Page 1 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

Document 1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v.) Case: 4:07-cv-12838) Assigned To: Gadola, Paul V) Referral Judge: Whalen, R. Steven Assign. Date: 7/9/2007 Description: CMP USA VS) PLAZZOLO &LAMBARDO OF MI
PALAZZOLO & LOMBARDO OF MICHIGAN,)
L.L.C., and ROBERT LIPKA,)
ARCHITECT, P.C.,) COMPLAINT
Defendants.)
	_)

The United States of America alleges:

This action is brought by the United States to enforce the Fair Housing Act, Title 1. VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619, and Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181-12189.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345 and 42 U.S.C. §§ 3614(a) and 12188(b)(1)(B).
- 3. Venue is proper because the claims alleged in this action arose in Sterling Heights, Michigan, in the Eastern District of Michigan, and concern or otherwise relate to real property located therein.

The Property

4. The Preserves Apartments is a residential apartment complex located at 3737 Cherry Creek Lane in Sterling Heights, Michigan. The complex consists of 37 two-story apartment buildings with a total of 54 completed ground floor units, each containing eight to ten dwelling units, as well as public and common use areas, including a clubhouse/rental office, a swimming pool, parking areas, sidewalks, trash disposal enclosures, clustered mail boxes and a

- In addition, The Preserves has eight two-story condominium buildings, containingunits, 16 of which are ground-floor units.
- 6. The rental and condominium units at The Preserves are "dwellings" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3602(b).
- 7. The Preserves was designed and constructed for first occupancy after March 13, 1991. Its ground-floor units are "covered multifamily dwellings" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3604 (f)(7)(B). The complex is subject to the accessibility requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C).
- 8. The rental office at The Preserves and its attendant public-use areas were designed and constructed for first occupancy after January 26, 1993. The rental office is a public accommodation within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7) and is subject to the ADA's accessibility requirements.

The Defendants

- 9. Palazzolo & Lombardo of Michigan, L.L.C., a Michigan limited liability corporation, is the builder, developer and owner of The Preserves, and, in those capacities, designed and constructed the complex and is referred to hereinafter as the "Developers."
- 10. Robert Lipka, Architect, P.C., is a Michigan professional corporation that drew the architectural plans for The Preserves and, in that capacity, participated in the design and construction of the complex.

Fair Housing Claims

11. Plaintiff re-alleges and herein incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in

paragraphs 1-11, above.

- 12. Defendants violated 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C) by failing to design and construct The Preserves in such a manner that: (a) the public use and common use portions of the dwellings are readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities; (b) all doors designed to allow passage into and within the ground-floor units are sufficiently wide to allow passage by handicapped persons in wheelchairs; (c) all premises within such ground-floor dwellings contain the following features of adaptive design; I) an accessible route into and through the dwelling; ii) light switches, electrical outlets, thermostats, and other environmental controls in accessible locations; iii) reinforcements in bathroom walls to allow later installation of grab bars; and iv) useable kitchens, such that an individual using a wheelchair can maneuver about the space.
- Defendants, through the actions and conduct referred to in the preceding 13. paragraph, have:
 - Discriminated in the sale or rental or otherwise made unavailable or a. denied dwellings to buyers or renters because of handicap, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(1);
 - b. Discriminated against persons in the terms, conditions or privileges of the sale or rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection with a dwelling, because of handicap, in violation of 42 U.S.C. $\S 3604(f)(2)$; and
 - Failed to design and construct dwellings in compliance with the c. accessibility and adaptability features mandated by 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C).

- 14. The conduct of Defendants described above constitutes:
 - a. A pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of rights granted by the Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-19; or
 - A denial to a group of persons of rights granted by the Fair Housing Act,
 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601-3619, which denial raises an issue of general public importance.
- 15. Persons who have been the victims of Defendants' discriminatory housing practices are aggrieved persons as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 3602(I) and may have suffered injuries as a result of Defendants' conduct described above.
- 16. Defendants' discriminatory actions and conduct described above were intentional, willful, and taken in disregard for the rights of others.

Americans with Disabilities Act Claims

- 17. Plaintiff re-alleges and herein incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-11 above.
- The Developers have violated 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1) by failing to design and construct the rental office at the Preserves and its attendant public use areas such that they are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, as required by 42 U.S.C. §12183(a)(1) and the Department of Justice's regulations implementing Title III of the ADA, 28 C.F.R. Part 36, including the Standards for Accessible Design, 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A ("the Standards").
 - 19. The conduct of the Developers described in the preceding paragraph constitutes:
 - a. A pattern or practice of discrimination within the meaning of

- 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(1)(B)(I) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.503(a); and
- b. Unlawful discrimination that raises an issue of general public importance within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(1)(B)(ii) and 28 C.F.R. § 36.503(b).
- 20. Upon information and belief, persons who have been the victims of the Developers' discriminatory housing practices are aggrieved persons as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(2)(B) and may have suffered injuries as a result of the Developers' conduct described above.
- 21. The Developers' discriminatory actions and conduct described above were intentional, willful, and taken in disregard for the rights of others.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court enter an order that:

- Declares that the policies and practices of Defendants, as alleged herein, violate the Fair Housing Act and/or the Americans with Disabilities Act;
- 2. Declares that Defendants have engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination in violation of the Fair Housing Act and have denied rights under the Fair Housing Act to a group of persons raising an issue of public importance, and that the Developers have engaged in a pattern or practice of discrimination in violation of the ADA and have denied rights to a group of persons raising an issue of public importance;
- 3. Enjoins Defendants, their officers, employees, agents, successors, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, from
 - a. Failing or refusing to bring the ground-floor dwelling units and public use

Case 4:07-cv-12838-PVG-RSW

- Failing or refusing to take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to b. restore, as nearly as practicable, persons harmed by Defendants' unlawful practices to the position they would have been in but for the discriminatory conduct; and
- Designing and/or constructing any covered multifamily dwellings in the C. future that do not contain the accessibility and adaptability features required by 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(C).
- Enjoins the Developers, their employees, agents, successors, and all other persons 4. in active concert or participation with any of them, from:
 - Failing or refusing to bring the public accommodations at The Preserves a. into compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1), 28 C.F.R. §§ 36.401 and 36.406, and 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A;
 - b. Failing or refusing to take such affirmative steps as may be necessary to restore, as nearly as practicable, persons harmed by the Developers' unlawful practices to the position they would have been in but for the discriminatory conduct; and
 - Designing and/or constructing any public accommodations or commercial c. facilities that are not readily accessible to an usable by individuals as required by 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(1), 28 C.F.R. §§ 36.401 and 36.406, and 28 C.F.R. Part 36, Appendix A; and

5. Awards appropriate monetary damages, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3614(d)(1)(B) and 42 U.S.C. § 12188(b)(2)(B), to each person harmed by Defendants' and/or the Developers' discriminatory conduct and practices.

The United States further prays for such additional relief as the interests of justice may require.

Alberto Gonzalez Attorney General

Stephen J. Murphy United States Attorney

Judith L. Levy

Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
211 West Fort Street, Ste. 2001,
Detroit, Michigan 48226
313-226-9727
Judith.levy@usdoj.gov

Bar No.: P55882

Wan J. Kim

Assistant Attorney General

Steven H. Rosenbaum

Chief

Allen W. Levy

Trial Attorney
U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

Housing and Civil Enforcement

Section - G Street

950 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20530

202-513-2188

202-514-1116 (facsimile)

Allen.levy@usdoj.gov

District of Columbia Bar No.: 412998

S 44 (Rev. 11/04) Case 4:07-cv-12 CANT CONTR SPIPE TO THE SUM FILE OF CONTROL PROPERTY PAGE 9 of 10

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

en-annuating the civil dockersi	icet. (See instructions on the Reverse of th	REPORM.)				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			DEFENDANTS PALAZZOLO & LOMBARDO OF MICHIGAN, L.L.C AND ROBERT LIPKA ARCHITECT, P.C.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff WAYNE (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant MACOMB (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name JUDITH E. LEVY, AUSA 211 W. Fort Street	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Detroit, MI 48226 PHONE:		1		AND LOUTE A W. TO A PARTY TO A		
⁄II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Select One Box Only)		TTZENSHIP OF PF (For Diversity Cases Only)	UNCIPAL PARTIES	Select One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PT en of This State 🗇		PTF DEF incipal Place ⊃ 4 □ 4	
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item (II)) 	Citiz	en of Another State 💍	2		
			en or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗆 3 Foreign Nation	J 6 G 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Select One Box Only) TORTS	Temp	RETTURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ EnforcementofJudgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Upectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury	RY O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Dross	a, Paul V alen, R. Steven	apportionment ad Banking :e in Influenced and ganizations Credit Credit Story 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ 1/// Exhange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
Mondard D2 R	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 42 U.S.C 3601 -3619 Brief description of cause: FAIR HOUSING ACCESSIBILITY CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO	Reo are filing	nstated or — 3 another pened (specif	l statutes unless diversity): CHECK YES only	Judgment if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CAS	' (Vac instructions):			JURY DEMAND	; 🗆 Yes 🗆 No	
DATE DATE	JUDGE SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD	DOCKET NUMBER		
July q , 2007	xuactu	500a	n Lavy	1		
	MOUNT PPLYING IFP	****	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	

PURSU	ANF 4707-12072 A 38 R V E E SW 1 Document 1 Filed 07/09/2007	Page 10 of 10	
1,	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes	
if yes, g	ive the following information:	✓ No	
Court:			
Case No.			
Judge:			
2	Other than stated above, are there any pending or previously discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this or any other court, including state court? (Companion cases are matters in which it appears substantially similar evidence will be offered or the same or related parties are present and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence.)	☐ Yes ☑ No	
If yes, give	e the following information:		
Court:			
Case No.:			
Judge;			
Notes :			