separate shares. Thus, the taxable income of the estate for the 2000 taxable year is \$2,939,400 (\$3,000,000 (dividend income) minus $\$ 60,000$ (expenses) and $\$ 600$ (personal exemption)). The estate's $\$ 200,000$ interest payment is a nondeductible personal interest expense described in section 163(h).
Example 8. The will of Testator, who dies in 2000, directs the executor to distribute the $X$ stock and all dividends therefrom to child A and the residue of the estate to child $B$. The estate has two separate shares consisting of the income on the $X$ stock bequeathed to $A$ and the residue of the estate bequeathed to $B$. The bequest of the $X$ stock meets the definition of section 663(a)(1) and therefore is not a separate share. If any distributions, other than shares of the $X$ stock, are made during the year to either A or B, then for purposes of determining the distributable net income for the separate shares, gross income attributable to dividends on the $X$ stock must be allocated to A's separate share and any other income must be allocated to B's separate share.
Example 9. The will of Testator, who dies in 2000, directs the executor to divide the residue of the estate equally between Testator's two children, A and B. The will directs the executor to fund A's share first with the proceeds of Testator's individual retirement account. The date of death value of the estate after the payment of debts, expenses, and estate taxes is $\$ 9,000,000$. During 2000, the $\$ 900,000$ balance in Testator's individual retirement account is distributed to the estate. The entire $\$ 900,000$ is allocated to corpus under applicable local law. This amount is income in respect of a decedent within the meaning of section 691(a). The estate has two separate shares, one for the benefit of $A$ and one for the benefit of B. If any distributions are made to either A or B during the year, then, for purposes of determining the distributable net income for each separate share, the $\$ 900,000$ of income in respect of a decedent must be allocated to A's share.
Example 10. The facts are the same as in Example 9, except that the will directs the executor to fund A's share first with X stock valued at $\$ 3,000,000$, rather than with the proceeds of the individual retirement account. The estate has two separate shares, one for the benefit of $A$ and one for the benefit of $B$. If any distributions are made to either $A$ or $B$ during the year, then, for purposes of determining the distributable net income for each separate share, the $\$ 900,000$ of gross income attributable to the proceeds from the individual retirement account must be allocated between the two shares to the extent that they could potentially be funded with those proceeds. The maximum amount of A's share that could potentially be funded with the income in respect of decedent is $\$ 1,500,000$ ( $\$ 4,500,000$ value of share less $\$ 3,000,000$ to be funded with stock) and the maximum
amount of B's share that could potentially be funded with income in respect of decedent is $\$ 4,500,000$. Based upon the relative values of these amounts, the gross income attributable to the proceeds of the individual retirement account is allocated $\$ 225,000$ (or one-fourth) to A's share and $\$ 675,000$ (or three-fourths) to B's share.
Example 11. The will of Testator, who dies in 2000, provides that after the payment of specific bequests of money, the residue of the estate is to be divided equally among the Testator's three children, A, B, and C. The will also provides that during the period of administration one-half of the income from the residue is to be paid to a designated charitable organization. After the specific bequests of money are paid, the estate initially has three equal separate shares. One share is for the benefit of the charitable organization and A, another share is for the benefit of the charitable organization and $B$, and the last share is for the benefit of the charitable organization and C. During the period of administration, payments of income to the charitable organization are deductible by the estate to the extent provided in section 642(c) and are not subject to the distribution provisions of sections 661 and 662.
[T.D. 6500, 25 FR 11814, Nov. 26, 1960; 25 FR 14021, Dec. 31, 1960. Redesignated and amended by T.D. 8849, 64 FR 72543, 72544, Dec. 28, 1999; 65 F R 16317, M ar. 28, 2000]

## § 1.663(c)-6 Effective dates.

Sections 1.663(c)-1 through 1.663(c)-5 are applicable for estates and qualified revocable trusts within the meaning of section 645(b)(1) with respect to decedents who die on or after December 28, 1999. However, for estates and qualified revocable trusts with respect to decedents who died after the date that section 1307 of the Tax Reform Act of 1997 became effective but before December 28, 1999, the IRS will accept any reasonable interpretation of the separate share provisions, including those provisions provided in 1999-11 I.R.B. 41 (see $\S 601.601(\mathrm{~d})(2)(\mathrm{ii})(\mathrm{b})$ of this chapter). F or trusts other than qualified revocable trusts, §1.663(c)-2 is applicable for taxable years of such trusts beginning after December 28, 1999.
[T.D. 8849, 64 FR 72545, Dec. 28, 1999; 65 FR 16317, Mar. 28, 2000]

## § 1.664-1 Charitable remainder trusts.

(a) In general-(1) Introduction-(i) General description of a charitable remainder trust. Generally, a charitable

