

# TECHBRIEF



Pedestrian and Bicycle Safety



U.S. Department of Transportation  
**Federal Highway Administration**

Research, Development, and  
Technology

Turner-Fairbank Highway  
Research Center

6300 Georgetown Pike  
McLean, VA 22101-2296

[www.tfhr.gov](http://www.tfhr.gov)

## Pedestrian and Bicyclist Intersection Safety Indices

Publication No. FHWA-HRT-06-129

FHWA Contact: Ann Do, HRDS-06, 202-493-3319,  
[ann.do@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:ann.do@fhwa.dot.gov)

### Overview

The Pedestrian and Bicycle Intersection Safety Indices (Ped ISI and Bike ISI) are a set of models that enable users to identify intersection crossings and intersection approach legs that should be the greatest priority for undergoing indepth pedestrian and bicycle safety assessment. Using observable characteristics of an intersection crossing or approach leg, such as number of lanes and traffic volume, the tool produces a *safety index score*, with higher scores indicating greater priority for an indepth safety assessment. Each leg of an intersection may have different characteristics affecting pedestrian or bicyclist safety; therefore, the tool is intended to provide a rating of the safety of an individual crossing (Ped ISI) or approach leg (Bike ISI) rather than evaluating the intersection as a whole. A practitioner can use the tool to develop a prioritization scheme for a group of pedestrian crossings or bicyclist approaches. This method enables the practitioner to prioritize and proactively address sites that are the most likely to be a safety concern for pedestrians or bicyclists without having to wait for crashes to occur.

### Development

Researchers developed the Ped ISI and Bike ISI based on *safety ratings*, or expert opinion of the safety of a site, and *observed behaviors*, or observed interactions between pedestrians and motorists or bicyclists and motorists. These measures enabled the researchers to use a multifaceted approach to determine the relative safety of a pedestrian crossing or bicycle approach leg.

To develop the Ped ISI and Bike ISI models, researchers studied 68 pedestrian crossings at signalized and unsignalized intersections in Miami, FL, Philadelphia, PA, and San Jose, CA, and 67 bicycle approaches at signalized and unsignalized intersections in Eugene, OR, Gainesville, FL, Philadelphia, PA, and Portland, OR.

### Safety Measure: Ratings

To develop the safety ratings, evaluators knowledgeable about pedestrian and bicyclist issues viewed illustrations and videos of the pedestrian crossings and bicycle approaches, as shown in figure 1, and rated the sites according to their perceived level of safety for a pedestrian or bicyclist. Researchers asked the evaluators to view the illustration and video as if they themselves were pedestrians crossing at the crosswalk or bicyclists approaching the intersection. The evaluators then rated the sites on a scale of one (most safe) to six (least safe), according to their sense of safety and comfort.




Figure 1. An example of an illustration and a screen capture from the video shown to evaluators.

### Safety Measure: Observed Behaviors At Intersections

To evaluate observed behaviors, researchers videotaped each site and watched the tapes to record the behavior of pedestrians and bicyclists when they interacted with motorists. Recorded behaviors included changes in speed or direction by a pedestrian, bicyclist, or motorist in response to the presence of another party. The researchers, for example, would record instances when a pedestrian stopped before or during a crossing because of an oncoming vehicle or when a right-turning vehicle slowed down or stopped to avoid a bicyclist. These interactions included avoidance maneuvers (any change in speed or direction) and conflicts (sudden change in speed or direction). In total, the researchers observed 1,898 bicyclist-motorist interactions and 1,095 pedestrian-motorist interactions.

### Ped ISI

As shown in table 1, the Ped ISI model consists of one equation that determines the safety index score for a single pedestrian crossing.

$\text{Ped ISI} = 2.372 - 1.867\text{SIGNAL} - 1.807\text{STOP} + 0.335\text{THRULNS} + 0.018\text{SPEED} + 0.006(\text{MAINADT} * \text{SIGNAL}) + 0.238\text{COMM}$		
where:		
Ped ISI	Safety index value	
SIGNAL	Signal-controlled crossing	0 = no 1 = yes
STOP	Stop-sign-controlled crossing	0 = no 1 = yes
THRULNS	Number of through lanes on street being crossed (both directions)	1, 2, 3,...
SPEED	85th percentile speed of street being crossed	Speed (miles per hours (mph))
MAINADT	Main street traffic volume	Average Daily Traffic (ADT) (in thousands)
COMM	Predominant land use in surrounding area is commercial development (i.e., retail, restaurants)	0 = not predominantly commercial area 1 = predominantly commercial area

Table 1. The Ped ISI model is shown.

## Bike ISI

As shown in table 2, the Bike ISI consists of three equations. Each equation determines the safety index score for a single bicycle movement, either straight through, left turn, or right turn.

Through	Bike ISI = 1.13 + 0.019 <b>MAINADT</b> + 0.815 <b>MAINHISPD</b> + 0.650 <b>TURNVEH</b> + 0.470( <b>RTLANS*BL</b> ) + 0.023( <b>CROSSADT*NOBL</b> ) + 0.428( <b>SIGNAL*NOBL</b> ) + 0.200 <b>PARKING</b>	
Right Turn	Bike ISI = 1.02 + 0.027 <b>MAINADT</b> + 0.519 <b>RTCROSS</b> + 0.151 <b>CROSSLNS</b> + 0.200 <b>PARKING</b>	
Left Turn	Bike ISI = 1.100 + 0.025 <b>MAINADT</b> + 0.836 <b>BL</b> + 0.485 <b>SIGNAL</b> + 0.736( <b>MAINHISPD*BL</b> ) + 0.380( <b>LTCROSS*NOBL</b> ) + 0.200 <b>PARKING</b>	
where:		
Bike ISI	<i>Safety index value</i>	
BL	Bike lane presence	0 = NONE or Wide Curb Lane (WCL) 1 = Bike Lane (BL) or Bike Lane Crossover (BLX)
CROSSADT	Cross street traffic volume	ADT (in thousands)
CROSSLNS	Number of through lanes on cross street	1, 2,...
LTCROSS	Number of traffic lanes for cyclists to cross to make a left turn	0, 1, 2,...
MAINADT	Main street traffic volume	ADT (in thousands)
MAINHISPD	Main street speed limit ≥ 35 mph	0 = no 1 = yes
NOBL	No bike lane present	0 = BL or BLX 1 = NONE or WCL
PARKING	Onstreet parking on main street approach	0 = no 1 = yes
RTCROSS	Number of traffic lanes for cyclists to cross to make a right turn	0, 1, 2,...
RTLANS	Number of right turn traffic lanes on main street approach	0, 1, 2,...
SIGNAL	Traffic signal at intersection	0 = no 1 = yes
TURNVEH	Presence of turning vehicle traffic across the path of through cyclists	0 = no 1 = yes

Table 2. The Bike ISI model is shown.

## User Guide

The *Pedestrian and Bicyclist Intersection Safety Indices: User Guide* (FHWA-HRT-06-130) is a companion document to the research report that provides the practitioner the information

needed to implement the Ped ISI and Bike ISI. It covers the data needs, presents the models, and provides examples of how to use the Ped ISI and Bike ISI. It also includes quick reference tables,

Main Rd Thru Lns	1 Through Lane					2 Through Lanes					3 Through Lanes					4 Through Lanes						
Main Rd Speed	25	30	35	40	45	25	30	35	40	45	25	30	35	40	45	25	30	35	40	45		
ADT	1000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	5000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	10000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	15000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	20000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	25000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	30000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	35000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	40000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
	45000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0
50000	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	

Figure 2. An example of a quick reference table found in the User Guide.

such as the one shown in figure 2, that enable users to determine a safety index value for a site without the need for a calculator or computer.

For more details on the underlying research and model development, see the final research report:

Carter, Daniel L., William W. Hunter, Charles V. Zegeer, J. Richard Stewart, and Herman F. Huang, *Pedestrian and Bicyclist Intersection Safety Indices: Final Report*, Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC, Report FHWA-HRT-06-125, 2006.

**Researcher**—This study was performed by the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center, Chapel Hill, NC.

**Distribution**—This TechBrief is being distributed according to a standard distribution. Direct distribution is being made to the Divisions and Resource Center.

**Availability**—The publication from which this TechBrief was developed, *Pedestrian and Bicyclist Intersection Safety Indices: Final Report*, (FHWA-HRT-06-125), will be available from the National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. A limited number of copies will be available from the Research and Technology Product Distribution Center, HRTS-03, FHWA, 9701 Philadelphia Court, Unit Q, Lanham, MD 20706, 301-577-0818 (telephone), 301-577-1421 (fax).

**Key Words**—pedestrian, bicycle, intersection, safety, index

**Notice**—This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for the use of the information contained in this document. The U.S. Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trademarks or manufacturers' names appear in this report only because they are considered essential to the objective of the document.

**Quality Assurance Statement**—The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) provides high-quality information to serve Government, industry, and the public in a manner that promotes public understanding. Standards and policies are used to ensure and maximize the quality, objectivity, utility, and integrity of its information. FHWA periodically reviews quality issues and adjusts its programs and processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.