Environmental Protection Agency

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting §142.16, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and on GPO Access.

§ 142.17 Review of State programs and procedures for withdrawal of approved primacy programs.

(a)(1) At least annually the Administrator shall review, with respect to each State determined to have primary enforcement responsibility, the compliance of the State with the requirements set forth in 40 CFR part 142, subpart B, and the approved State primacy program. At the time of this review, the State shall notify the Administrator of any State-initiated program changes (i.e., changes other than those to adopt new or revised EPA regulations), and of any transfer of all or part of its program from the approved State agency to any other State agency.

- (2) When, on the basis of the Administrator's review or other available information, the Administrator determines that a State no longer meets the requirements set forth in 40 CFR part 142, subpart B, the Administrator shall initiate proceedings to withdraw primacy approval. Among the factors the Administrator intends to consider as relevant to this determination are the following, where appropriate: whether the State has requested and has been granted, or is awaiting EPA's decision on, an extension under §142.12(b)(2) of the deadlines for meeting those requirements; and whether the State is taking corrective actions that may have been required by the Administrator. The Administrator shall notify the State in writing that EPA is initiating primacy withdrawal proceedings and shall summarize in the notice the information available that indicates that the State no longer meets such requirements.
- (3) The State notified pursuant to paragraph (a)(2) of this section may, within 30 days of receiving the Administrator's notice, submit to the Administrator evidence demonstrating that the State continues to meet the requirements for primary enforcement responsibility.
- (4) After reviewing the submission of the State, if any, made pursuant to paragraph (a)(3) of this section, the Ad-

ministrator shall make a final determination either that the State no longer meets the requirements of 40 CFR part 142, subpart B, or that the State continues to meet those requirements, and shall notify the State of his or her determination. Any final determination that the State no longer meets the requirements of 40 CFR part 142, subpart B, shall not become effective except as provided in § 142.13.

(b) If a State which has primary enforcement responsibility decides to relinquish that authority, it may do so by notifying the Administrator in writing of the State's decision at least 90 days before the effective date of the decision.

[54 FR 52140, Dec. 20, 1989, as amended at 60 FR 33661, June 28, 1995]

§142.18 EPA review of State monitoring determinations.

- (a) A Regional Administrator may annul a State monitoring determination for the types of determinations identified in §§141.23(b), 141.23(c), 141.24(f), 141.24(h), and 141.40(n) in accordance with the procedures in paragraph (b) of this section.
- (b) When information available to a Regional Administrator, such as the results of an annual review, indicate a State determination fails to apply the standards of the approved State program, he may propose to annul the State monitoring determination by sending the State and the affected PWS a draft Rescission Order. The draft order shall:
- (1) Identify the PWS, the State determination, and the provisions at issue;
- (2) Explain why the State determination is not in compliance with the State program and must be changed; and
- (3) Describe the actions and terms of operation the PWS will be required to implement.
- (c) The State and PWS shall have 60 days to comment on the draft Rescission Order.
- (d) The Regional Administrator may not issue a Rescission Order to impose conditions less stringent than those imposed by the State.

§ 142.19

- (e) The Regional Administrator shall also provide an opportunity for comment upon the draft Rescission Order,
- (1) Publishing a notice in a newspaper in general circulation in communities served by the affected system; and
- (2) Providing 30 days for public comment on the draft order.
- (f) The State shall demonstrate that the determination is reasonable, based on its approved State program.
- (g) The Regional Administrator shall decide within 120 days after issuance of the draft Rescission Order to:
- (1) Issue the Rescission Order as drafted:
- (2) Issue a modified Rescission Order; or
 - (3) Cancel the Rescission Order.
- (h) The Regional Administrator shall set forth the reasons for his decision, including a responsiveness summary addressing significant comments from the State, the PWS and the public.
- (i) The Regional Administrator shall send a notice of his final decision to the State, the PWS and all parties who commented upon the draft Rescission Order
- (j) The Rescission Order shall remain in effect until cancelled by the Regional Administrator. The Regional Administrator may cancel a Rescission Order at any time, so long as he notifies those who commented on the draft order.
- (k) The Regional Administrator may not delegate the signature authority for a final Rescission Order or the cancellation of an order.
- (l) Violation of the actions, or terms of operation, required by a Rescission Order is a violation of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

[56 FR 3595, Jan. 30, 1991]

§ 142.19 EPA review of State implementation of national primary drinking water regulations for lead and copper.

(a) Pursuant to the procedures in this section, the Regional Administrator may review state determinations establishing corrosion control or source water treatment requirements for lead or copper and may issue an order establishing federal treatment requirements

for a public water system pursuant to §141.82 (d) and (f) and §141.83(b) (2) and (4) where the Regional Administrator finds that:

- (1) A State has failed to issue a treatment determination by the applicable deadline:
- (2) A State has abused its discretion in making corrosion control or source water treatment determinations in a substantial number of cases or in cases affecting a substantial population, or
- (3) The technical aspects of State's determination would be indefensible in an expected federal enforcement action taken against a system.
- (b) If the Regional Administrator determines that review of state determination(s) under this section may be appropriate, he shall request the State to forward to EPA the state determination and all information that was considered by the State in making its determination, including public comments, if any, within 60 days of the Regional Administrator's request.
- (c) Proposed review of state determinations:
- (1) Where the Regional Administrator finds that review of a state determination under paragraph (a) of this section is appropriate, he shall issue a proposed review order which shall:
- (i) Identify the public water system(s) affected, the State determination being reviewed and the provisions of state and/or federal law at issue;
- (ii) Identify the determination that the State failed to carry out by the applicable deadline, or identify the particular provisions of the State determination which, in the Regional Administrator's judgment, fail to carry out properly applicable treatment requirements, and explain the basis for the Regional Administrator's conclusion:
- (iii) Identify the treatment requirements which the Regional Administrator proposes to apply to the affected system(s), and explain the basis for the proposed requirements;
- (iv) Request public comment on the proposed order and the supporting record.
- (2) The Regional Administrator shall provide notice of the proposed review order by: