

canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

#### Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

November 8, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Issues Appendix

Comment 1—Duty Revenue  
Comment 2—Level of Trade  
Comment 3—Reporting Errors

[FR Doc. E4-3198 Filed 11-16-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-337-804, A-570-851, A-533-813, A-560-802]

#### Continuation of Antidumping Duty Orders on Certain Preserved Mushrooms From Chile, the People's Republic of China, India, and Indonesia

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of continuation of antidumping duty orders on certain preserved mushrooms from Chile, the People's Republic of China, India, and Indonesia.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain preserved mushrooms ("mushrooms") from Chile, the People's Republic of China ("China"), India, and Indonesia, would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping. On November 1, 2004, the International

Trade Commission ("ITC"), pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain preserved mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4), the Department is publishing notice of the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia.

**DATES:** Effective November 17, 2004.

**Contact Information:** Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On November 3, 2003, the Department initiated, and the ITC instituted, sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.<sup>1</sup> As a result of its review, the Department found that revocation of the antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and notified the ITC of the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order revoked.<sup>2</sup> On November 1, 2004, the ITC determined pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on mushrooms would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>3</sup>

##### Scope of Orders

The products subject to these orders are imported certain preserved mushrooms whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The preserved mushrooms covered under the orders are the species *Agaricus bisporus* and *Agaricus bitorquis*. "Preserved mushrooms" refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and

sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars, in a suitable liquid medium including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Included within the scope of these orders are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing. Also included within the scope of these orders, as of June 19, 2000, are marinated, acidified, or pickled mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid. Excluded from the scope of these orders are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including "refrigerated" or "quick blanched mushrooms"; (3) dried mushrooms; and (4) frozen mushrooms. The merchandise subject to these orders were previously classifiable under subheadings 2003.10.0027, 2003.10.0031, 2003.10.0037, 2003.10.0043, 2003.10.0047, 2003.10.0053, and 0711.90.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of these orders is dispositive. As of January 1, 2002, the HTSUS codes are as follows: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, 0711.51.0000.

##### Determination

As a result of the determinations by the Department and ITC that revocation of these antidumping duty orders would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, pursuant to section 751(d)(2) of the Act, the Department hereby orders the continuation of the antidumping duty orders on mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia. The effective date of continuation of these orders will be the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of this Notice of Continuation. Pursuant to sections 751(c)(2) and 751(c)(6) of the Act, the Department intends to initiate the next five-year reviews of these orders not later than October 2009.

The five-year ("sunset") reviews and notice are published in accordance with sections 751(c), 752 and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 68 FR 62280 and 68 FR 62322 (November 3, 2003).

<sup>2</sup> See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from Chile, India, Indonesia and The People's Republic of China; Final Results of Expedited Sunset Reviews of Antidumping Duty Orders*, 69 FR 11384 (March 10, 2004).

<sup>3</sup> See *Certain Preserved Mushrooms from Chile, China, India, and Indonesia*, 69 FR 63408 (November 1, 2004), and USITC Publication 3731, Investigation Nos. 731-TA-776-779 (November 1, 2004) (Review).

Dated: November 8, 2004.

**James J. Jochum,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-3175 Filed 11-16-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-829]

#### **Stainless Steel Bar From Italy; Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 17, 2004.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Melanie Brown, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4987.

#### **Background**

On May 27, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from Italy covering the period March 1, 2003, through February 29, 2004 (69 FR 30282). The preliminary results in the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel bar from Italy are currently due no later than December 1, 2004.

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an antidumping duty order for which a review is requested and issue the final results within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if the Department finds it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary

results in this administrative review of stainless steel bar from Italy within the originally anticipated time limit. Additional time is needed due to complex verification and affiliation issues in this case.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to February 1, 2005, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 8, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E4-3176 Filed 11-16-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-201-822]

#### **Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Mexico; Preliminary Results of the Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of antidumping duty order of sunset review on certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico; preliminary results.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated a sunset review of the antidumping duty order of certain stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico.<sup>1</sup> On the basis of the notice of intent to participate, adequate substantive responses and rebuttal comments filed on behalf of the domestic and respondent interested parties, the Department is conducting a full sunset review of the antidumping duty order pursuant to section 751(e)(3)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") and section 351.218(e)(2)(i) of the Department's regulations. As a result of this sunset review, the Department preliminarily finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Preliminary Results of Review".

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* November 17, 2004.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 30874 (June 1, 2004) ("Notice of Initiation").

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On June 1, 2004, the Department published its notice of initiation of the first sunset review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Mexico, in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act. See *Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 30874 (June 1, 2004).

The Department received Notices of Intent to Participate on behalf of Allegheny Ludlum Corporation, North America Stainless, Nucor Corporation, Local 3303 United Auto Workers (formerly Butler Armco Independent Union), the United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC, and the Zanesville Armco Independent Organization, Inc. (collectively, "domestic interested parties"), within the applicable deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations. Domestic interested parties claimed interested party status pursuant to sections 771(9)(C) and (D) of the Act. The Department received a complete substantive response to the notice of initiation from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in the Department's regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received a complete substantive response from respondent interested parties, ThyssenKrupp Mexinox S.A. de C.V. ("Mexinox") and Mexinox USA, Inc. ("Mexinox USA"), (collectively, "respondent"), within the applicable deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(3)(i).

On July 2, 2004, the Department received a request from domestic interested parties for an extension of the deadline for filing rebuttal comments to the substantive response. Pursuant to Section 351.302(b) of the Department's regulations, domestic and respondent parties were granted an extension to file rebuttal comments to the substantive responses until July 9, 2004. On July 9, 2004, the Department received rebuttal comments to the substantive response from the domestic interested parties and the respondent.

On September 27, 2004, the Department published a notice of extension of time limits for its preliminary results of review until