may request to appear in person to present oral arguments to the debarring official. The provider may be accompanied by counsel when making a

personal appearance.

(b) Debarring official's final decision on reinstatement. The debarring official shall issue a final written decision, based on the entire administrative record, within 30 days after the record closes to receipt of information. The debarring official may extend the decision period for good cause.

(c) Finality of debarring official's decision. The debarring official's final decision regarding a provider's reinstatement is not subject to further administrative review or reconsideration.

CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENTS

SOURCE: 69 FR 9921, Mar. 3, 2004, unless otherwise noted.

§890.1060 Purpose and scope of civil monetary penalties and assess-

- (a) Civil monetary penalty. A civil monetary penalty is an amount that OPM may impose on a health care provider who commits one of the violations listed in §890.1061. Penalties are intended to protect the integrity of FEHBP by deterring repeat violations by the same provider and by reducing the likelihood of future violations by other providers.
- (b) Assessment. An assessment is an amount that OPM may impose on a provider, calculated by reference to the claims involved in the underlying violations. Assessments are intended to recognize monetary losses, costs, and damages sustained by OPM as the result of a provider's violations.
- (c) Definitions. In §§ 890.1060 through 890.1072:

Penalty means civil monetary penalty: and

Penalties and assessments may connote the singular or plural forms of either of those terms, and may represent either the conjunctive or disjunctive sense.

(d) Relationship to debarment and suspension. In addition to imposing penalties and assessments, OPM may concurrently debar or suspend a provider from participating in the FEHBP on the basis of the same violations.

(e) Relationship to other penalties provided by law. The penalties, assessments, debarment, and suspension imposed by OPM are in addition to any other penalties that may be prescribed by law or regulation administered by an agency of the Federal Government or any State.

§890.1061 Bases for penalties and assessments.

- (a) Improper claims. OPM may impose penalties and assessments on a provider if a claim presented by that provider for payment from FEHBP funds meets the criteria set forth in 5 U.S.C. 8902a(d)(1).
- (b) False or misleading statements. OPM may impose penalties and assessments on a provider who makes a false statement or misrepresentation as set forth in 5 U.S.C. 8902a(d)(2).
- (c) Failing to provide claims-related information. OPM may impose penalties and assessments on a provider who knowingly fails to provide claims-related information as otherwise required by law.

§890.1062 Deciding whether to impose penalties and assessments.

- (a) Authority of debarring official. The debarring official has discretionary authority to impose penalties and assessments in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 8902a and this subpart.
- (b) Factors to be considered. In deciding whether to impose penalties and assessments against a provider that has committed one of the violations identified in §890.1061, OPM must consider:
- (1) The number and frequency of the provider's violations;
- (2) The period of time over which the violations were committed;
- (3) The provider's culpability for the specific conduct underlying theviolations;
- (4) The nature of any claims involved in the violations and the circumstances under which the claims were presented to FEHBP carriers;
- (5) The provider's history of prior offenses or improper conduct, including any actions that could have constituted a basis for a suspension, debarment, penalty, or assessment by any Federal or State agency, whether or not any sanction was actually imposed;

§890.1063

- (6) The monetary amount of any damages, losses, and costs, as described in §890.1064(c), attributable to the provider's violations; and
- (7) Such other factors as justice may require.
- (c) Additional factors when penalty or assessment is based on provisions of \$890.1061(b) or (c). In the case of violations involving false or misleading statements or the failure to provide claims-related information, OPM must also consider:
- (1) The nature and circumstances of the provider's failure to properly report information; and
- (2) The materiality and significance of the false statements or misrepresentations the provider made or caused to be made, or the information that the provider knowingly did not report.

§890.1063 Maximum amounts of penalties and assessments.

OPM may impose penalties and assessments in amounts not to exceed those set forth in U.S.C. 8902a(d).

§ 890.1064 Determining the amounts of penalties and assessments to be imposed on a provider.

- (a) Authority of debarring official. The debarring official has discretionary authority to set the amounts of penalties and assessments in accordance with law and this subpart.
- (b) Factors considered in determining amounts of penalties and assessments. In determining the amounts of penalties and assessments to impose on a provider, the debarring official must consider:
- (1) The Government's interests in being fully compensated for all damages, losses, and costs associated with the provider's violations, including:
- (i) Amounts wrongfully paid from FEHBP funds as the result of the provider's violations and interest on those amounts, at rates determined by the Department of the Treasury;
- (ii) All costs incurred by OPM in investigating a provider's sanctionable misconduct; and
- (iii) All costs incurred in OPM's administrative review of the case, including every phase of the administrative sanctions processes described by this subpart;

- (2) The Government's interests in deterring future misconduct by health care providers;
- (3) The provider's personal financial situation, or, in the case of an entity, the entity's financial situation;
- (4) All of the factors set forth in §890.1062(b) and (c); and
- (5) The presence of aggravating or less serious circumstances, as described in paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(7) of this section.
- (c) Aggravated and less serious circumstances. The presence of aggravating circumstances may cause OPM to impose penalties and assessments at a higher level within the authorized range, while less serious violations may warrant sanctions of relatively lower amounts. Paragraphs (c)(1) through (c)(7) of this section provide examples of aggravated and less serious violations. These examples are illustrative only, and are not intended to represent an exhaustive list of all possible types of violations.
- (1) The existence of many separate violations, or of violations committed over an extended period of time, constitutes an aggravating circumstance. OPM may consider conduct involving a small number of violations, committed either infrequently or within a brief period of time, to be less serious.
- (2) Violations for which a provider had direct knowledge of the material facts (for example, submitting claims that the provider knew to contain false, inaccurate, or misleading information), or for which the provider did not cooperate with OPM's or an FEHBP carrier's investigations, constitute aggravating circumstances. OPM may consider violations where the provider did not have direct knowledge of the material facts, or in which the provider cooperated with post-violation investigative efforts, to be less serious.
- (3) Violations resulting in substantial damages, losses, and costs to OPM, the FEHBP, or FEHBP-covered persons constitute aggravating circumstances. Violations producing a small or negligible overall financial impact may be considered to be less serious.
- (4) A pattern of conduct reflecting numerous improper claims, high-dollar