SDA FOREST SER	-	ESOURCE RECONNA (FSM 2361.22c)	AISSANCE REPORT	CRR NO.
1. TITLE OF	UNDERTAKING:			
DISTRIC PLANNIN COMPAF TRACT: AERIAL I	NG UNIT:	FRAME:	STATE: COUNTY: USGS QUAD: LEGAL DESCRIPTION: YEAR:	
TITLE: STATION CERTIFI	NAISSANCE CONDUC N: CATION NO: NTS (Name, title, stati		ACRES INSPECTED:  DATES OF FIELD RESEARCH:	
. DESCRII	PTION OF UNDERTAK	(ING:		
5. NATURE	AND SEVERITY OF E	EXPECTED DIRECT AN	D INDIRECT IMPACTS:	
OURCES CHEC	KED.	FIELD SURVEY TYPE:	CULTURAL RESOURCES	PECOPDED:
FS FILES SHPO OTHER	NLD.	CURSORYCOMPLETESAMPLE	YES NO NO	RECORDED.
		the Cultural Resource Reco omplete and accurate to th	onnaissance reported here, that my observations are best of my knowledge:	nd methods are
		-	SIGNATURE	DATE
PROFESSIONA tandards.		iewed this CRR Report a	and find it to be acceptable according to profes	ssional
ADVERS		ies which might be eligib	reNO EFFECTNO ADVER ole for the National Register of Historic Places	
		-	SIGNATURE	DATE

6.	PHYSICAL SETTING ( see attached EAR for this information)
	Topography:
	Geology:
	Soils:
	Hydrology:
	Timber Types/ Ground Cover:
	Manmade Features and Alterations:
7.	PRE-FIELD RESEARCH – SOURCES CHECKED:
	a. FOREST CULTURAL RESOURCE OVERVIEWd. SHPO (attach correspondence)b. SO CULTURAL RESOURCE FILESe. NRHP,FED, REGISTER:c. DISTRICT CULTURAL RESOURCE FILES(LATEST SUPPLEMENT:)f. OTHER FS FILES, MAPS: (specify)
	g. INFORMANTS: (name, address, qualifications)
	h. REPORTS, DOCUMENTS, PUBLICATIONS: (author, date, title, publisher or location of documents)
	-2-

8.	RESULTS OF PRE-FIELD RESEARCH: a. PREVIOUS SURVEYS OR INVESTIGATIONS CONDUCTED IN OR NEAR (WITHIN 10 MILES OF) THE
	PROJECT AREA:?NOYES (identify and briefly describe results).
	b. NATIONAL REGISTER PROPERTIES LOCATED IN OR NEAR (WITHIN 1 MILE OF) THE PROJECT AREA? NOYES (identify and briefly describe)
	c. OTHER CULTURAL RESOURCES REPORTED IN OR NEAR (WITHIN 1 MILE OF) THE PROJECT AREA? NOYES (identify, briefly describe, and attach FS cultural resource inventory form if applicable)
9.	OBSERVATIONAL EXPECTATIONS:  a. SUSPECTED CULTURAL RESORUCE SENSITIVITY: (as indicated in Forest Overview)
	b. OTHER OBSERVATIONS:
10.	FIELD RESEARCH:  a. METHODOLOGY: (Describe the way the area was examined, transect interval, shovel test interval, and attach map showing extent and intensity of coverage)
	-3-

		b. PORTIONS OF PROJECT AREA NOT INSPECTED OR RECEIVING LEAS THAN COMPLETE COVERAGE: (Describe, key to coverage map, and state why not completely surveyed)
		c. SPECIAL PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERDIN FIELD RESEARCH:
	11.	RESULTS OF FIELD RESEARCH: a. SUMMARY OF FINDIGS AND OBSERVATIONS: (Results of surface examination, results of shovel tests, cultural resources observed and recorded)
		b. PREVIOUSLY UNKOWN CULTURAL RESOURCED IDENTIFIED?NOYES
		c. ARTIFACTS COLLECTED?NOYES (list site numbers below).
	12.	SUMMARY OF CULTURAL RESOURCES IDENTIFIED IN THE PROJECT AREA:  a. CULTURAL RESOURCES RECORDED: (Attach inventory forms and site location map)  NAME FS SITE NO. STATE SITE NO. TYPE (historic/prehistoric)
		b. CULTURAL RESOURCES NOTED BUT NOT FORMALLY REDORDED: FIELD NO. DESCRIPTION WHY NOT RECORDED?
1		

13.	FINDINGS, SUGGESTIONS CONCERNING POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON CULTURAL RESOURCES:  a. BASES ON YOU RESEARCH, WILL THE PROPOSED UNDERTAKIN GIMPACT CULTURAL RESOURCES? NOYES			
	b. IF CULTURAL RESOURCES ARE PRESENT BU WILL NOT BE IMPACTED, EXPLAIN WHY:			
	c. IF CULTURAL RESOURCES WILL BE IMPACTED, EXPLAIN HOW EACH WILL BE IMPACTED:			
	d. IF CULTURAL RESOURCES WILL BE ADVERSELY IMPACTED, WHAT PRECAUTIONS, PROTECTIVE MEASURES, OR PROJECT MODIFICATIONS DO YOU Recommend TO AVOID OR LESSEN THESE IMPACTS:			
	e. IF THESE RECOMMENDATIONS ARE IMPLEMENTED, WILL ALL POTENTIAL ADVERSE IMPACTS ON CULTURAL RESOURCE HAVE BEEN REMOVED?NOYES EXPLAIN:			
14.	OTHER REMARKS:			
15.	SUPPLEMENTAL DATA ATTACHED:			
	UNDERTAKING VICINITY MAP LOCATION (TOPO) MAP SHPO CORRESPONDENCE PROJECT MAP/SITE PLAN COVERAGE MAP SITE LOCATION MAP OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL DATA: (Specify)			
	-5-			

## PROFESSIONAL REVIEW To Be Completed By A Professional Cultural Resource Specialist 16. EVALUATION OF COMPLETENESS: Based on your review of the CRR Report, please complete the following: a. IN YOUR OPINION: Has background research been adequate to assure recognition of previously (1) reported resources? NO YES Has the project area been adequately inspected according to acceptable archaeological practice? (2) NO Has coverage been adequate to assure recording of all properties of potential National Register (3) eligibility? NO (4) Have you any other reason to question the completeness or adequacy of this CRR Report? \_\_\_\_\_NO \_\_\_\_YES REMARKS: (Discuss any inadequacies indicated above) b. CONCLUSION: I \_\_\_\_\_DO \_\_\_\_DO NOT regard this CRR Report as complete and adequate according to professional standards. 17. OPINION ON EFFECT OF The PROPOSED UNDERTAKING ON CULTURAL RESOURCES WHICH MIGHT BE ELIGIBLE FOR THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES: NO EFFECT (\_\_\_\_Subject to implementation of recommendations below) \_NO ADVERSE EFFECT ( \_\_\_\_ Subject to implementation of recommendations below) \_\_\_ADVERSE EFFECT (\_\_\_\_\_ See assessment and/or mitigation recommended below) **EXPLANATION OF OPINION ON EFFECT:** 18. REMARKS, RECOMMENDATIONS: 19. REVIEWD BY: NAME: SIGNATURE TITLE: DATE STATION:

-6-