotechnology products
37	• Finished products and active pharmaceutical ingredients (API or drug substance) ³
38	• The drug constituent of a combination (drug and medical device) product
39	
40 41	The following categories of products are not covered by this guidance:
42	Type A medicated articles and medicated feed
43	 Medical devices
44	• Dietary supplements
45	• Human tissues intended for transplantation regulated under section 361 of the Public Health
46	Service Act ⁴
47	
48	This guidance does not specify what information should be included as part of a regulatory submission.
49	Interested persons can refer to the appropriate guidance or contact the appropriate Center in determining
50	what information should be included in a submission.
51	
52	This guidance also does not specifically discuss the validation of automated process control systems
53	(i.e., computer hardware and software interfaces), which are commonly integrated into modern drug
54	manufacturing equipment. This guidance is relevant, however, to the validation of processes that
55	include automated equipment in processing.
56	
57	FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable
58	responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should
59	be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are
60	cited. The use of the word <i>should</i> in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or
61 62	recommended, but not required.
62 63	II. BACKGROUND
05	II. DAUNGKUUND

64

In the *Federal Register* of May 11, 1987 (52 FR 17638), FDA issued a notice announcing the availability of a guidance entitled *Guideline on General Principles of Process Validation* (the

³ Separate current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) regulations for drug components such as APIs (drug substances) and intermediates have not published as of the date of this guidance, but these components are subject to the statutory CGMP requirements of section 501(a)(2)(B) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) (21 U.S.C. 351(a)(2)(B)). Process validation for APIs is discussed in the FDA/ICH guidance for industry, *Q7A Good Manufacturing Practice Guidance for Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients* (ICH Q7A), available on the Internet at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm. Section XII of ICH Q7A describes in detail the principles to be followed in validating API processes.

⁴ See the FDA guidance for industry, *Validation of Procedures for Processing of Human Tissues Intended for Transplantation*, available on the Internet at <u>http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm</u>.

67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76	1987 guidance). ⁵ Since then, we have obtained additional experience through our regulatory oversight that allows us to update our recommendations to industry on this topic. This revised guidance conveys FDA's current thinking on process validation and is consistent with basic principles first introduced in the 1987 guidance. This guidance also provides recommendations that reflect some of the goals of FDA's initiative entitled "Pharmaceutical CGMPs for the 21st Century – A Risk-Based Approach," particularly with regard to the use of technological advances in pharmaceutical manufacturing, as well as implementation of modern risk management and quality system tools and concepts. When finalized, this guidance will replace the 1987 guidance.
77	FDA has the authority and responsibility to inspect and evaluate process validation performed by
78	manufacturers. The CGMP regulations for validating pharmaceutical (drug) manufacturing
79	require that drug products be produced with a high degree of assurance of meeting all the
80	attributes they are intended to possess (21 CFR 211.100(a) and 211.110(a)). Effective process
81	validation contributes significantly to assuring drug quality. The basic principle of quality
82 83	assurance is that a drug should be produced that is fit for its intended use; this principle incorporates the understanding that the following conditions exist:
83 84	incorporates the understanding that the following conditions exist.
85	• Quality, safety, and efficacy are designed or <i>built</i> into the product.
86	Quanty, safety, and efficacy are designed of <i>built</i> into the product.
87	• Quality cannot be adequately assured merely by in-process and finished-product
88	inspection or testing.
89	
90	• Each step of a manufacturing process is controlled to assure that the finished product
91	meets all design characteristics and quality attributes including specifications.
92	
93 04	For purposes of this guidance, <i>process validation</i> is defined as the collection and evaluation of
94 95	data, from the process design stage throughout production, which establishes scientific evidence that a process is capable of consistently delivering quality products. Process
95 96	validation involves a series of activities taking place over the lifecycle of the product and
97	process. This guidance describes the process validation activities in three stages.
98	processi rins garannee accorte as are process i anamion accivities in anee sugesi
99	• Stage 1 – Process Design: The commercial process is defined during this stage based on
100	knowledge gained through development and scale-up activities.
101	
102	• <u>Stage 2 – Process Qualification</u> : During this stage, the process design is confirmed as
103	being capable of reproducible commercial manufacturing.
104	
105	• <u>Stage 3 – Continued Process Verification</u> : Ongoing assurance is gained during routine
106	production that the process remains in a state of control.

⁵ The 1987 guidance was prepared by a working group that included representation from the Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH). Since that time, CDRH elected to publish its own process validation guidance through the Global Harmonization Task Force. The principles and recommendations in that document, Quality Management Systems – Process Validation, edition 2 (available on the Internet at <u>http://www.ghtf.org/sg3/sg3-final.html</u>), are also useful to consider for drug manufacturing processes.

107 108 109	This guidance describes activities typical in each stage, but in practice, some activities in different stages might overlap.
110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118	<i>Before</i> any batch from the process is commercially distributed for use by consumers, a manufacturer should have gained <i>a high degree of assurance</i> in the performance of the manufacturing process such that it will consistently produce APIs and drug products meeting those attributes relating to identity, strength, quality, purity, and potency. The assurance should be obtained from objective information and data from laboratory-, pilot-, and/or commercial-scale studies. Information and data should demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process is capable of consistently producing acceptable quality products within commercial manufacturing conditions, including those conditions that pose a high risk of process failure.
 119 120 121 122 123 	A successful validation program depends upon information and knowledge from product and process development. This knowledge and understanding is the basis for establishing an approach to control that is appropriate for the manufacturing process. Manufacturers should:
124 125 126 127 128 129	 understand the sources of variation detect the presence and degree of variation understand the impact of variation on the process and ultimately on product attributes control the variation in a manner commensurate with the risk it represents to the process and product
130 131 132 133 134 135 136	Each manufacturer should judge whether it has gained sufficient understanding to provide a high degree of assurance in its manufacturing process to justify commercial distribution of the product. Focusing on qualification efforts without understanding the manufacturing process may not lead to adequate assurance of quality. After establishing and confirming the process, manufacturers must maintain the process in a state of control over the life of the process, even as materials, equipment, production environment, personnel, and manufacturing procedures change. ⁶
137 138 139 140	III. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS FOR PROCESS VALIDATION
140 141 142 143	Process validation for drugs (finished pharmaceuticals and components) is a legally enforceable requirement under section $501(a)(2)(B)$ of the Act, which states the following:
143 144 145 146 147	A drug shall be deemed to be adulterated if the methods used in, or the facilities or controls used for, its manufacture, processing, packing, or holding do not conform to or are not operated or administered in conformity with current good manufacturing practice to assure that such drug meets the requirements of this Act as to

⁶ The statute and regulations described in section III of this guidance explain the requirement that the methods and facilities used for the manufacturing of drugs be operated and maintained under control sufficient to assure that the identity, strength, purity, and quality of a drug are as they purport or are represented to possess.

Draft — Not for Implementation

- safety and has the identity and strength, and meets the quality and purity characteristics,which it purports or is represented to possess.
- 150

151 FDA regulations describing current good manufacturing practice (CGMP) are provided in 21

- 152 CFR parts 210 and 211.
- 153

154 Process validation is required, in both general and specific terms, by the CGMP regulations in 155 parts 210 and 211. The foundation for process validation is provided in § 211.100(a), which 156 states that "[t]here shall be written procedures for production and process control designed to 157 assure that the drug products have the identity, strength, quality, and purity they purport or are 158 represented to possess" (emphasis added). This regulation requires that manufacturers design a 159 process including operations and controls that will result in a product meeting these attributes. 160 Product quality in the context of process validation means that product performance is consistent 161 from batch-to-batch and unit-to-unit. Many products are single-source or involve complicated 162 processes to manufacture. Validation also offers assurance that a process is reasonably 163 safeguarded from sources of variability affecting production output, the loss of which can cause 164 supply problems, thereby negatively affecting public health. 165 166 Other CGMP regulations define the various aspects of validation. Section 211.110(a), Sampling and testing of in-process materials and drug products, requires that control procedures "... be 167 168 established to monitor the output and *to validate* the performance of those manufacturing 169 processes that may be responsible for causing variability in the characteristics of in-process 170 material and the drug product" (emphasis added). This regulation establishes the requirement 171 that even well-designed processes must include in-process control procedures to assure final 172 product quality. 173 174 CGMP regulations require that batch samples represent the batch under analysis (see, e.g., § 175 211.160(b)(3)) and that the sampling plan result in statistical confidence (§ 211.165(c) and (d)) 176 that the batch meets its predetermined specifications (§ 211.165(a)). Section 211.110(b) 177 provides two principles to follow when establishing in-process specifications. The first principle 178 is that "... in-process specifications for such characteristics [of in-process material and the drug 179 product] shall be consistent with drug product final specifications" Accordingly, in-process 180 material should be controlled to assure that the final drug product will meet its quality 181 requirements. The second principle in this regulation further requires that in-process 182 specifications "... shall be derived from previous acceptable process average and process

- 183 variability estimates where possible and determined by the application of suitable statistical 184 procedures where appropriate." This requirement, in part, establishes the need for manufacture
- 184 procedures where appropriate." This requirement, in part, establishes the need for manufacturers 185 to analyze process performance and control batch-to-batch variability.⁷
- 186

⁷ In the *Federal Register* of September 29, 1978 (43 FR 45013 at 45052), FDA published a final rule on "Current Good Manufacturing Practice in Manufacture, Processing, Packing, or Holding" (available on the Internet at <u>http://www.fda.gov/cder/dmpq/preamble.txt</u>). In the preamble of the final rule, the Agency further explains this principle.

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 187 The CGMP regulations also describe and define activities connected with process design,
- 188 development, and maintenance. Section 211.180(e) requires that information and data about
- 189 product performance and manufacturing experience be periodically reviewed to determine
- whether any changes to the established process are warranted. Ongoing feedback about product
- 191 performance is an essential feature of process maintenance.
- 192

193 In addition, the CGMP regulations require that facilities in which drugs are manufactured be of

- suitable size, construction, and location to facilitate proper operations (21 CFR 211.42).
- 195 Equipment must be of appropriate design, adequate size, and suitably located to facilitate
- 196 operations for its intended use (21 CFR 211.63). Automated, mechanical, and electronic
- equipment must be calibrated, inspected, or checked according to a written program designed toassure proper performance (21 CFR 211.68).
- 199

In summary, the CGMP regulations require that manufacturing processes be designed and
 controlled to assure that in-process materials and the finished product meet predetermined
 quality requirements and do so consistently and reliably.

204 IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

205 206

203

A. General Considerations for Process Validation

In all stages of the product lifecycle, good project management and good archiving that capture
scientific knowledge will make the process validation program more effective and efficient.
These practices should ensure uniform collection and assessment of information about the
process, reduce the chance for redundant information gathering and analysis, and enhance the
accessibility of such information later in the product lifecycle.

213

We recommend an integrated⁸ team approach to process validation that includes expertise from a variety of disciplines, including process engineering, industrial pharmacy, analytical chemistry, microbiology, statistics, manufacturing, and quality assurance. Project plans, along with the full support of senior management, are essential elements for success.

218

Throughout the product lifecycle, various studies can be initiated to discover, observe, correlate, or confirm information about the product and process. All studies should be planned and conducted according to sound scientific principles, appropriately documented, and should be approved in accordance with the established procedure appropriate for the stage of the lifecycle.

224 225

B. Specific Stages and Activities of Process Validation in the Product Lifecycle

- 226 The following subsections describe the recommended stages and specific activities.
- 227

⁸ This concept is discussed in more detail in FDA's guidance for industry, *Quality Systems Approach to Pharmaceutical Current Good Manufacturing Practice Regulations*, available on the Internet at http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm.

228	1. Stage 1 – Process Design
229	1. Shuge I – I Toless Design
230	a. Building and Capturing Process Knowledge and Understanding
230	a. Bunding and Capturing Process Knowledge and Onderstanding
231	Process design is the activity of defining the commercial manufacturing process that will
232	
233 234	be reflected in the master production and control records. The goal of this stage is to
	design a process suitable for routine commercial manufacturing that can consistently
235	deliver a product that meets its critical quality attributes.
236	
237	Generally, early process design experiments do not need to be performed under CGMP
238	conditions. They should, however, be conducted in accordance with sound scientific
239	methods and principles, including good documentation practices. This recommendation
240	is consistent with ICH guidance for industry, Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System. ⁹
241	Decisions and justification of the controls should be sufficiently documented and
242	internally reviewed to verify and preserve their value for use later in the lifecycle of the
243	process and product.
244	
245	There are exceptions, however. For example, viral and impurity clearance studies have a
246	direct impact on drug safety and should be performed under CGMP conditions, even
247	when performed at small scale. The quality unit should be involved with these studies as
248	is typical during commercial production.
249	
250	Product-development activities provide key inputs to the design stage, such as the
251	intended dosage form, the quality attributes, and a general manufacturing pathway.
252	Process information available from the product-development stage can be leveraged in
253	the process-design stage. However, the full spectrum of input variability typical of
254	commercial production is not generally known at this stage. The functionality and
255	limitations of commercial manufacturing equipment should be considered, as well as the
256	contributions of variability by different component lots, production operators,
257	environmental conditions, and measurement systems in the production setting.
258	Laboratory or pilot-scale models designed to be representative of the commercial process
259	can be used to estimate variability. However, it is not a regulatory expectation that the
260	process be developed and tested until it fails, but rather that a process be controlled
261	within commercial manufacturing conditions, including those combinations of conditions
262	posing a high risk of process failure.
263	
264	Designing an efficient process with an effective process control approach is dependent on
265	the process knowledge and understanding obtained. Design of Experiment (DOE)
266	studies can help develop process knowledge by revealing relationships, including
267	multifactorial interactions, between the variable inputs (e.g., component ¹⁰ characteristics
	······································

⁹ A notice of availability for this draft ICH guidance published in the *Federal Register* on July 13, 2007 (72 FR 38604). When finalized, this guidance will represent FDA's current thinking on this topic.

¹⁰ "*Component* means any ingredient [raw material] intended for use in the manufacture of a drug product, including those that may not appear in such drug product" (21 CFR 210.3(b)(3)).

Draft — Not for Implementation

268or processing parameters) and the resulting outputs (e.g., in-process material,269intermediates, or the final product). Risk analysis tools can be used to screen potential270variables for DOE studies to minimize the total number of experiments conducted while271maximizing knowledge gained. The results of DOE studies can provide justification for272establishing ranges of incoming component quality, equipment parameters, and in-273process material quality attributes.

274

283

290 291

292 293

294

295

296

297

275 Other activities, such as experiments or demonstrations at laboratory or pilot scale, allow 276 evaluation of certain conditions and prediction of performance of the commercial 277 process. These activities also provide information that can be used to model or simulate 278 the commercial process. Computer-based or virtual simulations of certain unit operations 279 or dynamics can provide process understanding and avoid problems at commercial scale. 280 It is important to understand the degree to which models represent the commercial 281 process, including any differences that might exist, as this may have an impact on the 282 relevance of information derived from the studies.

It is essential that activities and studies resulting in product understanding be documented. Documentation should reflect the basis for decisions made about the process. For example, manufacturers should document the variables studied for a unit operation and the rationale for those variables identified as significant. This information is useful during the process qualification and continued process verification stages, including when the design is revised or the strategy for control is refined or changed.

b. Establishing a Strategy for Process Control

Process knowledge and understanding is the basis for establishing an approach to process control for each unit operation and the process overall. Strategies for process control can be designed to reduce input variation, adjust for input variation during manufacturing (and so reduce its impact on the output), or combine both approaches.

298 Process controls address variability to assure quality of the product. Controls can consist 299 of material analysis and equipment monitoring at significant processing points designed 300 to assure that the operation remains on target and in control with respect to output quality. 301 Special attention to control of the process through operational limits and in-process 302 monitoring is essential (1) where the product attribute is not readily measurable due to 303 limitations of sampling or detectability (e.g., viral clearance or microbial contamination), 304 or (2) when intermediates and products cannot be highly characterized and well-defined 305 quality attributes cannot be identified. These controls are included in the master 306 production and control records (see 21 CFR 211.186(a) and (b)(9)).

307308More advanced strategies, such as process analytical technology (PAT), use timely309analysis and control loops to adjust the processing conditions so that the output remains310constant. Manufacturing systems of this type can provide a higher degree of process311control. In the case of PAT strategy, the approach to process qualification will be312different from that for other process designs. Further information on PAT processes can

313 314 315	be found in FDA's guidance for industry on <i>PAT – A Framework for Innovative Pharmaceutical Development, Manufacturing, and Quality Assurance</i> (available on the Internet at <u>http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm</u>).
316 317 318 319 320	The planned commercial production and control records, which contain the operational limits and overall strategy for process control, should be carried forward to the next stage for confirmation.
320 321 322	2. Stage 2 – Process Qualification
323 324 325	During the process qualification stage of process validation, the process design is confirmed as being capable of reproducible commercial manufacture. This stage has two elements: (1) design of the facility and qualification of the equipment and utilities, and
326 327 328 329	(2) performance qualification (PQ). During this stage, CGMP-compliant procedures must be followed and successful completion of this stage is necessary before commercial distribution. ¹¹ Products manufactured during this stage, if acceptable, can be released.
330 331	a. Design of a Facility and Qualification of Utilities and Equipment
332 333 334	Proper design of a manufacturing facility is required under 21 CFR part 211, subpart C, of the CGMP regulations on <i>Buildings and Facilities</i> . It is essential that activities performed to assure proper facility design and commissioning precede PQ. Activities
335 336 337 338	undertaken to demonstrate that utilities and pieces of equipment are suitable for their intended use and perform properly is referred to in this guidance as <i>qualification</i> . These activities necessarily precede manufacturing products at the commercial scale.
339 340	Qualification of utilities and equipment generally includes the following activities:
341 342 343 344	• Selecting utilities and equipment construction materials, operating principles, and performance characteristics based on whether they are appropriate for their specific use.
345 346 347 348	• Verifying that utility systems and equipment are built and installed in compliance with the design specifications (e.g., built as designed with proper materials, capacity, and functions, and properly connected and calibrated).
349 350 351 352 353	• Verifying that the utility system and equipment operate in accordance with the process requirements in all anticipated operating ranges. This should include challenging the equipment or system functions while under load comparable to that expected during routine production. It should also include the performance of interventions, stoppage, and start-up as is expected during routine production.

¹¹ As discussed in section III of this guidance, process validation (including process qualification) is legally enforceable under section 501(a)(2)(B) of the Act. FDA regulations require that process validation procedures be established and followed (21 CFR 211.100) before a batch can be distributed (21 CFR 211.22 and 211.165).

355 necessary during routine production. 356 Qualification of utilities and equipment can be covered under individual plans or as part 358 of an overall project plan. The plan should consider the requirements of use and can 359 incorporate risk management to prioritize certain activities and to identify a level of effort 361 identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3) 362 the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for 363 documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's 364 requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be 365 documenting and approving the qualification Approach 366 the quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21 367 CFR 211.22). 368 b. Performance Qualification Approach 370 The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the 371 The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification and demonstrate 375 that the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce 376 commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate	354	Operating ranges should be shown capable of being held as long as would be
357Qualification of utilities and equipment can be covered under individual plans or as part358of an overall project plan. The plan should consider the requirements of use and can359incorporate risk management to prioritize certain activities and to identify a level of effort361identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3)362the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for363documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's364requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be365documentie and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan.366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21377CFR 211.22).368b. Performance Qualification Approach370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the371The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification and emonstrate373that facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with374commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce375commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate376that the commercial manufacturer genomences commercial distribution of the drug377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution o		necessary during routine production.
358 of an overall project plan. The plan should consider the requirements of use and can 359 incorporate risk management to prioritize certain activities and to identify a level of effort 360 in both the performance and documentation of qualification activities. The plan should 361 identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3) 362 the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for 363 documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's 364 requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be 365 documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan. 366 The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21 370 CFR 211.22). 380 b. Performance Qualification Approach 371 The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the 371 actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with 373 the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce 374 the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected. 375 succcess at this stage signals an important mi	356	
 incorporate risk management to prioritize certain activities and to identify a level of effort in both the performance and documentation of qualification activities. The plan should identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3) the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan. The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21 CFR 211.22). b. Performance Qualification Approach The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacture process performs as expected. Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacture commences commercial distribution of the drug product.¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance. The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacture will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typ	357	Qualification of utilities and equipment can be covered under individual plans or as part
 in both the performance and documentation of qualification activities. The plan should identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3) the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan. The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification. The pugality control unit must review and approve the qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance. The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing process, conticure will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the anter operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible the approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the annufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PO. For example, to have sufficient understanding of	358	of an overall project plan. The plan should consider the requirements of use and can
361identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3)362the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for363documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's364requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be365documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan.366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21367CFR 211.22).368b.370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product.377Success at this stage signals an important distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be sudies used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficien	359	incorporate risk management to prioritize certain activities and to identify a level of effort
362the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for363documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's364requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be365documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan.366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21367CFR 211.22).368b.370Performance Qualification Approach371The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be sudies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be sudies (e.g., designed experimential process, the manufacturer will need to consider the 	360	in both the performance and documentation of qualification activities. The plan should
363documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's364requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be365documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan.366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21367CFR 211.22).368b.370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the371actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with373the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce374commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² 371The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data376from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386usdet oestablish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to	361	identify (1) the studies or tests to use, (2) the criteria appropriate to assess outcomes, (3)
364requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be365documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan.366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21367CFR 211.22).368b.370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the371actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with373the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce374commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376377378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to	362	the timing of qualification activities, (4) responsibilities, and (5) the procedures for
365documented and summarized in a report with conclusions that address criteria in the plan.366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21367CFR 211.22).368b. Performance Qualification Approach370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the371The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the372actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with373the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce374commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² 383The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the387erfects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating388effects of scale, inowere, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided	363	documenting and approving the qualification. It should also include the firm's
366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (2)367CFR 211.22).368b. Performance Qualification Approach370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commencial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of proce	364	requirements for the evaluation of changes. Qualification activities should be
366The quality control unit must review and approve the qualification plan and report (21367CFR 211.22).368b. Performance Qualification Approach370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commercial distribution of the drug product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.389In most cases, PQ will have a hig	365	
367CFR 211.22).368b. Performance Qualification Approach370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.381assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processe can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.389In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny	366	•
369b.Performance Qualification Approach370371The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product.12 The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382383The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of mo	367	
37071The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382383The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient	368	
370The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376377377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient	369	b. Performance Qualification Approach
372actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with373the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce374commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In381addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical382metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.	370	
372actual facility, utilities, equipment (each now qualified), and the trained personnel with373the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce374commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningf	371	The PQ is the second element of stage 2, process qualification. The PQ combines the
373the commercial manufacturing process, control procedures, and components to produce374commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376377377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² 380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395sortupy of process performance. The level of monitoring and	372	
374commercial batches. A successful PQ will confirm the process design and demonstrate375that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected.376377377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395scruiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
 that the commercial manufacturing process performs as expected. Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product.¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance. The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
 Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug product.¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance. The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
377Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
378be completed before a manufacturer commences commercial distribution of the drug379product. ¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393394394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		Success at this stage signals an important milestone in the product lifecycle and needs to
 product.¹² The decision to begin commercial distribution should be supported by data from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance. 382 The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. 	378	
380from commercial batches. Data from laboratory and pilot studies can provide additional assurance.381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393394394395395380381381382383384385386387388388389389380381381382382383384384385385386387388388389389389380381381382383384385385386387388388389 <td></td> <td></td>		
381assurance.382383384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant3853863873883883893893893893893893893903913913933943943853863873883893943803813813823833843853853863863873883883893893893803813813823833843853853863873883893893803813813823823833843853853863873883883893893893813813823833843853853863863873883883893893893803813823		
383The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393394394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
383The approach to PQ should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall384level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393394394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
 level of product and process understanding. The cumulative data from all relevant studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		The approach to PO should be based on sound science and the manufacturer's overall
385studies (e.g., designed experiments; laboratory, pilot, and commercial batches) should be386used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient387understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the388effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating389range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible390experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In391addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical392metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance.393394394In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater395scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		
 used to establish the manufacturing conditions in the PQ. For example, to have sufficient understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 	385	
 understanding of the commercial process, the manufacturer will need to consider the effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 	386	
 effects of scale; however, it is not typically necessary to explore the entire operating range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 	387	
 range at commercial scale if assurance can be provided by other data. Previous credible experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		•
 experience with sufficiently similar products and processes can also be considered. In addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		
 addition, we strongly recommend firms employ objective measures (e.g., statistical metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		
 metrics), wherever feasible and meaningful to achieve adequate assurance. In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		
 393 394 In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater 395 scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		
 In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient 		
395 scrutiny of process performance. The level of monitoring and testing should be sufficient		In most cases, PQ will have a higher level of sampling, additional testing, and greater

¹² See section III of this guidance, Statutory and Regulatory Requirements for Process Validation.

397	scrutiny accompanied by a higher level of sampling should continue through the process
398	verification stage, as appropriate.
399	
400	The extent to which some materials, such as column resins or molecular filtration media,
401	can be re-used without adversely affecting product quality can be assessed in relevant
402	laboratory studies, and their usable lifetime should be confirmed by an ongoing PQ
403	protocol during commercial manufacture.
404	
405	A manufacturing process that uses PAT may warrant a different PQ approach. Such a
406	process is one that is designed to measure in real time the attributes of an in-process
407	material and then adjust the process in a timely control loop so the process maintains the
408	desired quality of the output material. The process design stage and the process
409	qualification stage should have as a focus the measurement system and control loop.
410	Regardless, the goal remains the same: establishing scientific evidence that the process is
411	reproducible and will consistently deliver quality products.
412	
413	c. Performance Qualification Protocol
414	
415	A written protocol that specifies the manufacturing conditions, controls, testing, and
416	expected outcomes is essential for this stage of process validation. We recommend that
417	the protocol discuss:
418	•
419	• The manufacturing conditions including operating parameters, processing limits, and
420	component (raw material) inputs.
421	
422	• The data to be collected and when and how it will be evaluated.
423	
424	• Tests to be performed (in-process, release, characterization) and acceptance criteria
425	for each significant processing step.
426	
427	• The sampling plan including sampling points, number of samples, and the frequency
428	of sampling for each unit operation and attribute. The number of samples should be
429	adequate to provide sufficient statistical confidence of quality both within a batch and
430	between batches. The confidence level selected can be based on risk analysis as it
431	relates to the particular attribute under examination. Sampling during this stage
432	should be more extensive than is typical during routine production.
433	
434	• Criteria that provide for a rational conclusion of whether the process consistently
435	produces quality products. The criteria should include:
436	
437	• A description of the statistical methods to be used in analyzing all collected
438	data (e.g., statistical metrics defining both intra-batch and inter-batch
439	variability).
440	

441	• Provision for addressing deviations from expected conditions and handling of
442	nonconforming data. Data should not be excluded from further consideration
443	in terms of PQ without a documented, science-based justification.
444	
445	• Design of facilities and the qualification of utilities and equipment, personnel training
446	and qualification, and verification of material sources (components and
447	container/closures), if not previously accomplished.
448	
449	• Status of the validation of analytical methods used in measuring the process, in-
450	process materials, and the product.
451	
452	• Review and approval by appropriate departments and the quality unit.
453	
454	d. Protocol Execution and Report
455	
456	Protocol execution should not begin until the protocol has been reviewed and approved
457	by all appropriate departments, including the quality unit. Departure from the established
458	protocol must be made according to established procedure or provisions in the protocol.
459	Such departures must be justified and approved by all appropriate departments and the
460	quality unit before implementation (§ 211.100).
461	
462	The commercial manufacturing process and routine procedures must be followed (§§
463 464	211.100(b) and 211.110(a)). The PQ lots should be manufactured under normal
	conditions by personnel expected to routinely perform each step of each unit operation in the process. Normal operating conditions should cover the utility systems (a.g., sin
465	the process. Normal operating conditions should cover the utility systems (e.g., air
466 467	handling and water purification), material, personnel, environment, and manufacturing
467 468	procedures.
408	A report documenting and assessing adherence to the written protocol should be prepared
409	in a timely manner after the completion of the protocol. This report should:
470	In a timery manner after the completion of the protocol. This report should.
472	• Discuss and cross-reference all aspects of the protocol.
472	• Discuss and cross-reference an aspects of the protocol.
474	• Summarize data collected and analyze the data, as specified by the protocol.
474	• Summarize data confected and analyze the data, as specified by the protocol.
476	• Evaluate any unexpected observations and additional data not specified in the
470	• Evaluate any unexpected observations and additional data not specified in the protocol.
478	protocol.
478	• Summarize and discuss all manufacturing nonconformances such as deviations
479 480	• Summarize and discuss all manufacturing nonconformances such as deviations, aberrant test results, or other information that has bearing on the validity of process.
480 481	aberrant test results, or other information that has bearing on the validity of process.
481	• Describe in sufficient detail any corrective actions or changes that should be made to
482 483	• Describe in sufficient detail any corrective actions or changes that should be made to existing procedures and controls.
483	existing procedures and controls.
TUT	

485	• State a clear conclusion as to whether the data indicates the process met the
486	conditions established in the protocol and whether the process is considered to be in a
487	sufficient state of control. If not, the report should state what should be accomplished
488	before such a conclusion can be reached. This conclusion should be based on a
489	documented justification for the approval of the process, and release of lots produced
490	by it to the market in consideration of the entire compilation of knowledge and
491	information gained from the design stage through the process qualification stage.
492	
493	• Include all appropriate department and quality unit review and approvals.
494	
495	3. Stage 3 – Continued Process Verification
496	
497	The goal of the third validation stage is to continually assure that the process remains in a
498	state of control (the validated state) during commercial manufacture. A system or
499	systems for detecting unplanned departures from the process as designed is essential to
500	accomplish this goal. Adherence to the CGMP requirements, specifically including the
501	collection and evaluation of information and data about the performance of the process
502	(see below), will allow detection of process <i>drift</i> . The evaluation should determine
503	whether action must be taken to prevent the process from drifting out of control (§
504	211.180(e)).
505	
506	An ongoing program to collect and analyze product and process data that relate to
507	product quality must be established (§ 211.180(e)). The data collected should include
508	relevant process trends and quality of incoming materials or components, in-process
509	material, and finished products. The data should be statistically trended and reviewed by
510	trained personnel. The information collected should verify that the critical quality
511	attributes are being controlled throughout the process.
512	
513	We recommend that a statistician or person with adequate training in statistical process
514	control techniques develop the data collection plan and statistical methods and
515	procedures used in measuring and evaluating process stability and process capability.
516	Procedures should describe how trending and calculations are to be performed.
517	Procedures should guard against overreaction to individual events as well as against
518	failure to detect process drift. Production data should be collected to evaluate process
519	stability and capability. The quality unit should review this information. If done
520	properly, these efforts can identify variability in the process and/or product; this
521	information can be used to alert the manufacturer that the process should be improved.
522	
523	Good process design and development should anticipate significant sources of variability
524	and establish appropriate detection, control, and/or mitigation strategies, as well as
525	appropriate alert and action limits. However, a process is likely to encounter sources of
526	variation that were not previously detected or to which the process was not previously
527	exposed. Many tools and techniques, some statistical and others more qualitative, can be
528	used to detect variation, characterize it, and determine the root cause. We recommend
529	that the manufacturer use quantitative, statistical methods whenever feasible. We also

Draft — Not for Implementation

530 recommend that it scrutinize intra-batch as well as inter-batch variation as part of a 531 comprehensive *continued process verification* program. 532 533 We recommend continued monitoring and/or sampling at the level established during the 534 process qualification stage until sufficient data is available to generate significant 535 variability estimates. Once the variability is known, sampling and/or monitoring should 536 be adjusted to a statistically appropriate and representative level. Process variability 537 should be periodically assessed and sampling and/or monitoring adjusted accordingly. 538 539 Variation can also be detected by the timely assessment of defect complaints, out-of-540 specification findings, process deviation reports, process yield variations, batch records, 541 incoming raw material records, and adverse event reports. Production line operators and 542 quality unit staff should be encouraged to provide feedback on process performance. 543 Operator errors should also be tracked to measure the quality of the training program; to 544 identify operator performance issues; and to look for potential batch record, procedural, 545 and/or process improvements that could help to reduce operator error. We recommend 546 that the quality unit meet periodically with production staff to evaluate data, discuss 547 possible trends or drifts in the process, and coordinate any correction or follow-up actions 548 by production. 549 550 Data gathered during this stage might suggest ways to improve and/or optimize the 551 process by altering some aspect of the process or product such as the operating conditions 552 (ranges and set-points), process controls, component, or in-process material 553 characteristics. A description of the planned change, a well-justified rationale for the 554 change, an implementation plan, and quality unit approval before implementation must 555 be documented (21 CFR 211.100). Depending on the significance to product quality, modifications may warrant performing additional process design and process 556 qualification activities.¹³ 557 558 Maintenance of the facility, utilities, and equipment is another important aspect of 559 560 ensuring that a process remains in control. Once established, qualification status must be 561 maintained through routine monitoring, maintenance, and calibration procedures and 562 schedules (21 CFR part 211, subparts C and D). The data should be assessed periodically to determine whether re-qualification should be performed and the extent of that re-563 564 qualification. Maintenance and calibration frequency should be adjusted based on 565 feedback from these activities. 566 567 V. **CONCURRENT RELEASE OF PERFORMANCE QUALIFICATION BATCHES** 568

In most cases, the PQ protocol needs to be completed before the commercial distribution of a
 product. In special situations, the PQ protocol can be designed to release a PQ batch for

¹³ Certain manufacturing changes may call for a formal notification to the Agency before implementation, as directed by existing regulations and *filing* guidance (i.e., documents that describe procedures for filing information to an application).

Draft — Not for Implementation

- 571 distribution before completion of the protocol. The conclusions about the manufacturing
- 572 process should be made when the protocol is completed and the data is fully evaluated.
- 573
- 574 FDA expects that concurrent release will be used rarely. Concurrent release might be
- 575 appropriate for processes used infrequently because of limited demand for the product (e.g.,
- 576 orphan drugs), processes with necessarily low production volume per batch (e.g.,
- 577 radiopharmaceuticals, including positron emission tomography drugs), and processes
- 578 manufacturing *medically necessary* drugs to alleviate a short supply, which should be
- 579 coordinated with the Agency.
- 580

581 When warranted and used, concurrent release should be accompanied by a system for careful 582 oversight of the distributed batch to facilitate rapid customer feedback. For example, customer 583 complaints and defect reports should be rapidly assessed to determine root cause and whether the 584 process should be improved or changed. We recommend that each batch in a concurrent release 585 program also undergo stability testing and that this test data be promptly evaluated to ensure 586 rapid detection and correction of any problems.

587 588

VI. DOCUMENTATION

589

590 Documentation at each stage of the process validation lifecycle is essential for effective 591 communication in complex, lengthy, and multidisciplinary projects. Documentation is important 592 so that knowledge gained about a product and process is accessible and comprehensible to others 593 involved in each stage of the lifecycle. In addition to being a fundamental tenet of following the 594 scientific method, information transparency and accessibility are essential so that organizational 595 units responsible and accountable for the process can make informed, science-based decisions 596 that ultimately support the release of a product to commerce.

597

598 The degree and type of documentation required by CGMP is greatest during stage 2, process 599 qualification, and stage 3, continued process verification. Studies during these stages must 600 conform to CGMPs and must be approved by the quality unit in accordance with the regulations 601 (see 21 CFR 211.22 and 211.100). Viral and impurity clearance studies, even when performed at 602 small scale, also require full quality unit oversight as is necessary during routine commercial 603 production.

604

605 CGMP documents for commercial manufacturing (i.e., the initial commercial master batch

606 production and control record (21 CFR 211.186) and supporting procedures) are key outputs of 607 stage 1, process design. We recommend that firms diagram the process flow for the full-scale

- 608 process. Process flow diagrams should describe each unit operation, its placement in the overall
- 609 process, monitoring and control points, and the component, as well as other processing material
- 610 inputs (e.g., processing aids) and expected outputs (i.e., in-process materials and finished
- 611 product). It is also useful to generate and preserve process flow diagrams of the various scales as
- the process design progresses to facilitate comparison and decision making about their
- 613 comparability.
- 614

Draft — Not for Implementation

615 VII. ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY

616

617 Process knowledge is dependent on accurate and precise measuring techniques that are used to

test and examine the quality of drug components, in-process materials, and finished products.

619 For data to have value in predicting process outcomes, it is essential that the analytical tests be

scientifically sound (as required under 21 CFR 211.160). While validated analytical methods are

not required during product- and process-development activities, methods should be
 scientifically sound (e.g., specific, sensitive, and accurate), suitable, and reliable for the specified

623 purpose. There should be assurance of proper equipment function for laboratory experiments.

624 Procedures for analytical method and equipment maintenance, documentation practices, and

625 calibration practices supporting process-development efforts should be documented or described.

626 Analytical methods supporting clinical supply production, particularly stage 2 and 3 studies,

627 must follow appropriate CGMPs in parts 210 and 211.

628	REFERENCES
629	
630	FDA, 1987 (CDER, CBER, and Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH)),
631	Guideline on General Principles of Process Validation, guidance for industry, May 1987.
632	
633	FDA, 2002 (CBER), Validation of Procedures for Processing of Human Tissues Intended for
634	Transplantation, guidance for industry, May 2002.
635	
636	FDA, 2004 (CDER, CVM, and ORA), PAT — A Framework for Innovative Pharmaceutical
637	Development, Manufacturing, and Quality Assurance, guidance for industry, September
638	2004.
639	
640	FDA, 2006 (CDER, CBER, CVM, and ORA), Quality Systems Approach to Pharmaceutical
641	Current Good Manufacturing Practice Regulations, guidance for industry, September
642	2006.
643	
644	FDA/Global Harmonization Task Force (GHTF; medical devices), 2004, Quality Management
645	Systems – Process Validation, edition 2, guidance, January 2004.
646	
647	FDA/ICH, 2001 (CDER and CBER), Q7A Good Manufacturing Practice, Guidance for Active
648	Pharmaceutical Ingredients, ICH guidance for industry, August 2001.
649	
650	FDA/ICH, 2006 (CDER and CBER), Q8A Pharmaceutical Development, ICH guidance for
651	industry, May 2006.
652	
653	FDA/ICH, 2006 (CDER and CBER), Q9A Quality Risk Management, ICH guidance for industry,
654	June 2006.
655	
656	FDA/ICH (CDER and CBER) Q10 Quality Systems, ICH draft guidance for industry, May 2007
657	(when finalized, this guidance will convey FDA's current thinking on this topic).