

WORK ZONE TRAFFIC CONTROL INSPECTION FORM

Project No. D _____ PIN _____ Region _____ Date _____ Fed Aid?

Location _____

Weather/Lighting Conditions _____ Project Type: _____

CONSTRUCTION SIGNING/ADVANCE WARNING

Quantity of Signs:		Sign Condition:	Good	Fair	Poor
Good	_____	Rigid sign	_____	_____	_____
Too many	_____	Flexible sign	_____	_____	_____
Missing sign series	_____	Reflectivity	_____	_____	_____
Missing specific sign	_____				
Credibility of Text:		Arrowboard Use:			
Good	_____	Placement	_____	_____	_____
Misleading sign text	_____	Performance	_____	_____	_____
Unneeded signs visible	_____				
Countdown but no work	_____				
Sign Placement:		Non-standard signs:			
Good	_____	Text	_____		
Too low	_____	Color	_____		
Not readily visible	_____	Shape	_____		
Poor sign spacing	_____				
Overall Advance Warning: Excellent _____ Adequate _____ Inadequate _____					

Comments:

CHANNELIZATION

Devices Used/Condition:	Good	Fair	Poor		
Barricades	_____	_____	_____	Unsafe ballasting	_____
Drums	_____	_____	_____	Unsafe battery mount	_____
Cones	_____	_____	_____	Inadequate spacing	_____
Vertical panels	_____	_____	_____	Inadequate taper length	_____
Tubular markers	_____	_____	_____	More devices needed	_____
Warning lights	_____	_____	_____	Non-standard device	_____
Overall Channelization: Excellent _____ Adequate _____ Inadequate _____					

Comments:

PAVEMENT MARKINGS

No markings _____ **Confusing markings** _____
Condition: **Good** _____ **Obscured** _____ **Faded** _____ **Damaged/Dislodged** _____
 Paint or Tape _____ _____ _____ _____
 Raised Markers _____ _____ _____ _____
Overall Markings: Excellent _____ Adequate _____ Inadequate _____

Comments:

FLAGGING

Number/Effectiveness of Flaggers: _____ **Flagger Signs:** _____
 Effective _____ Good _____
 Ineffective _____ Too close _____
 Poor coordination _____ Too far _____
 Not enough flaggers _____ No flagger _____
Flagging Technique: _____ No sign _____
 Good _____ **Signal Device:** _____
 Fair _____ Flags _____
 Poor _____ Paddles _____
Flagger Attire: _____
 No hard hat _____
 No vest _____
Overall Flagging: Excellent _____ Adequate _____ Inadequate _____

Comments:

ROADSIDE SAFETY

Type of Barrier: Concrete _____ Timber Curb _____ Guiderail _____ Other _____
Barrier Condition: Fair _____ Poor _____
Flared end treatment needed _____ **Impact attenuator needed** _____
Barrier Delineation: _____
Lights: Good _____ Fair _____ Not working _____
Reflectors: Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____ Too small _____
Inadequate drop-off delineation _____
Inadequate clear zone _____
Overall roadside safety: Excellent _____ Adequate _____ Inadequate _____

Comments:

MISCELLANEOUS TRAFFIC CONTROL

Unprotected operations or equipment in roadway _____
Poor temporary traffic signal operation/installation _____
Original signs/delineation in poor condition _____

Speed limit: _____ mph Too low _____ Appropriate _____

Pedestrian Safety:

Inadequate travel path _____ Inadequate protection from hazards _____

Access Control: Good _____ Fair _____ Poor _____

Overall Misc. Traffic Control: Excellent _____ Adequate _____ Inadequate _____

Comments:

OVERALL RATING _____

CONSTRUCTION PROJECT M&PT SURVEY RATING SYSTEM

- 5 Excellent design and implementation of M&PT. Controls provide adequate driver guidance for virtually all situations. No significant deficiencies encountered, and comments were limited to fine-tuning or other minor adjustment. All TCDs are in good condition, appropriate for the actual situation, and properly placed.
- 4 Good design and implementation of M&PT. Controls provide adequate driver input for most situations likely to be encountered. Some minor deficiencies may be present, such as less than optimum choice, condition or placement of individual device.
- 3 Design and implementation of M&PT is generally acceptable. There may be a number of specific points that can be improved or refined, and occasional points that may be only marginally effective.
- 2 Design and implementation of M&PT is only marginally effective. Many specific points need refinement or adjustment, or a number of individual points are marginally effective. Although drivers familiar with the work zone can be expected to traverse it safely, unfamiliar drivers may experience difficulty, especially during adverse conditions, such as heavy traffic, rain, or darkness.
- 1 Although some traffic controls have been provided, they are not adequate to provide guidance through the work zone. Drivers familiar with the site may not experience difficulty during favorable conditions, but unfamiliar drivers will probably have problems in traversing the site during all conditions, and even familiar drivers during adverse conditions.
- 0 Other than occasional signs or other devices which are not coordinated or effective, no traffic control is provided, and motorists are left on their own to traverse the work zone. Guidance provided to drivers may be misleading such that drivers are directed to make inappropriate or dangerous responses.