§ 1.475(b)-2

was not acquired in the taxpayer's capacity as a dealer in such securities.

(iii) *Applicability*. The rules of paragraph (e)(2) apply only to securities acquired before January 23, 1997.

[T.D. 8700, 61 FR 67720, Dec. 24, 1996, as amended by T.D. 8985, 67 FR 12865, Mar. 20, 2002]

$\S\,1.475(b)\mbox{--}2$ Exemptions—identification requirements.

- (a) Identification of the basis for exemption. An identification of a security as exempt from mark to market does not satisfy section 475(b)(2) if it fails to state whether the security is described in—
- (1) Either of the first two subparagraphs of section 475(b)(1) (identifying a security as held for investment or not held for sale); or
- (2) The third subparagraph thereof (identifying a security as a hedge).
- (b) Time for identifying a security with a substituted basis. For purposes of determining the timeliness of an identification under section 475(b)(2), the date that a dealer acquires a security is not affected by whether the dealer's basis in the security is determined, in whole or in part, either by reference to the basis of the security in the hands of the person from whom the security was acquired or by reference to other property held at any time by the dealer. See §1.475(a)-3 for rules governing how the dealer accounts for such a security if this identification is not made.
- (c) Integrated transactions under \$1.1275-6—(1) Definitions. The following terms are used in this paragraph (c) with the meanings that are given to them by \$1.1275-6: integrated transaction, legging into, legging out, qualifying debt instrument, \$1.1275-6 hedge, and synthetic debt instrument.
- (2) Synthetic debt held by a taxpayer as a result of legging in. If a taxpayer is treated as the holder of a synthetic debt instrument as the result of legging into an integrated transaction, then, for purposes of the timeliness of an identification under section 475(b)(2), the synthetic debt instrument is treated as having the same acquisition date as the qualifying debt instrument. A pre-leg-in identification of the qualifying debt instrument under sec-

tion 475(b)(2) applies to the integrated transaction as well.

(3) Securities held after legging out. If a taxpayer legs out of an integrated transaction, then, for purposes of the timeliness of an identification under section 475(b)(2), the qualifying debt instrument, or the §1.1275-6 hedge, that remains in the taxpayer's hands is generally treated as having been acquired, originated, or entered into, as the case may be, immediately after the leg-out. If any loss or deduction determined under §1.1275-6(d)(2)(ii)(B) is disallowed by §1.1275-6(d)(2)(ii)(D) (which disallows deductions when a taxpayer legs out of an integrated transaction within 30 days of legging in), then, for purposes of this section and section 475(b)(2), the qualifying debt instrument that remains in the taxpayer's hands is treated as having been acguired on the same date that the synthetic debt instrument was treated as having been acquired.

[T.D. 8700, 61 FR 67722, Dec. 24, 1996]

§1.475(b)-3 [Reserved]

§ 1.475(b)-4 Exemptions—transitional issues.

(a) Transitional identification—(1) Certain securities previously identified under section 1236. If, as of the close of the last taxable year ending before December 31, 1993, a security was identified under section 1236 as a security held for investment, the security is treated as being identified as held for investment for purposes of section 475(b).

(2) Consistency requirement for other securities. In the case of a security (including a security described in section 475(c)(2)(F)) that is not described in paragraph (a)(1) of this section and that was held by the taxpayer as of the close of the last taxable year ending before December 31, 1993, the security is treated as having been properly identified under section 475(b)(2) 475(c)(2)(F)(iii) if the information contained in the dealer's books and records as of the close of that year supports the identification. If there is any ambiguity in those records, the taxpayer must, no later than January 31, 1994, place in its records a statement resolving this ambiguity and indicating unambiguously which securities