Appendix C Abstraction Forms

General Population (pages 167-194) Cancer Population (pages 195-216)

Data abstraction form for general population

Data Abstraction Form Tracking Information: original edited based on other info: O Yes O No. Abstractor: Study Name (if has one): >1 study in papers: Paper ID: _____ First Author _____ Publication year Paper ID: First Author Publication year Journal: Paper ID: First Author _____ Journal: Publication year Paper ID: First Author _____ Publication year Journal: Paper ID: First Author Publication year Paper ID: First Author Publication year Journal: Funding Source: ☐ Government ☐ Private ☐ Non-funded ☐ Unknown Study Type: O Journal article Technical report Other...

Next Page

16/

Verification/Selection of Study Eligibility

Stop if any of the following is "No"				
Reported on a behavioral intervention or policy change	○Yes	ΟNο	○ Unclear	Qualify (l=no)
Reported any physical activity /physical fitness			Ounclear	(/
Concurrent comparison group with reported results	_	_	Ounclear	
Have ≥ 75 subjects in total	_	_	OUnclear	
Reported at least 3 month post intervention f/u data	○Yes	ON₀	OUnclear	
Stop if any of the following is "Yes"				
Targets subjects with acute disease	○Yes	○No	OUnclear	
Targets subjects with CHD, PVD, CVD	○Yes	ON₀	Ounclear	
Targets subjects with cystic fibrosis	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	
Targets subjects with osteoarthritis	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	
Targets subjects with severe COPD	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	
Targets nursing home residents or prisoners	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	If Yes, describe:
Study of a cardiac rehabilitation program	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	1
Study of rehabilitation/physiotherapy intervention	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	/
Do not stop for following but bring information to n	neeting t	for discu	ssion:	
Is there any other disease in this sample?	○Yes	○No	OUnclear	/
Are there any other risk factors in this sample?	○Yes	ON₀	Ounclear	→ If Yes, describe:
BACK		NE:		

801

Classification Information

A. Study Desi	ign:					
	Randomized Non-randomized Other	} o Individual	O Group	0		
B. Study Sam	φle:					
Eligibility Crit	eria:					
a a						
Unknown School Communi Worksite	are or health care	apply): → O Federal	○ State	○ Local	→ Specify:	
	ernmental agency	→ O Federal	O State	O Local	→ Specify:	
□ Other		BACK		NEXT		

_	
_	Ľ
_	_
\subset	

		Intervention	n Information			
C. Interventions:						
Number of interv	ention groups:					
Number of compa	rison groups:					
Intervention part	of a larger study?	:				
	○Yes — ○No	→ If Yes, De	scribe:			
						····
Intervention desc	ription:					
		ter comparison grou s an intervention or		ı" groups starting w	ith #1. For all of th	e groups, select
Comparison group	Intervention Group #1	Intervention group #2	Intervention Group #3	Intervention Group #4	Intervention Group #5	Intervention Group #6
You should enter	intervention info	rmation for all gro	ups before procee	ding. You should l	have entered info	rmation on
					_	
	-	d see a working na	ıme for each inter	vention above the	buttons.	
C. Sampling of the Co.		rvention groups, w	ere groups sample	ed (e.g., clinics or	schools) or indivi	duals?
	_	Groups	Individual		-	
			ACK			

Data abstraction form for general population (continued)

If there was only one comparison group, the following three pages were completed.

itervention setting:		⊙ Federal
□ Unknown □ School	☐ Public health agency	→ State → Specify:
☐ Community ☐ Worksite	Other governmental agency	→ OFederal → Specify:
☐ Primary care or health care ☐ Home		O State O Local
For this group, was there a phys	ical activity intervention, non-physic	al activity intervention or neither?
○ Physical activity intervention	O Non-physical activity intervention	on ONo specific intervention
intervention components: Provision of information Brovironmental intervention		ntervention
PA Mode/Type: ☐ Walking ☐ Biking ☐ Jogging ☐ Garden o ☐ Exercise class ☐ Lifestyle ☐ Stair climbing ☐ Aerobic o		☐ Resistance exercise ☐ Stretching and relaxation techniques ☐ Not specified s ☐ Other
PA Intensity:		
◯Light ◯Moderate ◯Vigo	rous ○Notspecified ○Notclea	ar
PA Frequency:		
# sessions per week	ONot specified ○ Not clean	ır
Frequency of contact: frequency (include units)	○ Not specified ○ Not clea	ır
Who is contact with?	_ Order specified Order clear	''

Intervention description: Comparison group (page 2) PA location: □Home ☐ Community ☐ Worksite ■ Not specified ■ Other... □ Exercise facility □ School ☐ Assisted Living Facility ☐ Not clear Intervention Tailoring: □None ☐ Culture ☐ Exercise preferences or goals ☐ Stage of life or age ☐ Stage of readiness for change and other psychological variables ☐ Risk factor status ☐ Gender Other... Intervention Mode: ☐ Internet or email ☐ Computerized feedback system ☐ Other... □Mail ☐ Telephone ☐ In person ■ Not specified Intervention delivery: ☐ Individual ☐ Group ☐ Not specified Behavioral intervention approaches: □None ☐ Written Feedback or verbal encouragement ☐ Education on normal response to exercise ☐ Provision of equipment ☐ Problem solving ☐ Education on why one should exercise ☐ Self efficacy enhancement ☐ Self monitoring ☐ Not clear ☐ Goal setting ☐ Social support Other... ☐ Skill building ☐ Relapse prevention ☐ Incentives and contracts ☐ Benefits and barriers Monetary cost of participation fees, gear and equipment: O None O Low O Medium or High O Not specified O Not clear Regimen structure versus flexibility: ○ High structure ○ Middleground ○ High flexibility ○ Not applicable ○ Not clear Physical/environmental barriers addressed: □ None □ Distance □ Safety □ Transportation □ Accessibility □ Not clear NEXT BACK

Intervention description: Comparison group (page 3) Description of the intervention: What: How: Who: Where: Other: Total length of the intervention: Maximum length of follow-up for this intervention: Was there a theory presented as 'underlying the intervention'?: ○Yes → Describe: Social Learning Theory (Bandura 1977) \bigcirc No Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura 1986) ☐ Transtheoretical Model or Stages of Change (Prochaska) Decision Making Theory (Janis and Mann) Social Ecology Theory (Stokel) ☐ Problem Behavior Model (Jessor and Jessor) ☐ Motivational Interviewing ☐ Health Belief Model Other...

NEXT

BACK

Data abstraction form for general population (continued)

If there was more than one comparison group, they were identified as intervention groups starting with #1 up to #6. For each intervention, they completed the next three pages.

Intervention description: Intervention group 1 (page 1) Working name (less than 8 characters): Intervention setting: O Federal → O State Local ☐ Public health agency Unknown → Specify:_____ ☐ School Other governmental agency —> State □ Community → Specify:_____ ☐ Worksite ☐ Primary care or health care ☐ Other... O Local □Home For this group, was there a physical activity intervention, non-physical activity intervention or neither? O Physical activity intervention O Non-physical activity intervention O No specific intervention Intervention components: ☐ Provision of information ☐ Public health or medical care intervention ☐ Combined with smoking cessation ☐ Environmental intervention ☐ Combined with diet ■ Not Specified PA Mode/Type: □Walking Biking ☐ Resistance exercise ☐ Garden or yardwork Jogaina ☐ Stretching and relaxation techniques ☐ Exercise class ☐ Lifestyle Exercise ☐ Not specified Stair climbing ☐ Aerobic activity undefined or multiple modes ☐ Other... PA Intensity: ○ Light ○ Moderate ○ Vigorous ○ Not specified ○ Not clear PA Frequency: ○ Not specified ○ Not clear # sessions per week Frequency of contact: ○ Not specified ○ Not clear frequency (include units) Who is contact with? **PA duration:** (short vs long bouts) ○ Not specified ○ Not clear BACK NEXT

1//

Intervention description: Intervention group 1 (page 2)

PA location:
☐ Home ☐ Community ☐ Worksite ☐ Not specified ☐ Other ☐ Exercise facility ☐ School ☐ Assisted Living Facility ☐ Not clear
Intervention Tailoring:
□ None □ Culture □ Stage of life or age □ Exercise preferences or goals □ Stage of readiness for change and other psychological variables □ Risk factor status □ Gender □ Other
Intervention Mode:
☐ Mail ☐ Internet or email ☐ Computerized feedback system ☐ Other ☐ Telephone ☐ In person ☐ Not specified
Intervention delivery:
☐ Individual ☐ Group ☐ Not specified
Behavioral intervention approaches:
None Written Feedback or verbal encouragement Education on normal response to exercise Problem solving Provision of equipment Education on why one should exercise Self monitoring Self efficacy enhancement Not clear Goal setting Social support Other Relapse prevention Skill building Incentives and contracts Benefits and barriers
Monetary cost of participation fees, gear and equipment:
○ None ○ Low ○ Medium or High ○ Not specified ○ Not clear
Regimen structure versus flexibility:
○ High structure ○ Middleground ○ High flexibility ○ Not applicable ○ Not clear
Physical/environmental barriers addressed:
☐ None ☐ Distance ☐ Safety ☐ Transportation ☐ Accessibility ☐ Not clear
BACK NEXT

Intervention description: Intervention group 1 (page 3) Description of the intervention: What: How: Who: Where: Other: Total length of the intervention: Maximum length of follow-up for this intervention: Was there a theory presented as 'underlying the intervention'?: Social Cognitive Theory (Bandura 1986) \bigcirc No Transtheoretical Model or Stages of Change (Prochaska) Decision Making Theory (Janis and Mann) Social Ecology Theory (Stokel) ☐ Problem Behavior Model (Jessor and Jessor) ☐ Motivational Interviewing ☐ Health Belief Model ☐ Other... BACK NEXT

Population and Sample Number (Groups sampled)

Fotal number of groups within How sampled: Number Groups Enrolled: How sampled:	n population of	groups:	○ Not noted		
Number Groups Inrolled: How sampled:					
Number Groups Inrolled: How sampled:					
nrolled:					
Yumbar Individuals					
Enrolled:			 	 	
Is the response rate from the	sampling frame Describe:				

NEXT

BACK

1/9

Population and Sample Number (Individuals sampled)

r Individuals
ed:

181

Sample Demographics

Age:								7
Mean								
Standard deviation:								1
Median								1
Age Range:		······						
Other:								
Not reported:	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	Q.NR	O.NR	4
Gender:								
% male								.
% female								-
% unknown								1
Not reported:	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	Q.NR	O.NR	Q.NR	4
Race:								
% White								-
% Black								·
% Asian % American Indian								1
% American Indian %Pacific								1
% Other								1
Not reported:	O.NR	ONR	ONR	ONR	ONR	O.NR.	O.NR.	1
Ethnicity:								†
% Hispanic or Latino								
% NOT Hispanic/Latino								
% Other								.
Not reported:	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	
Socioeconomic status:								ıT
	OIO Che	k here if only	total populat	ion numbers :	reported so co	lumn represen	ts total sampl	le
D, page & table:		BACK		NEXT	•		T	

	Other factor definitions
Factor 1:	Factor 6:
Short Factor name:	Short Factor name:
Measure:	Measure:
Units:	Units:
Results reported as:	Results reported as:
Factor 2:	Factor 7:
Short Factor name:	Short Factor name:
Measure:	IVIeasure:
Units:	Units:
Results reported as:	Results reported as:
Factor 3:	Factor 8:
Short Factor name:	Short Factor name:
Measure:	I/Ieasure:
Units:	Units:
Results reported as:	Results reported as:
Factor 4:	Factor 9:
Short Factor name:	Short Factor name:
Measure:	Measure:
Units:	Units:
Results reported as:	Results reported as:
Factor 5:	Factor 10:
Short Factor name:	Short Factor name:
Measure:	I/Ieasure:
Units:	Units:
Results reported as:	Results reported as:

Define Factor

To enter additional factors, first click below to bring up "factor". Then enter additional factors in list. Try not to create more than one name for the same factor.

factor Click Here	
	<u>0</u>
I	₹

BACK

Other Sample Characteristics

				Sig.
-	 		 	
				l

Paper ID, page & table:

BACK

NEXT

Outcome Measure Descriptions

Evaluation study characteristics:										
Location:	II	f not USA, describe	:							
Setting: Hospital Clinic or health care provider office Nursing home Child day care center Drug treatment center	☐ Mental health settin ☐ Community based ☐ School ☐ Workplace ☐ Religious institution	organization F S S	Home Prison Street Shelter Community wide	☐ Not reported ☐ Does not apply ☐ Other						
Describe outcome measures used in study: Describe:										
Outcome Measures: Click on each button and enter the informate primary outcome, it should be Outcome 1. Outcome 1 Outcome 2 Outcome 6 Outcome 7	Outcome 8 Outcome 13	Outcome 9 Outcome14	noted as the Outcome 5 Outcome 10							

Data abstraction form for general population (continued)

There could be more than one outcome measure identified. The following page would be completed for each outcome identified.

Short outcome name:

Outcome name:

Outcome 1 Definition

I	_	_
	0	C
1	0	C

Assessment of exposure to the intervention:		
☐ Resource utilization	→ Describe:	
Observation	→ Describe:	
☐ Self report	→ Describe:	
☐ Fitness test	→ Describe:	
☐ Attendance sheets	→ Describe:	
☐ Staff completed process evaluation for	ns 	
☐ % of completed interaction contacts	→ Describe:	
☐ Not reported/did not assess	→ Describe:	
☐ Other		
BA	(CK)	NEXT

189

Results and Mediators

Was there a univariate o	or bivariate model used with no adjustments employed?
Yes Paper ID(s)), Page numbers, Table numbers
Was there a multivariat	te model used?
O Yes Paper ID(s)	, Page numbers, Table numbers
Were results examined	
O YesPaper ID(s), O No	, Page numbers, Table numbers
· ·-	esized mediators for changes in PA behavior?
Was exposure to mediat	ors assessed?
OYes → Describe: ONo	
nhysical activity?	al analysis to assess whether change in mediator was associated with the change in
	BACK NEXT

190

Other information

What other key issues were addre	essed in the paper?
☐ Costs ☐ Potential harms ☐ Other benefits ☐ Implementation ☐ Barriers to implementation ☐ Community acceptance or in ☐ formation of use of existing of ☐ Ethical constraints ☐ Not discussed ☐ Other	nvolvement coalitions to develop, implement or evaluate interventions
Other important information:	
Relevant references:	
	BACK NEXT

Study quality: Descriptions and Samplings Descriptions: Was the study sample well described? OYes ONo Was the intervention well described (what, how, who, where)? OYes ONo Explain: Samplings: Did the authors specify the sampling frame or universe of selection for the study sample? OYes ONO ONA ONR Was the sample that served as the unit of analysis the entire eligible sample or a probability sample at the point of reference? OYes ONO ONA ONR Are there other selection bias issues not otherwise addressed? OYes ONO ONA ONR Explain:

NEXT

BACK

Measurement: Did the authors attempt to measure exposure to the intervention? O Yes O No O NA O NR Was the exposure variable valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Was the exposure variable reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR					Stud	ly qualit	ty: Mea	surement					
O Yes O No O NA O NR Was the exposure variable valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Was the exposure variable reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	Measu	ement	:										
Was the exposure variable valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Was the exposure variable reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	Did the	authors	attemp	t to measu	re exposur	e to the i	ntervent	ion?					
O Yes O No O NA O NR Was the exposure variable reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
Was the exposure variable reliable (consistent and reproducible)? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	Was the	exposu	re varia	ble valid?									
OYES ONO ONA ONR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? OYES ONO ONA ONR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid? O Yes O No O NA O NR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	Was the	exposu	re varia	ble reliabl	e (consiste	nt and re	producib	le)?					
OYes ONo ONA ONR Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?	Were th	e outco	me and	other inde	pendent (o	r predicto	r) variab	les valid?					
	O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
OYes ON0 ONA ONR	Were th	e outcor	me and	other indep	pendent (o	r predicto	r) variab	les reliable	(consiste	nt and rep	producible)	?	
	O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
Explain:	Explain:	:											

				Study quanty: Analysis
Data analy	sis:			
Did the auth	ors condu	uct аррго	priate s	statistical testing by:
	conduct	ting stati	istical te	esting (when appropriate)?
	O Yes	ON ₀	O NA	ONR
	reportii	ng which	ı statisti	ical tests were used?
	O Yes	ON ₀	O NA	ONR
	control	ling for 1	repeated	d measures in samples that were followed over time?
	O Yes	ON ₀	O NA	ONR
	control	ling for o	differen	tial exposure to the intervention?
	O Yes	ON ₀	ONA	ONR
	_		_	l to handle multi-level data when they included ual covariates in the model?
	O Yes	ON ₀	O NA	ONR
Explain:				

BACK

NEXT

	Study quality: Interpretation
Interpretation of Res	ılts:
Did at least 80% of enrol	led participants complete the study?
OYes ONo ONA	O NR
Did the authors assess if	the units of analysis were comparable prior to exposure to the intervention?
OYes ONo ONA	D NR
	study procedures to limit bias appropriately (e.g. randomization, restriction, or statistical adjustment)?
OYes ONo ONA	D NR
be sure to describe all po	nken de novs from the paper, you may check both yes and no. If you check yes, tential biases or unmeasured/contextual confounders NOT identified by the s indicate the likely direction of effect on the result if possible:
Authors:	
Reviewers:	
Other:	ons not specified elsewhere (specify):

Data abstraction form for cancer population

Data Abstraction Form

Tracking Abstractor	Information:		original edited based on other info: O Yes O No
Citation:	Paper ID:	First Author	
	Journal:		Publication year
	Paper ID:	First Author	
	Journal:		Publication year
	Paper ID:	First Author	
	Journal:		Publication year
	Paper ID:	First Author	
	Journal:		Publication year
	Paper ID:	First Author	
	Journal:		Publication year
	Paper ID:	First Author	
	Journal:		Publication year
Funding	Source: Governme	ent □Private □Non-funde	d Unknown
Study T	ype: ○ Journal artic	cle OTechnical report OC	ther
		Next Page	

Verification/Selection of Study Eligibility

Stop if any of the following is "!	No"						
Reported on a behavioral intervent	○Yes	\bigcircNo	Ounclear				
Intervened on physical activity /pl	○Yes	○No	Ounclear				
Concurrent comparison group with	○Yes	○No	Ounclear				
Targets subjects with a diagnosis o	f cancer	○Yes	ON₀	Ounclear			
Targets adults		○Yes	○No	Ounclear			
Other info, record but do not sto	op:						
Cancer type:	□Breast □Co	Ion 🗆 C	ther				
Survivors:	○Yes ○No () Unclea	ır				
Subjects currently on treatment	○Yes ○No ○) Unclea	r			If Yes, describe:	
					1	71	<u>1</u>
Do not stop for following but bri	ing information to	meeting i	for discu	ssion:			
Is there any other disease in this sa	ample?	○Yes	\bigcircNo	Ounclear	/		
Are there any other risk factors in	this sample?	○Yes	○No	Ounclear	\rightarrow	If Yes, describe:	<u></u>

BACK

NEXT

Classification Information

A. Study Des:	ign:					
	Randomized Non-randomized Other	d } o Individual	O Grou	p		
B. Study San	mple:					
Eligibility Crit						
□ Unknown □ School □ Communi □ Worksite	ity are or health care	apply): O Federal	• State	○ Local	→ Specify:	
□ Other gov	ernmental agency	→ O Federal	O State	O Local	→ Specify:	
	(BACK		NEXT		

197

86

Intervention Information

C. Interventions:	
Number of intervention groups:	
Number of comparison groups:	
Intervention part of a larger study?: O Yes O No If Yes, Describe:	
Intervention description: If more than one comparison group, enter comparison groups as "intervention" groups starting with #1. For all of the below each button whether the group is an intervention or comparison group. Comparison Intervention Intervention Group #1 Group #2 Group #3 Group #4 Group #5	groups, select Intervention Group #6
You should enter intervention information for all groups before proceeding. You should have entered inform groups. You should see a working name for each intervention above the buttons. C. Sampling of the population:	
To create the comparison and intervention groups, were groups sampled (e.g., clinics or schools) or individual Groups Individuals BACK	iais :

Data abstraction form for cancer population (continued)

If there was only one comparison group, the following three pages were completed.

Data abstraction form for cancer population (continued)

Intervention description: Comparison group (page ${f 1}$)

	Federal	
☐ Public health agen	cy → State Local	→ Specify:
Other governmenta	al agency Pederal	→ Specify:
Other	O Local	
sical activity intervention	, non-physical activity interv	ention or neither?
n O Non-physical activi	ty intervention ONo speci	fic intervention
elements? if so describe:		
s ⊝No ⊝Unclear		<u> </u>
r multiple modes		
	sical activity intervention	sical activity intervention, non-physical activity intervention O No specifical activity intervention O No s

200

		Intervent	ion description: Compar	rison group (pag	;e 2)
	PA location: ☐ Home ☐ Exercise facility	☐ Community ☐ School	_	□ Not specified □ Not clear	☐ Other
	Intervention Mode Mail	nternet or email n person	☐ Not specified ☐ Other		
	□ Individual □ G	roup □Notspo	ecified		
			BACK (NEXT)	

Intervention description: Comparison group (page 3)

Description of the interv	ention:			
What:				
How:				
Who:				
Where:				
Other:				
Total length of the inter Maximum length of foll	vention: ow-up for this intervention:			
Was there a theory prese	ented as 'underlying the interv	rention'?:		
	Social Learning Theory Social Cognitive Theory Transtheoretical Model o Decision Making Theory Social Ecology Theory Problem Behavior Model Motivational Interviewing Health Belief Model	or Stages of Change	(Bandura 1986) (Prochaska) (Janis and Mann) (Stokel) (Jessor and Jessor) 	
	BACK	NEXT		

Data abstraction form for cancer population (continued)

If there was more than one comparison group, they were identified as intervention groups starting with #1 up to #6. For each interventionm they completed the next three pages.

Data abstraction form for cancer population (continued)

Intervention description: Intervention group 1 (page 1)

Public health agency Other governmental a Other ctivity intervention, no Non-physical activity i	on-physical	O Local activity interv		
c tivity intervention, n o Non-physical activity i	on-physical	O Federal O State O Local	ention or neither?	
c tivity intervention, n o Non-physical activity i	on-physical a	O Local activity interv	ention or neither?	
Non-physical activity i		•		
	ntervention	○ No spec	ifia intervention	
ents? if so describe:			ilic intervention	
No OUnclear [<u></u>
				- -
ipie modes				
○ Not specified ○	Not clear			
	iple modes	iple modes O Not specified O Not clear		·

Intervention description: Intervention group 1 (page 2)

PA location: ☐ Home ☐ Exercise facility		☐ Worksite ☐ Assisted Living Facility	□ Not specified □ Not clear	☐ Other
Intervention Mode	:			
☐ Mail ☐ I		☐ Not specified ☐ Other		
Intervention deliver ☐ Individual ☐ G	•	ecified		

205

BACK

Intervention description: Intervention group 1 (page 3)

How:	
Who:	
Where:	
Other:	
Total length of the intervention:	
Maximum length of follow-up for this intervention:	
Was there a theory presented as 'underlying the intervention'?:	
Yes → Describe: Social Learning Theory (No □ Social Cognitive Theory (□ Transtheoretical Model or Stages of Change (□ Decision Making Theory (□ Social Ecology Theory (□ Problem Behavior Model (□ Motivational Interviewing □ □ Health Belief Model □ □ Other BACK	(Bandura 1986) (Prochaska) (Janis and Mann)

Population and Sample Number (Groups sampled)

Туре оf Стоир:						
Total number of groups wi	thin populatio	ւofgroups:		○ Not noted	1	
How sampled:						
Number Groups Enrolled:						
How sampled:						
Number Individuals Enrolled:						
			-			
Is the response rate from t	the sampling fr	ame indicated	l in the paper?	,		
○ Yes ○ No	→ Describ	e:				

BACK

Population and Sample Number (Individuals sampled)

	Торшин	JII 41141 154111	pie i dinbei	(11111) 1111111	, sampicar,				
"N" for sampling frame:	C	Not noted							
How sampled:									
Number Individuals Observed:									
Is the response rate from the sampling frame indicated in the paper?									

○Yes	Describe:	
\bigcirc No	•	

208

BACK

Sample Demographics

4		1		1	1	ļ	ļ
Age:							
Mean Standard deviation:							
Standard deviation: Median							
Age Range: Other:							
Otner: Not reported:	O ND		O ND	O ND			
Gender:	.O.NR	.O.NR	.O.NR	.Q.NR	.Q.NR	.O.NR	.O.N.R
% male % female				.		.	.
% unknown							
		-			-		
Not reported:	Q.NR	Q.NR	Q.NR	Q.N.R	O.N.R	O.NR	Q.NR
Race:							
% White % Black							
% Asian					-	.	.
% Asian % American Indian							
% American Indian %Pacific							
% Other							
Not reported:	O.NR	ONR	O.NR.	O.NR.	O.NR	O.NR	ONR
Ethnicity:			.3_2	.>		.3_2	.5_2
% Hispanic or Latino							
% NOT Hispanic/Latino							
% Other							
Not reported:	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR	O.NR
Socioeconomic status:							
	OIO Che	ck here if only	y total popula	tion numbers	reported so co	lumn represer	nts total sampl
D, page & table:	_	BACK		NEXT			

Other Sample Characteristics Sig. OTO Check here if only total population numbers reported so column represents total sample Paper ID, page & table: BACK NEXT

Exposure to Intervention

Assessment of exposure to the intervention:									
☐ Resource utilization	→ Describe:								
Observation	→ Describe:								
☐ Self report	→ Describe:								
☐ Fitness test	→ Describe:								
☐ Attendance sheets	→ Describe:								
☐ Staff completed process evaluation forms	S 								
☐ % of completed interaction contacts	→ Describe:								
☐ Not reported/did not assess	→ Describe:								
Other									

BACK

Other information

What other key issues were addressed in the paper?
□ Costs □ Potential harms □ Other benefits □ Implementation □ Barriers to implementation □ Community acceptance or involvement □ formation of use of existing coalitions to develop, implement or evaluate interventions □ Ethical constraints □ Not discussed □ Other
Other important information:
Relevant references:

BACK

NEXT

212

Study quality: Descriptions and Samplings

Descrip	tions:											
Was the	study s	ample w	ell descr	ibed?								
OYes ONo												
Was the intervention well described (what, how, who, where)?												
OYes ONo												
Explain	:											
Commi												-
Sampl	_		a	1' C		c	1					
			-	ing tran	ne or unive	erse of se	lection for	the stud	dy sample?			
O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
Was the	sample	that se	rved as tl	te unit of	analysis t	he entire	eligible s	ample or	r a probabil	lity sample	at the po	int of reference?
O Yes	O No	O NA	\bigcirc NR									
Are the	re other	selectio	n bias is	sues not o	therwise :	addresse	d?					
O Yes	O No	O NA	ONR									
Explain	:											
					BACK		NEXT					

Study quality: Measurement

Measurement:
Did the authors attempt to measure exposure to the intervention?
OYes ON0 ONA ONR
Was the exposure variable valid?
OYes ON0 ONA ONR
Was the exposure variable reliable (consistent and reproducible)?
OYes ON0 ONA ONR
Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables valid?
OYes ON0 ONA ONR
Were the outcome and other independent (or predictor) variables reliable (consistent and reproducible)?
OYes ON0 ONA ONR
Explain:

BACK

e4. 1		7.0			
	7 (4 1 1	9 1111		A 11 2	3.7 CT C
Study			w	~	V 3 1 3

			Study quality: Analysis	
Data analysis:				
Did the authors con	duct appr	opriate s	statistical testing by:	
cond	ecting stat	tistical to	testing (when appropriate)?	
O Ye	s O No	O NA	A ONR	
героз	ting whic	h statisti	tical tests were used?	
O Ye	s O No	O NA	A ONR	
contr	olling for	repeated	ed measures in samples that were followed over time?	
O Ye	s O No	O NA	A ONR	
contr	olling for	differen	ntial exposure to the intervention?	
○ Ye	s O No	O NA	A ONR	
using a model designed to handle multi-level data when they included group-level and individual covariates in the model?				
○ Ye	s ONo	O NA	A ONR	
Explain:				

Study quality: Interpretation

Interpretation of Results:						
Did at least 80% of enrolled participants complete the study?						
OYes ONO ONA ONR						
Did the authors assess if the units of analysis were comparable prior to exposure to the intervention?						
OYes ONO ONA ONR						
Did the authors institute study procedures to limit bias appropriately (e.g. randomization, restriction, matching, stratification or statistical adjustment)?						
OYes ONO ONA ONR						
Bias and confounders: Taken de novs from the paper, you may check both yes and no. If you check yes, be sure to describe all potential biases or unmeasured/contextual confounders NOT identified by the authors. For all responses indicate the likely direction of effect on the result if possible:						
□Yes □No						
Authors:						
Reviewers:						
Other:						
Other important limitations not specified elsewhere (specify):						
BACK (To first screen						