### **Environmental Protection Agency**

#### § 26.1603 Operation of the Human Studies Review Board.

EPA shall establish and operate a Human Studies Review Board as follows:

(a) Membership. The Human Studies Review Board shall consist of members who are not employed by EPA, who meet the ethics and other requirements for special government employees, and who have expertise in fields appropriate for the scientific and ethical review of human research, including research ethics, biostatistics, and human toxicology.

(b) Responsibilities. The Human Studies Review Board shall comment on the scientific and ethical aspects of research proposals and reports of completed research with human subjects submitted by EPA for its review and, on request, advise EPA on ways to strengthen its programs for protection of human subjects of research.

### Subpart Q—Ethical Standards for Assessing Whether To Rely on the Results of Human Research in EPA Actions

SOURCE: 71 FR 6168, Feb. 6, 2006, unless otherwise noted.

### § 26.1701 To what does this subpart apply?

This subpart applies to EPA's decisions whether to rely in its actions taken under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.) or section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a) on scientifically valid and relevant data from research involving intentional exposure of human subjects.

### § 26.1702 Definitions.

The definitions in  $\S26.1102$  and  $\S26.1202$  shall apply to this subpart as well.

# § 26.1703 Prohibition of reliance on research involving intentional exposure of human subjects who are pregnant women (and therefore their fetuses), nursing women, or children.

Except as provided in §26.1706, in actions within the scope of §26.1701 EPA

shall not rely on data from any research involving intentional exposure of any human subject who is a pregnant woman (and therefore her fetus), a nursing woman, or a child.

[71 FR 36175, June 23, 2006]

## § 26.1704 Prohibition of reliance on unethical human research with non-pregnant, non-nursing adults conducted before April 7, 2006.

Except as provided in §26.1706, in actions within the scope of §26.1701, EPA shall not rely on data from any research initiated before April 7, 2006, if there is clear and convincing evidence that the conduct of the research was fundamentally unethical (e.g., the research was intended to seriously harm participants or failed to obtain informed consent), or was significantly deficient relative to the ethical standards prevailing at the time the research was conducted. This prohibition is in addition to the prohibition in §26.1703.

# § 26.1705 Prohibition of reliance on unethical human research with non-pregnant, non-nursing adults conducted after April 7, 2006.

Except as provided in §26.1706, in actions within the scope of §26.1701, EPA shall not rely on data from any research initiated after April 7, 2006, unless EPA has adequate information to determine that the research was conducted in substantial compliance with subparts A through L of this part, or if conducted in a foreign country, under procedures at least as protective as those in subparts A through L of this part. This prohibition is in addition to the prohibition in §26.1703.

#### § 26.1706 Criteria and procedure for decisions to protect public health by relying on otherwise unacceptable research.

This section establishes the exclusive criteria and procedure by which EPA may decide to rely on data from research that is not acceptable under the standards in §§ 26.1703 through 26.1705. EPA may rely on such data only if all the conditions in paragraphs (a) through (d) of this section are satisfied:

### Pt. 27

- (a) EPA has obtained the views of the Human Studies Review Board concerning the proposal to rely on the otherwise unacceptable data,
- (b) EPA has provided an opportunity for public comment on the proposal to rely on the otherwise unacceptable data.
- (c) EPA has determined that relying on the data is crucial to a decision that would impose a more stringent regulatory restriction that would improve protection of public health, such as a limitation on the use of a pesticide, than could be justified without relying on the data, and
- (d) EPA publishes a full explanation of its decision to rely on the otherwise unacceptable data, including a thorough discussion of the ethical deficiencies of the underlying research and the full rationale for finding that the standard in paragraph (c) of this section was met.

### PART 27—PROGRAM FRAUD CIVIL REMEDIES

Sec

- 27.1 Basis and purpose.
- 27.2 Definitions.
- 27.3 Basis for civil penalties and assessments.
- 27.4 Investigation.
- 27.5 Review by the reviewing official.
- 27.6 Prerequisites for issuing a complaint.
- 27.7 Complaint.
- 27.8 Service of complaint.
- 27.9 Answer
- 27.10 Default upon failure to file an answer. 27.11 Referral of complaint and answer to
- the presiding officer. 27.12 Notice of hearing.
- 27.13 Parties to the hearing.
- 27.14 Separation of functions.
- 27.15 Ex parte contacts.
- 27.16 Disqualification of the reviewing official or presiding officer.
- 27.17 Rights of parties.
- 27.18 Authority of the presiding officer.
- 27.19 Prehearing conferences.
- 27.20 Disclosure of documents.
- 27.21 Discovery.
- 27.22 Exchange of witness lists, statements, and exhibits.
- 27.23 Subpoenas for attendance at hearing.
- 27.24 Protective order.
- 27.25 Fees.
- 27.26 Form, filing and service of papers.
- 27.27 Computation of time.
- 27.28 Motions.
- 27.29 Sanctions
- 27.30 The hearing and burden of proof.

- 27.31 Determining the amount of penalties and assessments.
- 27.32 Location of hearing.
- 27.33 Witnesses.
- 27.34 Evidence.
- 27.35 The record.
- 27.36 Post-hearing briefs.
- 27.37 Initial decision.
- 27.38 Reconsideration of initial decision.
- 27.39 Appeal to authority head.
- 27.40 Stay ordered by the Department of Justice.
- 27.41 Stay pending appeal.
- 27.42 Judicial review.
- 27.43 Collection of civil penalties and assessments.
- 27.44 Right to administrative offset.
- 27.45 Deposit in Treasury of United States.
- 27.46 Compromise or settlement.
- 27.47 Limitations.
- 27.48 Delegated functions.

AUTHORITY: 31 U.S.C. 3801-3812; Pub. L. 101-410, 104 Stat. 890, 28 U.S.C. 2461 note; Pub. L. 104-134, 110 Stat. 1321, 31 U.S.C. 3701 note.

Source: 53 FR 15182, Apr. 27, 1988, unless otherwise noted.

### §27.1 Basis and purpose.

- (a) Basis. This part implements the Program Fraud Civil Remedies Act of 1986, Public Law No. 99-509, sections 6101-6104, 100 Stat. 1874 (October 21, 1986), to be codified at 31 U.S.C. 3801-3812. 31 U.S.C. 3809 of the statute requires each authority head to promulgate regulations necessary to implement the provisions of the statute.
- (b) *Purpose*. This part (1) establishes administrative procedures for imposing civil penalties and assessments against persons who make, submit, or present, or cause to be made, submitted, or presented, false, fictitious, or fraudulent claims or written statements to the Environmental Protection Agency, and (2) specifies the hearing and appeal rights of persons subject to allegations of liability for such penalties and assessments.

### § 27.2 Definitions.

Administrative Law Judge means an administrative law judge in the Authority appointed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3105 or detailed to the Authority pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3344.

Administrator means the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

Authority means the United States Environmental Protection Agency.