Chapter 1. Purpose of and Need for Action

Introduction

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and other relevant Federal laws and regulations, the Forest Service (FS) has prepared a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) on a Proposed Land Exchange between Clearwater Land Exchange-Oregon (Clearwater) and Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. The proposal is referred to as the Blue Mountain Land Exchange-Oregon. Clearwater is acting as an independent third party facilitator for assembling numerous small non-Federal parcels into a large cost efficient proposal. Multiple owners of the non-Federal parcels have agreed to consolidate their parcels into a single package for the purpose of completing one exchange transaction. The Proposed Exchange involves lands within and in the vicinity of the Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests. The Federal and non-Federal lands are located in Baker, Grant, Morrow, Umatilla, Union, and Wallowa Counties. This document is organized into four chapters:

- Chapter 1. Purpose of and Need for Action: This chapter includes information on the
 history of the project proposal, the purpose of and need for the project and the
 agency's proposal for achieving that purpose and need. It explains the overall scope
 of this FEIS, discloses other pertinent information and describes the decisions to be
 made by the Responsible Officials.
- Chapter 2. Alternatives, including the Proposed Action: This chapter describes the scoping and public involvement process, identifies the significant project issues and provides a more detailed description of the agency's Proposed Exchange and alternatives to the Proposed Exchange. These alternatives were developed based on significant issues raised by the public and other agencies. Finally, this section provides a comparison summary of the environmental effects for all alternatives considered in detail.
- Chapter 3. Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences: This chapter
 describes the existing physical, biological, economic, and social environment
 potentially affected by the Proposed Exchange and the alternatives to the Proposed
 Exchange described in Chapter 2. It also discloses the anticipated environmental
 consequences of implementing each alternative described in Chapter 2. Direct,
 indirect, and cumulative effects are disclosed, and the effectiveness of mitigation is
 assessed. Unavoidable adverse impacts are identified, including irreversible and
 irretrievable commitments of resources.
- Chapter 4. List of Preparers/Literature Citations: This chapter contains a listing of the individuals responsible for preparing this FEIS. It also contains literature citations.
- *Glossary:* The glossary defines terms used in this FEIS which may be unfamiliar to readers. Acronyms and abbreviations are defined prior to their first usage.
- *Appendices:* The appendices provide additional detailed information to support the analyses presented in this FEIS.
- *Index*: The index provides page numbers by document topic.

Parcel numbering system is as follows:

- FW parcels are Federal parcels on the Wallowa-Whitman NF potentially to be conveyed to private ownership.
- PW parcels are private parcels that would possibly become part of the Wallowa-Whitman NF.
- FU parcels are Federal parcels on the Umatilla National Forest potentially to be conveyed to private ownership.
- PU parcels are private parcels that would possibly become part of the Umatilla NF.
- FM parcels are Federal parcels on the Malheur NF potentially to be conveyed to private ownership.
- PM parcels are private parcels that would possibly become part of the Malheur NF.

State of Oregon parcels that would possibly be acquired include:

 PU21, PM25, PM26, PM27, PM 28, PM29, PM30, PM31, PU1A, PU1B, PU2, PU3 and PU4

Additional documentation, including, the development of this FEIS, background information such as public scoping, specialist reports, anticipated management plans, documentation of meetings and gathered resource information on the project analysis area may be found in the Project Record (PR) located at the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest Supervisor's Office in Baker City, Oregon.

Background

Prior to the Agreement to Initiate (ATI) the Proposed Blue Mountain Land Exchange, the FS had completed two exchanges with Clearwater in the early 1990's. The successful completion of these land exchanges prompted numerous private parties to approach Clearwater about additional land adjustment opportunities. Clearwater had proposed the previous exchanges, which were designed in consultation with the FS to meet landownership adjustment goals and objectives identified in the Land and Resource Management Plans (Forest Plans) for the Malheur, Umatilla, and Wallowa-Whitman National Forests. In response to a third Clearwater proposal, the FS entered into an ATI for another land exchange in October 1998. This agreement identified a large pool of both Federal (BLM and FS) and non-Federal lands to be considered for exchange.

The 1998 ATI included approximately 29,100 acres of non-Federal and approximately 19,000 acres of Federal lands (BLM and FS). Of these acres, 4,460 acres of Federal land and 7,861 acres of non-Federal land were identified as priority for exchange to facilitate the closure of the BLM NOELE exchange. On August 8, 2000, President Clinton signed into law the Oregon Land Exchange Act of 2000 (P.L. 106-257). This Act authorized the exchange of the priority lands in the Triangle Land Exchange between the Forest Service and Clearwater, the third party facilitator.

The Triangle Land Exchange Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) analyzed seven alternatives and discussed the environmental effects of each alternative. Alternative 2 was the preferred and legislated alternative. Following completion of the Triangle exchange, efforts were focused on evaluation and analysis of the remaining lands included in the 1998 ATI, but not included in the Triangle Exchange. Additional landownership adjustments opportunities surfaced

between October 1998 and May 2002, and additional lands were added to the proposal via an Amendment to the ATI in May 2002. The 2002 amendment identifies the 21,000 acres of Federal lands and 37,000 acres of non-Federal lands to be analyzed in the Proposed Blue Mountain Land Exchange. To avoid confusion with the Blue Mountain Land Exchange in Washington in 2004 this land exchange changed its name to Blue Mountain Land Exchange – Oregon. After the Notice of Intent was published throughout the process parcels have dropped out for various reasons. These parcels are displayed in Appendix D.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose of and need for action is for (1) more consolidated Federal and private ownership that reduces costs of both Federal and private management and (2) additional Federal jurisdiction within Congressionally Designated Areas or other parcels, such as wetlands, floodplains, and riparian areas that provide habitat for threatened or endangered species.

Currently the ownership pattern has some isolated Federal parcels surrounded by private, State, or other Federal jurisdictions as well as isolated non-Federal (privately owned) parcels surrounded by National Forest System lands. Isolated land parcels are difficult and more expensive to access and manage. There is a need to consolidate ownership to improve access, reduce management costs, and provide improved opportunities to meet FS, State of Oregon, and private management objectives for these lands.

Some current private parcels are surrounded by or substantially adjacent to congressionally designated areas or areas that provide habitat for threatened or endangered species. These designated areas or special habitats include the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area, Eagle Cap Wilderness, Hells Canyon Wilderness, Imnaha Wild and Scenic River, Wenaha-Tucannon Wild and Scenic River, Eagle Creek Wild and Scenic River, and North Fork John Day Wild and Scenic River. Management direction for these congressionally designated areas is to work with willing landowners to acquire private parcels. Management direction for threatened or endangered species is to work with willing landowners to acquire habitat important for conservation and recovery of the species. With Federal jurisdiction, there is an opportunity to manage congressionally designated areas consistent with Congress' intent for the areas and to manage habitat for threatened or endangered species, (such as Chinook salmon, steelhead trout and bull trout) consistent with the Endangered Species Act.

Proposed Land Exchange

The FS proposes to exchange fee title with Clearwater Land Exchange-Oregon to approximately 18,172 acres of Federal land and 31,741 acres of non-Federal land in scattered parcels throughout the Blue Mountains Province of Northeast Oregon.

Affected FS management units include:

- Malheur National Forest: Blue Mountain and Prairie City Ranger Districts
- Umatilla National Forest: Heppner, North Fork John Day, Pomeroy, and Walla Walla Ranger Districts
- Wallowa-Whitman National Forest: Eagle Cap, La Grande, Baker, Pine, Unity, and Wallowa Valley Ranger Districts and the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area

Watersheds identified in the exchange are: Imnaha River, Big Sheep Creek, Joseph Creek, Lostine River, Wallowa River, Middle Grande Ronde River, Upper Grande Ronde River, Willow Creek, Umatilla River, North Fork John Day River, Middlefork John Day River, Upper John Day River, Lower John Day River, and the Snake River.

All parcels proposed for exchange (Federal and non-Federal) are within the geographic area of ceded lands and/or area of interest of the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs Reservation, the Nez Perce Tribe, or the Burns Paiute Tribe. Affected acres by county are shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Affected Acres by County

| County | FS Acres to Convey | Private and State Acres to Acquire |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|
| Baker County | 42 | 311 |
| Grant County | 6,065 | 9,559 |
| Morrow County | 390 | 159 |
| Umatilla County | 6,677 | 7,768 |
| Union County | 388 | 309 |
| Wallowa County | 4,610 | 13,635 |
| Totals | 18,172 | 31,741 |

The Proposed Exchange Alternative would authorize the transfer of land ownership and management authority between the parties. The FS would manage the acquired parcels in accordance with the appropriate Forest Plans, as amended.

Rights previously conveyed or permitted by the United States on NF (National Forest) parcels would remain. For example, Oregon Trail Electric Cooperative, Inc. has a special use permit for a powerline across parcel FM12. The deed transferring title to this property would be conveyed subject to this continued use. These rights include easements, reservations, special use authorizations, term grazing permits, existing allotments, and water rights.

The legal description and acreage of each parcel are found in Appendix A, and maps are displayed in Appendix B. Existing management area (MA) acre allocation of all parcels proposed for conveyance and proposed MA acre allocation of all parcels proposed for acquisition are displayed in Table 2.

Table 2. Alternative 1 – MA Acre Allocation for All Parcels to Convey and Acquire

| MA | Management Area Descriptions | FS Acres to Convey | Private and State Acres to Acquire | Acres Net Change | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Malheur National Forest | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1-2 | General Forest & Rangeland | 463 | 1775 | 1312 | | |
| 3A | Non-Anadromous Riparian Area | 4 | 0 | -4 | | |
| 3B | Anadromous Riparian Area | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| 4A | Big-Game Winter Range | 3408 | 3874 | 466 | | |

| MA | Management Area Descriptions | FS Acres to Convey | Private and State Acres to Acquire | Acres Net Change | |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| 10 | Semi-Primitive Non-Motorized Recreation Areas | 0 | 185 | 185 | |
| 13 | Old Growth | 385 | 0 | -385 | |
| 14F | Visual Corridors Foreground | 668 | 0 | -668 | |
| 14M | Visual Corridors Middle ground | 79 | 224 | 145 | |
| RHCA | Riparian Habitat Conservation Area | 758 | 89 | -669 | |
| | Totals | 5765 | 6147 | 382 | |
| | Umatilla National Fores | t | | | |
| A1 | Dispersed Recreation (Non-Motorized) | 42 | 0 | -42 | |
| A3 | Viewshed 1 | 0 | 583 | 583 | |
| A4 | Viewshed 2 | 41 | 80 | 39 | |
| A7 | Wild & Scenic Rivers | 0 | 251 | 251 | |
| B1 | Wilderness | 0 | 42 | 42 | |
| C1 | Dedicated Old Growth Forest Habitat | 75 | 200 | 125 | |
| C3 | Big Game Winter Range | 2488 | 1104 | -1384 | |
| C4 | Wildlife Habitat | 1605 | 3718 | 2113 | |
| C5 | Riparian (Fish & Wildlife Habitat) | 97 | 437 | 340 | |
| C7 | Water Quality (Anadromous Fish) | 0 | 1328 | 1328 | |
| C8 | Grass-Tree Mosaic (GTM) | 2558 | 2016 | -542 | |
| E1 | Timber & Forage | 0 | 2193 | 2193 | |
| E2 | Timber & Big Game | 461 | 454 | -7 | |
| | Totals | 7367 | 12406 | 5039 | |
| Wallowa-Whitman National Forest | | | | | |
| 1 | Timber Production Emphasis | 439 | 1666 | 1227 | |
| 1W | Timber Production/Winter Range | 219 | 253 | 34 | |
| 3 | Wildlife/Timber Winter Range | 3524 | 2492 | -1032 | |
| 4 | Wilderness | 0 | 205 | 205 | |
| 6 | Backcountry | 118 | 885 | 767 | |
| 7 | Wild & Scenic Rivers | 51 | 2624 | 2573 | |
| 9 | HCNRA Dispersed Recreation/Native Vegetation | 0 | 365 | 365 | |
| 10 | HCNRA Forage Emphasis | 656 | 4330 | 3674 | |
| 11 | HCNRA Dispersed Recreation/Timber Emphasis | 0 | 309 | 309 | |
| 15 | Old growth Preserve | 33 | 0 | -33 | |
| 18 | Anadromous Fish Emphasis | 0 | 59 | 59 | |
| | Totals | 5040 | 13188 | 8148 | |

Dedicated old growth has been proposed for conveyance to Clearwater. In the event this occurs, other timbered stands or existing old growth would be assigned for replacement and the appropriate Forest Plans would be amended as required.

Selection Criteria for Lands to Convey and Acquire

Because of the pattern of land ownership within and around the National Forests in the Blue Mountain Province of Northeast Oregon, there is a need for ownership adjustment to improve National Forest administration and to improve the effectiveness of State of Oregon and private land management. The Wallowa-Whitman, Umatilla, and Malheur Forest Plans, as amended, each provide management direction for consolidation of ownership. These plans identify land exchange as the primary tool for land adjustment. NFS lands and certain lands in other ownerships within and surrounding each Forest have been classified and prioritized for acquisition or conveyance. The intent of this management direction is to eventually achieve the best land ownership pattern for Forest Plan implementation. All lands so classified have been placed in one of five groups defined in Landownership Plans located in each of the Forest Plan appendices. This direction combined with the facilitator identifying opportunities for desirable acquisition provided the basis for identifying the NF parcels to convey and acquire in the Proposed Exchange Alternative.

The interdisciplinary team and district rangers have developed a draft parcel prioritization list of lands to acquire. Parcels are prioritized for acquisition on the basis of how well they addressed significant issues and to what degree each parcel contributed towards achieving the purpose and need. Those parcels located within congressionally designated areas and/or providing habitat for threatened and endangered plant, animal and fish species were listed as the highest priority for acquisition. Priority listings and public comments received during the DEIS scoping, along with comments on the DEIS (Appendix E), were used to identify the Preferred Alternative. This information would eventually be used to assist in equalizing Federal and non-Federal land values.

Changes from DEIS to FEIS

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the Blue Mountain Land Exchange – Oregon was distributed to the public for comment in May 2005. Comments on the document were reviewed by the Interdisiplinary Team and are addressed in detail in Appendix E of this FEIS. A summary of changes made to the DEIS to respond to public comments or to correct errors are:

- A new alternative, Alternative 5, was developed and analyzed in detail.
- Additional information was provided in Chapter 3 to respond to public comments.
 Refer to Appendix E for specific comments and added information.
- Analysis regarding the Wenaha-Tucannon Wilderness and Parcel PU1A was added.
- Edits were made throughout the document to correct errors and clarify statements.

Land Exchange Authority and Process

Clearwater Land Exchange-Oregon (Clearwater) and FS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, acting through their authorized representatives, are jointly proposing to exchange lands under the authority of:

- The General Exchange Act of March 20, 1922, as amended
- The Federal Land Policy Management Act of October 21, 1976, as amended

- The Federal Land Exchange Facilitation Act of August 20, 1988
- The Weeks Law Act of March 1, 1911, as amended

Private and State of Oregon parcels proposed for acquisition have been assigned various MAs which are identified in Table 2. Land exchange regulations (36 CFR 254.3(f) state: "Lands acquired by exchange that are located within areas having an administrative designation established through the land management planning process shall automatically become part of the area within which they are located without further action by the FS, and shall be managed in accordance with the laws, rules, and regulations and land and resource management plan applicable to such area."

The land exchange process includes some procedures that are open for public review and others that are confidential. See Appendix C for a brief summary on the land exchange process.

Relationship to the Forest Plans

This document is tiered to:

- Record of Decision. Land and Resource Management Plan, as amended and FEIS, Malheur National Forest – May 25, 1990
- Record of Decision, Land and Resource Management Plan, as amended and FEIS, Umatilla National Forest – June 11, 1990
- Record of Decision, Land and Resource Management Plan, as amended and FEIS, Wallowa-Whitman National Forest – April 23, 1990
- The Interim Strategies for Managing Anadromous Fish-producing Watersheds in Eastern Oregon and Washington, Idaho, and Portions of California, PACFISH – February 24, 1995
- The Inland Native Fish Strategy, INFISH July 28, 1995
- Interim Management Direction Establishing Riparian Ecosystem and Wildlife Standards for Timber Sales. Regional Forester's Amendment #2 June 5, 1995.
- Hells Canyon Comprehensive Management Plan
- Wild and Scenic Rivers Management Plans for affected rivers

The above are incorporated by reference at the appropriate places throughout this FEIS.

The parcels identified for acquisition in the Proposed Exchange Alternative are suitable and desirable 36 CFR 245.4(b) (1) for inclusion in the National Forest System (NFS). Consolidation of acquired lands with existing NFS lands would enhance the FS's ability to manage lands and resources consistent with Forest Plans, as amended.

In accordance with Land Ownership Adjustment direction (36 CFR 254.3(b):

The resource intrinsic values and the public objectives served by the non-Federal parcels and interests to be acquired are equal or exceed the resource intrinsic values of the public objectives served by the Federal parcels to be conveyed.

The intended use of the conveyed Federal parcels will not substantially conflict with established management objectives on adjacent Federal lands, including Indian Trust lands.

Decisions to be Made

The Responsible Officials's decision to implement an alternative will be documented in a Record of Decision (ROD). Each Forest Supervisor would decide whether or not to:

- exchange NFS parcels for State of Oregon and private parcels of equal value
- implement one of the action alternatives evaluated in detail or a combination of those action alternatives
- amend the Forest Plans

Factors upon which the Forest Supervisors will base their decisions are:

- how the alternatives meet the purpose of and need for action
- how the alternatives respond to the significant issues
- the trade-off of environmental consequences among the alternatives
- how the alternatives respond to the public comments received on the DEIS