1	MICHAEL A. PIAZZA, pro hac vice E-mail: piazzam@sec.gov	
2	E-mail: piazzam@sec.gov NICOLAS MORGAN, Cal. Bar No. 166441 E-mail: morgann@sec.gov	
3	PETER DEL GRECO, Čal. Bar No. 164925 E-mail: delgrecop@sec.gov	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission Randall R. Lee, Regional Director Sandra J. Harris, Associate Regional Director 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90036 Telephone: (323) 965-3998 Facsimile: (323) 965-3908	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12 13		
14	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No.
15	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS
16	VS.	
17	BODYSCAN CORPORATION and ANTHONY SCIUTO,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21	Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") alleges as	
22	follows:	
23	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
24	1. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections	
25	21(d)(1), 21(d)(2), 21(d)(3)(A), 21(d)(5), 21(e) and 27 of the Securities Exchange	
26	Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d)(1), 78u(d)(2), 78u(d)(3)(A),	
27	78u(d)(5), 78u(e) & 78aa. Defendants have, directly or indirectly, made use of the	
28	means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities	

of a national securities exchange, in connection with the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct alleged in this complaint.

2. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, because certain of the transactions, acts, practices, and courses of conduct constituting violations of the federal securities laws occurred within this district.

SUMMARY

- 3. This case involves violations of the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws by BodyScan Corporation ("BodyScan"), a company whose shares are quoted on the Pink Sheets, and by Anthony Sciuto ("Sciuto"), a recidivist securities law violator who is BodyScan's president and CEO. BodyScan purports to operate diagnostic or "imaging" centers that utilize electron-beam scanners to detect disease or other abnormalities of the internal organs at an early stage. BodyScan purports to operate as many as eleven imaging centers in cities across the United States, with plans to expand in the near future.
- 4. In BodyScan's press releases, in information available on its website, and in an interview given by Sciuto, BodyScan and Sciuto represented that BodyScan's business was expanding, and that the company expected continued expansion and growth in earnings. BodyScan represented that it operated eleven imaging centers, and Sciuto, in a May 2004 interview, stated that BodyScan planned to continue its expansion and expected revenues of \$20 million in 2004.
- 5. None of these statements was true. In fact, BodyScan's imaging centers had been closing due to non-payment of rent and repossession of their scanning equipment until, by mid-2003, BodyScan had only two operational imaging centers. These imaging centers also subsequently closed and, since March 2004, BodyScan has not had any operational imaging centers. Neither BodyScan nor Sciuto have ever corrected their false statements.

THE DEFENDANTS

- 6. BodyScan is a Nevada corporation with headquarters in Irvine, California. BodyScan resulted from a reverse merger through a stock-for-stock transfer between BodyScan Imaging, LLC and a shell company in October 2003. On December 16, 2003, the company changed its name to BodyScan Corporation. Its shares are quoted on the Pink Sheets under the symbol "BDYS."
- 7. Anthony Sciuto, age 59, resides in San Clemente, California. Sciuto is the president and CEO of BodyScan, and its only officer and director. In 1999, the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions filed an action against Sciuto for acting as an unlicensed securities agent and offering for sale an unregistered security on behalf of First Liberty Financial, an unlicensed broker-dealer located in Irvine, California. In March 2002, the Missouri Secretary of State's Division of Securities entered a consent order against Sciuto for offering unregistered securities and prohibited him from selling any securities until such securities were registered with that state.

MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS: BODYSCAN AND SCIUTO MISREPRESENT THE NUMBER OF IMAGING CENTERS THAT BODYSCAN OPERATES AND THE COMPANY'S GROWTH PROSPECTS

- 8. BodyScan purports to be in the business of medical technology and preventive health services. It purports to operate facilities that use state-of-the-art computer tomography scanners to diagnose and evaluate the presence of coronary artery disease and lung disease and, by doing so at an early stage, delay or prevent the onset of more serious illnesses. BodyScan operated several imaging centers at various times from 2000 through 2004, although all of the imaging centers are now closed.
- 9. Through press releases, information on the company's website, and an interview given by Sciuto, BodyScan and Sciuto made a number of materially false and misleading statements regarding BodyScan's business activities, its prospects

for growth, and its anticipated earnings. At the same time that the proposed defendants were making statements that portrayed BodyScan as a vibrant, expanding company, the reality was exactly the opposite. BodyScan's facilities had been gradually closing due to non-payment of rent and repossession of their equipment until, by mid-2003, only two facilities remained operational. Since March 2004, BodyScan has not operated any imaging centers.

- 10. On November 10, 2003, BodyScan issued a press release (the "November 2003 Release") stating that it would be opening a new imaging center in San Antonio, Texas. The November 2003 Release represented that, as of that date, BodyScan operated five imaging centers throughout the country. It listed Sciuto as the company contact and it provided his telephone number, as well as a link to the BodyScan website.
- 11. The November 2003 Release was followed by another on December 17, 2003. The December 17 press release announced that BodyScan had acquired what it described as a publicly held company, that it had changed its name from BodyScan Imaging to BodyScan Corporation, and that it would begin trading under the symbol "BDYS." Again, the press release listed Sciuto as the contact person, provided his telephone number, and included a link to the company's website.
- 12. BodyScan issued another press release on February 4, 2004 in which it announced that the San Antonio imaging center would open on February 9. In the February 4 press release, the company represented that it operated "centers located throughout the United States" and had two additional imaging centers under construction. As with the other press releases, Sciuto was listed as the contact person, and his telephone number and BodyScan's website address were also provided.
- 13. The BodyScan website reinforced the representation that BodyScan was operating at least eight functioning imaging centers. Until August 2004, the

BodyScan website provided contact information, including addresses and phone numbers, for eleven BodyScan imaging centers located throughout the United States, and provided links to web pages (which include purported exterior and/or interior photographs and driving directions) for each of the eleven centers (three of which were purported to be "in progress").

14. Sciuto made additional misrepresentations in a May 2004 interview with "Winning Stock Picks," an online investor newsletter. In that interview, Sciuto stated that BodyScan was "actually in the process of negotiating to acquire another 12 centers right now"; that the company was "on-track to have 20 centers up and running" within one year; that its objective was "to have 50 facilities up and running within the next 5 years"; and that company sales would be "around the \$20 million mark for the end of 2004; that will double in every year from then on." Both the text and the audio version of the interview were available on the Internet through September 2004.

BODYSCAN HAD ONLY THREE IMAGING CENTERS BY LATE 2003, NONE OF WHICH WERE OPERATIONAL BEYOND MARCH 2004

- 15. The statements concerning the number of imaging centers operated by BodyScan made in BodyScan's press releases, on its website, and in Sciuto's interview, were false when they were made and remain false today. Contrary to BodyScan's and Sciuto's representations, the number of imaging centers was not growing and has not grown. Rather, the company's imaging centers were closing due to non-payment of rent and repossession of their imaging equipment.

 Moreover, Sciuto's interview statements concerning projected sales revenues were made without a good faith basis. Contrary to Sciuto's representations, BodyScan's projected revenues could only decrease, not increase, with the closing of the imaging centers.
- 16. Several of the scanners were repossessed in early to mid-2003, prior to the issuance of the November 2003 Release. GE Healthcare Financial Services

- ("GE"), the lessor of the scanners used in several of the BodyScan imaging centers, entered into leases with and delivered scanners to BodyScan imaging centers in Scottsdale, Arizona; Las Vegas, Nevada; Purchase, New York; and Tampa, Florida. Each of these imaging centers defaulted on its equipment lease payment obligations, and GE repossessed the machinery in each of these imaging centers between April and July 2003. GE also had earlier contracted to deliver a scanner to BodyScan's Philadelphia imaging center but elected not to do so in light of the non-payment issues that arose with respect to the other imaging centers.
- 17. Several more imaging centers were closed before the issuance of the November 2003 Release because they failed to pay their rent: the Austin site lost its lease before a scanner was ever installed; the Orlando imaging center ceased operations in mid-2003 when the landlord evicted it for failure to pay rent; and the Sarasota imaging center ceased operations in August 2003 when it too was evicted from the premises for failure to pay rent, and its scanner was moved to the San Antonio facility shortly thereafter.
- 18. By November 2003, BodyScan operated only three imaging centers New York City, Kansas City and San Antonio -- only two of which had functioning machinery. The New York City imaging center's scanner was rendered non-operational in early March 2004, at which time the imaging center ceased operations. The scanner was never serviced and, consequently, the New York City imaging center closed in May 2004. The Kansas City imaging center closed in March 2004 due to its failure to pay rent, and its scanner was put into storage. The San Antonio imaging center obtained its scanner from the Sarasota imaging center in October 2003, but the scanner required servicing before it could be used. The required repairs were never made, the machine was never operational, and the San Antonio imaging center closed in July 2004, without ever opening for business.

///

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

27

26

28

- 19. Since the last scanner became non-operational in March 2004, none of BodyScan's imaging centers has been able to schedule patient appointments. In April 2004, calls to the BodyScan imaging center in Kansas City were routed instead to an 800 number. A receptionist at the 800 number stated that all BodyScan imaging centers other than the New York City imaging center were temporarily closed but would reopen with new equipment in several months. Four months later, calls to ten of the eleven BodyScan centers identified on the company website received a recorded message stating that the number had been disconnected and was no longer in service, but providing no further information. Calls to the eleventh imaging center were routed to BodyScan's corporate offices in Irvine, where a receptionist stated that all of the imaging centers were closed for remodeling, that it was not known when they would reopen, and that patients should call another company if they wanted to schedule a diagnostic scan.
- 20. Despite BodyScan's deteriorating financial condition, it and Sciuto continued to disseminate material and false favorable news and financial projections via the company website (which remained accessible through August 2004), via the Sciuto interview (which remained available online through September 2004), and via company press releases (which can still be found on the Internet today).

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FRAUD IN CONNECTION WITH THE PURCHASE OR SALE OF SECURITIES Violations of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 Thereunder

- 21. The Commission realleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 20 above.
- 22. Defendants BodyScan and Sciuto, and each of them, by engaging in the conduct described above, directly or indirectly, in connection with the purchase or sale of a security, by the use of means or instrumentalities of interstate commerce, of the mails, or of the facilities of a national securities exchange, with

scienter: 1 2 employed devices, schemes, or artifices to defraud; a. 3 made untrue statements of a material fact or omitted to state a b. 4 material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, 5 in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, 6 not misleading; or 7 engaged in acts, practices, or courses of business which c. 8 operated or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon other 9 persons. 10 23. By engaging in the conduct described above, defendants BodyScan 11 and Sciuto, and each of them, violated, and unless restrained and enjoined will 12 continue to violate, Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and 13 Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5. 14 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 15 WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that the Court: I. 16 Issue findings of fact and conclusions of law that the defendants committed 17 18 the alleged violations. 19 II. 20 Issue a judgment, in a form consistent with Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d), 21 permanently enjoining defendants BodyScan and Sciuto and their officers, agents, 22 servants, employees, and attorneys, and those persons in active concert or 23 participation with any of them, who receive actual notice of the judgment by 24 personal service or otherwise, and each of them, from violating Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, 17 C.F.R. 25 § 240.10b-5. 26 27 III.

28

Enter an order, pursuant to Section 21(d)(2) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C.

§ 78u(d)(2), prohibiting Sciuto from acting as an officer or director of any issuer 1 2 that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act, 3 15 U.S.C. § 781, or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the 4 Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78o(d). 5 IV. 6 Enter an order, pursuant to Section 21(d)(5) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. 7 § 78u(d)(5), equitably barring Sciuto from acting as an officer or director of any 8 company, public or non-public. 9 V. 10 Order each of the defendants to pay civil penalties pursuant to Section 21(d)(3) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78u(d)(3). 11 12 VI. 13 Retain jurisdiction of this action in accordance with the principles of equity and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in order to implement and carry out the 14 15 terms of all orders and decrees that may be entered, or to entertain any suitable application or motion for additional relief within the jurisdiction of this Court. 16 VII. 17 Grant such other and further relief as this Court may determine to be just and 18 19 necessary. 20 21 DATED: March ___, 2005 MICHAEL A. PIAZZA 22 NICOLAS MORGAN PETER F. DEL GRECO 23 Attorneys for Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission 24 25 26 27

28