ORIGINAL

DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

RCRA Corrective Action Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725)

Current Human Exposures Under Control



105724

racinty	Maille:	Salety-Riccii					
Facility	Address:	189A Willow Street, Salisbury, MA					
Facility	EPA ID #:	MAD 060095569					
1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil, groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid W Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered this EI determination?						
	<u>X</u>	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.					
		If no - re-evaluate existing data, or					
		if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.					

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI

Cafaty Vlaam

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

RCRA RECORDS CENTER FACILITY SOFETY KLEEN I.D. NO. MAD 060095

2.	Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air media known or reasonably
	suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels"
	(applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines,
	guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs,
	RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		<u>X</u>		Meets MassDEP GW-1 standards
Air (indoors) ²		<u>X</u>		
Surface Soil (e.	g., <2 ft)	<u>X</u>		Contaminated soils removed, below S-1
Surface Water		<u>X</u>		
Sediment		<u>X</u>		
Subsurf. Soil (e.	.g., >2 ft)	_ <u>X</u> _		Contaminated soils removed
Air (outdoors)		<u>X</u>		
e: If	xceeded. Yes (for any	y media	a) - cont	lemonstrating that these "levels" are not
e: u:	xplanation for acceptable	or the orisk), a	letermir ind refe	ting appropriate "levels" (or provide an nation that the medium could pose an rencing supporting documentation.
lf	unknown (1	tor any	media)	- skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.
	•			

Rationale and Reference(s):

No violations of applicable drinking water standards and MassDEP MCP Method 1 GW-3 standards and guidelines --- both historic and current monitoring reports. No drinking water in area – town has water supply.

Reference = September 7, 2006 report by Barton & Loguidice Safety-Kleen Service Center, Salisbury MA June 2006 Groundwater Monitoring Results.

Footnotes:

¹ "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).

² Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 3

Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions? 3.

Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table

Potential	Human	Receptors	(Under	Current	Conditions)
1 Otolitiai	A	receptor	2 (0 11001		0011410110,

	"Contaminated	" Media	Residents	Workers	Day-Care	Construction	n Trespasse	rs Recreatio	n Food ³	
	Groundwater									
	Air (indoors)	-2.6\								
	Soil (surface, e.g Surface Water	g., <2 π)								
	Sediment									
	Soil (subsurface	eg >2ft)								
	Air (outdoors)	0.6., - 2 10				_				
	Instructions for §	Summary Ex	cposure Pa	thway Eva	luation Ta	<u>ble</u> :				
	1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated") as identified in #2 above.									
	2. enter "yes" or "no" for potential "completeness" under each "Contaminated" Media Human Receptor combination (Pathway).									
	Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.									
		skip to #6. in-place, v	, and enter whether na iminated n	"YE" stat tural or ma	us code, af n-made, p	ter explaining a continuity	d media-rece ng and/or refe complete exp ny Evaluation	erencing con- osure pathwa	dition(s) ay from	
						ontaminated upporting ex	" Media - Hu planation.	man Recepto	or	
		If unknow and enter '			nated" Med	dia - Human	Receptor con	mbination) -	skip to #6	
Rationale and Reference(s):										
		•				<u> </u>				
Footnotes:	³ Indirect Pathway/Re	eceptor (e.g., v	egetables, fru	its, crops, me	at and dairy	products, fish, s	hellfish, etc.)		-	

•	Can the exposures from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?					
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."				
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."				
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code				
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):				
otnote	es:					

⁴ If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
<u></u>	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" status code

6.	Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):							
	F "(X YE - Yes, "Current Human Exposures Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI Determination, "Current Human Exposures" are expected to be "Under Control" at the Safety-Kleen Service Center facility, EPA ID # MAD 060095569, located at 189A Willow St, Salisbury, MA under current and reasonably expected conditions. This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency/State becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.						
	N	O - "Current Human Exposures" are NOT "Und	er Control."					
	IN	N - More information is needed to make a determ	mination.					
		ignature) A twoy orint) Abdul Turay itle) Environmental Analyst IV	Date <u>9-19-06</u>					
	(<u>r</u> (<u>t</u>	ignature) W. Lou- print) Jeffrey H. Chormann itle) Branch Chief EPA Region or State) Reg 1, Massachusetts	Date 9/19/06					
	Locations where	References may be found:	BY EB. 10/6/0					
	1 <u>V</u>	Vinter Street – 8 th Floor, Boston, MA 02108						
			REVIEWSED BY R. COOY, ACTIN CHEE					
	Contact telephon	e and e-mail numbers	2-27-07					
	` '	Abdul Turay 617-292-5522 Abdul Turay@state.ma.us						

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES EI IS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND

THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.