Appendix D Environmental Aspect/Impact Ranking Background and Exhibits

Module 5 provided a framework for identifying and determining the significance of environmental aspects. This Appendix D provides two additional sets of tools for determining significance of your facility's environmental aspects within the context of Module 5 procedures. The first tool is based on a combination of environmental and business considerations. The second uses impact ranking and risk management tools.

Alternative 1: **Determining SEAs Using Environmental** and **Business Considerations**

Exhibit D-1: Significance Determination for Aspects Based on Environmental and Business Considerations is offered here as a tool to guide an alternative significance determination process to that described in Exhibit 5-5: Procedure for Environmental Aspects, Objectives and Targets, and Programs (EP-003). This alternative process would apply to all of those aspects that remain un-

decided after having applied the significance-determination test of being associated with relevant legal and other requirements or facility policy (i.e., Criterion 1 under Section 7.2.2, Procedure for Determination of Significant Environmental Aspects, in *Exhibit 5-5*). For each of those remaining undecided aspects, you would derive an environmental and business consideration significance score.

Specifically, you would assign a rating value between one, for least significant, to five for most significant, for nine different considerations:

- Four environmental considerations—scale, severity, probability, and duration; and
- Five business considerations—difficulty of changing impact; effect on public image/perception; outcome of change on activities, products, or services; concerns of interested parties; and cost of changing impact.

Either before or after scoring the aspects, you would establish a threshold score above which the aspects will be determined as significant. You would then add the aspects that receive scores greater than this number to the list of aspects associated with legal and other requirements or facility policy. The combination of these two lists becomes the complete set of your facility's SEAs.

Person Completing Form: Area/Process: Date: **Aspects Not Meeting Potential** Level of Environmental **Business Significance** (Scale of 1-5 per Definitions) **Regulatory or Facility Significance Impacts Control Policy Criteria** (Scale of 1-5 per **Definitions**) Probability Difficulty Changing Impact Costs of Changing Impact Concerns Average Score Effect on Public Image Outcome of Interested Severity High, Medium, or Low Duration Average Score Change Scale **Supplies:** Chemicals: **Energy Use:** Water Use: **Air Emissions:** Noise/Odor/Radiation: Water Discharges: **Solid Wastes: Storm Water Discharges:**

Spills:

Other Inputs and Outputs:

PARAMETER

Environmental and Business Considerations (continued) Exhibit D-1: Significance Determination for Aspects Based on

Definitions to be used in Rating Environmental and Business Considerations

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| | SCALE | insignificant volume/quantity | low volume/quantity | medium volume/quantity but sporadic | medium volume/quantity but ongoing | high volume/quantity |
| NTAL | SEVERITY | minimal impact | moderate impact but localized and readily containable | moderate impact over multiple locations | significant impact and/or regional | extreme impact and/or potential for global impact |
| VIRONMENT NSITERATIO | PROBABILITY | very unlikely under any operating condition | occurs during abnormal/emergency conditions/probability anticipated and managed | occurs during small-medium new projects or routine maintenance activities | occurs during major new projects or major maintenance activities | occurring during normal operating conditions and artifact of operations |
| ENCO | DURATION | spike situation extremely short-term duration within one day | less than one month | one-six months | less than one year | long-term duration greater than one year or continuous |
| SNO | DIFFICULTY OF CHANGING IMPACT | easy to accomplish | minor level of effort required | moderate effort required | major effort required | impact cannot be changed only managed |
| CONSIDERATIONS | EFFECT ON PUBLIC IMAGE/ PERCEPTION | no effect | minor/local scrutiny | moderate public scrutiny manageable | intense local or regional scrutiny requiring more effort | extreme scrutiny : major facility profile impact |
| ONSIDI | OUTCOME OF CHANGE ON ACT/PROD/SVCS* | no effect | minimal effect | medium effect | large effect | extremely large effect |
| USINESS CC | CONCERNS OF INTERESTED PARTIES** | no concerns | minor interest at local level limited number of parties | moderate interest/manageable at local/prov. level; limited number of parties | major interest at federal level more widespread, > number of parties | extreme/major impact, e.g., financing/litigation |
| BOS | COST OF CHANGING IMPACT | extreme: greater than \$5m | major process change: >\$500k but <\$5m | moderate process changes: <\$500k | minor process change: <\$25,000 | procedural ~ less than \$1000 |

RATING CATEGORIES

^{*}ACT/PROD/SVCS: Activities/Products/Services

^{**}Interested parties could include: employees; community; federal agencies; state agencies; local agencies; shareholders; and special interest groups.

Alternative 2: **Determining SEAs**Using **Environmental Risk Information**

Under Section 7.2.2, Procedure for Determination of Significant Environmental Aspects, in *Exhibit 5-5*, if an aspect has not been determined to be significant as a result of regulatory requirements and/or facility policy/goals, community concerns, or pollution prevention potential, then risks associated with environmental impacts (Criterion 4) should be considered. Under this alternative, you can apply your knowledge of chemical and material use at your facility to explore potential impacts to the environment under Criterion 4 and make a significance determination.

Introduction to **Environmental Risk Concepts**

Risk is composed of two parts: toxicity (or potential effects) and exposure. Toxicity is the ability of a chemical or material to cause harm to the health of humans, wildlife, or vegetation, as well as the type and seriousness of that effect. *Exhibit D-2: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet* will allow you to collect information needed to form a judgment about toxicity and effects for those aspects that have not been determined significant as a result of regulatory requirements and/or facility policy/goals, community concerns, or pollution prevention potential. You will assign a rank based on your judgment of the seriousness of the effects of this chemical or substance.

Exposure is the amount of material with which workers, the community, or the environment comes into contact. The amount is determined by both severity and time of contact. Severity refers to the amount of material that one can come into contact with at any one time. The time of contact depends on the number of times that contact occurs in a given period (the frequency of contact) and the duration of the contact. *Exhibit D-3: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Materials* will allow you to collect information needed to form a judgment about exposure.

Contact with humans and animal or plant life is characterized as occurring along "pathways." These pathways describe the routes along which the substance must travel before it enters an animal or plant and how the living organism takes up the substance. Several pathways for human exposure include:

- Breathing the material (inhalation pathway);
- Touching the material (skin or dermal pathway); and
- Ingesting (eating or drinking) the material (oral pathway).

Exhibit D-2: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet

| latory Data | by Acute | Pathway | onic. | Other | Environ Effects | mental | | R | Rank |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|--|--|
| (PEL)? Volatile Organic Compound (VOC)? Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? | Inhalation | Dermal | Ingestion | Air | Water | Land | Safety Concerns | Human | Environment |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dat | e Compl | eted: | | | | | | |
| | Compound (VOC)? | Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? | Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? | Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? | Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? Inhalation Dermal Dermal Ingestion A. | Date Completed: | Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? Inhalation Dermal Dermal Ingestion A. | Date Completed: Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? Inhalation Dermal Ingestion Air Water Land Safety | Date Completed: Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? Inhalation Dermal Ingestion Air Water Land Safety Concerns Human |

Exhibit D-3: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Materials

Exposure Time Pathway **Rank Exposed Groups** Quantity Personal Human Environ-Used per Protective (inhalation, mental Time **Equipment** Environdermal, (air, water, (PPE) Period Duration Frequency land) Workers Community **Process** Aspect* oral) ment

| Contact Person: | Date Completed: | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| • | • | |

^{*}For aspects not determined significant by other criteria.

Overview of Risk Ranking Symbols

One way to rank environmental impacts is to use symbols representing a range of high (H) to low (L). Whatever ranking you use (see *Exhibit D-4: Example of Ranking Symbols*), phrase the mean-

ing consistently across all ranking categories. This is most straightforward if you think of "high" as meaning a project you would ultimately like to undertake and "low" as one having lesser priority. Thus, when considering environmental effects, a chemical receiving a "low" rank would be one with low impact or good environmental performance.

Exhibit D-4: Example of Ranking Symbols

| Symbol | Meaning | EMS Meaning |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Н | High | Most environmental impact |
| Mi-H | Moderately High | More environmental impact |
| M | Moderate | Medium environmental impact |
| Mi-L | Moderately Low | Lower environmental impact |
| L | Low | Lowest environmental impact |

Identifying and Ranking Potential Human Health and Environmental Effects

To rank the environmental impacts associated with chemicals and materials used in your business operations, you will need to find information on the human health and environmental effects associated with those chemicals. While there is no single, comprehensive source of information for most chemicals, information is widely available from a number of sources:

Manufacturer's Safety Data Sheets (MSDSs).
 As defined by the Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA) (29 CFR 1910.1200), an MSDS is written or printed material concerning a hazardous material, which is supplied by the manufacturer. You should

receive an MSDS with any chemicals you purchase. Keep these MSDSs in a location that is available for review. *Exhibit D-5: Information Required to be on an MSDS* lists the kinds of information that must be included on these data sheets.

- Your suppliers. Ask them for hazard and exposure information on any products you purchase. Ask them to supply the environmental information that is not on the MSDS.
- Your trade association.
- EPA or state environmental agency.
- Online sources in various Web sites. For example, EPA's Design for the Environment (DfE) Program Web site contains a Risk Guide with additional information.

Exhibit D-5: **Information Required** to be on an **MSDS**

- The identity of the hazardous material (except as provided for materials that are trade secrets).
- The physical and chemical characteristics of the hazardous chemical (such as vapor pressure, flash point).
- The physical hazards of the hazardous chemical, including the potential for fire, explosion, and reactivity.
- The health hazards of the hazardous chemical, including signs and symptoms of exposure and any medical conditions that are generally recognized as being aggravated by exposure to the chemical.
- The primary route(s) of entry.
- The OSHA PEL (Permissible Exposure Level), the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) Threshold Limit Value, and any other exposure limit used or recommended by the chemical manufacturer, importer, or employer preparing the MSDS, where available.
- Whether the hazardous chemical is listed in the National Toxicology Program (NTP) Annual Report on Carcinogens (latest edition) or has been identified as a potential carcinogen in the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Monographs (latest editions) or by OSHA.
- Any generally applicable precautions for safe handling and use that are known to the chemical manufacturer, importer, or employer preparing the MSDS, including appropriate hygienic practices, protective measures during repair and maintenance of contaminated equipment, and procedures for cleanup of spills and leaks.
- Any generally applicable control measures that are known to the chemical manufacturer, importer, or employer preparing the MSDS, such as appropriate engineering controls, work practices, or personal protective equipment.
- Emergency and first aid procedures.
- The date of preparation of the MSDS or the last change to it.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the chemical manufacturer, importer, employer, or other responsible party preparing or distributing the MSDS who can provide additional information on the hazardous chemical and appropriate emergency procedures, if necessary.

Organize the information you have into a format that will enable you to make comparisons among aspects. You may find that sometimes there exists very little information for a particular chemical. That discovery in itself is useful. By using this format and showing where information gaps occur, you will know that whatever decision you make now about using a specific chemical may change if information becomes available at a later date.

Exhibits D-2: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet and D-3: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Material will help you organize your information on the chemicals you use in your business activities. The column headings list the categories and specific information needed. The final column asks for your judgment about the ranking of the environmental concerns associated with the chemical or material under consideration.

Considering Exposure in Ranking Significant Environmental Aspects

Now you will put the effects information together with the exposure information in completing *Exhibit D-6: Worksheet for Impact Ranking*.

- Chemical and Material Risk. In Exhibit D-6: Worksheet for Impact Ranking, Chemical and Material Risk has three subheadings: worker effects/exposure; community exposure; and environment effects/exposure. Place both the ranking for effects from Exhibit D-2: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet and the ranking for exposure from Exhibit D-3: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Material in the appropriate columns. The Exhibit D-2: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet effects rank for humans would be placed in both the worker effects/exposure and community exposure subheadings in Exhibit D-6: Worksheet for Impact Ranking.
- Worker Safety. Look at the exposure and personal protective equipment (PPE) information in *Exhibit D-3: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Material* under worker safety and apply a judgment of ranking. Enter this rank in the worker safety column of *Exhibit D-6: Worksheet for Impact Ranking*.
- Impact Ranking and Determination of Significance. Review the columns for the aspect and make a judgment as to whether it should be determined H, Hi-M, M, Mi-L, or L in rank. This can be done in two ways.

- O Look across the columns and assign a total that, in your judgment, best reflects the individual ranks in each column.
- o Assign a number from 1-5 to each rank such that H = 5 and L = 1. To get an average rank for a particular row, add the ranks across the columns and then divide by the number of columns. For example, if the total of the ranks were 20, and you have 6 individual effects and exposure rankings, then the average rank is 3.33. This corresponds approximately to a Mi-H ranking overall. Thus, place "Mi-H" in the total column and "Yes" (Y) in the significance column.

Integrating Impact Ranking into Significant Aspect Determination

If you choose to include impact ranking as part of your significant aspect determination procedure, then you can substitute *Exhibit D-7: Identification and Significance Determination of Environmental Aspects and Setting Objectives and Targets That Includes Impact Ranking* in place of the Aspects Form provided in *Exhibit 5-5: Identification and Significance Determination of Environmental Aspects and Setting Objectives and Targets.*

| | | Che | mical and Ma | iterial Risk | | | |
|---------|----------|-----|--------------|--------------|------------------|---|---------------------|
| Process | Aspect * | | Exposure | | Worker Safety | - | Significant? Y/N |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Contact Person: | Date Completed: | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | Bute completed: | |

^{*}For aspects not determined significant by other criteria.

Impact Ranking Environmental Aspects and Setting Objectives and Targets That Includes Exhibit D-7: Identification and Significance Determination of

| Person Completing Form | n: | | | | | Are | a/Pro | cess: | Date: | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|---|---|----------|
| ASPECT II | DENTIFICATION | | | | SIGNI | FICA | NCE | DETERMINATION | OBJECTIVES of | &TARGETS |
| Category/Aspect | Inputs, Processes, Outputs, Products | Quantity or Volume | Legal Requirements/ Voluntary Commitments, Company Policy | Community Concern | Pollution Prevention Potential | Impact Ranking | N or S | Rationale for Significance (S) or Non-significance (N) | Objective & Type C = control or maintain S = study or investigate 1 = improve | Target |
| Energy Usage: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Usage: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supplies/ Disposables: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chemicals: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Air Emissions: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Noise/Odor/Radiation: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wastes: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Discharges: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stormwater Discharges: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spillage and Other: | | | | | | | | | | |

Examples

The following example shows you how to use environmental risk information (i.e., Alternative 2) to rank impacts of your environmental aspects. This is a hypothetical example that pertains to new construction indoor painting.

Assume that a number of aspects have already been determined to be significant, because they were the subject of regulatory requirements and/or facility policy/goals, were significant community concerns, and had high pollution prevention potential. However, at least one of the aspects, "Non-abated emissions of VOCs and HAPs from overspray (solvents and dry paint particles) and equipment cleaning solvents" did not meet these criteria. Therefore, you decided to do an environmental impact ranking to determine significance.

Examples of assessments of exposure, effects, and worker safety risks associated with "Non-abated emissions of VOCs and HAPs from overspray (solvents and dry paint particles) and equipment cleaning solvents" are provided in *Example D-1*: Toxicity and Effects Worksbeet-Non-abated VOC and HAP Emissions and Example D-2: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Materials-Nonabated VOC and HAP Emissions, which mirror Exhibit D-2: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet and Exhibit D-3: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Material. The decisions that were made using these worksheets were then transferred to Example D-3: Worksheet for Impact Ranking-Non-abated VOC and HAP Emissions, which mirrors Exhibit D-6: Worksheet for Impact Ranking.

How to Obtain Overall Rank

Overall rank can be obtained in the following two ways:

- Look across the columns and assign a total that in your judgment best reflects the individual ranks in each column; or
- Assign a number from 1-5 to each rank such that H = 5 and L = 1. Sum these across the columns and then divide by the number of columns used to get an average rank for that row. For VOC and HAP non-abated emissions the total would be 20. Divide by 6 (the number of individual ranks). The average rank would be 3.33, which corresponds with Mi-H. Place "Mi-H" in the total column.

Meaning of Rank

The total for ranking the environmental impact of non-abated VOS and HAPs emissions was Mi-H and therefore should be considered significant. This would mean that this aspect would then become the focus of an improvement objective and an associated target. Consequently an environmental management program (EMP) describing who would be doing what, and by when, to achieve the target would also be drafted and monitored for progress.

VOC and **HAP Emissions**

Example D-1: Toxicity and Effects Worksheet—Non-abated

Effects on Wildlife Human Health Effects by or Other **Pathways Environmental Acute and Chronic Regulatory Data Effects** Rank Volatile Organic Compound (VOC)? OSHA Permissible Exposure Limit (PEL)? Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)? Environment Information Source Carcinogen? Inhalation Safety Concerns Ingestion Dermal Human Aspect Water Land Air **Process** New Non-abated MS No 100 Yes Yes NA NA Flam-Н Acute Acute Low Ozone L Construction emissions of DS and toxicity degradamable ppm Indoor VOCs and HAPs chronic tion Painting from overspray (solvents and dry paint particles) and equipment cleaning solvents such as xylene Contact Person: Date Completed:

Non-abated VOC and HAP Emissions Example D-2: Worksheet for Exposure to Chemicals and Materials.

| | | Quantitu | Exposu | ıre Time | Personal | Path | | Ra | nnk Exposed Gr | oups |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| | | Quantity Used per | | | Protective | Human (inhalation, | Environ- mental | | | |
| | | Time | | | Equipment | dermal, | (air, water, | | | Environ- |
| Process | Aspect | Period | Duration | Frequency | (PPE) | oral) | land) | Workers | Community | ment |
| New Construction Indoor Painting | Non-abated emissions of VOCs and HAPs from overspray (solvents and dry paint particles) and equipment cleaning solvents | 50 ppm | Entire shift | Continuous | Respirator | Inhalation, dermal | Air | H w/o PPE | L | M-L |

| Contact Person: | Date Completed: | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| | | |

VOC and HAP Emissions

Example D-3: Worksheet for Impact Ranking—Non-abated

| | | Ch | emical and M | laterial Risk | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------|---------------------|
| Process | Aspect * | Worker Effects/ Exposure | Community Exposure | Environment Effects/Exposure | Worker Safety | _ · | Significant? Y/N |
| New Construction Indoor Painting | Non-abated emissions of VOCs and HAPs from overspray (solvents and dry paint particles) and equipment cleaning solvents | H/H | L | M/M | М | Mi-H | Yes |

| Contact Person: | Date Completed | l : |
|-----------------|----------------|------------|
| | | |

Ranking Notes:
Safety might include reference to a flammable chemical, hence the rank of M.