GREETINGS, ETC.

I ALWAYS HAVE FELT AT HOME AMONG SURGEONS.

I AM A SURGEON, AND I HOPE I HAVE BEEN AN EFFECTIVE ADVOCATE FOR SURGEONS.

WHEN I WAS IN THE GOVERNMENT YOU AND I WENT ABOUT OUR WORK IN OUR OWN WAYS, AND NOW IT IS GOOD TO BE BACK.

THERE'S A BASIC HONESTY ABOUT SURGERY.

SURGEONS UNDERSTAND THAT SOMETIMES SOME THINGS NEED TO BE SAID, EVEN IF THEY AREN'T ALWAYS PLEASANT TO HEAR.

YOU ARE THE MOVERS AND SHAKERS.

THAT'S GOOD.

WE NEED SOME THINGS --AND PEOPLE-- MOVED AND SHAKEN.

THROUGHOUT MY LIFE AS A SURGEON I HAD NEVER

CONTEMPLATED GOVERNMENT SERVICE. FOR ME, PROBABLY

LIKE MOST OF YOU, THE VERY THOUGHT WAS ANATHEMA.

NEVERTHELESS I PROVED RECEPTIVE TO THE FIRST SMALL

BEGINNING BECAUSE IN AUGUST 1980 SOMEONE TELEPHONED ME

AND ASKED CRYPTICALLY,

"DON'T YOU THINK THE SURGEON GENERAL SHOULD BE A SURGEON." IT GOT ME THINKING POSITIVELY.

EVEN THOUGH IT HAS NOW BEEN SEVERAL MONTHS SINCE I HUNG UP THE UNIFORM OF THE SURGEON GENERAL, I STILL SEEM TO BE A RECOGNIZABLE FELLOW.

WALKING ALONG THE STREET HERE IN SAN FRANCISCO, IN THE NEW YORK SUBWAY, IN AIRPORT WAITING ROOMS, PEOPLE COME UP TO ME:

"HI, DOC!", OR "KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!" OR,
"I KNOW YOU! YOU'RE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. KEEP AFTER
THOSE TOBACCO COMPANIES."

IF MY PLANE IS DELAYED, I OFTEN END UP HOLDING OFFICE HOURS IN THE AIRPORT WAITING AREA.

"YOU ARE THE ONE WHO FINALLY MADE ME STOP SMOKING!"
OR EVEN, "SAY, I HATE TO BOTHER YOU, BUT I'VE GOT THIS PAIN
IN MY ELBOW..."

ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS I'VE BEEN RECOGNIZED BY A STRANGER, AND THEN BOTH PLEASED AND SADDENED BY SOMEONE SAYING TO ME,

"I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR MAKING ME PROUD, ONCE AGAIN, TO BE A DOCTOR."

I'M PLEASED, OF COURSE, BECAUSE I'VE GIVEN MY LIFE TO THIS PROFESSION, AND IT HAS BEEN GOOD TO ME.

BUT I'M SADDENED TO HEAR FROM SO MANY OF MY
COLLEAGUES WHO HAVE LOST THE PRIDE, THE JOY OF BEING A
PHYSICIAN.

MORE THAN SADDENED, I'M ANGRY.

I'VE JUST FINISHED FILMING 5 PRIMETIME SPECIALS ON HEALTH TO BE AIRED LATER THIS YEAR ON N.B.C. I'VE SPENT 5 MONTHS GOING BACK AND FORTH ACROSS THIS COUNTRY, FILMING IN 20 CITIES, A FEW TOWNS AND HAMLETS, AND IN REMOTE CORNERS OF RURAL AMERICA.

THIS EXPERIENCE WITH SO MANY FACETS OF AMERICAN MEDICINE HAS MADE ME ANGRY.

I AM ANGRY ABOVE ALL BECAUSE OF THE DETERIORATION OF THE IMAGE OF THE AMERICAN DOCTOR, FOR I BELIEVE THAT THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP LIES AT THE HEART OF ALL MEDICINE. MUCH OF IT IS OUR FAULT.

NO SE WITH DEGRADE

WHY HAVE WE PERSISTED NOT THE REFUSE IN

OUR PROFESSION?

WE TOLERATE PEOPLE WHO ARE INCOMPETENT, WHO ARE GREEDY, WHO ARE ABUSIVE OF PATIENTS, WHO ARE ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSERS.

THESE PEOPLE SHOULD BE REMOVED, AND WHERE POSSIBLE,
REHABILITATED. ONLY THEN SHOULD THEY THE TRESTORE!

BUS DRIVERS AND AIRLINE PILOTS DO BETTER IN POLICING
THEIR OWN. A MEDICAL SCHOOL DEGREE SHOULD NOT PROVIDE
MORAL IMMUNITY.

MEDICINE HAS SUFFERED FROM AN INABILITY TO
SELF-REGULATE ITS MEMBERS, AND THIS FAILING HAS PLAYED
HAVOC WITH THE SYSTEMS AND PROCESSES OF PROFESSIONAL
INSURANCE AND LICENSING AND ACCREDITATION...IN OTHER
WORDS, THE SYSTEMS AND PROCESSES OF PUBLIC TRUST.

AS A RESULT, THE CONGRESS HAD TO STEP IN AND ENACT PRACTITION EXLEGISLATION SETTING UP A NATIONAL DATA BANK ON
PHYSICIANS SO THAT WE COULD FIND THE BAD APPLES --IN THE
SAME WAY WE FIND DRUNK DRIVERS AND BANK ROBBERS AND
ASSORTED OTHER FUGITIVES FROM JUSTICE.

IT'S AN IGNOMINIOUS DEVELOPMENT, TO SAY THE LEAST.

BUT THERE IT IS. THELE ARE SOME UNFAIR

PREDIUDICIAL AS PECTS TO THE PRESENT

SET OP. THEY CAN BE CORRECTED, —

BUT WILL THEY? WILL THE OVERALL

PRACTICE OF MEDICINE BE IMPROVED?

WILL REFERRING PHYSICIANS AND OPPOSED

BE BETTER ABLE TO CHOSE AMON'L

MANY?

AND ITS A TRAGEDY BECAUSE IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN AVOIDED.

IT'S A TRAGEDY BECAUSE DAY IN AND DAY OUT MOST

AMERICANS RECEIVE GOOD MEDICAL CARE - AS GOOD AS ANY

PLACE IN THE WORLD - FROM HONEST, COMPETENT PHYSICIANS.

UNFORTUNATELY GOOD NEWS IS NOT HEADLINE NEWS - -THE OCCASIONAL BAD APPLE IS,AND DOES GRAB THE HEADLINES. SAD TO CONTEMPLATE, BUT THE MEDICAL PROFESSION HAS FOOLISHLY SQUANDERED ITS PUBLIC TRUST AND POSITION OF LEADERSHIP.

NOW, PHARMACISTS HAVE REPLACED DOCTORS AS THE MOST TRUSTED PROFESSION IN AMERICA.

THE PUBLIC IS VERY CRITICAL OF DOCTORS.

AND DOCTORS NO LONGER LIKE THEIR PATIENTS.

DOCTORS LET THEMSELVES BE CALLED PROVIDERS, AND PATIENTS BECAME CONSUMERS.

WE NEED TO GET AWAY FROM THE CONSUMER-PROVIDER MENTALITY.

WE NEED TO RESTORE THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP. EACH OF US.

RECENTLY, ONE OF MY FRIENDS, QUITE ACCUSTOMED TO SPENDING HIS TIME WITH OTHER DOCTORS, FOUND HIMSELF WITH A GROUP OF LAWYERS INSTEAD ---YES, THE TWO CAN GET TOGETHER!

THE ATTORNEYS WERE CONGRATULATING THEMSELVES ON WHAT THEY WERE ABLE TO DO FOR THEIR FELLOW CITIZENS. IT HAD BEEN A LONG TIME SINCE MY FRIEND HAD HEARD DOCTORS SPEAK IN THAT VEIN.

IF LAWYERS CAN FEEL GOOD ABOUT WRITING A WILL, CAN'T WE FEEL PROUD ABOUT POSTPONING ITS USE?

WE SHOULD BE PROUD ABOUT WHAT WE HAVE ACCOMPLISHED FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

LIFE EXPECTANCY IN THIS COUNTRY HAS GONE FROM 47 YEARS IN 1900 TO 75 BY 1990.

NOW DOCTORS DO MORE AND GET THANKED LESS.

INSTEAD OF CELEBRATING, DOCTORS AND PATIENTS ARE SQUARING OFF AGAINST EACH OTHER.

DOCTOR-BASHING HAS BECOME A POPULAR SPORT.

THERE IS EXASPERATION ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STETHOSCOPE.

AMERICAN MEDICINE IS AT A CROSSROADS.

I HOPE YOU KNOW WHAT THE STAKES ARE.

TEN YEARS FROM NOW YOU COULD BE DOING ONE OF SEVERAL THINGS:

(AND WHEN I SAY <u>YOU</u>, I AM ADDRESSING THE PHYSICIANS OF AMERICA. YOU ARE THE LEADERSHIP AMONG SURGEONS)

YOU COULD BE WORKING WITH THE PUBLIC TO FREE US ALL FROM A HASTILY IMPOSED NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE,

YOU COULD HAVE SHIFTED FROM PATIENT-ADVOCATE TO AGENT FOR ALL INSURANCE COMPANIES.

OR,

OR,

YOU COULD HAVE LOST YOUR PROFESSIONAL STATUS AND COME TO BE REGARDED AS A MEMBER OF ANY ONE OF A NUMBER OF JOURNEYMEN GUILDS.

OR,

YOU COULD BE PART OF THE BEST SYSTEM OF MEDICAL CARE IN THE WORLD, DELIVERING HEALTHCARE TO THE GREAT SATISFACTION OF YOUR PATIENTS AND YOURSELF.

AND YOU COULD BE PART OF A SYSTEM THAT DELIVERS REASONABLE HEALTHCARE TO EVERY AMERICAN AT REASONABLE COST, --URGING THE THEM TO PREVENT DISEASE AS WELL AS TO TREAT IT.

PLEASE FIND SOME WAY TO DISABUSE THE PUBLIC AND THE PRESS OF THE NOTION THAT YOUR INCOME IS YOUR NUMBER ONE PRIORITY, AND THAT YOU HAVE CEASED TO BE THE PROFESSIONALS THAT YOUR FATHERS AND GRANDFATHERS IN MEDICINE WERE.

IF DOCTORS PAY ATTENTION TO HIGH QUALITY AND HIGH
EFFICIENCY IN THEIR MEDICAL PRACTICE, IN MOST INSTANCES
THE BOTTOM LINE WILL TAKE CARE OF ITSELF.

I KNOW ORGANIZED MEDICINE DOES NOT CONSIDER ITSELF A UNION.

BUT WE DO COMBINE FOR COMMON PURPOSES.

AND WE CAN LEARN FROM WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO UNIONS.

FOR FAR TOO LONG THEIR ONLY CONCERNS WERE INCOME, WORKING CONDITIONS, AND BENEFITS.

MEANWHILE QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY WERE IGNORED.

NOW, BELATEDLY, THEY ARE SCRAMBLING TO ADDRESS QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY.

THE AMERICAN AUTO INDUSTRY AFFORDS A GOOD EXAMPLE.

I IMAGINE THAT MANY DOCTORS WHO USED TO DRIVE BUICKS
AND CADILLACS NOW SIT BEHIND THE WHEELSOF CAMRYS AND
MAXIMAS.

WHEN N.B.C. FIRST ASKED ME TO DO A TELEVISION SERIES, THEY WANTED ME TO FOCUS UPON THE STATE OF THE ART OF AMERICAN MEDICINE.

AS A FORMER PIONEER IN THE FIELD OF PEDIATRIC SURGERY, I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE FASCINATING TO BRING BEFORE THE AMERICAN PEOPLE THE FULL RANGE OF THINGS THAT MODERN MEDICINE COULD DO FOR THEM.

BUT IN MY YEARS AS SURGEON GENERAL, I SAW ALL TOO
CLEARLY THAT 37 MILLION AMERICANS COULD NOT AVAIL
THEMSELVES OF MODERN MEDICINE.
SO I SHIFTED THE FOCUS OF MY EFFORTS TO THE PROBLEMS
WITH OUR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, OUR HEALTH POLICY.

THERE COULD HAVE BEEN ANOTHER SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING:

"WARNING! THE AMERICAN HEALTH CARE SYSTEM CAN BE HAZARDOUS TO YOUR HEALTH!

I KNOW WE DON'T LIKE TO HEAR --OR VOICE-- CRITICISM OF OUR PROFESSION. BUT WE HAVE BIG PROBLEMS. IN THIS

TRUE EXASPERATION ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STETHOSCOPE.

YOU HAVE YOUR REASONS WHY YOU ARE EXASPERATED.

BUT, WHY ARE PATIENTS EXASPERATED?

1. THE PUBLIC HAS HIGH EXPECTATIONS FOR MEDICINE AND HEALTH. THEY HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF FAITH IN NEW TECHNOLOGY, AND THEY CONTINUE TO HAVE FAITH IN THE MAGIC OF MEDICINE. BUT IN THE REAL WORLD WE CAN'T ALWAYS DELIVER.

THEN, TOO

- 2. HIGH EXPECTATIONS ARE FAST OUTRUNNING OUR ABILITY TO PAY FOR THEM. AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ARE ENGAGED IN DEBATE ABOUT ASPIRATIONS VERSUS RESOURCES.
- 3. ALTHOUGH THERE HAS BEEN A RISE IN NEW TECHNOLOGY, THERE HAS BEEN A DECLINE IN ITS USEFULNESS TO A LARGE NUMBER OF PATIENTS.

IN ONE OF HIS PLAYS, GEORGE BERNARD SHAW ASKED WHY WE PAY DOCTORS TO TAKE A LEG <u>OFF</u> BUT WE DON'T PAY THEM TO KEEP A LEG <u>ON</u>. NOW, OVER 80 YEARS HAVE PASSED AND WE <u>STILL</u> HAVEN'T COME UP WITH A GOOD ANSWER.

OUR TECHNOLOGY-DRIVEN REIMBURSEMENT SYSTEM -- WHETHER BY GOVERNMENT OR OUT-OF-POCKET -- IS STILL PREDICATED ON TAKING THE LEG OFF.

OUR HEALTHCARE SYSTEM MAY FUNCTION WITH COMPASSION AND COMPETENCE --EVEN EXCELLENCE-- FOR SOME INDIVIDUALS.

BUT FOR TOO MANY AMERICANS OUR HEALTH CARE SYSTEM IS A TYRANNY, MORE A CURSE THAN A BLESSING.

4. PATIENTS, OFTEN AFTER AN UNCONSCIONABLE WAIT OF HOURS OR EVEN DAYS IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM, ASK THEMSELVES NOT ONLY "WILL I RECOVER?", BUT ALSO "HOW WILL I PAY FOR THIS?"

"WILL MY INSURANCE PAY FOR THIS? WILL MY ILLNESS COST ME MY SAVINGS, MY HOUSE?"

HEALTH INSURANCE HAS BEEN LIKENED TO A SHELL GAME.

YESTEDAYS S.F. EXAMINER SAW THE DISATISFACTION
WITH HEALTH INSURANCE THAT THATE UP

4 COLUMNS ON THE FRONT PAGE, PUSH THE

PERDIQN GULF ELSEWHERE, AND SQUEEZE

BUSH, CONCRESS + THE DEFICIT TO 2 COCUMNS.

AND THAT S JUST ABOUT WHERE AMERICA

THIMS S THE EADHASIS SHOULD BE.

5. OUR PRICES MAKE PEOPLE ANGRY.

THEY MAY SIGH ABOUT CONSTRUCTION AND EDUCATION COSTS,
BUT THEY DON'T GET ANGRY WITH CONTRACTORS OR
PROFESSORS, AS THEY DO WITH DOCTORS.

PART OF THE ANGER, THE DISSATISFACTION MAY BE UNAVOIDABLE.

NO ONE WANTS TO BE SICK,

AND TO HAVE TO PAY FOR IT MAKES IT WORSE.

TRUE, THE PRESS IS OBSESSED WITH THE INCOME OF DOCTORS. FOR EXAMPLE, NOT LONG AGO I READ AN ARTICLE IN THE NEWSPAPER OF MY OLD HOMETOWN, PHILADELPHIA, WHICH POINTED OUT THAT WHILE THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA MIGHT BE A TRIFLE OVERPAID AT \$220,000 A YEAR, FIVE PROFESSORS OF SURGERY MADE BETWEEN \$440,000 AND \$620,00.

YOU SEE, THE REPORTER LOST SIGHT OF THE FACT THAT HE WAS WRITING ABOUT THE SALARIES OF COLLEGE PRESIDENTS AND TOOK OFF ON DOCTORS' INCOMES INSTEAD. HE OBVIOUSLY WANTED THE PUBLIC TO HAVE A HARD TIME SWALLOWING THAT.

A ND THE PUBLIC HAS A HARD TIME SWALLOWING THAT.

IF WE COULD SEPARATE INCOME FROM THE PUBLIC'S

PERCEPTION OF DOCTORS, A LOT OF THE PUBLIC HOSTILITY

WOULD DISAPPEAR, EVEN THOUGH DOCTORS DON'T MAKE AS

MUCH AS TOP CORPORATE EXECUTIVES, ENTERTAINERS,

ATHLETES, AND LAWYERS WHO RAKE IN LARGE CONTINGENCY

FEES FOR LAWSUITS AGAINST DOCTORS, LAWSUITS ABOUT A

MALOCCURANCE THAT EVERYONE USED TO CALL AN ACT OF

GOD..

BUT THE PUBLIC IS MORE CRITICAL OF DOCTORS, AND WE PHYSIC (A W)

COULD DO A LOT MORE TO LEAD PATIENTS TO UNDERSTAND

THEY ARE GETTING HIGH-QUALITY, HIGH-EFFICIENCY,

CONSIDERATE, SENSITIVE CARE FOR THEIR MONEY, INSTEAD OF

THE PRESENT BELIEF THAT THEY ARE PAYING MORE AND MORE

FOR LESS AND LESS.

6. PATIENTS TELL US THEY CAN'T FIND A SHRED OF EVIDENCE TO PROVE THAT THE QUALITY OF CARE IS IMPROVING AT THE SAME RATE THE COST OF THE CARE ESCALATES.

EVEN YOU WOULD AGREE THAT HOSPITAL CARE AND PHYSICIAN CARE AREN'T TWICE AS GOOD AS THEY WERE EIGHT OR NINE YEARS AGO, EVEN THOUGH NOW THEY COST ABOUT TWICE AS MUCH.

WE SEEM TO LIVE WITH THE PERCEPTION OF A SYSTEM OF
HEALTH CARE THAT'S DISTINGUISHED BY A VIRTUAL ABSENCE OF
SELF-REGULATION ON THE PART OF THE PROVIDERS OF THAT
HEALTH CARE -- THAT IS, HOSPITALS AND PHYSICIANS -- AND
DISTINGUISHED AS WELL BY THE ABSENCE OF SUCH NATURAL
MARKETPLACE CONTROLS AS COMPETITION IN REGARD TO
PRICE, QUALITY, OR SERVICE.

7. AS FOLKS VOICE THESE CRITICISMS AND REALIZE THAT 37
MILLION OF THEIR FELLOW CITIZENS HAVE NO ACCESS TO
HEALTH CARE THEY SAY THERE'S SOMETHING TERRIBLY WRONG
WITH A SYSTEM OF HEALTH CARE THAT SPENDS MORE AND
MORE MONEY TO SERVE FEWER AND FEWER PEOPLE.

IN THE PAST MOST AMERICANS TURNED CONFIDENTLY TO THEIR INSURANCE TO PAY THE HEALTHCARE BILL. BUT THOSE DAYS ARE OVER. THEY ARE EXASPERATED ABOUT THAT, AND FEEL POWERLESS TO DO ANYTHING ABOUT THAT UNREGULATED INDUSTRY.

AS FAR AS INSURANCE IS CONCERNED,
WE HAVE THREE GROUPS IN THIS COUNTRY:
THE INSURED, THE UNINSURED, AND THE UNINSURABLE.

THE LARGEST GROUP, FORTUNATELY, IS THE 160 MILLION AMERICANS WHOSE HEALTH INSURANCE IS PROVIDED THROUGH EMPLOYERS -THEIR OWN OR FAMILY MEMBERS--, AND THE SMALL FRACTION WHO PURCHASE THEIR OWN INSURANCE.

THESE PEOPLE USUALLY ENJOY ACCESS TO THE BEST MEDICINE IN THE WORLD, AS LONG AS THEIR INSURANCE HOLDS OUT, OR THEIR PREMIUMS ARE NOT RAISED BEYOND REACH.

BUT EMPLOYERS NOW ASK EMPLOYEES TO ASSUME MORE OF THE COST OF HEALTHCARE, THROUGH HIGHER DEDUCTIBLES AND CO-PAYMENT--APPROPRIATELY--, OR GIVE UP CERTAIN SERVICES.

THEN EMPLOYEES DIG IN THEIR HEELS AND SAY. "NO!"

HEALTH BENEFITS WERE <u>THE</u> MAJOR REASON FOR 78 PERCENT OF THE WORKING MEN AND WOMEN WHO WENT OUT ON STRIKE LAST YEAR...PRODUCTION WORKERS AND MINERS AND PUBLIC EMPLOYEES AND TELEPHONE WORKERS AND SERVICE WORKERS AND SO ON.

THEY ASKED FOR MORE MONEY IN HEALTH BENEFITS...AND MANAGEMENT SAID IT COULDN'T AFFORD TO PAY IT. AND THEY CAN'T.

WHEN THOSE STRIKES ARE SETTLED AND OVER MORE MONEY

DOES GO INTO EMPLOYEE HEALTH BENEFITS, AND THOSE

INCREASED COSTS ARE EXPRESSED IN THE MARKETPLACE AS

HIGHER PRICES FOR THE GOODS YOU PURCHASE AND UTILITIES

YOU USE.

YEARS OF FULL INSURANCE COVERAGE HAVE LED TO HIGHER
FEES WITHOUT CONSUMER RESISTANCE. WE CAN NEVER
CONTAIN COSTS IF DOCTORS CAN BILL UNENDINGLY BECAUSE
EMPLOYEES CAN SPEND EMPLOYER INSURANCE FOR
TREATMENT.

THEN TOO, I AM EMBARRASSED BY PHYSICIANS WHO MILK THE SYSTEM FOR MONEY.

WE SHOULD NEVER JOIN PHYSICIANS AND HOSPITAL ADMINISTRATORS WHO ATTEND SEMINARS ON HOW TO MANIPULATE THE CODING SYSTEM OF CPT (CURRENT PROCEDURAL TERMINOLOGY) TO MULTIPLY THEIR COMPENSATION.

THE FINANCIAL SIDE OF OUR PRACTICES MUST EXEMPLIFY HIGH ETHICS, HONESTY, AND PROFESSIONALISM.

THE TWO THIRDS OF OUR POPULATION COVERED BY EMPLOYER-PURCHASED HEALTH INSURANCE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE THE MOST CLOUT TO CHANGE THINGS FOR THE BETTER.

BUT FIRST THESE PEOPLE --AND YOU AND BUSINESS AND INDUSTRY-- MUST IDENTIFY THE LEADERSHIP TO BRING HEALTHCARE COST UNDER CONTROL.

IT IS <u>NOT</u> THE PRESENT LEADERSHIP.

THEY ARE THE ONES WHO GOT US INTO OUR CURRENT PROBLEMS OF PROFLIGACY AND POOR CARE.

NOR IS IT BIG BUSINESS AND LABOR.

I THINK THAT THIS IS THE WRONG COALITION.

TOGETHER, THESE 160 MILLION INSURED AMERICANS AND THEIR NEW CO-BELLIGERENTS CAN FORGE THE ALLIANCE THAT REWARDS HIGH QUALITY AND HIGH EFFICIENCY WITH MORE PATIENTS, RATHER THAN REWARDING POOR QUALITY CARE WITH DOLLARS AS WE DO NOW.

AND UNTIL THE PURCHASING PUBLIC "BUYS RIGHT" THE SITUATION WILL NOT CHANGE.

BY "BUYING RIGHT", I MEAN THAT THE

EMPLOYER PURCHASER DEMANDS ILICH EFFICIENCY

AND HIGH QUALITY IN HEALTH CARE DECIVERY.

THOSE PERFURMANCE RECORDS ARE TREWARDER

WITH MORE PATIENTS - AS DISSATISFIED

PATIENTS MIGNATE FROM TITE LOW

EFFICIENCY, LOW QUALITY 5757EMS.

WITEN THE REWARDS ARE MORE PATIENTS, NOT MORE DOMARS, AS WE NOW DO, -COSTS ACTUACIY GO DOWN. 8. EXASPERATION RUNS HIGH AMONG THE INSURED AMERICANS WHO RELY UPON GOVERNMENT INSURANCE --MEDICARE FOR THE ELDERLY, MEDICAID FOR THE POOR-- TO MEET THEIR HEALTHCARE BILLS.

THESE INSURANCE PLANS NO LONGER FILL THE BILL.

MEDICARE IS NOT WHAT MOST PEOPLE THINK.

IT IS NOT A SYSTEM THAT PROVIDES FOR THE HEALTHCARE
COSTS OF THE ELDERLY.

OLDER AMERICAN CITIZENS MUST FIRST MUST SPEND THEIR
OWN MONEY BEFORE MEDICARE KICKS IN.
MEDICARE USUALLY DOESN'T PROVIDE THE DRUGS MANY
ELDERLY NEED TO STAY ALIVE.

AND THE RELEW ? 30 CHELED COMPROMISE

NOR IS THERE PROVISION FOR LONG-TERM CARE IN HOSPITALS OR NURSING HOMES.

ELDERLY PEOPLE AND THEIR GROWN CHILDREN ARE OFTEN SHOCKED WHEN THEY DISCOVER THAT WHEN THE AGING PARENT NEEDS NURSING HOME CARE, IT IS NOT COVERED BY MEDICARE.

FURTHERMORE, THERE IS NO PROVISION IN MEDICARE FOR THE HOUSEHOLD HELPS THAT WOULD KEEP ELDERLY PEOPLE INDEPENDENT, AND OUT OF INSTITUTIONS, AT A TINY FRACTION OF THE COST OF NURSING HOME CARE. I AM TALKING ABOUT SOMEONE STOPPING BY ONCE A DAY TO SPEND AN HOUR ON THE HEALTH AND HOUSEHOLD CHORES THAT NEED TO BE ACCOMPLISHED.

MEDICARE IS ONE OF THE MOST DECENT THINGS THAT THIS
COUNTRY HAS DONE, TO REMOVE MUCH OF THE FEAR AND
UNCERTAINTY FROM THE FRAIL YEARS OF ELDERLY LIFE, AND IT
SHOULD STAND AS A LANDMARK TO THE BASIC DECENCY OF THE
AMERICAN ETHICAL CORE.

BUT IT NEEDS TO WORK AS ORIGINALLY INTENDED, AND PROMISED.

THEN THERE IS <u>MEDICAID</u>, THE FEDERAL INSURANCE PROGRAM DESIGNED FOR THE POOR.

IF MEDICARE IS A DISAPPOINTMENT, MEDICAID IS A FRAUD.

MEDICAID IS A FRAUD BECAUSE MEDICAID EXCLUDES MOST OF THE POOR.... BY CALLING THEM TOO RICH.

INDIVIDUAL STATES ADMINISTER MEDICAID, AND CAN SET THE MAXIMUM INCOME LEVEL NEEDED TO QUALIFY FOR MEDICAID. THIS HAS LED TO SHAMEFUL STANDARDS.

IN MANY STATES A FAMILY OF THREE WITH AN INCOME OF \$3000
A YEAR IS TOO RICH TO QUALIFY FOR MEDICAID. THERE ARE
FEW STATES BETTER, AND FORTUNATELY FEW WORSE.

MEDICAID NEEDS TO BE STANDARDIZED, EXPANDED AND REFORMED.

MEDICAID NEEDS TO EMBRACE FAMILIES RATHER THAN EXCLUDE THEM.

9. THE COUNTRY IS EXASPERATED OVER THE PLIGHT OF THE UNINSURED, THE 12 TO 15 PERCENT OF OUR POPULATION -- THAT'S 33 TO 37 MILLION AMERICANS-- WHO ARE UNINSURED, UNDER-INSURED, OR ONLY SEASONALLY INSURED.

THEY'RE NOT OLD ENOUGH FOR MEDICARE AND NOT POOR ENOUGH FOR MEDICAID.

THESE PEOPLE, THE WORKING POOR, WHOSE INCOMES ARE TOO LOW TO LIVE ON, BUT TOO HIGH TO QUALIFY FOR MEDICAID, OFTEN EXHIBIT A STEELY PRIDE THAT MANY OF US CAN ENVY.

THESE ARE NOT PEOPLE ON WELFARE. THEY COULD GO ON WELFARE, GET FOR FREE THE DENTURES, EYEGLASSES, AND SHOES, AND FOODSTAMPS THEY NEED, BUT THEIR DIGNITY KEEPS THEM OFF WELFARE, AND THEY STRUGGLE ALONG, FEARFUL OF THAT ILLNESS THAT MIGHT CLAIM ALL THE LITTLE THEY HAVE.

CONTRARY TO THE WHINING OF SOME CONSERVATIVE COLUMNISTS, THESE PEOPLE ARE NOT LOOKING FOR A HANDOUT.

90 PERCENT OF THE UNINSURED ARE WORKING PEOPLE, MANY WORKING AT SEVERAL JOBS, NONE OF WHICH PROVIDES A HEALTH PLAN.

THEY ARE SUFFERING THE CONSEQUENCES.

STUDY AFTER STUDY INDICATES THE CORRELATION BETWEEN NO MEDICAL INSURANCE AND SERIOUS HEALTH PROBLEMS.

AND ALL OF US WILL SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES TOO, BECAUSE
THE HEALTH PROBLEMS OF THE UNINSURED, IF IGNORED BY
SOCIETY NOW, WILL BE BORNE BY SOCIETY LATER.

10. TRAGICALLY, IF EXASPERATION CHARACTERIZEJTHESE
COMPLAINTS, THEN DESPAIR IS THE HALLMARK OF THE
UNINSURABLE: THE TWO AND A HALF MILLION AMERICANS WITH
SERIOUS MEDICAL PROBLEMS WHO CAN'T EVEN BUY INSURANCE
BECAUSE THEY ARE CONSIDERED TO BE BAD RISKS.
AND NOW, WITH INCREASING FREQUENCY, SOME PATIENTS HAVE
THEIR INSURANCE PREMIUMS RAISED OUT OF SIGHT RIGHT IN
THE MIDDLE OF A SERIOUS ILLNESS.

FAMILIES WHO THINK THEY HAVE A MILLION DOLLAR COVERAGE FOR EACH MEMBER OF THE FAMILY FIND THAT WITH THE FIRST EXPENSIVE ILLNESS THE FAMILY HEALTH INSURANCE PREMIUMS CAN UNDERGO A SIXFOLD INCREASE.. LIKE ONE I KNOW THAT WENT FROM \$198 A MONTH TO \$1375 A MONTH.

AND ANOTHER FROM /300/MO & //000.

HOW MANY OF US COULD AFFORD THAT KIND OF A JUMP?

THE FIRST FAMILY

THEIR THOUGHT IT MUST BE A COMPUTER ERROR, BUT WERE TOLD COLDLY BY THE INSURANCE COMPANY THAT IF THEY DIDN'T LIKE IT, THEY COULD CANCEL THEIR INSURANCE.

HOWEVER, NO OTHER COMPANY WOULD INSURE THEM BECAUSE THEIR YOUNGSTER HAD A "PRE-EXISTING CONDITION."

OTHER AFFLICTED AMERICANS WHO THOUGHT THEY WERE COVERED BY INSURANCE SUDDENLY DISCOVERED THAT THEIR INSURANCE COMPANIES WERE SIMPLY NOT PAYING THE BILLS. IN CALIFORNIA ALONE, 100,000 PEOPLE LOST THEIR INSURANCE IN 1989 BECAUSE THEIR INSURANCE COMPANIES HAVE GONE UNDER.

SOME OF THESE PEOPLE HAD ALREADY PAID AS MUCH AS \$200,000 IN PREMIUMS OVER THE YEARS.

11. SOCIETY IS EXASPERATED BY THE DISRUPTION CAUSED BY THE ESCALATING COST OF HEALTH CARE.

THOUSANDS AND THOUSANDS OF AMERICAN FAMILIES EACH
YEAR ARE LITERALLY IMPOVERISHED BY THE AMERICAN HEALTH
CARE SYSTEM.

WE CANNOT LET THAT CONTINUE.

THEY ARE ALSO EXASPERATED BECAUSE OUR HEALTH DOLLARS
DON'T SEEM TO BE GOING FOR OUR HEALTH.

PEOPLE FUME WHEN THEY READ THAT HEALTHCARE
ADMINISTRATION NOW CONSUMES ABOUT 22% OF HEALTHCARE
SPENDING.

DOCTOR'S OFFICES OFTEN SPEND MORE TIME ON THE INSURANCE FORMS THAN THE DOCTOR SPENT WITH THE PATIENT.

EVEN THE INSURANCE INDUSTRY ITSELF HAS BEGUN TO CALL FOR REGULATION AND REFORM.

EMPLOYERS SHOULD BE <u>REQUIRED</u> TO PROVIDE HEALTH INSURANCE, BUT WITH APPROPRIATE COST-SHARING, HEALTH EDUCATION, AND FITNESS PROGRAMS, SO THAT THE ECONOMIC IMPACT ON SMALL BUSINESS CAN BE LESSENED BY TAX BREAKS AND RISK-POOLING.

OTHERWISE SMALL EMPLOYERS JUST DROP SOME EMPLOYEES FROM THE PAYROLL.

THOSE NOT INCLUDED IN EMPLOYER-PROVIDED INSURANCE SHOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE ACCESS TO SIMILAR COVERAGE ACCORDING TO SLIDING SCALE COST-SHARING AND RISK-POOLING.

WE NEED TO EXPECT SOME FORMS OF TAX INCREASE IF WE ARE TO ACT ACCORDING TO OUR ETHICS, AND PROVIDE HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THOSE WHO ARE NOW UNINSURED.

WE CAN LOWER BOTH HEALTHCARE COST AND INSURANCE COST
BY LINKING INSURANCE COVERAGE TO BEHAVIOR.
IT MAKES SENSE TO VOID OR REDUCE INSURANCE COVERAGE
FOR PEOPLE WHO PRACTICE HIGH RISK BEHAVIOR: NOT
WEARING MOTORCYCLE HELMETS, NOT BUCKLING SEATBELTS,
DRIVING AFTER DRINKING, AND YES, CONTINUING TO SMOKE.

WHY SHOULD THE REST OF US SUBSIDIZE THE INSURANCE
COVERAGE OF PEOPLE WHO KNOWINGLY AND CONTINUALLY
PLACE THEMSELVES AT GREATER RISK FOR ILLNESS?

EVEN <u>HIGHER</u> TOBACCO AND ALCOHOL TAXES SHOULD BE DEMANDED TO PAY FOR HEALTHCARE COSTS ATTRIBUTED TO THOSE DEADLY SUBSTANCES.

ON THE POSITIVE SIDE, WE NEED INSURANCE PROGRAMS THAT ENCOURAGE PREVENTIVE HEALTHCARE.

IT IS ABSURD FOR AN INSURANCE COMPANY TO COUGH UP \$150,000 TO REMOVE A CANCEROUS LUNG, BUT NOT PAY \$64 OR

\$200 FOR A SMOKING CESSATION PROGRAM.

INSURANCE PEOPLE TELL ME THAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND INSURANCE.

IT WAS MEANT FOR CATASTROPHES. BUT I'M SMART ENOUGH TO CATASTROPH. C

KNOW THAT \$200 SPENT TO AVOID A BILL OF \$150,000 IS GOOD

BUSINESS. BUT THEN I LIKE LONG TERM GAINS, NOT THIS

YEAR'S SOFT PROFITS.

NO ONE CAN DOUBT MY COMMITMENT TO CUTTING
HEALTHCARE COSTS, TO GETTING RID OF MISMANAGEMENT,
WASTE, AND FRAUD.

BUT I AM DEEPLY DISTURBED AND EVEN FRIGHTENED WHEN
THIS IS ATTEMPTED BY MISGUIDED INTERFERENCE IN THE
DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP.

12. PATIENTS WOULD BE FURTHER EXASPERATED IF THEY KNEW THAT WHEN THEY COME TO SEE YOU THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE THERE: THE THIRD PARTY REGULATORS, THE OUTSIDERS WHO EARN THEIR KEEP BY HARASSING DOCTORS. EACH OF YOU COULD COME UP WITH MANY EXAMPLES OF THIS: HAVING YOUR JUDGMENTS SECOND-GUESSED LONG-DISTANCE TO SHAVE A FEW BUCKS OFF THE COST OF A HOSPITALIZATION:

A NEUROSURGEON® TRYING TO EXPLAIN TO THE CLERK ON THE OTHER END OF THE TELEPHONE WIRE WHY THE 10 HOUR BRAIN SURGERY SHOULD NOT BE SCHEDULED IN THE AFTERNOON, JUST SO THE PATIENT DOESN'T STAY IN THE HOSPITAL THE NIGHT BEFORE THE PROCEDURE.

MOST OF YOU ARE TRAINED TO ADVOCATE YOUR PATIENTS'
INTERESTS, AND YOU ARE BETTER AT CLINICAL DECISIONS THAN
ECONOMIC DECISIONS.

ALL TOO OFTEN THESE INTERFERING REGULATORS FORCE
DOCTORS TO <u>FIGHT</u> FOR WHAT IS BEST FOR THEIR PATIENTS, TO
SPEND TIME ON THE PHONE OR WRITING LETTERS, TIME THAT
WOULD BE BETTER SPENT WITH THEIR PATIENTS.

I THINK THIS IS ONE PLACE WHERE THE TIDE OF OPINION MAY BE TURNING IN THE PHYSICIAN'S FAVOR, AS A NUMBER OF RECENT NEWS PROGRAMS HAVE HIGHLIGHTED THE ABUSES OF THE REGULATORS.

COST CONTROL SHOULD NOT MEAN REMOTE CONTROL MEDICINE.

WE DON'T WANT TO SEE MEDICINE TURNED INTO A PUBLIC UTILITY, OVER-REGULATED AND UNDER-RESPONSIVE TO THE NEEDS OF INDIVIDUAL PATIENTS.

BUT, TO MAKE SURE WE ALL GET MORE FOR OUR HEALTHCARE DOLLAR, FOR OUR INSURANCE COVERAGE, WE NEED DO NEED MORE OPEN COMMUNICATION ABOUT THE QUALITY AND EFFICIENCY OF HEALTHCARE.

13. WHAT SOME PEOPLE SAY IS ANOTHER SOURCE OF EXASPERATION IS THAT THEY CALL THE CONSPIRACY OF SILENCE.

THEY NEED TO KNOW WERE PEOPLE CAN GET <u>HIGH-QUALITY</u> AND <u>EFFICIENT</u> CARE.

THEN THE PATIENTS WILL DESERT THE POOR QUALITY,
INEFFICIENT SYSTEMS THAT WILL HAVE TO IMPROVE OR PERISH.

THE PUBLIC --AS WELL AS OUR PROFESSION-- IS ALSO
EXASPERATED ABOUT GLARING REGIONAL DIFFERENCES.
WHY SHOULD HOSPITAL USE IN THE MIDWEST BE 60% HIGHER
THAN IN THE WEST? WHY, IN A GIVEN YEAR, SHOULD 10% OF
DELIVERIES IN DETROIT BE BY CAESAREAN, BUT IN WASHINGTON
DC CAESAREANS FORM 24%?

WHY SHOULD SOME REGIONS ORDER CAT SCANS 7 TIMES MORE FREQUENTLY THAN THE NATIONAL RATE?

WHY DO KNEE REPLACEMENTS VARY 6-FOLD FROM REGION TO REGION?

THE EXPLANATION OR CORRECTION OF THOSE DISCREPANCIES SHOULD COME FROM YOU, AND NOT FROM A SOCIAL PLANNER.

QUALITY, AND EFFICIENCY ARE DIFFICULT TO MEASURE.

BUT THEY ARE MORE IMPORTANT THAN MERE QUANTITY.

WE ARE DEVELOPING TOOLS TO MEASURE MEDICAL NECESSITY,
APPROPRIATENESS, EFFECTIVENESS AND OF COURSE OUTCOMES.

OUTCOMES WILL BE MORE AND MORE PART OF OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH PATIENTS.

BATTING AVERAGES OF PHYSICIANS AND HOSPITALS FOR VARIOUS DIAGNOSES WILL BE COMMON KNOWLEDGE.

BE SURE THEY ARE PROPERLY CALCULATED AND CONVEYED.

DON'T ALLOW THIS TO BE ANOTHER REASON FOR PATIENT EXASPERATION.

MANAGE THE SYSTEM; DON'T FIGHT IT.

 $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{E}$ MALPRACTICE SUITS CORRUPT, BASIC EMOTIONAL CLIMATE OF MEDICINE, MAKING THE DOCTOR AFRAID OF THE PERSON SHE OR HE WANTS TO HELP.

MALPRACTICE DOES EXIST, AND WHERE THERE IS MALPRACTICE --BAD, OR NEGLIGENT PRACTICE-- RESTITUTION AND COMPENSATION ARE IN ORDER.

MEDICINE MUST RID ITSELF OF THE BAD APPLES THAT BRING JUSTIFIED CRITICISM TO THE PROFESSION.

YET MANY MALPRACTICE SUITS ARE BROUGHT BECAUSE A TRAGEDY HAS OCCURRED, IN SPITE OF THE DOCTOR'S BEST EFFORTS.

OUR CURRENT SYSTEM DOES NOT SERVE THE PATIENT WELL.

EVERY INAPPROPRIATE MALPRACTICE SUIT DRIVES UP THE COST

OF MEDICINE FOR ALL PATIENTS AND DOCTORS ALIKE, WHILE

NEGLIGENT DOCTORS CONTINUE TO PRACTICE AND SOME VERY

GOOD DOCTORS LEAVE.

MALPRACTICE REFORM IS DIFFICULT TO GET BECAUSE
CONGRESS AND STATE LEGISLATURES INCLUDE SO MANY
LAWYERS, AND THEY AREN'T LIKELY TO ACT AGAINST THEIR
OWN.

BUT WE --NOT JUST SURGEONS, BUT ALSO CITIZENS CONCERNED ABOUT HEALTHCARE COSTS-- WE MUST DEMAND REFORM.

WE MUST ELIMINATE AWARDS FOR ALLEGED PAIN AND
SUFFERING, AND WE MUST DO AWAY WITH CONTINGENCY FEES
WHICH CLOG THE COURTS, BLACKMAIL PHYSICIANS, AND
PROMPT INSURANCE COMPANIES TO SPEND OUR MONEY OUT OF
COURT JUST TO GET IT OVER.

VIEL HEED, TO COULDWAY PURISH SUAND OFFISH WITH DOCKS AND CANADATERS.

ALTHOUGH WE MUST HOLD OUR PHYSICIANS TO THE HIGHEST STANDARDS,

WE MUST REALIZE THAT HEALING AND RECOVERY ARE NOT PERFECT.

THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM IN AMERICA TODAY IS A TERRIBLE MORAL BURDEN FOR SOCIETY TO BEAR, IN THAT THE SYSTEM DOES NOT RESPOND AT ALL TO SOME 12 TO AS HIGH AS 15 PERCENT OF OUR POPULATION.

AND IT IS A TERRIBLE <u>ECONOMIC</u> BURDEN FOR SOCIETY TO BEAR, IN THAT THE SYSTEM SATISFIES ITS OWN UNCONTROLLED NEEDS <u>AT THE EXPENSE OF</u> EVERY OTHER SECTOR OF AMERICAN SOCIETY.

WE NEED TO CHANGE THAT SYSTEM.

NOT JUST A LITTLE CHANGE HERE AND A LITTLE CHANGE THERE.

WE NEED TO BRING ABOUT A PROFOUND CHANGE, ACROSS-THE-BOARD, IN THE WAY WE MAKE MEDICAL AND HEALTH CARE AVAILABLE TO ALL OUR CITIZENS.

BUT CAN WE DO IT?

WE NEED TO TAKE SOME IMAGINATIVE STEPS.

FOR EXAMPLE, WE NEED TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM CAUSED BY
THE ENORMOUS EDUCATION DEBT THAT MOST YOUNG DOCTORS
HAVE TO SHOULDER AS SOON AS THEY BEGIN PRACTICING
MEDICINE.

MOST MEDICAL STUDENTS GRADUATE FROM MEDICAL SCHOOL WITH A DEBT THAT SHAPES THEIR PRACTICE OF MEDICINE FOR THE NEXT 20 YEARS.

BUT I DO HAVE A SIMPLE SUGGESTION ABOUT THE PROBLEM OF MEDICAL SCHOOL DEBT.

WHEN A MEDICAL STUDENT GRADUATES, AN ACCOUNT COULD BE ESTABLISHED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND EVERY TIME THE DOCTOR SEES AN UNINSURED PATIENT WITHOUT CHARGE, THAT DEBT IS LOWERED BY THE AMOUNT EQUIVALENT TO A FAIR COMPENSATION FOR THAT SERVICE.

THIS SOLUTION HAS SEVERAL ADVANTAGES:

IT'S GRADUAL;

IT DOESN'T REQUIRE A BIG OUTLAY BEFOREHAND;

IT OFFERS CARE TO THE UNINSURED WHO NEED IT;

IT ENCOURAGES PHYSICIANS TO OFFER CARE WITHOUT FEE;

AND IT LOWERS THE DOCTOR'S DEBT.

IT SEEMS TO ME THAT EVERYBODY GAINS.

WE ALSO NEED TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT THE INFLATIONARY ASPECT OF RECRUITMENT MONEY, WHEN HOSPITAL "X" WANTS THE SAME KIND OF SURGEON AS THE HOSPITAL ACROSS TOWN, AND THEN LURES SOMEONE FROM ACROSS THE COUNTRY WITH AN ASTRONOMICAL PACKAGE, FORCING COSTS UP ALL AROUND.

I REALIZE THAT THIS IS A COMPLICATED PROBLEM, INVOLVING REGULATIONS, JOINT COMMITTEES OF ACCREDITATION OF HOSPITALS, ETC., BUT COMPLEXITY SHOULD NOT KEEP US FROM GETTING A HANDLE ON A SOLUTION.

WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING, TO DO MANY THINGS, BECAUSE WE ARE AT A CROSSROADS.

WE CANNOT AFFORD TO DO NOTHING, TO CONTINUE BUSINESS AS USUAL.

THE PRESSURE FOR <u>RADICAL</u> CHANGE IS COMING FROM ALL DIRECTIONS:

FROM MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, FROM BUSINESS, FROM LABOR, AND FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

INCREASINGLY WE HEAR THE DEMAND FOR RESTRUCTURING THE FINANCING AND DELIVERY OF HEALTHCARE IN THE UNITED STATES.

EVEN SOME BUSINESS LEADERS WHO NORMALLY CRINGE AT THE THOUGHT OF GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION OR REGULATION FIND THEMSELVES CALLING FOR A SYSTEM OF NATIONAL HEALTH CARE AS A SOLUTION TO RISING INSURANCE COSTS.

EXASPERATION WITH OUR SYSTEM LEADS SOME PEOPLE TO SEE GREENER GRASS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE FENCE.

THE GROWING INFATUATION WITH FOREIGN NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICES IS BASED MORE UPON DISSATISFACTION WITH OUR SYSTEM THAN UPON UNDERSTANDING OF ANOTHER ONE.

BUT IF WE DON'T HEED THE CALL, THE ACS LOGG MATCET.

REPLACED BY THE MAPLE LEAF.

MOST AMERICANS DO NOT REALIZE THAT ANY NATIONAL HEALTH SERVICE, IS BASED UPON <u>PLANNED SCARCITY</u>.

EXPERIENCE THE WORLD OVER HAS SHOWN THAT WHEN
GOVERNMENT ECONOMIC CONTROLS ARE APPLIED TO HEALTH,
THEY PROVE --IN TIME-- TO BE DETRIMENTAL.
EVENTUALLY THERE IS AN EROSION OF QUALITY, PRODUCTIVITY,
INNOVATION, AND CREATIVITY.

THIS IS ESPECIALLY TRUE OF RESEARCH.

AMERICANS DESIRE, NOT ONLY AFFORDABLE HEALTH CARE, BUT ALSO MEDICAL ADVANCES.

BUT MEDICAL RESEARCH IS NOT CHEAP, AND SOMEONE MUST PAY FOR IT. AMERICANS ARE NOT LIKELY TO TOLERATE HEALTHCARE SAVINGS IF IT MEANS SKIMPING ON AIDS OR ALZHEIMER'S RESEARCH.

NATIONAL SYSTEMS OF HEALTHCARE EVENTUALLY BECOME
BUREAUCRATIC, UNRESPONSIVE TO PATIENTS, AND FINALLY THEY
BRING RATIONING AND WAITING IN LINES.

AMERICANS DO NOT PATIENTLY QUE UP FOR ANYTHING, ESPECIALLY FOR MEDICAL CARE.

I DO NOT FAVOR TOTALLY SCRAPPING THE SYSTEM WE HAVE NOW;

BECAUSE OF ITS DIVERSITY, IT IS POTENTIALLY THE BEST IN THE WORLD. AND BECAUSE OF THIS DIVERSITY THERE IS NO PANACEA, NO SINGLE MAGIC BULLET.

IT WOULD TAKE AT LEAST SIX BULLETS, FIRED SEPARATELY, TO CONQUER THE DISEASES AFFECTING THE UNITED STATES' HEALTH CARE NONSYSTEM.

IT REMAINS TO BE SEEN WHETHER OR NOT THE PRIVATE SECTOR SEIZES THIS ONE AND ONLY OPPORTUNITY ---WHILE THE GOVERNMENT IS TOO POOR-- TO EFFECT SOME CHANGE.

BUT WE ALL NEED TO BE A PART OF THE EFFORT.

THERE IS NO QUICK FIX.

FROM HERE TO WHERE WE WANT TO GO COULD TAKE A DECADE,
BUT WE'D IMPROVE YEAR BY YEAR ALONG THE WAY.

LET ME SAY IT AGAIN, THAT THE RESTORATION OF THE DOCTOR-PATIENT RELATIONSHIP IS MOST ESSENTIAL.

BUT IT WILL TAKE <u>COMMITMENT</u> BY PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STETHOSCOPE.

THERE ARE THINGS WE CAN DO, AS DOCTORS, EACH DAY WE PRACTICE.

I AM AWARE, OF COURSE, ABOUT THE DIFFERENCES WITHIN OUR PROFESSION. "THE MEDICAL PROFESSION" IS NOT MONOLITHIC. AMONG THE DIFFERENCES I NOTE IS ONE ALONG THE LINES OF GENERATIONS.

I BELIEVE THE PHYSICIANS OF MY GENERATION HAVE A

STRONGER SENSE OF THE "ART" OF MEDICINE, AND TEND TO

GIVE LESS VENERATION TO THE "SCIENCE" OF MEDICINE.

MAYBE WE CONDUCT OURSELVES THAT WAY BECAUSE WHEN WE

WERE FIRST STARTING IN PRACTICE, THE SCIENTIFIC

UNDERPINNING FOR OUR PRACTICE WAS, TO BE HONEST, RATHER

MARGINAL.

NOW, OF COURSE, PEOPLE WANT THE BEST OF BOTH WORLDS, A
DOCTOR WHO IS UP TO THE MINUTE ON THE LATEST HIGH-TECH,
BUT HAS THE COMPASSION, CARE ...AND TIME.. OF THE OLDFASHIONED COUNTRY DOC. AND IT'S TOUGH TO BE BOTH.

BUT WHAT'S THE REAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STATEMENT THAT
"PREVIOUS GENERATIONS OF DOCTORS PRACTICED THE ART,
RATHER THAN THE SCIENCE, OF MEDICINE?

PRIMARILY, I BELIEVE IT MEANS THAT WE SAW MEDICINE AS A RELATIONAL ENTERPRISE. WE ASKED THE KINDS OF QUESTIONS THAT REFLECTED CONCERNS ABOUT RELATIONSHIPS:

HOW DID WE REACT TO PATIENTS?

HOW DID WE TREAT THEM?

HOW DID THEY RESPOND TO US?

DID WE CARE ABOUT THEM?

DID WE CARE ABOUT THEIR FAMILIES?

WE HAD TO BE <u>PEOPLE-ORIENTED</u> BECAUSE, WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF C.A.T. SCANS OR N.M.R.S, JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING WE LEARNED ABOUT OUR PATIENT CAME FROM THE TAKING OF GOOD HISTORIES . . . THROUGH SENSITIVE DEALINGS WITH FAMILY MEMBERS.

FOR ME THAT WAS THE <u>FUN</u> OF MEDICINE. EVERY PATIENT WAS A CHALLENGE.

TODAY, YOU HAVE ALL THAT TECHNOLOGY TO HELP YOU OUT. IF
A PATIENT IS UNCOMMUNICATIVE FOR ANY REASON --INCLUDING
THE PATIENT'S AGE --YOU'RE NOT TERRIBLY UPSET BECAUSE
YOU'LL GET MOST OF THE INFORMATION YOU WANT FROM A
VARIETY OF MACHINES, LABORATORIES, AND TECHNICIANS.
NO DOUBT THESE DIAGNOSES ARE SPEEDY AND ACCURATE.
THE SCIENTIFIC ADVANCES IN MEDICINE IN THE LAST
GENERATION ARE MAGNIFICENT.

THEY HAVE PROLONGED MANY LIVES. WE HAVE ALL MADE FULL USE OF THE ADVANCES IN MEDICAL SCIENCE FOR OUR PATIENTS

AND OURSELVES.

BUT THE SCIENCE OF MEDICINE SHOULD NOT ECLIPSE THE ART OF MEDICINE.

IN OUR SCIENTIFIC PROGRESS SOMETHING MAY HAVE BEEN LOST... SOMETHING VERY IMPORTANT TO THE CONTINUED STRENGTH OF THE MEDICAL PROFESSION: THE RELATIONAL BOND BETWEEN PHYSICIAN AND PATIENT.

A FRIEND OF MINE WENT TO HER PHYSICIAN'S OFFICE

RECENTLY, AND AFTER A BRIEF HISTORY WAS TAKEN, WAS TOLD,
"I'LL SEE YOU NEXT WEEK."

THE PATIENT ASKED,

"AREN'T YOU GOING TO EXAMINE ME NOW."

THE DOCTOR RESPONDED,

"NOT UNTIL THE TESTS COME BACK. MY NURSE WILL TELL YOU ABOUT THEM."

I UNDERSTAND THAT SOME OF TODAY'S BUZZ-WORDS AMONG
MEDICAL STUDENTS ARE "CARING", "COMPASSION", "DIGNITY",
"HUMANE"

AND THOSE ARE CERTAINLY SOME OF THE MOST BEAUTIFUL WORDS IN OUR VOCABULARY.

BUT I'M AFRAID THEY CO-EXIST WITH SOME OTHER MODERN
BUZZ-WORDS LIKE "DAMAGE CONTROL", "DEFENSIVE MEDICINE",
"MALPRACTICE" ... TERMS THAT ARE AMONG THE UGLIEST IN THE
LANGUAGE.

THEY CONVEY THE IDEA THAT THE PATIENT AND DOCTOR ARE ADVERSARIES.

INSTEAD, WE MUST VIEW OUR PATIENTS AS HUMAN BEINGS, AS ALLIES,

WORKING WITH US IN THE STRUGGLE AGAINST DISEASE.

THIS INCLUDES PREVENTION AS WELL AS TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION.

THE DENTISTS HAVE DONE A MUCH BETTER JOB IN THIS THAN WE HAVE, JOINING WITH THEIR PATIENTS IN PREVENTIVE DENTAL HABITS,

EVEN THOUGH THIS HAS THE EFFECT IN SOME WAYS OF WORKING THEMSELVES OUT OF A JOB.

MOST AMERICANS REALLY FEEL THEIR DENTIST WANTS THEM TO HAVE FEWER CAVITIES.

THEY DON'T VIEW THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH THEIR DOCTOR IN THE SAME WAY.

FOR EXAMPLE, IF OVER THE LAST DECADE, DOCTORS HAD

QUIZZED THEIR PATIENTS ABOUT SMOKING, AND THEN HAD

GIVEN SOUND ADVICE, WE MIGHT ENJOY THAT SAME ALLIANCE
IN PREVENTION.

AFTER ALL, IT HAS BEEN KNOWN FOR MANY YEARS THAT THE
MOST LIKELY CAUSE OF SMOKING CESSATION IS FOR A DOCTOR
TO LOOK HIS OR HER PATIENT IN THE EYE AND TELL HIM,
"SMOKING IS GOING TO KILL YOU." AND I HAVE NOT EVEN
MENTIONED THE LIVES SAVED.

TREATING OUR PATIENTS LIKE ALLIES IN THE FIGHT AGAINST
THEIR DISEASE MEANS BEING CLEARER AND MORE COMPLETE
ABOUT INFORMED CONSENT.

THAT MAY MEAN TELLING MORE ABOUT WHAT WE KNOW IN SOME CASES, SHARING OUR UNCERTAINTIES IN OTHERS.

EARLY IN MY OWN PEDIATRIC SURGICAL PRACTICE, I

DETERMINED THAT I WOULD MAKE MY PATIENTS' PARENTS

ALLIES WITH ME AGAINST THEIR CHILD'S SURGICAL PROBLEM.

AT LENGTH

I'VE SAT DOWN AND TALKED, WITH THE PARENTS OF MY TINY

PATIENTS.

WE'VE SWEATED OUT THE HOURS TOGETHER IN RECOVERY.

WE'VE BEEN ON THE PHONE TOGETHER WITH COMMUNITY

SERVICES AND VOLUNTARY AGENCIES TO SEE WHAT KIND OF

HELP WILL BE OUT THERE WHEN THE FAMILY TAKES ITS BABY

HOME.

HAS IT BEEN WORTH IT? YES, IT HAS... ON MANY LEVELS.

FOR ONE THING, I'VE GOTTEN TO KNOW DOZENS OF

COURAGEOUS, GENEROUS, COMPASSIONATE FAMILIES.

I MAY HAVE HELPED THEIR CHILDREN OVERCOME SOME

DISABILITY . . . BUT THEY ALL HELPED ME OVERCOME

PESSIMISM, DEFEATISM, FRUSTRATION, AND DISCOURAGEMENT .

. . FEELINGS THAT ARE COMMON ENOUGH AMONG HARD
WORKING PHYSICIANS.

I DID THIS SIMPLY BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT WAS GOOD MEDICAL PRACTICE, BUT IT ALSO HAD THE UNFORSEEN DIVIDEND OF HAVING NO ONE SUE ME FOR 39 YEARS WHEN I WAS IN PRACTICE.

OF COURSE WE'LL BE DISAPPOINTED NOW AND THEN.

AND, DEPENDING ON YOUR PARTICULAR PRACTICE, YOU JUST

MIGHT DRAW MORE THAN YOUR SHARE OF DEADBEATS AND

MALCONTENTS.

BUT IT'S STILL NO EXCUSE FOR DISCARDING FROM YOUR ARMAMENTARIUM THE KEY ELEMENTS OF THE ART OF MEDICINE:

THE ELEMENT OF PERSONAL ATTENTION AND INTEREST
THE ELEMENT OF TRUE CARING
THE ELEMENT OF SINCERE HUMAN FEELING
AND THE ELEMENT OF GENEROSITY OF SPIRIT.

AS PHYSICIANS, AS WELL AS CITIZENS, WE NEED TO DO
SOMETHING FOR THOSE AMERICANS WHO, UNDER OUR PRESENT
SYSTEM, ARE DENIED ACCESS TO REASONABLE CARE.

WHILE WE WAIT -AND TAKE PART IN FINDING REGIONAL AND NATIONAL SOLUTIONS, WE CAN DO OUR PART BY REVITALIZING THE PRACTICE OF OFFERING FREE CARE TO APPROPRIATE PATIENTS.

IN MY EARLY DAYS I FOUND MYSELF EXTRAORDINARILY

FORTUNATE IF I GOT PAID FOR 40% OF WHAT I DID.

BUT I WAS HAPPY IN MY PRACTICE, MY PATIENTS APPRECIATED

WHAT I DID, AND I CERTAINLY ENJOYED WHAT I DID FOR THEM.

BUT ONCE ENTITLEMENTS CAME ALONG, DOCTORS WHO HAD
BEEN VERY HAPPY TO PERFORM A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF FREE
SERVICE BEGAN TO FEEL THAT THEY HAD TO BE PAID FOR
EVERYTHING.

I SEE NO REASON WHY CERTAIN FREE CLINICS COULD NOT OPERATE UNDER LAWS THAT FORBID LITIGATION.

GIVING, CHARITY, HAS ALWAYS BEEN PART OF OUR CALLING.

WE OUGHT TO FIND WAYS TO DO IT WITHOUT PENALTY.

NOT LONG AGO, I WAS SPEAKING WITH TWO YOUNG WOMEN,
BOTH BRIGHT, KNOWLEDGEABLE, ARTICULATE HEALTH
REPORTERS.

I ASKED THEM EACH THE SAME QUESTION:

"ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR HEALTH CARE?"

ONE SAID SHE WAS;

ONE SAID SHE WASN'T.

I ASKED WHY.

THE ONE WHO SAID SHE WAS SATISFIED SAID,

"MY DOCTOR LISTENS TO ME, AND HE TELLS ME WHAT THE

PROBLEMS ARE, WHAT HE'LL DO, AND I HAVE A LOT OF

CONFIDENCE IN HIM."

THE ONE WHO WAS DISSATISFIED SAID, "I'M FURIOUS AT MY DOCTOR.

IN ORDER TO HAVE SOME SURGERY DONE, I SAW HIM 7 TIMES IN 2 WEEKS, HAD VARIOUS TESTS AND CONSULTATIONS, AND WHEN I CALLED HIM TO ASK A QUESTION, HE SAID, 'NOWREMIND ME WHO YOU ARE AND WHY I KNOW YOU,"

I SAID TO THEM BOTH,

"IN OTHER WORDS, WHEN I ASKED YOU `AREYOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR HEALTH CARE?',

ONE OF YOU SAID "YES", ONE SAID "NO",

BUT WHAT YOU REALLY WERE SAYING IS THAT ONE OF YOU LIKED YOUR DOCTOR AND ONE OF YOU DIDN'T. ONE HAD CONFIDENCE IN YOUR DOCTOR, ONE DID NOT."

THEREFORE, MY MESSAGE TO THE DOCTORS IN AMERICA IS:
WHEN YOU ARE DEALING WITH A PATIENT YOU ARE
REPRESENTING ALL OF AMERICAN MEDICINE, YOU ARE
REPRESENTING AMERICAN HEALTH CARE.

WE HAVE MUCH TO DO, BUT LET'S NOT LOSE OUR <u>POSITIVE</u> ENERGY.

THE MESSAGE WE HAVE TO SHARE WITH OURSELVES AND WITH
THE AMERICAN PEOPLE IS A <u>POSITIVE</u> ONE.
WE DON'T NEED THE PAST TENSE,... NOSTALGIA ABOUT "THE
GOOD OLD DAYS";

NOR DO WE NEED SOME FUTURISTIC MANIFESTO PROMISING WHAT WE INTEND TO DO.

WE NEED CLEAR AND PERSISTENT AFFIRMATION OF THE MANY GOOD THINGS WE DO,

DAY IN AND DAY OUT,

TO MAKE OUR SYSTEM OF MEDICINE --ONCE WE TAKE THINGS IN HAND -- POTENTIALLY THE BEST IN THE WORLD.

I HAVE NEVER REGRETTED GOING INTO MEDICINE.

I'D DO IT AGAIN TOMORROW.

AND I TELL THAT TO ANY YOUNGSTERS WHO ARE CONSIDERING

IT.

OURS IS A CALLING.

IT IS NOT A BUSINESS.

WE COULD HAVE MADE MONEY DOING OTHER THINGS.

WE CHOSE MEDICINE --SURGERY-- BECAUSE IT COMBINED A

QUEST FOR KNOWLEDGE WITH A WAY TO SERVE, TO SAVE LIVES,

AND TO ALLEVIATE SUFFERING.

WE HAVE TO CONVINCE THE PUBLIC WE STILL MEAN IT.

IF WE DO, WE'LL GET WHAT WE NEED TO DO THE JOB RIGHT.

I THINK I POSSESS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF CREDIBILITY, BOTH WITH YOU AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

I HOPE MY REMARKS TO YOU TODAY DID NOT COST ME SOME OF MY CREDIBILITY WITH SOME OF YOU BECAUSE YOU DON'T LIKE WHAT I SAID.

I THINK YOU ALL KNOW THAT MEDICINE IN AMERICA IS IN DEEP TROUBLE, MAYBE AT A TRUE CROSSROADS.

IF YOU DON'T WANT TO SEE US TAKE THE ROAD TO CANADA, OR GREAT BRITAIN, DO SOMETHING NOW.

WE MUST DO SOMETHING, SOMETHING TO REKINDLE THE LOVE OF OUR PROFESSION, THE PRIDE IN LOFTY ETHICS, THE ENJOYMENT OF MEDICINE.

DON'T JUST WRING YOUR HANDS AND GRUMBLE BECAUSE "THEY" HAVEN'T DONE SOMETHING.

YOU ARE THEY.

THANK YOU.

######

72/2

SPEECH

"EXASPERATION ON BOTH SIDES OF THE STETHOSCOPE"

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF SURGEONS

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

OCTOBER 8, 1990

C. EVERETT KOOP, MD