NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

E. D. TABS

APRIL 1996

FEDERAL LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION CENTERS IN THE UNITED STATES: 1994

NATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS

E. D. TABS

APRIL 1996

FEDERAL LIBRARIES AND INFORMATION CENTERS IN THE UNITED STATES: 1994

A Report Prepared for the National Center for Education Statistics by the Governments Division, Bureau of the Census

U.S. Department of Education

Richard W. Riley Secretary

Office of Educational Research and Improvement

Sharon P. Robinson Assistant Secretary

National Center for Education Statistics

Emerson J. Elliott Commissioner

National Center for Education Statistics

The survey was conducted with the Center's mission "to collect current, up-to-date detailed data on library and information services in the Federal Government...", (The United States Code, Title 20).

April 1996

Contact:

For more information about obtaining the report and data files through Internet, Government Printing Office (GPO),

Highlights

- In 1994, 1,234 Federal libraries and information centers were identified in the 50 states and the District of Columbia (Table 1).
- Of the 1,234 Federal libraries and information centers identified, 1,161 were survey respondents (Table 1).
- Library/Information Center users (clientele) were most often described as agency staff (81.5 percent), other Federal staff (61.9 percent), and targeted populations (59.5 percent) (Table 5).
- Of respondents, 40.0 percent reported the general public among major clientele (Table 5), and 52.7 percent reported that services are available to the general public (Table 6).
- Of respondents, 85.0 percent reported numbers of book volumes in print, 16.3 percent reported microform book volume equivalents, and 16.4 percent reported book volume equivalents in electronic format (Table 7).
- Volumes in book print collection of less than 25,000 were reported by 68.9 percent of respondents, with 1.5 percent reporting none (derived from Table 8).
- Of the responding Federal libraries and information centers, 95.8 percent reported that reference services are provided by staff, 20.6 percent reported by parent or other government agency library, and 15.6 percent reported contracted services (Table 9).
- On-line searches per typical week were reported by 68.0 percent of respondents, CD-ROM searches by 55.4 percent, OPAC (on-line public access catalog) and other in-house database searches by 25.8 percent, and Internet searches by 24.2 percent (Table 10).
- Of respondents, 51.4 percent reported providing Selective Dissemination of Information service, 38.4 percent reported preparing published bibliographies, 34 percent reported producing other publications, and 17.4 percent reported producing on-line or CD-ROM databases (derived from Table 13).
- Of a variety of technical functions, cataloging was automated or under development in the highest percentage of respondents (70.3 percent) (Table 14).
- As to technologies available, 84 percent of respondents reported FAX, 78.6 percent reported E-mail, 76.2 percent reported CD-ROM, 61.3 percent reported Local Area Network, and 55.1 percent reported Internet available (Table 15).
- Contract staff on site was reported by 18.8 percent of respondents (Table 16).
- Of respondents, 47.3 percent reported less than three total full-time equivalent (FTE) staff including contract staff on site (derived from Table 17).
- Finally, 42.7 percent of respondents reported having undertaken or developed preservation activities (derived from Table 18).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The collection and publication of a census of this magnitude has been a team effort. It would not have been accomplished without the support of the Federal information service personnel who provided data; the Executive Director and staff of the Federal Library and Information Center Committee (FLICC) , Library of Congress; and the FLICC Survey Working Group and its task forces. FLICC works on behalf of Federal libraries and information c enters around the world to achieve better utilization of resources and facilities through professional development, promotion of services, and resource sharing.

Thanks to the FLICC Survey Working Group (SWG) members who led the effort during the time frame these data were collected and processed. Past and present members are:

Department of Agriculture

National Agricultural Library Janice Kemp, 1991 - 1995 Maria Pisa, 1992 - 1996 Jerry Rafats, 1991

Department of Commerce

Bureau of the Census Theresa Brashears, 1994 - 1995 Patricia Garner (Census Project Officer), 1992 - 1995

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Marvin Bond, Office of Information Services, 1991 - 1995; Volunteer (retired), 1995 - 1996

Department of Defense

Department of the Air Force

Frances Quinn Deel, Bolling Air Force Base Library, 1991 - 1993

Department of the Army

Kathy Earnest, Pentagon Library, 1991 - 1993

Tracy Landfried, Ordnance Center and School Library, 1993 - 1996

Lee Porter, Community and Family Support Center, 1991 - 1992

Department of the Navy

Laurie Stackpole, Naval Research Laboratory, Ruth H. Hooker Technical Library, 1991 - 1996

Department of Education

Library Programs

Liz Tobert, 1991 - 1992

National Center for Education Statistics

Elizabeth Gerald, 1994

Martha Hollins, 1995-1996

Carrol Kindel (NCES Project Officer for the survey), 1991 - 1995

Roslyn Korb (NCES Project Officer for special products), 1995 - 1996

National Library of Education (formerly, Education Research Library)

Milbrey Jones, 1991 - 1994

Department of Health and Human Services

National Institutes of Health Library

Elsie Cerutti, 1992 - 1993

Maxine Hanke, 1992 - 1995; Volunteer (retired), 1995 - 1996

National Library of Medicine (NIH)

Ronald Gordner, 1991 - 1996

Department of Veterans Affairs

Diane Wiesenthal, Learning Resources Office, 1991 - 1992

Library of Congress

Gerry Burguera, 1991 - 1992

Peter Galley, 1991 - 1992

Mary Levering (FLICC Executive Director), 1991 - 1993

Louis Mortimer (FLICC Acting Executive Director), 1994

Donald Panzera, 1991 - 1992

Michael Shelley, 1991 - 1992

Charles Stanhope, 1993 - 1996

Carolyn Sung, 1991 - 1992

Susan Tarr (FLICC Executive Director), 1994 - 1996

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Adelaide Del Frate, Office of Information Resources Management, 1991

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Elizabeth Yeates (Chair, SWG), Office of the Secretary of the Commission, Public Documents Branch, 1991 - 1996

Smithsonian Institution

Sheila Riley, Smithsonian Institution Libraries, 1992 - 1996

Thanks also to the SWG Task Force members, who worked with the SWG in development of the survey instrument, universe or mailing list, and publicity, primarily in 1993 and 1994.

Administrative Conference of the U.S., Library: Katie Ziegler

<u>Department of Agriculture</u>, Forest Service National Wetlands Research Center: Judy Buys; Nationa l Agricultural Library: Alan Fusonie

<u>Department of Commerce</u>, Patent and Trademark Office, Scientific and Technical Information Center: Barbara McDougald

Department of Defense, Army: Cynthia Banicki, Patricia Henry, and Maryanne Randall

Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health Library: Margarett Kunz

<u>Department of the Interior</u>, National Biological Service: Ell-Piret Multer

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Center for Aerospace Information: Susan Hayes

National Gallery of Art, Library: Lamia Doumato

Smithsonian Institution Libraries: Vicki Avera and Carolyn Hamilton

In addition, members of the FLICC Preservation and Binding Working Group, especially Co-Chair s Karma Beal (<u>Department of Commerce</u>, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Office of Information Services) and Richard Myers (<u>National Archives and Records Administration</u>), developed much of the input for the survey Section K--Preservation.

Finally, special recognition goes to two FLICC staff members. Anna Bohlin provided cheerful, dedicated administrative support to the SWG throughout the project; and Dee Dolan contributed creative, innovative ideas and products to the outreach effort through her work as chief editor of FLICC publications.

Table of Contents

Highlight	rage Siii
Acknowle	dgments
Introduct	ion
Tables	
Table 1	Number and percent of responding libraries/information centers by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 2	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by type of library, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 3	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by type of reporting entity, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 3A	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by type of reporting entity, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994
Table 4	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by type of organizational component to which entity reports, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 4A	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by type of organizational component to which entity reports, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994
Table 5	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of users (clientele), and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 5A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of users (clientele), and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994
Table 6	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers that serve as a government depository and the percentage with services available to the general public by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 6A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers that serve as a government depository and the percentage with services available to the general public by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994
Table 7	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by collection formats, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 7A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by collection formats, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994
Table 8	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by size of book print collection (volumes), and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994
Table 8A	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by size of book print collection (volumes), and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994

Table 9	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by ways reference services are provided, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	22
Table 9A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by ways reference services are provided, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	23
Table 10	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of requests or searches, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	24
Table 10A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of requests or searches, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year Page 1994	25
Table 11	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by number of directional/ready reference requests per typical week, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	26
Table 11A	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by number of directional/ready reference requests per typical week, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994 2	27
Table 12	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by number of substantive reference requests per typical week, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	28
Table 12A	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by number of substantive reference requests per typical week, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	29
Table 13	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of service performed, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	31
Table 13A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of service performed, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	33
Table 14	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by automation of functions, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	35
Table 14A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by automation of functions, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	37
Table 15	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of technology available, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	38
Table 15A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of technology available, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	39
Table 16	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of FTE staff, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	40
Table 16A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of FTE staff, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	41
Table 17	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by number of paid full-time equivalent (FTE) staff (including contract staff on site), and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	12
Table 17A	Percentage distribution of Federal libraries/information centers by number of paid full-time equivalent (FTE) staff (including contract staff on site), and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	43

Table 18	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of preservation activity, and by governmental organization: Fiscal year 1994	44
Table 18A	Percentage of Federal libraries/information centers by type of preservation activity, and by type of library/information center: Fiscal year 1994	45
Methodolo	gy	48
	Background	47
	Scope	47
	Data collection	48
	Editing	48
	Abbreviations	48
Survey Ou	estionnaire (including Instructions)	67

Introduction

This report is based on information from the Federal Libraries and Information Centers Survey, FY 1994. This survey was conducted by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) of the U.S. Department of Education with additional support from the Federal Library and Information Center Committee of the Library of Congress. The Bureau of the Census acted as collecting agent for NCES. The data in this report come from the Federal libraries and information centers that meet certain criteria (see page 2 of the form), which includes having at least one paid part-time or full-time principal staff person. At the national level, 94.1 percent of the libraries and information centers responded. Data were not imputed for nonrespondents. Caution should be exercised when using estimates with lower response rates. Data were suppressed in the tables per NCES statistical standard when the total response rate was less than 70 percent. Total response equals unit response rate multiplied by item response rate. Response columns on each table represent both unit and item unit response. See Table 1 for the number and percent of responding Federal libraries and information centers by governmental organization.

The tables in this publication summarize staffing, collections, service per typical week, automation, technology, and preservation for Federal libraries and information centers in the 50 states and District of Columbia; excluding elementary and secondary school libraries (see Methodology section for more detail). The requests and searches service data are per typical week FY 1994; whereas, the remainder of the data are annual FY 1994. FY 1994 is defined as the most recent complete fiscal year that ended prior to October 1, 1994.

The Federal Libraries and Information Centers Survey updates the Federal library statistics last collected in 1978. The survey will also help establish a current national profile of Federal libraries and information centers.

TABLES

Methodology

The Federal Libraries and Background. Information Centers Survey is the sixth Federal library survey, the first since 1978, and the first to include information centers. Since 1991, the Federal Library and Information Center Committee (FLICC) and its Survey Working Group worked to develop the survey in cooperation with the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) and the Bureau of the Census, the survey collection agent. Majo r projects involved in developing the survey instrument and defining the universe included dissemination of a survey pretest to a sample of 200 facilities in the fall of 1993, the mailing of a locator questionnaire to 3,000 facilities in the spring of 1994 to determine universe eligibility, revision of the survey instrument based on the pretest, and dissemination of a second pretest to a sample of 50 facilities in the fall of 1994.

A variety of sources were searched to develop the initial universe list of approximately 3,200 facilities used as the basis for the locator questionnaire mailing. The primary source lists are the Oryx Directory of Federal Libraries and the Federal Library and Information Network (FEDLINK) mailing list. Additional source lists include the Federal Health Care Libraries Directory, the U.S. Department of Navy Libraries list, a list of Government Agencies with Public Document Rooms, the Department of Defense (DOD) schools list, the Air Force Library and Information System Address list, and the U.S. Government Manual.

The final universe excludes approximately 800 facilities that are overseas (United State's Information Service (USIS) and DOD) and/or elementary and secondary school libraries (DOD and Bureau of Indian Affairs). The overseas facilities were removed because of logistical problems in data collection. The elementary and secondary school libraries were excluded, since they have a different mission and function than most Federal libraries and to reduce reporting burden. NCES conducts a separate survey of School Library Media Centers and

Library Media Center Specialists which includes these schools.

In addition, approximately another 800 facilities were eliminated from the initial universe for any of the following reasons: out-of-scope of the survey definitions, combined with another facility, duplicated other facilities in the universe, or facility closed.

Scope. The Federal Libraries and Information Centers survey was mailed to 1,571 facilities in the United States in January 1995.

Of the 1,571 facilities, 337 facilities were excluded from the survey because they were not Federal libraries or information centers as defined by the survey. For the purposes of this survey, a library is defined as an organization that includes among its functions the following: selection, acquisition, organization, preservation, retrieval, and provision of access to information resources. An information center is defined as an organization that performs the function of linking requestors with appropriate information resources through established mechanisms, such as database searching, providing referrals, answering specific questions, or by other means. Facilities were included in the survey which (a) are either a library or an information center a s defined above (not a public affairs office, an agency locator service, a records management facility, a publications distribution facility, or a computer center), (b) are staffed with at leas t one paid part-time or full-time librarian, technical information specialist, technician, archivist, or other trained person whose principal function is to assist others in meeting their information needs, (c) are considered to be a Federal Government operation or receiving a majority (at least half) of its funding from Federal appropriations, and (d) support the information needs of a Federa l agency or supply information as part of the agency's mission. Thus there were 1,234 Federal libraries and information centers in the 50 states and District of Columbia.

Note in Table 2 and in the 'A' tables (by type of library/information center) that the National libraries includes National Archives and Records Administration branch libraries/information centers.

Data Collection. Due date for return of questionnaires was March 3, 1995, but response was slow, with only 35 percent response by the Repeated telephone reminders, additional mailings, and special appeals by the FLICC members had increased response to 73 percent by June 16. Because of the need to raise survey response to an acceptable level, a n additional letter mailing with questionnaires was followed by an intensive telephone follow-up operation. Additional questionnaires were sen t by fax and returns were encouraged by fax instead of mail. Follow-up efforts were close d out the end of August and brought final response by September 1 to 94 percent. Table 1 provides more information on unit response rate.

Editing. The data were manually edited before keying for reporting errors, such as more than one box marked for items allowing only on e answer. dBASE computer programs included many edit checks and provided edit warning/error reports after the data were keyed. Examples of these edit checks are listed below:

- Relational edit checks--The program compares data entries from on e section of the questionnaire with data entries from another section of the questionnaire for consistency. For example, if CD-ROM searches were reported, the program would expect CD-ROM technology available to be reported. If CD-ROM technology available is blank, the record is listed on the edit report as a possible error. Another example is if the number of contract staff is equal to the sum of other employees, the record is listed as a possible error.
- 2. Numeric checks--Numeric data were listed by size and data checks were established based on pretest data to verify the largest data values. For example, if total staff equals zero or is greater than 99, the record is listed as a possible error. One problem requirin g

special follow-up by Census Bureau staff involved libraries/information centers reporting reference requests and searches on an annual or other basis instead of weekly. A sample of all cases was called to evaluate the extent of the problem. Based on the sample findings, all of the largest values were verified since they were most often incorrect. (NOTE: Approximately 10 percent of the requests and searches data required corrections. Caution should be exercised in using these data since only a sample of the lower values were verified.)

When possible errors were identified by the edit checks, Bureau of the Census personnel contacted the facility to resolve the problem.

Abbreviations. The following abbreviations for governmental organizations are used throughout the tables:

HHS - Department of Health and Human Services

HUD - Department of Housing and Urban Development

EPA - Environmental Protection Agency

NASA - National Aeronautics and Space Administration