Atlantic Continental Shelf and Slope of the United States— Macrobenthic Invertebrate Fauna of the Middle Atlantic Bight Region—Faunal Composition and Quantitative Distribution

By ROLAND L. WIGLEY and ROGER B. THEROUX

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PROFESSIONAL PAPER 529-N

A description of the quantitative distribution of macrobenthic invertebrate animals in relation to geographic location, water depth, bottom sediments, and range in bottom water temperature



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

JAMES G. WATT, Secretary

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Doyle G. Frederick, Acting Director

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data (Revised)

United States. Geological Survey.

Atlantic Continental Shelf and slope of the United States.

(Geological Survey Professional paper; 529-N)

Program conducted jointly by the U. S. Geological Survey and the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Includes bibliographies.

CONTENTS: A. Geologic background, by K. O. Emery.—B. Physiography and sediments of the deep-sea basin, by R. M. Pratt.—[etc.]—N. Macrobenthic invertebrate fauna of the Middle Atlantic bight region, by R. L. Wigley and R. B. Theroux.

1. Continental margins—United States. 2. Oceanography—Atlantic coast (United States). 3. Marine biology—Atlantic coast (United States). I. Woods Hole, Mass. Oceanographic Institution. II. Title. III. Series.

QE75.P9 no. 529 557.3s [551.4'1] 79-604860

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office Washington, D.C. 20402

Abstract	N1
Introduction	1
Reconnaissance survey	1
Middle Atlantic Bight region	2
Previous studies	2
Materials and methods	5
Macrofauna samples	5
Benthos sampling gear	7
Sample processing	7
Data reduction	7
Bathymetry	10
Temperature	10
Geological samples	10
Faunal composition	10
Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	10
Subarea differences in composition	17
Geographic distribution	18
Total macrobenthic fauna of all taxonomic groups	18
Major taxonomic components	23
Selected genera and species	95
Phylum Annelida	95
Phylum Pogonophoro	95
Phylum Mollusca	95
Phylum Arthropoda	95
Phylum Echinodermata	101
Bathymetric distribution	101
Total macrobenthic fauna of all taxonomic groups	101
Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	101
Subareas	106
Taxonomic groups	108
Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	105

Page		Page
N1	Relation to bottom sediments	N124
1	Distribution of sediment types	124
1	Total macrobenthic fauna of all taxonomic groups	124
2	Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	124
2	Subareas	132
5	Taxonomic groups	137
5	Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	137
7	Subareas	137
7	Relation to sediment organic carbon	137
7	Distribution of sediment organic carbon	137
10	Total macrobenthic fauna of all taxonomic groups	137
10	Taxonomic groups	137
10	Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	137
10	Southern New England	154
10	Relation to range in bottom water temperature	154
10	Total macrobenthic fauna of all taxonomic groups	166
17	Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	166
18	Subareas	170
18	Southern New England	170
23	New York Bight	170
95	Chesapeake Bight	170
95	Taxonomic groups	170
95	Entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	170
95	Subarea differences in distribution of	
95	taxonomic groups	170
101	Dominant faunal components	192
101	Bays and sounds	192
101	Continental Shelf	192
101	Continental Slope	192
106	Continental Rise	195
108	Acknowledgments	195
108	References cited	19 5

ILLUSTRATIONS

FIGURE

1.	Chart of the Middle Atlantic Bight region showing the location of geographical features and the	
	three subarea divisions: Southern New England, New York Bight, and Chesapeake Bight	N3
2.	Chart showing station locations where quantitative samples of macrobenthic invertebrates were	
	obtained	6
3.	Photograph showing side view of the Smith-McIntyre spring-loaded bottom sampler in the closed	
	position	8
4.	Photograph showing bottom view of Campbell grab sampler	9
5.	Pie charts illustrating the taxonomic composition of the total macrobenthic fauna in the entire	
	Middle Atlantic Bight region	12

Page

FIGURE	6.	Pie charts illustrating the taxonomic composition of the total macrobenthic fauna for each sub-	Page
	7-79.	Maps of the Middle Atlantic Bight region showing the geographic distribution of:	N 19
		7. Density of all taxonomic groups combined	24
		8. Biomass of all taxonomic groups combined	25
		9. Density of Porifera	26
		10. Biomass of Porifera	27
		11. Density of Coelenterata	28
		12. Biomass of Coelenterata	29
		13. Density of Hydrozoa	30
		14. Biomass of Hydrozoa	31
		15. Density of Alcyonaria	32
		16. Biomass of Alcyonaria	33
		17. Density of Zoantharia	34 95
		18. Biomass of Zoantharia	00 97
		19. Density of Platyheiminthes	37 90
		20. Biomass of Platyneimintnes	90 90
		21. Density of Nemerica	39 40
		22. Blomass of Nemerica	41
		20. Density of Nematoda	42
		24. Diomass of Nemawood	43
		20. Density of Anneliua	44
		20. Diomass of Annenda	45
		28. Biomass of Pogonophora	46
		29. Density of Sinuncula	47
		30. Biomass of Sipuncula	48
		31. Density of Echiura and Priapulida	49
		32. Biomass of Echiura and Priapulida	50
		33. Density of Mollusca	52
		34. Biomass of Mollusca	53
		35. Density of Cephalopoda and Polyplacophora	54
		36. Biomass of Cephalopoda and Polyplacophora	55
		37. Density of Gastropoda	56
		38. Biomass of Gastropoda	57
		39. Density of Bivalvia	58
		40. Biomass of Bivalvia	59
		41. Density of Scaphopoda	60
		42. Biomass of Scaphopoda	61 69
		43. Density of Arthropoda	0Z 29
		44. Biomass of Arthropoda	00 25
		40. Density of Aracanida, Copepoda, Nedallacea, Ustracoda, and Pycnogonida	60 AA
		40. Density of Cirripedia	67
		47. Biomass of Cirripeuta	68
		46. Density of Cumacea	60 69
		47. Biomass of Cumacea	70
		51 Biomass of Tanaidacea	71
		52. Density of Isonoda	72
		53. Biomass of Isonoda	73
		54. Density of Amphipoda	74
		55. Biomass of Amphipoda	75
		56. Density of Mysidacea	76
		57. Biomass of Mysidacea	77
		58. Density of Decapoda	78
		59. Biomass of Decapoda	79
		60. Density of Bryozoa and Brachiopoda	81
		61. Biomass of Bryozoa and Brachiopoda	82

-

IV

.

1 73			Page
FIGURES	7–79.	Maps of the Middle Atlantic Bight region showing the geographic distribution of—Continued	NIOS
		62. Density of Echinodermata	N 83
		64 Density of Helethumidee	04 95
		65 Biomaga of Holothuroidea	90 90
		66 Density of Federaidea	87
		67 Biomass of Fabinoida	90
		68 Density of Onbiguidea	80
		60. Biomass of Onbiumidae	00
		70 Donisty of Astaroidea	91
		71 Biomage of Astaroidag	92
		72 Density of Ascidiarea and Hemichowlata	99
		73. Biomass of Ascidiacea and Hemichordata	94
		74. Three selected species of Annelida and one Porononhora	96
		75. Selected hivalves nhylum Mollusca	97
		76. Selected bivalves and gastropods, phylum Mollusca	98
		77. Selected amphipods, phylum Arthropoda	99
		78. A selected isopod and decapods, phylum Arthropoda	100
		79. Selected echinoids, asteroids, and ophiuroids, phylum Echinodermata	102
	80.	Graph showing relationship between number of individuals and water depth for each subarea and	
		for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	10
	81.	Graph showing relationship between biomass (wet weight) and water depth for each subarea and	
		for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	104
	82-87.	Graphs showing density and biomass in relation to water depth in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region for:	
		82. Porifera, Hydrozoa, Alcyonaria, Zoantharia, Platyhelminthes, and Nemertea	110
		83. Nematoda, Annelida, Pogonophora, Sipuncula, Echiura, and Priapulida	11
		84. Polyplacophora, Gastropoda, Bivalvia, Scaphopoda, Cephalopoda, and Pycnogonida	112
		85. Ostracoda, Cirripedia, Copepoda, Nebaliacea, Cumacea, and Tanaidacea	11
		86. Isopoda, Amphipoda, Mysidacea, Decapoda, Bryozoa, and Brachiopoda	114
		87. Holothuroidea, Echinoidea, Ophiuroidea, Asteroidea, Hemichordata, and Ascidiacea	11
	88.	Map showing geographic distribution of bottom-sediment types in the Middle Atlantic Bight region	12
	89-94.	Photographs showing:	
		89. Gravel bottom at a depth of 23 m in the Nantucket Shoals region, south of Cape Cod, Mass	12
		90. Sand bottom, containing small amounts of shell, on the Continental Shelf northeast of	10
		Cape Charles, Va., at a depth of 48 m	12
		91. Slity-sand bottom at a depth of 406 m on the Continental Slope east of New Jersey	12
		92. Sand bottom innabited by a dense assemblage of sand dollars (<i>Echinarachnius purmu</i>)	10
		at a depth of 46 m near midshell east of Delaware	12
		93. Sand-shell bottom at a depth of 69 m near the Outer Continental Shell northeast of	19
		94. Silty-sand bottom at a depth of 178 m on the Outer Continental Shelf near Hudson Chan-	13
	05 104	Granha showing:	10
	90-104.	95. Relation between number of individuals and bottom-sediment types for the entire Mid- dle Atlantic Bight region	13
		96. Relation between biomass and bottom-sediment types for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	13
		97. Relation between number of individuals and bottom-sediment types for each subarea	13
		98. Relation between biomass and bottom-sediment types for each subarea	13
		99. Density and biomass in relation to bottom sediments in the entire Middle Atlantic	_0
		Bight region for Porifera, Hydrozoa, Alcyonaria, Zoantharia, Platyhelminthes, and	
		Nemertea	13

v

FIGURES	95-104.	Graphs showing—Continued	rage
		100. Density and biomass in relation to bottom sediments in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region for Nematoda, Annelida, Pogonophora, Sipuncula, Echiura, and	
		101. Density and biomass in relation to bottom sediments in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region for Polyplacophora, Gastropoda, Bivalvia, Scaphopoda, Cephalopoda,	N140
		Pycnogonida	141
		region for Ostracoda, Cirripedia, Copepoda, Nebaliacea, Cumacea, and Tanaidacea _	143
		region for Isopoda, Amphipoda, Mysidacea, Decapoda, Bryozoa, and Brachiopoda	144
		104. Density and biomass in relation to bottom sediments in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region for Holothuroidea, Echinoidea, Ophiuroidea, Asteroidea, Hemichordata, and	
	105	Ascidiacea	145
	100.	Atlantic Bight region	152
	106.	Graph showing the relation between number of individuals and sediment organic carbon for each	
		subarea and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	153
	107.	Graph showing the relation between biomass and sediment organic carbon for each subarea and	150
	108–113.	Graphs showing density and biomass in relation to sediment organic carbon in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region for:	199
		108. Porifera, Hydrozoa, Alcyonaria, Zoantharia, Platyhelminthes, and Nemertea	160
		109. Nematoda, Annelida, Pogonophora, Sipuncula, Echiura, and Priapulida	161
		110. Polyplacophora, Gastropoda, Bivalvia, Scaphopoda, Cephalopoda, and Pycnogonida	162
		111. Ostracoda, Cirripedia, Copepoda, Nebaliacea, Cumacea, and Tanaidacea	163
		112. Isopoda, Amphipoda, Mysidacea, Decapoda, Bryozoa, and Brachiopoda	164
	114.	Map showing distribution of the range in bottom-water temperature for the Middle Atlantic Bight	169
	115	region	107
	110.	for each subarea and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	168
	116.	Graph showing relation between biomass and range in bottom-water temperature for each sub-	
		area and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	169
	117–122.	Graphs showing density and biomass in relation to range in bottom-water temperature in the en- tire Middle Atlantic Bight region for:	
		117. Porifera, Hydrozoa, Alcyonaria, Zoantharia, Platyhelminthes, and Nemertea	173
		118. Nematoda, Annelida, Pogonophora, Sipuncula, Echiura, and Priapulida	174
		119. Polyplacophora, Gastropoda, Bivalvia, Scaphopoda, Cephalopoda, and Pycnogonida	175
		120. Ustracoda, Cirripedia, Copepoda, Nebaliacea, Cumacea, and Tanaidacea	176
		121. Isopoua, Amphipoda, Mysidacea, Decapoda, Bryozoa, and Brachlopoda	179
	123.	Map showing the geographic distribution of the number of individuals for each dominant taxon in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	193
	124.	Map showing the geographic distribution of the biomass for each dominant taxon in the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	194

.

Page

TABLES

TABLE	1.	Research vessels, cruise identification and dates, and number of stations sampled
	2.	Areas of several bathymetric zones within each subarea and total area of Middle Atlantic Bight region
	3.	Quantitative taxonomic composition of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna, in both number of individ-
		uals and biomass, representing the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	4. 5.	Invertebrate species contained in quantitative samples taken within the Middle Atlantic Bight region Quantitative taxonomic composition of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna, in both number of individ-
	6.	uals and biomass, representing the Southern New England subarea
	7.	uals and biomass, representing the New York Bight subarea Quantitative taxonomic composition of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna, in both number of individ-
	•	uals and biomass, representing the Chesapeake Bight subarea
	8. 9.	Number of samples within each depth range class in each subarea and for Middle Atlantic Bight region Mean number of individuals and biomass of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna in relation to water
		depth for each subarea and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	10.	Change and rate of change in density of invertebrates in relation to water depth
	11.	Change and rate of change in biomass of invertebrates in relation to water depth
	12.	Mean number of individuals listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	13.	Mean biomass listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	14.	Mean number of individuals listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the Southern New England subarea
	15.	Mean biomass listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the Southern New England subarea
	16.	Mean number of individuals listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the New York Bight subarea
	17.	Mean biomass listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the New York Bight subarea
	18.	Mean number of individuals listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the Chesapeake Bight subarea
	19.	Mean biomass listed by major taxonomic groups for each bathymetric class, representing the Chesapeake Bight subarea
	20.	Number of samples for each bottom sediment type in each subarea and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	21.	Mean number of individuals and biomass of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna in relation to bottom sediments for each subarea and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	22.	Mean number of individuals listed by taxonomic groups in each bottom-sediment type for the entire Mid- dle Atlantic Bight region
	23.	Mean biomass of each taxonomic group listed by bottom-sediment type for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region
	24.	Mean number of individuals listed by taxonomic group in each bottom-sediment type for the Southern New England subares
	25.	Mean biomass of each taxonomic group listed by bottom-sediment type for the Southern New England
	26.	Mean number of individuals listed by taxonomic group in each bottom-sediment type for the New York Bight gubares
	97	Digits Subarta
	27. 28.	Mean number of individuals listed by taxonomic group in each bottom-sediment type for the Chesapeake Bight subarea
	20	Mean biomass of each taxonomic groun listed by bottom-sediment type in the Chesancake Right subarga
	30.	Number of samples for each class of sediment organic carbon in each subarea and for the entire Middle

	Page
TABLE 31. Mean number of individuals and biomass of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna in relation to p	ercent
organic carbon in bottom sediments for each subarea and for the entire Middle Atlantic Bight reg	on N154
32. Mean number of individuals of each taxonomic group listed by sediment organic carbon content	class,
representing the entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	155
33. Mean biomass of each taxonomic group listed by sediment organic carbon content class, representin entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	ig the
34. Mean number of individuals of each taxonomic group listed by sediment organic carbon content clas	s, rep-
resenting the Southern New England subarea	157
35. Mean biomass of each taxonomic group listed by sediment organic carbon content class, representin Southern New England subarea	n g the 158
36. Number of samples within each water temperature range class in each subarea and for the 2	fiddle
Atlantic Bight region	166
37. Mean number of individuals and biomass of the macrobenthic invertebrate fauna, all taxonomic a combined, in relation to range in bottom-water temperature	roups 166
38. Mean number of individuals of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representing entire Middle Atlantic Bight region	n g the 171
39. Mean biomass of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representing the entire Atlantic Bight region	Middle 172
40. Mean number of individuals of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representi	ng the
Southern New England subarea	180
41. Mean number of individuals of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representi	ng the
New York Bight subarea	181
42. Mean number of individuals of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representi	ng the
Chesapeake Bight subarea	182
43. Mean biomass of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representing the Souther	n New
England subarea	
44. Mean blomass of each taxonomic group listed by temperature-range class, representing the New	YORK
Bignt subarea	184
45. Mean montass of each taxonomic group fisted by temperature-range class, representing the Ches	185 195

VIII