Benefits

SafetyAnalyst goes beyond the tools currently available for highway safety management. In addition to improving procedures for functions already automated by some highway agencies, SafetyAnalyst will automate procedures that are now performed manually. The benefits of specific *SafetyAnalyst* capabilities are described below.

Network Screening

SafetyAnalyst will use new effectiveness measures and statistical methodologies to overcome the drawbacks of current systems. Agencies will now be able to conduct network screening analyses using numerous measures or indices of the potential for safety improvement, based on expected crash frequency or excess crash frequency and on assessment of the overrepresentation of specific crash types. Using state-ofthe-art scientific techniques, this tool will enable agencies to identify sites where safety improvements have the greatest potential for safety benefits.

Diagnosis and Countermeasure Selection

Today, most highway agencies manually diagnose safety concerns at specific sites. Safety Analyst will automate these diagnostic processes and prepare a list of questions for office and field investigations. The answers to these questions SafetyAnalyst will enable users to evaluate safety improvewill assist in drawing up suggestions for countermeasures. Countermeasures will be selected by the user (not the software), but SafetyAnalyst will suggest appropriate coun- are not well designed. This tool will facilitate quality evaluatermeasures for consideration by the user at any given site or tions, helping agencies to document the benefits of their in any given situation. The automation of these procedures will benefit agencies by assuring comprehensive diagnoses and countermeasure selection. Checklists and countermeasure suggestions will help less-experienced engineers consider difficult decisions on a sound scientific basis. Although SafetyAnalyst will include a basic collision diagramming SafetyAnalyst is being developed through a cooperative capability, the diagnosis tool also will be able to interface with some commercially available collision diagramming software packages, including collision diagramming software with interactive capabilities.

Development Activities

Planning for SafetyAnalyst development began in April 2001. The schedule of SafetyAnalyst development activities follows:

•2006•

te interim software development for Diagnosis ermeasure Selection, Economic Appraisal, and Priority Ranking Tools and complete beta testing of software for interim tools

•2007•

ss user experience with interim tools and elop and test software for final tools

•2008

Release final tools to participating State highway agencie for testing and evaluati

•2009•

Transfer to AASHTO for distribution, maintenance technical support, and enhancement as a licensed AASHTOWare product.

Economic Appraisal and Priority Ranking

SafetyAnalyst will permit users to conduct economic appraisals of the costs and safety benefits of any selected countermeasures. Users can then compare the results with alternative countermeasures and develop improvement priorities across sites. SafetvAnalvst will provide users with a consistent approach to economic appraisals. This approach not only complies with the requirements of FHWA's Highway Safety Improvement Program, but also is sufficiently flexible so that users can adapt it to meet their own needs and comply with their policies. In addition, an optimization program will enable agencies to select improvements by maximizing benefits within a limited budget and ensure that they get the most safety value for their money.

Evaluation of Implemented Improvements

ments after their implementation. Most highway agencies do not conduct such evaluations, and the few that are conducted improvement program and better assess the effectiveness of countermeasures for use in future improvements.

Participants

effort between FHWA and 27 State highway agencies.

| Arizona | Kentucky | Nevada |
|------------|---------------|----------------|
| California | Louisiana | New Hampshire |
| Colorado | Maryland | New York |
| Florida | Massachusetts | North Carolina |
| Georgia | Michigan | Ohio |
| Illinois | Minnesota | Vermont |
| Indiana | Mississippi | Virginia |
| Iowa | Missouri | Washington |
| Kansas | Montana | Wisconsin |

Participating Local Agencies

- Delaware Valley Regional Planning Commission
- North Jersey Transportation Planning Authority
- Traffic Improvement Association, Oakland County, MI

Contact FHWA for more information about SafetyAnalyst:

Raymond A. Krammes 202-493-3312

ray.krammes@fhwa.dot.gov

Office of Safety Research and Development Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center 6300 Georgetown Pike McLean, VA 22101

or visit www.safetyanalyst.org









www.safetyanalyst.org

Federal Highway Administration






Vision


SafetyAnalyst will provide state-of-the-art analytical tools for use in the decisionmaking process to help highway agencies identify and manage a systemwide program of site-specific improvements to cost-effectively enhance highway safety.





SafetyAnalyst is a set of software tools under development to help State and local highway agencies advance their programming of site-specific safety improvements. SafetyAnalyst will incorporate state-of-the-art approaches to safety management to guide the decisionmaking process on safety improvement needs and a systemwide program of improvement projects. SafetyAnalyst also will include economic analysis tools to ensure that transportation agencies get the greatest possible benefit from each dollar committed to improving highway safety.

SafetyAnalyst will address site-specific highway safety improvements involving physical modifications of the highway system. The software is not intended for general driver or vehicle programs developed to improve systemwide highway safety. SafetyAnalyst will be able to identify crash patterns at specific locations and determine whether crashes occur more frequently than expected. In addition, SafetyAnalyst will be able to review the frequency and percentage of particular crash types either throughout the entire highway system or for predetermined portions of the system, such as particular roadway segments or intersections. Highway agencies also will be able to use *SafetyAnalyst* to investigate the potential benefits of specific engineering improvements at specific sites.



According to a recent survey of State highway agencies, the best way to increase the effectiveness of safety management is to improve the data analysis process. State and local highway agencies need *SafetyAnalyst* software tools to help update their existing automated capabilities, such as network screening to identify potential improvement sites that are based on out-of-date analytical techniques, and to automate capabilities that currently are performed manually, such as diagnosis of potential safety concerns and selection of appropriate countermeasures.



| | t will include six software programs propriate countermeasures, quantify the |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Network Screening Tool | The network screening tool will help will identify: Sites with higher-than-expected of that are correctable in a cost-effe Sites where crash frequencies ar warrant cost-effective measures to The network screening tool also high levels of specific crash or colliss for corridor- or route-based improve |
| Diagnosis Tool | This tool will help users understand collision diagrams to identify the p represent higher-than-expected frequ through office and field investigation nosis tool will help users consider b result will be the identification of ex- tially be mitigated by countermeasur- ming capability and will be able to it software packages, including collision |
| Countermeasure Selection Tool | The countermeasure selection tool v gate the crash patterns identified by improvements that address the safety potential countermeasures pertinent |

| | tions of countermeasures) for por | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| Economic Appraisal Tool | This tool will perform three type ness, benefit-cost ratio, and net p countermeasures, the tool uses AMFs. Analyses will include c value of money. The tool also v ments of the Federal Highway results can be implemented usin | |
| | | |
| Priority Ranking Tool | This tool uses the results of the To develop the ranking, the too then ranks projects based on the ings enable users to decide whe will be able to use an optimiza benefits of an improvement prop | |

Evaluation Tool

This tool will enable users to conduct before and after evaluations of safety improvement projects. These evaluations are important to increase knowledge of project effectiveness and to refine the safety measures available in SafetyAnalyst. The primary statistical approach to before and after evaluation will be the Empirical Bayes technique.

Tools

to help transportation agencies analyze the safety performance of their expected benefits, and evaluate their effectiveness.

lp users identify potential sites for safety improvements. Algorithms

- crash frequencies that may indicate the existence of safety problems fective manner.
- are not higher than expected but that experience enough crashes to to improve highway safety.
- will identify sites with a high number of severe crashes and sion types and identify extended roadway segments as potential sites ements.

nd the nature of problems at specific sites. The tool will generate predominant collision patterns and assess whether these patterns quencies of particular collision types. The tool also will guide users ons to identify safety concerns at the specified location. The diagboth traditional engineering criteria and human factors needs. The existing crash patterns and a list of safety concerns that could potenures. The diagnosis tool also will include a basic collision diagraminterface with select commercially available collision diagramming sion diagramming software with interactive capabilities.

will focus on the selection of appropriate countermeasures to mitiby the diagnosis tool. The tool will help users identify candidate ty concerns at a particular site. In addition, the tool will recommend to each diagnosis, and the user will be informed of which countermeasures under consideration have known and reliable effectiveness measures, such as accident modification factors (AMFs). Users will be able to tentatively select specific countermeasures (or combinations of countermeasures) for potential implementation, subject to the results of an economic analysis.

> bes of economic appraisals of proposed countermeasures: cost-effectivepresent value analyses. To estimate the safety effectiveness of potential data on crash frequency and severity and crash patterns along with consideration of the service life of each countermeasure and the time will be able to conduct evaluations that are consistent with the require-Administration's (FHWA) Highway Safety Improvement Program so ing Federal funds.

> economic appraisals to ranks sites and proposed improvement projects. ol compares the benefits and costs of countermeasures across sites, and heir cost effectiveness, benefit-cost ratio, or net present value. The rankether to fund projects based on their priority measure. In addition, users ation tool to select a set of countermeasures that maximizes the safety ogram within a specific budget.