must demonstrate technical incorrectness by:

- (i) Identifying the purported error in the application or the inferior data.
- (ii) Supporting why the application is incorrect or data is inferior.
- (iii) Providing an application of the same basic methods utilized by FEMA but with the changes itemized.
- (iv) Providing background technical support for the changes indicating why the appellant's application should be accepted as more correct.
- (v) Providing certification of correctness of any alternate data utilized or measurements made (such as topographic information) by a registered professional engineer or licensed land surveyor, and
- (vi) Providing documentation of all locations where the appellant's base flood elevations are different from FEMA's.
- (3) If any appellant believes the proposed base flood elevations are scientifically incorrect, the appeal must demonstrate scientific incorrectness by:
- (i) Identifying the methods, or assumptions purported to be scientifically incorrect.
- (ii) Supporting why the methods, or assumptions are scientifically incorrect.
- (iii) Providing an alternative analysis utilizing methods, or assumptions purported to be correct.
- (iv) Providing technical support indicating why the appellant's methods should be accepted as more correct and
- (v) Providing documentation of all locations where the appellant's base flood elevations are different from FEMA's.

[48 FR 31644, July 1, 1983]

§67.7 Collection of appeal data.

- (a) Appeals by private persons to the CEO shall be submitted within ninety (90) days following the second newspaper publication of the Administrator's proposed flood elevation determination to the CEO or to such agency as he may publicly designate and shall set forth scientific or technical data that tend to negate or contradict the Administrator's findings.
- (b) Copies of all individual appeals received by the CEO shall be forwarded, as soon as they are received, to the Ad-

- ministrator for information and placement in the Flood Elevation Determination Docket.
- (c) The CEO shall review and consolidate all appeals by private persons and issue a written opinion stating whether the evidence presented is sufficient to justify an appeal on behalf of such persons by the community in its own name.
- (d) The decision issued by the CEO on the basis of his review, on whether an appeal by the community in its own name shall be made, shall be filed with the Administrator not later than ninety days after the date of the second newspaper publication of the Administrator's proposed flood elevation determination and shall be placed in the FEDD.

[41 FR 46989, Oct. 26, 1976. Redesignated at 44 FR 31177, May 31, 1979, as amended at 48 FR 44553, Sept. 29, 1983; 49 FR 4751, Feb. 8, 1984]

§ 67.8 Appeal procedure.

- (a) If a community appeals the proposed flood elevation determination, the Administrator shall review and take fully into account any technical or scientific data submitted by the community that tend to negate or contradict the information upon which his/her proposed determination is based.
- (b) The Administrator shall resolve such appeal by consultation with officials of the local government, or by administrative hearings under the procedures set forth in part 68 of this subchapter, or by submission of the conflicting data to an independent scientific body or appropriate Federal agency for advice.
- (c) The final determination by the Administrator where an appeal is filed shall be made within a reasonable time
- (d) Nothing in this section shall be considered to compromise an appellant's rights granted under §67.12.
- (e) The Administrator shall make available for public inspection the reports and other information used in making the final determination. This material shall be admissible in a court of law in the event the community