

will be considered a “tax shelter item” if the item is directly or indirectly attributable to the principal purpose of a tax shelter to avoid or evade Federal income tax. Thus, if a partnership is established for the principal purposes of the avoidance or evasion of Federal income tax by acquiring and overvaluing property for the purpose of claiming the investment credit under section 38, the investment credit with respect to the property would be a tax shelter item. However, a deduction claimed in connection with a separate transaction carried on by the same partnership is not a tax shelter item if the transaction does not constitute a plan or arrangement the principal purpose of which is the avoidance or evasion of tax.

(d) *Reasonable belief.* For purposes of section 6661, a taxpayer will be considered reasonably to believe that the tax treatment of an item is more likely than not the proper tax treatment if—

(1) The taxpayer analyzes the pertinent facts and authorities in the manner described in §1.6661-3(b)(3) and, in reliance upon that analysis, reasonably concludes that there is a greater than 50-percent likelihood that the tax treatment of the item will be upheld in litigation if the claimed treatment is challenged by the Internal Revenue Service; or

(2) The taxpayer in good faith relies on the opinion of a professional tax advisor, if the opinion is based on the tax advisor’s analysis of the pertinent facts and authorities in the manner described in §1.6661-3(b)(3) and unambiguously states that the tax advisor concludes that there is a greater than 50-percent likelihood that the tax treatment of the item will be upheld in litigation if the claimed tax treatment is challenged by the Internal Revenue Service.

(e) *Pass-through entities.* In the case of tax shelter items (as defined in paragraph (e) of this section) attributable to a pass-through entity (as defined in §1.6661-4(e)), the actions described in paragraphs (d) (1) and (2) of this section, if taken by the entity, will be deemed to have been taken by the taxpayer and will be considered in determining whether the taxpayer reasonably believes that the tax treatment of

an item is more likely than not the proper tax treatment.

[T.D. 8017, 50 FR 12017, Mar. 27, 1985]

§ 1.6661-6 Waiver of penalty.

(a) *In general.* The Commissioner may waive all or part of the penalty imposed by section 6661 on a showing by the taxpayer that there was reasonable cause for the understatement (or part thereof) and that the taxpayer acted in good faith. The circumstances taken into account in determining whether to waive the penalty are described in paragraph (b) of this section. In addition, paragraph (c) of this section describes circumstances in which the penalty will always be waived.

(b) *Reasonable cause and good faith.* In making a determination regarding waiver of the penalty under section 6661, the most important factor in all cases not described in paragraph (c) of this section will be the extent of the taxpayer’s effort to assess the taxpayer’s proper tax liability under the law. For example, reliance on a position contained in a proposed regulation would ordinarily constitute reasonable cause and good faith. In addition, circumstances that may indicate reasonable cause and good faith include an honest misunderstanding of fact or law that is reasonable in light of the experience, knowledge, and education of the taxpayer. Moreover, a computational or transcriptional error would, in general, indicate reasonable cause and good faith. Reliance on an information return or on the advice of a professional (such as an appraiser, an attorney, or an accountant) would not necessarily constitute a showing of reasonable cause and good faith. Similarly, reliance on facts that, unknown to the taxpayer, are incorrect would not necessarily constitute a showing of reasonable cause and good faith. Reliance on an information return, professional advice, or other facts, however, would constitute a showing of reasonable cause and good faith if, under all the circumstances, such reliance was reasonable and the taxpayer acted in good faith. For example, reliance on erroneous information (such as an error relating to the cost of property, the date property was placed in service, or

the amount of opening or closing inventory) inadvertently included in data compiled by the various divisions of a multidivisional corporation or in financial books and records prepared by those divisions would, in general, indicate reasonable cause and good faith, provided the corporation had internal controls and procedures, reasonable under the circumstances, that were designed to identify factual errors. Accordingly, waiver of the section 6661 penalty attributable to an understatement caused by such an error would be appropriate. Similarly, a taxpayer's reliance on erroneous information reported on a Form 1099 would indicate reasonable cause and good faith, and waiver would be appropriate, if the taxpayer did not know or have reason to know that the information was incorrect. Generally, a taxpayer would know or have reason to know that the information on a Form 1099 is incorrect only if such information is inconsistent with other information reported to the taxpayer or is inconsistent with the taxpayer's knowledge concerning the amount and rate of return of the payor's obligation. In the case of an understatement that is related to an item on the return of a pass-through entity (as defined in § 1.6661-4(e)), the good faith or lack of good faith of the entity generally will be imputed to the taxpayer that has the understatement. Any good faith imputed to the taxpayer under the preceding sentence, however, may be refuted by other factors indicating lack of good faith on the part of the taxpayer.

(c) *Automatic waiver; qualified amended returns*—(1) *In general.* If the taxpayer shows an additional amount of tax or makes adequate disclosure with respect to an item in the manner prescribed in § 1.6661-4 on a qualified amended return, the Commissioner will waive any penalty that would not have been imposed if the additional amount of tax had been shown or the adequate disclosure had been made on the return of the taxpayer. Thus, the entire penalty will be waived if there would not have been a substantial understatement (as defined in paragraph (b) of § 1.6661-2) had the taxpayer shown the additional amount of tax or made the

adequate disclosure on the taxpayer's original return.

(2) *Qualified amended return.* For purposes of this paragraph, a "qualified amended return" is an amended return, so-called, or a timely request for an administrative adjustment under section 6227, filed after the due date of the return and before the earlier of—

(i) The time the taxpayer is first contacted by the Internal Revenue Service concerning an examination of the return; or

(ii) The time any person described in section 6700(a) (relating to the penalty for promoting abusive tax shelters) is first contacted by the Internal Revenue Service concerning an examination of an activity described in section 6700(a) with respect to which the taxpayer claimed any tax benefit on the return directly or indirectly through the entity, plan, or arrangement described in section 6700(a)(1)(A).

(3) *Pass-through entities.* For purposes of paragraph (c)(1) of this section, no account is taken of an additional amount of tax shown or disclosure made with respect to an item attributable to a pass-through entity (as defined in § 1.6661-4(e)), unless the qualified amended return is filed by the taxpayer before the date such pass-through entity is first contacted by the Internal Revenue Service concerning an examination of the return of which the item is attributable.

(4) *Special rule.* The Commissioner may by revenue procedure prescribe the manner in which this section may apply to particular classes of taxpayers.

[T.D. 8017, 50 FR 12018, Mar. 27, 1985]

§ 1.6662-0 Table of contents.

This section lists the captions that appear in §§ 1.6662-1 through 1.6662-7.

§ 1.6662-1 Overview of the accuracy-related penalty.

§ 1.6662-2 Accuracy-related penalty.

- (a) In general.
- (b) Amount of penalty.
 - (1) In general.
 - (2) Increase in penalty for gross valuation misstatement.
 - (c) No stacking of accuracy-related penalty components.
 - (d) Effective dates.