

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000  
Public Law 106-393

## Title II Project Submission Form

### Northeast Oregon Forests Resource Advisory Committee

**1. Project Number** (Assigned by Designated Federal Official) : UN-WAW06-604

<b>2. Project Name: Beaver Ck Forest Camp Relocation</b>	<b>3. County: Union</b>
<b>4. Project Sponsor: Arlene Blumton</b>	<b>5. Date: February 1, 2005</b>
<b>6. Sponsor's Phone Number: 541-962-8522</b>	
<b>7. Sponsors E-mail:ablumton@fs.fed.us</b>	

<b>8. Project Location</b> (attach project area map)	
a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #: Beaver / Rock 170601416	
b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC # (if known):	
c. Location: Township <u>4S</u> Range <u>37E</u> Section(s) <u>32</u> Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____	
d. BLM District	e. BLM Resource Area
f. National Forest   Wallowa-Whitman	g. Forest Service District   La Grande
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

<p><b>9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:</b> (max. 7 lines)</p> <p>Partnership with the City of La Grande to move a large forest camp (25 camps) from the banks of Beaver Creek to an adjacent upland location. The existing camp is on top of the shallowly buried main City water transmission line, causing risk of contamination to the City water line and damage to the Beaver Creek riparian zone.</p>
--

<p><b>10. Project Description:</b> (max. 30 lines.)</p> <p>There is a large forest camp (25+campsites) on the banks of Beaver Creek at the municipal watershed gate. The camp is used heavily during hunting season especially by hunters with horses; this continued use degrades the riparian area and increases the risk of contamination to the City water line.</p> <p>This project will construct a small campground a few hundred yards uphill from the existing site, away from the stream and water transmission line. The new camping area will accommodate approximately 14 camps and sanitary facilities. The existing streamside area will be closed, rehabilitated, and planted when the new campsite construction is completed.</p>
--

Project Consultation, an area survey, and project staking was completed two years ago. NEPA was completed in 2005. The Forest Service has obtained \$13,000 for purchase and installation of the toilet. Project design is still needed. Discussions with City of La Grande engineers this year, indicates that a partnership with the City of La Grande will be possible where the City furnishes construction equipment and road surfacing and transportation, while the Forest Service furnishes project planning/administration, and purchase of supplies and some construction materials.

**11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?**

Yes  No **If yes, then describe** This project has been coordinated with the City of La Grande which has the Beaver Creek Municipal Watershed under Special Use Permit from the Forest Service. This project benefits City of La Grande interests as well as the National Forest interests.

**12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?** [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]

Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]

Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]

Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

**13. Project Type** (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] **City Municipal Water Line**

Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]

Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]

**Watershed Restoration & Mntc.** [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]

Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]

Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: Relocate human impacts away from stream

**14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes** [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

a. Total Acres: 10

b. Total Miles:

c. No. Structures:

d. Est. People Reached

e. No. Laborer Days:

(for environmental education projects):

f. Other (specify):

**15. Estimated Completion Date: 2006**

**16. Target Species Benefited:** Federally listed bull trout, steelhead, and Spring Chinook salmon.

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)] (max. 12 lines) Project eliminates health and safety hazards to on-site users, and potentially to City water users. It improves service to the recreating public by providing high quality camping facilities, while protecting and enhancing water quality and riparian habitat.

**18. How is this project in the best public interest?** [Sec. 203(b)(7)]

**Identify benefits to communities:** Protection of city municipal water supply from fecal contaminates. It protects campers from unmanaged animal and human waste. It provides an attractive alternative camping location to users that may otherwise be dislocated.

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?** (max. 12 lines) Protection of water quality in a stream that contributes to the survival of 3 federally protected fish species, improved customer service, and elimination of health and safety hazards.

<b>20. Status of Project Planning</b>			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (by Sept. 2005)	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

<b>21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment</b> ( <i>check those that apply</i> )	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers -
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): City of La Grande equipment and personnel TEC riparian rehab youth crew	

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?** [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

Yes       No

<b>23. Anticipated Project Costs:</b> Scheduling with City of La Grande is yet to be finalized.	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$12,000	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? X Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
c. FY02 Request: \$2,393	f. FY05 Request: 0
d. FY03 Request: \$31,640	g. FY06 Request: \$12,000
e. FY04 Request: 0	

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis**

<b>Item</b>	<i>Column A</i> <b>Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution</b> [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> <b>Requested County Title II Contribution</b> [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> <b>Other Contributions</b> [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> <b>Total Available Funds</b>
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration		\$3,000		\$3,000
30. Contract Cost				
31. Workforce Cost	\$4,000	\$4,000 (Youth crew)		\$8,000
32. Materials & Supplies	\$13,000	\$4,040		\$17,040
33. Monitoring	\$2,000			\$2,000
34. Other Equipment Costs			\$50,000 (City)	\$50,000
35. Project Sub-Total		\$11,040		
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead @ 8%) (per year for multi-year projects)		\$960		\$960
<b>37. Total Cost Estimate</b>	\$19,000	\$12,000	\$50,000	\$81,000

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)] (max. 7 lines)

The city of La Grande would donate the use of their construction equipment, with operators, to construct the facility and haul the rock for the roads and camping spurs. The City will also contribute some materials. The Riparian Rehabilitation Youth Crew, organized through the Training and Employment Consortium, will do hand work associated with riparian rehab and the camp area.

**39. Monitoring Plan** [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines)  
**Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:** After construction of the new camp site closure and rehabilitation of the existing Beaver Creek site will be implemented and closure effectiveness will be monitored.
- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] (max. 7 lines)  
**Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:** The Youth Crew will be used to do hand work associated with the camp construction and streamside rehabilitation.
- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] (max. 7 lines) **Who is responsible for this monitoring item?:** Not applicable
- d. **Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)** (max. 7 lines) **Amount: \$2000** Annual monitoring will be accomplished as a part of our regular recreation maintenance program.

**County Commissioner Concurrence**

(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of Union County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attested by Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Priority Rating:

High     Medium     Low

Comments/Rational: