

815.607

which will be evaluated. The log will indicate:

(1) The date the proposal was received;

(2) The date that the unsolicited proposal has been determined to warrant a comprehensive evaluation;

(3) A description of the proposal;

(4) The offices requested to evaluate the proposal and the date such offices are requested to return their evaluations;

(5) The date the reviewing offices finalize their respective evaluation; and

(6) The final disposition of the proposal.

(c) Each office which is assigned responsibility for reviewing an unsolicited proposal will be advised of the need to evaluate the proposal against the criteria set forth in FAR 15.507(a) (1) through (3), i.e., is the proposal available to the Government without restriction from another source, does it closely resemble a pending competitive acquisition, is the proposal lacking in demonstrated innovation or uniqueness? If the reviewers conclude in the affirmative as to any one of these questions, the VA contact point shall be advised and return the proposal to the proposer.

(d) With regard to an unsolicited proposal being processed at a field facility, if the reviewing offices conclude that the unsolicited proposal should be accepted and provide the justification and certification required by FAR 15.507, the VA contact point will obtain the prior approval of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Acquisition and Materiel Management (93) prior to proceeding with negotiation. In order to obtain the approval, the VA contact point will submit all necessary documentation supporting the noncompetitive negotiation including any justification and approval required by FAR Subpart 6.3 and results of any synopsis required by FAR Subpart 5.2. The Deputy Assistant Secretary for Acquisition and Materiel Management will coordinate the proposal with the cognizant VA Central Office program official(s) and furnish the VA contact point with the final decision.

(e) All copies of the unsolicited proposal will be controlled by the contact point by numbering each copy. If a re-

48 CFR Ch. 8 (10-1-06 Edition)

viewing office requires additional copies, the reviewing office will obtain approval of the VA contact point prior to duplication, numbering the copies as specified by the contact point. All copies will be returned to the VA contact point once review is completed.

[51 FR 6005, Feb. 19, 1986, as amended at 54 FR 40063, Sept. 29, 1989]

Subpart 815.6—Source Selection

815.607 Disclosure of mistakes before award.

The Head of the Contracting Activity (as defined in 802.1) is delegated authority to permit correction of mistakes in proposals before award consistent with FAR 15.607.

[54 FR 45736, Oct. 31, 1989]

Subpart 815.8—Price Negotiation

815.804-70 Preproduction and start-up and other nonrecurring costs.

In evaluating start-up and other nonrecurring costs, the extent to which these costs are included in the proposed price and the intent to absorb or recover any such costs in any future noncompetitive procurement or other pricing action will be determined. The contracting officer will ascertain, with the assistance of the Assistant Inspector General for Policy, Planning and Resources (53), as required or considered necessary, that payment of such costs is not duplicated. For example, cost of equipment paid for by the Government through a setup or connection agreement will not be included in depreciation costs of a subsequently negotiated agreement.

[49 FR 12604, Mar. 29, 1984, as amended at 50 FR 792, Jan. 7, 1985; 54 FR 40063, Sept. 29, 1989]

815.805-4 Technical analysis.

(a) Contracting officers are responsible for the technical and administrative sufficiency of the contracts they enter into and ensuring that all legal and technical reviews are accomplished. To this end, initial and revised pricing of all negotiated prime contracts (including subcontract pricing