Department of Veterans Affairs		CO-MEDIATOR EVALUATION			
appropriate feedback with the	co-mediator at the conclusion	O co-mediators, the lead mediator of the mediation session. Please reloor, Washington, DC 20005 or by	eturn this form to the	VACO Alternative Dispute	
1. NAME OF LEAD MEDIATOR	1 41 13 / 3 1 511001, 1 1 1 1 , 1 0111 1	2. NAME OF CO-MEDIATOR	7 Iun ut (202) 301 200	3. DATE OF MEDIATION	
4A. DID THE LEAD AND CO-MEDIATOR SPEND TIME PREPARING IN ADVANCE OF THE MEDIATION SESSION?		4B. APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH TI ADVANCED PREPARATION?	ME WAS SPENT IN	5. HOW LONG DID THE MEDIATION SESSION LAST?	
NO YES (If "YES," co	<u> </u>				
6. WHICH CO-MEDIATION CASE IS	STHIS FOR THE MENTEE?	6 7			
INSTRUCTION: For each ca	ategory below, please rate the	Co-Mediator's level of skill and pr	ovide comments.		
7. INTRODUCTION					
A. RATING	B. CATE	CATEGORY TASKS		C. COMMENTS	
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Provided welcome and oper	ē			
2 - SATISFACTORY	Explained mediation proces	S.			
	Explained confidentiality. Clarified role of participants	3.			
3 - EXCELLENT	Established ground rules.				
	Appeared sensitive to partie	s physical and emotional comfort.			
		8. INFORMATION SHARING			
_	Engaged the parties	O. IN ORWATION STARING			
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Engaged the parties. Understood the issues.				
2 - SATISFACTORY	Accurately and briefly sum	narized information.			
3 - EXCELLENT	Balanced time and focus be	tween parties.			
		9. ISSUE CLARIFICATION			
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Asked appropriate questions	3.			
2 - SATISFACTORY	Reframed statements and is	sues.			
	Identified interests. Identified common ground.				
3 - EXCELLENT	identified common ground.				
	<u> </u> 1	0. GENERATION OF OPTIONS			
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Organized and prioritized is	sues.			
		re needs instead of positions.			
2 - SATISFACTORY	Elicited options and explore				
3 - EXCELLENT	Overcame impasse, resistan Demonstrated appropriate u				
	Demonstrated appropriate u	se of caucus.			
11. CLOSURE					
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Facilitated negotiations.				
2 - SATISFACTORY	Assisted parties with reality				
	Assisted parties in developing Drafted clear and detailed a	ng a fair and balanced agreement.			
3 - EXCELLENT	- i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Discussed options for non-compliance and resolving future

conflict.

12. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL QUALITIES					
A. RATING	B. CATEGORY TASKS	C. COMMENTS			
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Developed rapport with the parties, created positive environment.				
2 - SATISFACTORY	Confident and effective in managing communication and				
3 - EXCELLENT	emotion.				
	Maintained neutrality and avoided giving advice. Respected differences of opinion.				
	Provided appropriate information.				
13. COMMUNICATION SKILLS					
1 - NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	Demonstrated appropriate gestures and eye contact.				
2 - SATISFACTORY	Demonstrated appropriate use of voice, tone, volume, and clarity.				
	Demonstrated appropriate verbal content and timing.				
3 - EXCELLENT	Demonstrated good listening skills.				
	Displayed flexibility and used creative strategies.				
14A. HAVE YOU CO-MEDIATED WITH THE MENTEE BEFORE?					
NO YES (If "YES," complete item 14B.)					
14B. WHAT IMPROVEMENTS HAV					
14B. WHAT IMPROVEMENTS HAV	E YOU NOTED?				
15. WHAT ADDITIONAL TRAINING/DEVELOPMENT, IF ANY, WOULD YOU RECOMMEND FOR THE MENTEE?					