

CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



JUNE 2008

NEAR REAL-TIME OCEAN / ATMOSPHERE

Monitoring, Assessments, and Prediction

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
National Weather Service
National Centers for Environmental Prediction**

CLIMATE DIAGNOSTICS BULLETIN



CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER
Attn: Climate Diagnostics Bulletin
W/NP52, Room 605, WWBG
Camp Springs, MD 20746-4304

Chief Editor: Gerald D. Bell

Editors: Wei Shi, Michelle L'Heureux, and Michael Halpert

Bulletin Production: Wei Shi

External Collaborators:

Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies (COAPS)

Cooperative Institute for Research in the Atmosphere (CIRA)

Earth & Space Research

International Research Institute for Climate and Society (IRI)

Joint Institute for the Study of the Atmosphere and Ocean (JISAO)

Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory (LDEO)

NOAA-CIRES, Climate Diagnostics Center

NOAA-AOML, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratory

NOAA-NESDIS-STAR, Center for Satellite Applications and Research

NOAA-NDBC, National Data Buoy Center

Scripps Institution of Oceanography

Software: Most of the bulletin figures generated at CPC are created using the Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS).

- Climate Diagnostics Bulletin available on the World Wide Web

The CDB is available on the World Wide Web. The address of the online version of the CDB is:

<http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/CDB>

If you have any problems accessing the bulletin, contact Dr. Wei Shi by E-mail:

Wei.Shi@noaa.gov

Table of Contents

TROPICS

Highlights *page 6*
 Table of Atmospheric Indices *page 7*
 Table of Oceanic Indices *page 8*

FIGURE

Time Series

Southern Oscillation Index (SOI)	T1
Tahiti and Darwin SLP Anomalies	T1
OLR Anomalies	T1
CDAS/Reanalysis SOI & Equatorial SOI	T2
200-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T3
500-hPa Temperature Anomalies	T3
30-hPa and 50-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T3
850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T4
Equatorial Pacific SST Anomalies	T5

Time-Longitude Sections

Mean and Anomalous Sea Level Pressure	T6
Mean and Anomalous 850-hPa Zonal Wind	T7
Mean and Anomalous OLR	T8
Mean and Anomalous SST	T9
Pentad SLP Anomalies	T10
Pentad OLR Anomalies	T11
Pentad 200-hPa Velocity Potential Anomalies	T12
Pentad 850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies	T13
Anomalous Equatorial Zonal Wind	T14
Mean and Anomalous Depth of the 20°C Isotherm	T15

Mean & Anomaly Fields

Depth of the 20°C Isotherm	T16
Subsurface Equatorial Pacific Temperatures	T17
SST	T18
SLP	T19
850-hPa Vector Wind	T20
200-hPa Vector Wind	T21
200-hPa Streamfunction	T22
200-hPa Divergence	T23
200-hPa Velocity Potential and Divergent Wind	T24
OLR	T25
SSM/I Tropical Precipitation Estimates	T26
Cloud Liquid Water	T27
Precipitable Water	T28
Divergence & E-W Divergent Circulation	T29 - T30
Pacific Zonal Wind & N-S Divergent Circulation	T31 - T32

Appendix 1: Outside Contributions

Tropical Drifting Buoys	A1.1
Thermistor Chain Data	A1.2
TAO/TRITON Array Time-Longitude Sections	A1.3 - A1.4

FIGURE

East Pacific SST and Sea Level	A1.5
Pacific Wind Stress and Anomalies	A1.6
Satellite-Derived Surface Currents	A1.7 - A1.8

FORECAST FORUM

Discussion *page 49*

Canonical Correlation Analysis Forecasts	F1 - F2
NCEP Coupled Model Forecasts	F3 - F4
NCEP Markov Model Forecasts	F5 - F6
LDEO Model Forecasts	F7 - F8
Linear Inverse Modeling Forecasts	F9 - F10
Scripps/MPI Hybrid Coupled Model Forecast	F11
ENSO-CLIPER Model Forecast	F12
Model Forecasts of Niño 3.4	F13

EXTRATROPICS

Highlights *page 64*

Table of Teleconnection Indices *page 66*

Global Surface Temperature	E1
Temperature Anomalies (Land Only)	E2
Global Precipitation	E3
Regional Precipitation Estimates	E4 - E5
U. S. Precipitation	E6

Northern Hemisphere

Teleconnection Indices	E7
Mean and Anomalous SLP	E8
Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights	E9
Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors	E10
500-hPa Persistence	E11
Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height Anomalies	E12
700-hPa Storm Track	E13

Southern Hemisphere

Mean and Anomalous SLP	E14
Mean and Anomalous 500-hPa heights	E15
Mean and Anomalous 300-hPa Wind Vectors	E16
500-hPa Persistence	E17
Time-Longitude Sections of 500-hPa Height Anomalies	E18

Stratosphere

Height Anomalies	S1 - S2
Temperatures	S3 - S4
Ozone	S5 - S6
Vertical Component of EP Flux	S7
Ozone Hole	S8

Appendix 2: Additional Figures

Arctic Oscillation and 500-hPa Anomalies	A2.1
Snow Cover	A2.2

Tropical Highlights - June 2008

A transition from La Niña to ENSO-neutral conditions occurred during June 2008, as sea surface temperatures (SSTs) returned to near-average across the east-central equatorial Pacific Ocean (**Fig. T18**). Also, positive SST anomalies continued in the eastern equatorial Pacific. Consistent with this pattern, the latest monthly SST index was -0.3°C in the Niño-3.4 region, and $+0.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the Niño 1+2 region (**Table T2**). Across the equatorial Pacific, a deeper than average thermocline (**Fig. T16**) and above average temperatures ($+1^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$) at thermocline depth also reflected the transition to ENSO neutral conditions (**Fig. T17**).

However, enhanced low-level easterly winds and upper-level westerly winds continued across the central equatorial Pacific during June (**Figs. T20, T21, Table T1**). Convection remained suppressed throughout the central equatorial Pacific and enhanced over the far western Pacific (**Figs. T25, E3**). Collectively, these atmospheric conditions continued to indicate a lingering La Niña signal.

For the latest status of the ENSO cycle see the ENSO Diagnostic Discussion at:
http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analysis_monitoring/enso_advisory/index.html

MONTH	SLP ANOMALIES		TAHITI minus DARWIN SOI	850-hPa ZONAL WIND INDEX			200-hPa WIND INDEX	OLR Index
	TAHITI	DARWIN		5N-5S 135E-180	5N-5S 175W-140W	5N-5S 135W-120W		
JUN 08	1.2	0.8	0.3	1.7	0.5	-1.1	0.5	5N-5S 160E-160W 0.4
MAY 08	0.8	1.3	-0.3	1.3	0.7	-1.2	0.7	1.2
APR 08	1.3	0.5	0.6	2.4	1.1	-1.1	1.6	1.5
MAR 08	2.7	1.0	1.1	2.8	1.1	-1.1	1.2	2.4
FEB 08	2.6	-1.7	2.7	2.7	1.6	-0.6	2.5	2.5
JAN 08	1.7	-1.3	1.9	1.1	1.6	-0.1	1.8	1.0
DEC 07	1.5	-1.3	1.8	3.7	1.7	-0.1	0.8	2.2
NOV 07	0.3	-1.1	0.9	1.3	1.8	1.0	1.7	0.8
OCT 07	0.3	-0.6	0.6	1.1	0.6	-0.1	0.2	1.4
SEP 07	-0.1	-0.4	0.2	1.0	1.3	1.2	1.5	0.8
AUG 07	0.9	0.8	0.1	0.9	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.6
JUL 07	0.5	1.4	-0.5	1.1	0.1	-1.0	0.2	1.1
JUN 07	-0.5	-0.8	0.2	1.8	0.8	-0.1	1.2	0.6

TABLE T1 - Atmospheric index values for the most recent 12 months. Indices are standardized by the mean annual standard deviation, except for the Tahiti and Darwin SLP anomalies which are in units of hPa. Positive (negative) values of 200-hPa zonal wind index imply westerly (easterly) anomalies. Positive (negative) values of 850-hPa zonal wind indices imply easterly (westerly) anomalies.

MONTH	PACIFIC SST				ATLANTIC SST		Global							
	NIÑO 1+2 0-10°S 90°W-80°W	NIÑO 3 5°N-5°S 150°W-90- °W	NIÑO 3.4 5°N-5°S 170°W-12- 0°W	NIÑO 4 5°N-5°S 160°E-150- °W	N. ATL 5N-20N 60W-30W	S. ATL 0-20S 30W-10E								
JUN 08	0.6	23.7	0.2	26.6	-0.3	27.2	-0.6	28.1	0.2	26.8	0.7	25.5	0.0	27.9
MAY 08	0.1	24.4	0.0	27.1	-0.6	27.2	-0.8	27.9	0.0	26.3	0.9	26.9	-0.1	28.3
APR 08	0.4	25.9	-0.2	27.2	-0.9	26.8	-1.0	27.4	-0.1	25.7	0.7	27.5	-0.2	28.3
MAR 08	0.8	27.3	-0.6	26.5	-1.1	26.0	-1.3	26.8	0.0	25.5	0.7	27.6	-0.2	27.9
FEB 08	0.2	26.3	-1.4	25.0	-1.9	24.8	-1.6	26.4	-0.1	25.4	0.4	26.8	-0.3	27.4
JAN 08	-0.7	23.8	-1.5	24.1	-1.8	24.7	-1.5	26.6	0.1	26.0	0.2	25.6	-0.3	27.2
DEC 07	-2.0	20.8	-1.5	23.6	-1.5	25.0	-0.9	27.4	0.2	26.9	0.1	24.6	-0.2	27.2
NOV 07	-2.2	19.5	-1.8	23.2	-1.5	25.1	-0.9	27.4	0.2	27.7	0.0	23.9	-0.3	27.2
OCT 07	-2.1	18.8	-1.5	23.4	-1.4	25.2	-0.6	27.9	0.2	28.1	-0.1	23.3	-0.2	27.2
SEP 07	-1.9	18.6	-1.3	23.6	-0.8	25.8	-0.4	28.1	0.1	28.0	0.2	23.1	-0.1	27.0
AUG 07	-1.6	19.2	-1.1	23.9	-0.5	26.2	0.1	28.6	0.1	27.7	0.1	23.1	0.0	27.1
JUL 07	-1.6	20.3	-0.8	24.8	-0.3	26.8	0.2	28.8	0.2	27.2	0.2	23.8	0.1	27.4
JUN 07	-1.4	21.7	-0.5	25.9	0.1	27.6	0.4	29.0	0.2	26.8	0.4	25.2	0.2	28.1

TABLE T2. Mean and anomalous sea surface temperature (°C) for the most recent 12 months. Anomalies are departures from the 1971–2000 adjusted OI climatology (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).




FIGURE T1. Five-month running mean of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) (top), sea-level pressure anomaly (hPa) at Darwin and Tahiti (middle), and outgoing longwave radiation anomaly (OLR) averaged over the area 5N-5S, 160E-160W (bottom). Anomalies in the top and middle panels are departures from the 1951-1980 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies in the bottom panel are departures from the 1979-1995 base period means. Individual monthly values are indicated by “x”s in the top and bottom panels. The x-axis labels are centered on July.




FIGURE T2. Three-month running mean of a CDAS/Reanalysis-derived (a) Southern Oscillation Index (RSOI), (b) standardized pressure anomalies near Tahiti (solid) and Darwin (dashed), (c) an equatorial SOI ([EPAC] - [INDO]), and (d) standardized equatorial pressure anomalies for (EPAC) (solid) and (INDO) (dashed). Anomalies are departures from the 1979–95 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The equatorial SOI is calculated as the normalized difference between the standardized anomalies averaged between 5°N–5°S, 80°W–130°W (EPAC) and 5°N–5°S, 90°E–140°E (INDO).




FIGURE T3. Five-month running mean (solid lines) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the 200-hPa zonal wind anomalies averaged over the area 5N-5S, 165W-110W (top), the 500-hPa virtual temperature anomalies averaged over the latitude band 20N-20S (middle), and the equatorial zonally-averaged zonal wind anomalies at 30-hPa (red) and 50-hPa (blue) (bottom). In the top panel, anomalies are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period means. The x-axis labels are centered on January.




FIGURE T4. Five-month running mean (solid line) and individual monthly mean (dots) of the standardized 850-hPa zonal wind anomaly index in the latitude belt 5N-5S for 135E-180 (top), 175W-140W (middle) and 135W-120W (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period means and are normalized by the mean annual standard deviation. The x-axis labels are centered on January. Positive (negative) values indicate easterly (westerly) anomalies.





FIGURE T5. Niño region indices, calculated as the area-averaged sea surface temperature anomalies (C) for the specified region. The Niño 1+2 region (top) covers the extreme eastern equatorial Pacific between 0-10S, 90W-80W. The Niño-3 region (2nd from top) spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Niño 3.4 region (3rd from top) spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. The Niño 4 region (bottom) spans the date line and covers the area 5N-5S, 160E-150W. Anomalies are departures from the 1971-2000 base period monthly means (*Smith and Reynolds 1998, J. Climate, 11, 3320-3323*). Monthly values of each index are also displayed in [Table 2](#).




FIGURE T6. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 1.0 hPa (top) and 0.5 hPa (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T7. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms^{-1} . Blue shading and dashed contours indicate easterlies (top) and easterly anomalies (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T8. Time-longitude section of mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation (OLR) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 10 Wm⁻². Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative OLR anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-month running average.




FIGURE T9. Time-longitude section of monthly mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST) averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 1C (top) and 0.5C (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1971-2000 base period means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).





FIGURE T10. Time-longitude section of anomalous sea level pressure (hPa) averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 1 hPa. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.


Outgoing Longwave Radiation Anomaly (W/m²)



Data updated through June 2008

FIGURE T11. Time-longitude section of anomalous outgoing longwave radiation averaged between 5N-5S. Contour interval is 15 Wm⁻². Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.

200-hPa Velocity Potential Anomaly



Data updated through June 2008

FIGURE T12. Time-longitude section of anomalous 200-hPa velocity potential averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Re-analysis). Contour interval is $3 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T13. Time-longitude section of anomalous 850-hPa zonal wind averaged between 5N-5S (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 2 ms^{-1} . Dashed contours indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period pentad means. The data are smoothed temporally by using a 3-point running average.




FIGURE T14. Equatorial time-height section of anomalous zonally-averaged zonal wind (m s^{-1}) (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is 10 m s^{-1} . Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.





FIGURE T15. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20C isotherm averaged between 5N-5S in the Pacific Ocean. Data are derived from the NCEP's global ocean data assimilation system which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Behringer, D. W., and Y. Xue, 2004: Evaluation of the global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP: The Pacific Ocean. AMS 84th Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington, 11-15). The contour interval is 10 m. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Anomalies are departures from the 1982-2004 base period means.





FIGURE T16. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) depth of the 20°C isotherm for JUN 2008. Contour interval is 40 m (top) and 10 m (bottom). Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP’s global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1982–2004 base period means.

June 2008: Depth–Longitude Section
Equatorial Ocean Temperatures (C)



Equatorial Ocean Temperature Anomalies (C)




FIGURE T17. Equatorial depth–longitude section of ocean temperature (top) and ocean temperature anomalies (bottom) for JUN 2008. Contour interval is 1°C. Dashed contours in bottom panel indicate negative anomalies. Data are derived from the NCEP’s global ocean data assimilation system version 2 which assimilates oceanic observations into an oceanic GCM (Xue, Y. and Behringer, D.W., 2006: Operational global ocean data assimilation system at NCEP, to be submitted to BAMS). Anomalies are departures from the 1982–2004 base period means.




FIGURE T18. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea surface temperature (SST). Anomalies are departures from the 1971-2000 base period monthly means (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320-3323).




FIGURE T19. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) sea level pressure (SLP) (CDAS/Reanalysis). In top panel, 1000 hPa has been subtracted from contour labels, contour interval is 2 hPa, and values below 1000 hPa are indicated by dashed contours. In bottom panel, anomaly contour interval is 1 hPa and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T20. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 850-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Contour interval for isotachs is 4 ms^{-1} (top) and 2 ms^{-1} (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1979–95 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T21. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Contour interval for isotachs is 15 ms^{-1} (top) and 5 ms^{-1} (bottom). Anomalies are departures from 1979–95 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T22. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa streamfunction (CDAS/Reanalysis). Contour interval is $20 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ (top) and $5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$ (bottom). Negative (positive) values are indicated by dashed (solid) lines. The non-divergent component of the flow is directed along the contours with speed proportional to the gradient. Thus, high (low) stream function corresponds to high (low) geopotential height in the Northern Hemisphere and to low (high) geopotential height in the Southern Hemisphere. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T23. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa divergence (CDAS/Reanalysis). Divergence and anomalous divergence are shaded blue. Convergence and anomalous convergence are shaded orange. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.

June 2008




FIGURE T24. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) 200-hPa velocity potential ($10^6\text{m}^2\text{s}$) and divergent wind (CDAS/ Reanalysis). Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T25. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) outgoing longwave radiation for JUN 2008 (NOAA 18 AVHRR IR window channel measurements by NESDIS/ORR). OLR contour interval is 20 Wm^{-2} with values greater than 280 Wm^{-2} indicated by dashed contours. Anomaly contour interval is 15 Wm^{-2} with positive values indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Anomalies are departures from the 1979–95 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T26. Estimated total (top) and anomalous (bottom) rainfall (mm) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) precipitation index (Ferraro 1997, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **102**, 16715-16735). Anomalies are computed from the 1987-2006 base period monthly means. Anomalies have been smoothed for display purposes.




FIGURE T27. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) cloud liquid water (g m^{-2}) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Weng et al 1997: *J. Climate*, **10**, 1086-1098). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2006 base period means.




FIGURE T28. Mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) vertically integrated water vapor or precipitable water (kg m^{-2}) based on the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I) (Ferraro et. al, 1996: *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **77**, 891-905). Anomalies are calculated from the 1987-2006 base period means.




FIGURE T29. Pressure-longitude section (100E–80W) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N–5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1979–1995 base period monthly means.





FIGURE T30. Pressure-longitude section (80W-100E) of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) divergence (contour interval is $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and divergent circulation averaged between 5N-5S. The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the zonal wind. Red shading and solid contours denote divergence (top) and anomalous divergence (bottom). Blue shading and dashed contours denote convergence (top) and anomalous convergence (bottom). Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.

June 2008

Zonal Wind and N-S Divergent Circulation
Western Pacific (120E–170E): Mean



Western Pacific (120E–170E): Anomaly




FIGURE T31. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged over the west Pacific sector (120E-170E). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.




FIGURE T32. Pressure-latitude section of the mean (top) and anomalous (bottom) zonal wind (m s^{-1}) and divergent circulation averaged over the central Pacific sector (130W-180W). The divergent circulation is represented by vectors of combined pressure vertical velocity and the divergent component of the meridional wind. Red shading and solid contours denote a westerly (top) or anomalous westerly (bottom) zonal wind. Blue shading and dashed contours denote an easterly (top) or anomalous easterly (bottom) zonal wind. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-1995 base period monthly means.

Tropical Pacific Drifting Buoys R. Lumpkin/M. Pazos, AOML, Miami

During June 2008, 329 satellite-tracked surface drifting buoys, 84% with subsurface drogues attached for measuring mixed layer currents, were reporting from the tropical Pacific. A number of drifters in the eastern half of the basin measured very strong eastward anomalies of 30-40 cm/s between 2S and 5N, an intensification of the pattern seen in April and May. Weak westward anomalies were more common farther off the equator, particularly in the western half of the basin. Cold SST anomalies (-0.5 to -1.5C) were measured by most drifters in the central Pacific (170E to the 130W) at 5N to 15N, while warm anomalies (+0.5 to +1.5C) were measured in the southeastern and southern (below 10S) tropical Pacific basin.




Figure A1.1 Top: Movements of drifting buoys in the tropical Pacific Ocean during June 2008. The linear segments of each trajectory represent a one week displacement. Trajectories of buoys which have lost their subsurface drogues are gray; those with drogues are black.

Middle: Monthly mean currents calculated from all buoys 1993-2002 (gray), and currents measured by the drogued buoys this month (black) smoothed by an optimal filter.

Bottom: Anomalies from the climatological monthly mean currents for this month.




FIGURE A1.2. Wind Vectors and sea surface temperature (SSTs) from the TAO/TRITON mooring array. Top panel shows monthly means; bottom panel shows monthly anomalies from the COADS wind climatology and Reynolds SST climatology (1971-2000). The TAO/TRITON array is presently supported by the United States (NOAA), Japan (STA), and France (IRD). Further information is available from Richard L. Crout (NOAA/NDBC).

Five Day Zonal Wind, SST, and 20°C Isotherm Depth 2°S to 2°N Average




FIGURE A1.3. Time-longitude sections of surface zonal winds (m s^{-1}), sea surface temperature (C) and 20C isotherm depth (m) for the past 24 months. Analysis is based on 5-day averages of moored time series data from the TAO/TRITON array. Positive winds are westerly. Squares on the abscissas indicate longitude where data were available at the start of the time series (top) and end of the time series (bottom). The TAO/TRITON array is presently supported by the United States (NOAA), Japan (STA), and France (IRD). Further information is available from Richard L. Crout (NOAA/NDBC)

Five Day Zonal Wind, SST, and 20°C Isotherm Depth Anomalies 2°S to 2°N Average




FIGURE A1.4. Time-longitude sections of surface zonal winds ($m s^{-1}$), sea surface temperature (C) and 20C isotherm depth (m) for the past 24 months. Analysis is based on 5-day averages of moored time series data from the TAO/TRITON array. Anomalies are relative to monthly climatologic cubic spline fitted to 5-day intervals (COADS winds, Reynolds SST, CTD/XBT 20C depth). Positive winds are westerly. Squares on the abscissas indicate longitude where data were available at the start of the time series (top) and end of the time series (bottom). The TAO/TRITON array is presently supported by the United States (NOAA), Japan (STA), and France (IRD). Further information is available from Richard L. Crout (NOAA/

Sea Surface Temperature and Sea Level From Eastern Pacific GOES Stations

David B. Enfield, NOAA/AOML, 4301 Rickenbacker Cswy, Miami FL 33149, USA
 Instituto Oceanográfico de la Armada, Guayaquil, ECUADOR
 Dirección de Hidrografía y Navegación de la Marina, Callao, PERU





FIGURE A1.5. Five-day averages of sea surface temperature (SST, °C) and sea level height (SLH, cm) from GOES receiving stations in Ecuador & Peru. Dashed line and shading show climatology, departures.

In cooperation with institutions in Peru and Ecuador, NOAA-AOML maintained a network coastal stations reporting SST and sea level in real time (via satellite downlink) during the TOGA program, from 1985 to 1995. The South American partners took over full operational responsibility there after while NOAA-AOML assumed a data management role, continuing publication of these monthly reports along with their partners. The five-day averages (pentads) at critical stations give us an effective means of monitoring coastal conditions with good time resolution and compact data volume.

After two strong intraseasonal events in early 2008, sea levels and SSTs have settled down to near-normal values, trending upward slightly, and punctuated by moderate intraseasonal fluctuations.

		Sea Sfc Temperature			Sea Level Height		
JUN		Baltra	Talara	Callao	Baltra	Libertad	Callao
2	**	**	21.2	16.0	**	**	118.8
7	**	**	20.8	16.4	**	**	122.7
12	**	**	20.8	17.0	**	**	115.1
17	**	**	20.7	17.0	**	**	113.6
22	**	**	20.2	17.1	**	**	118.8
27	**	**	20.0	17.1	**	**	119.4

		Anomalies		
JUN		Baltra	Talara	Callao
2	**	**	2.3	-0.9
7	**	**	2.0	-0.4
12	**	**	2.0	0.3
17	**	**	2.1	0.4
22	**	**	1.7	0.6
27	**	**	1.7	0.7

Email: David.Enfield@noaa.gov; Phone: (305) 361-4351; Fax: (305) 361-4392
 ** - Data missing due to hardware failure




FIGURE A1.6. FSU SURFACE PSEUDO-STRESS VECTORS AND ANOMALIES: June 2008. Pseudo-stress vectors (top) are objectively analyzed from ship and buoy winds on a 2° grid. Ship and buoy data are independently weighted and the background field is created from the data. Contour interval of the vector magnitudes is 20 M^2S^{-2} . Anomalies (bottom) are departures from 1978-2001 mean. The contour interval is 15 M^2S^{-2} . For more information, please visit our web site at <http://www.coaps.fsu.edu/RVSMDC/html/winds.shtml>. Produced by Jeremy Rolph, Mark A. Bourassa, and Shawn R. Smith, Center for Ocean-Atmospheric Prediction Studies, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-2840, USA.




FIGURE A1.7. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for JUN 2008 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, *JGR-Oceans*, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Satellite data included JUN 2008 Jason sea level anomalies and QuickScat winds. Data were smoothed with optimal filter ($L_x \sim 10^\circ$, $L_y \sim 2^\circ$). (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also <http://www.oscar.noaa.gov>.




FIGURE A1.8. Ocean Surface Current Analysis-Real-time (OSCAR) for JUN 2008 (Bonjean and Lagerloef 2002, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, Vol. 32, No. 10, 2938-2954; Lagerloef et al. 1999, *JGR-Oceans*, 104, 23313-23326). (top) Total velocity. Satellite data included JUN 2008 Jason sea level anomalies and QuickScat winds. Data were smoothed with optimal filter ($L_x \sim 10^\circ$, $L_y \sim 2^\circ$). (bottom) Velocity anomalies. The subtracted climatology was based on SSM/I and QuickScat winds and Topex/Poseidon and Jason from 1993-2003. See also <http://www.oscar.noaa.gov>.

Forecast Forum

The canonical correlation analysis (CCA) forecast of SST in the central Pacific (Barnett et al. 1988, *Science*, **241**, 192196; Barnston and Ropelewski 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 13161345), is shown in **Figs. F1 and F2**. This forecast is produced routinely by the Prediction Branch of the Climate Prediction Center. The predictions from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03) are presented in **Figs. F3 and F4a, F4b**. Predictions from the Markov model (Xue, et al. 2000: *J. Climate*, **13**, 849871) are shown in **Figs. F5 and F6**. Predictions from the latest version of the LDEO model (Chen et al. 2000: *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **27**, 25852587) are shown in **Figs. F7 and F8**. Predictions using linear inverse modeling (Penland and Magorian 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 10671076) are shown in **Figs. F9 and F10**. Predictions from the Scripps / Max Planck Institute (MPI) hybrid coupled model (Barnett et al. 1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 15451566) are shown in **Fig. F11**. Predictions from the ENSOCLIPER statistical model (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633652) are shown in **Fig. F12**. Niño 3.4 predictions are summarized in **Fig. F13**, provided by the Forecasting and Prediction Research Group of the IRI.

The CPC and the contributors to the **Forecast Forum** caution potential users of this predictive information that they can expect only modest skill.

Outlook

ENSO-neutral conditions are expected to continue into Northern Hemisphere Fall 2008.

Discussion

A transition from La Niña to ENSO-neutral conditions occurred during June 2008, as sea surface temperatures (SSTs) returned to near-average across the central and east-central equatorial Pacific Ocean (**Fig. T18**). Also, positive SST anomalies continued in the eastern equatorial Pacific. Consistent with this pattern, the latest monthly SST index was -0.3°C in the Niño-3.4 region, and $+0.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the Niño 1+2 region (**Table T2**). The subsurface oceanic heat content (average temperatures in the upper 300m of the ocean) and patterns of subsurface temperature anomalies (**Fig. T17**) also reflected the transition to ENSO-neutral conditions. Positive heat content anomalies were associated with above-average temperatures at thermocline depth across the entire equatorial Pacific, while small negative subsurface temperature anoma-

lies persisted near the Date Line between the surface and 75m depth.

Similar to past transitions, La Niña continues to linger in the atmospheric circulation, but with diminishing strength. Enhanced low-level easterly winds and upper-level westerly winds remain across the central equatorial Pacific (**Figs. T20 and T21**), while convection continues to be suppressed in the central equatorial Pacific and slightly enhanced over the far western Pacific (**Fig. T25**). Collectively, these atmospheric and oceanic anomalies are consistent with a return from La Niña to ENSO-neutral.

Most of the recent dynamical and statistical SST forecasts for the Niño 3.4 region indicate ENSO-neutral conditions (-0.5 to 0.5 in the Niño-3.4 region) will continue through Northern Hemisphere Winter 2008-09 (**Figs. F1- F13**). Despite this model consensus, the possible development of El Niño or La Niña cannot be ruled out due to uncertainty in model forecasts and because ENSO events often form during the second half of the year. Based on current atmospheric and oceanic conditions, recent trends, and model forecasts, ENSO-neutral conditions are expected to continue into Northern Hemisphere Fall 2008.

Weekly updates of oceanic and atmospheric conditions are available on the Climate Prediction Center homepage ([El Niño/La Niña Current Conditions and Expert Discussions](#)).




FIGURE F1. Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly prediction for the central Pacific (5°N to 5°S, 120°W to 170°W (Barnston and Ropelewski, 1992, *J. Climate*, **5**, 1316-1345). The three plots on the left hand side are, from top to bottom, the 1-season, 2-season, and 3-season lead forecasts. The solid line in each forecast represents the observed SST standardized anomaly through the latest month. The small squares at the mid-points of the forecast bars represent the real-time CCA predictions based on the anomalies of quasi-global sea level pressure and on the anomalies of tropical Pacific SST, depth of the 20°C isotherm and sea level height over the prior four seasons. The vertical lines represent the one standard deviation error bars for the predictions based on past performance. The three plots on the right side are skills, corresponding to the predicted and observed SST. The skills are derived from cross-correlation tests from 1956 to present. These skills show a clear annual cycle and are inversely proportional to the length of the error bars depicted in the forecast time series.

0-4 SEASON LEAD FORECAST CANONICAL CORRELATION ENSO FORECAST




FIGURE F2. Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) forecasts of sea-surface temperature anomalies for the Niño 3.4 region (5N-5S, 120W-170W) for the upcoming five consecutive 3-month periods. Forecasts are expressed as standardized SST anomalies. The CCA predictions are based on anomaly patterns of SST, depth of the 20C isotherm, sea level height, and sea level pressure. Small squares at the midpoints of the vertical forecast bars represent the CCA predictions, and the bars show the one (thick) and two (thin) standard deviation errors. The solid continuous line represents the observed standardized three-month mean SST anomaly in the Niño 3.4 region up to the most recently available data.

Last update: Thu Jul 3 2008

Initial conditions: 22Jun2008–01Jul2008




FIGURE F3. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature (left) and anomalies (right) from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. Contour interval is 1°C, with additional contours for 0.5°C and -0.5°C. Negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours.

Last update: Thu Jul 3 2008
Initial conditions: 22Jun2008-01Jul2008




FIGURE F4. Predicted and observed sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies for the Nino 3 (top) and Nino 3.4 (bottom) regions from the NCEP Coupled Forecast System Model (CFS03). The forecasts consist of 40 forecast members. The ensemble mean of all 40 forecast members is shown by the blue line, individual members are shown by thin lines, and the observation is indicated by the black line. The Nino-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W. The Nno 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.




FIGURE F5. Predicted 3-month average sea surface temperature anomalies from the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). The forecast is initiated in JUN 2008 . Contour interval is 0.3C and negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology.




FIGURE F6. Time evolution of observed and predicted SST anomalies in the Niño 3.4 region (up to 12 lead months) by the NCEP/CPC Markov model (Xue et al. 2000, *J. Climate*, **13**, 849-871). Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1971-2000 climatology. Shown in each panel are the forecasts grouped by three consecutive starting months: (a) is for December, January, and February, (b) is for March, April, and May, (c) is for June, July, and August, and (d) is for September, October, and November. The observed Niño 3.4 SST anomalies are indicated by the black dashed lines. The Niño 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.

LDEO FORECASTS OF SST AND WIND STRESS ANOMALIES




FIGURE F7. Forecasts of the tropical Pacific Predicted SST (shading) and vector wind anomalies for the next 3 seasons based on the LDEO model. Each forecast represents an ensemble average of 3 sets of predictions initialized during the last three consecutive months (see Figure F8).

LDEO FORECASTS OF NINO3




FIGURE F8. LDEO forecasts of SST anomalies for the Niño 3 region using wind stresses obtained from (top) QuikSCAT, (middle) NCEP, and (bottom) Florida State Univ. (FSU), along with SSTs (obtained from NCEP), and sea surface height data (obtained from TOPEX/POSEIDON) data. Each thin blue line represents a 12-month forecast, initialized one month apart for the past 24 months. Observed SST anomalies are indicated by the thick red line. The Niño-3 region spans the eastern equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 150W-90W.




FIGURE F9. Forecast of tropical SST anomalies from the Linear Inverse Modeling technique of Penland and Magorian (1993: *J. Climate*, 6, 1067-1076). The contour interval is 0.3C. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1951-2000 climatology and are projected onto 20 leading EOFs.




FIGURE F10. Predictions of SST anomalies in the Niño 3.4 region (blue line) for leads of three months (top) to 12 months (bottom), from the Linear Inverse Modeling technique of Penland and Magorian (1993: *J. Climate*, **6**, 1067-1076). Observed SST anomalies are indicated by the red line. Anomalies are calculated relative to the 1951-2000 climatology and are projected onto 20 leading EOFs. The Niño 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W.




FIGURE F11. SST anomaly forecast for the equatorial Pacific from the Hybrid Coupled Model (HCM) developed by the Scripps Institution of Oceanography and the Max-Planck Institut fuer Meteorologie.




FIGURE F12. ENSO-CLIPER statistical model forecasts of three-month average sea surface temperature anomalies (green lines, deg. C) in (top panel) the Nino 4 region (5N-5S, 160E-150W), (second panel) the Nino 3.4 region (5N-5S, 170W-120W), (third panel) the Nino 3 region (5N-5S, 150W-90W), and (fourth panel) the Nino 1+2 region (0-10S, 90W-80W) (Knaff and Landsea 1997, *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 633-652). Bottom panel shows predictions of the three-month standardized Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, green line). Horizontal bars on green line indicate the adjusted root mean square error (RMSE). The Observed three-month average values are indicated by the thick blue line. SST anomalies are departures from the 1971-2000 base period means, and the SOI is calculated from the 1951-1980 base period means.




FIGURE F13. Time series of predicted sea surface temperature anomalies for the Nino 3.4 region (deg. C) from various dynamical and statistical models for nine overlapping 3-month periods. The Nino 3.4 region spans the east-central equatorial Pacific between 5N-5S, 170W-120W. Figure provided by the International Research Institute (IRI).

Extratropical Highlights – June 2008

1. Northern Hemisphere

The 500-hPa height pattern during June 2008 featured positive anomalies across eastern Siberia and the high latitudes of the North Pacific, Greenland, and southern Europe, and negative anomalies over North America, northern Europe, and Scandinavia (**Fig. E9**). Over the Pacific Ocean, the subtropical circulation at 200-hPa continued to reflect La Niña, with enhanced mid-Pacific troughs and cyclonic circulation anomalies in both hemispheres flanking the suppressed convection over the central equatorial Pacific (**Fig. T22**).

The main surface temperature departures during June reflected warmer than average conditions across the southern and eastern US, eastern Europe and northeastern Russia, and below-average temperatures over the north-central US, western Canada, and northern India (**Fig. E1**). The main precipitation anomalies included above average totals in the midwestern US, eastern Canada, and southeastern China, and below-average totals in northern California, the Gulf Coast states, the southeastern US, and northeastern Europe (**Fig. E3**).

a. North Pacific/ North America

The La Niña signal was again prominent across the subtropical Pacific Ocean during June. La Niña is associated with a westward retraction of deep tropical convection toward Indonesia, and with a complete disappearance of tropical convection from the central equatorial Pacific (**Fig. T25**). These conditions result in a westward retraction of deep tropospheric heating, and hence a westward retraction of the 200-hPa subtropical ridge toward Indonesia (**Fig. T22**). Over the central equatorial Pacific, the reduction in convective heating contributes to an increased strength of the mid-Pacific trough.

The strength, structure, and position of the East Asian jet stream are strongly linked to conditions in the tropics and subtropics. The jet core coincides with the strongest north-south height gradient at 200-hPa, which is heavily influenced by the height anomalies in the subtropics. During La Niña, the core of the East Asian jet stream is often retracted westward toward Asia, (**Fig. T21**). This relationship is normally most evident in winter and spring, but was also pronounced in June in response to the ongoing La Niña-related pattern of tropical convection.

Associated with these conditions, the 500-hPa heights were above average over the high latitudes of the eastern North Pacific, and below-average over the north-central US. This overall pattern was associated with a continuation of below-average precipitation in northern California and the Gulf coast region of the US. Both of these areas have recorded significant precipitation deficits during the past several months. In northern California many locations had recorded almost no rainfall since late March, which set the stage for numerous (1781) uncontrolled wildfires that burned over 702,000 acres during June and early July.

The 500-hPa circulation over the United States also exhibited considerable variability during June. In early June, the circulation featured a trough in the west and a ridge in the east. This pattern was associated with a persistent frontal boundary and significant low-level moisture transport across the Midwest. These conditions contributed to a series of major rainfall events and severe flooding in Iowa. Overall, the Mid-

west has recorded well above-average precipitation since February (**Fig. E5**).

b. North Atlantic and Europe

The 500-hPa circulation during June featured a north-south dipole pattern of height anomalies over Europe, with below-average heights to the north and above-average heights to the south (**Fig. E9**). This pattern mainly contributed to well above-average temperatures in eastern Europe, with many areas recording departures in the upper 90th percentile of occurrences (**Fig. E1**).

b. Southeastern China

During June, the eastern flank of the normal Asian monsoon ridge at 200-hPa was weaker than average in association with a broad trough over eastern China (**Fig. T22**). This pattern favored an anomalous southward penetration of frontal boundaries and mid-latitude storms which, in turn, favored enhanced convection and above-average rainfall over southeastern and eastern China.

2. Southern Hemisphere

The 500-hPa height field during June exhibited a strong zonal symmetry, with above average heights in the middle latitudes and below average heights over Antarctica (**Fig. E15**). In the subtropics, ongoing positive 200-hPa streamfunction anomalies over the central Pacific Ocean indicated an anomalous cyclonic circulation and enhanced mid-Pacific trough consistent with La Niña (**Fig. T22**). In southern Australia an anomalous 500-hPa trough contributed to anomalously warm and dry conditions during June (**Figs. E1, E3**).

TELECONNECTION INDICES

NORTH ATLANTIC NORTH PACIFIC EURASIA

MONTH	NAO	EA	WP	EP-NP	PNA	TNH	EATL/ WRUS	SCAND	POLEUR
JUN 08	-1.4	1.0	-0.5	-0.6	-1.8	---	-0.3	-0.6	0.4
MAY 08	-1.7	-0.6	-1.2	0.4	1.3	---	0.7	0.4	-0.1
APR 08	-1.1	1.2	0.1	-0.3	-1.0	---	-0.2	0.1	-0.7
MAR 08	0.1	0.4	-1.4	-1.4	-0.3	---	-0.4	-2.0	-0.3
FEB 08	0.7	0.6	0.5	-0.1	0.5	1.0	0.4	-0.3	-0.2
JAN 08	0.9	0.3	1.2	-1.4	-0.3	0.2	-0.7	0.4	-0.5
DEC 07	0.3	0.3	0.5	---	0.1	1.3	0.4	0.2	-0.5
NOV 07	0.6	-1.7	-0.1	0.8	0.7	---	-0.3	-1.1	-0.7
OCT 07	0.4	0.1	0.1	-2.3	0.6	---	-1.4	-0.4	-1.2
SEP 07	0.7	-0.3	1.3	-2.0	1.9	---	-0.9	-0.5	1.4
AUG 07	-0.1	0.7	-0.3	-1.5	2.0	---	-1.6	-0.4	2.0
JUL 07	-0.6	0.6	-0.7	0.4	2.2	---	-0.5	-0.2	-0.3
JUN 07	-1.3	0.7	-0.4	0.2	-0.4	---	-0.3	0.8	-0.4

TABLE E1-Standardized amplitudes of selected Northern Hemisphere teleconnection patterns for the most recent thirteen months (computational procedures are described in Fig. E7). Pattern names and abbreviations are North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO); East Atlantic pattern (EA); West Pacific pattern (WP); East Pacific - North Pacific pattern (EP-NP); Pacific/North American pattern (PNA); Tropical/Northern Hemisphere pattern (TNH); East Atlantic/Western Russia pattern (EATL/WRUS)-called Eurasia-2 pattern by Barnston and Livezey, 1987, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **115**, 1083-1126); Scandinavia pattern (SCAND)-called Eurasia-1 pattern by Barnston and Livezey (1987); and Polar Eurasia pattern (POLEUR). No value is plotted for calendar months in which the pattern does not appear as a leading mode.




FIGURE E1. Surface temperature anomalies ($^{\circ}\text{C}$, top) and surface temperature expressed as percentiles of the normal (Gaussian) distribution fit to the 1971–2000 base period data (bottom) for JUN 2008. Analysis is based on station data over land and on SST data over the oceans (top). Anomalies for station data are departures from the 1971–2000 base period means, while SST anomalies are departures from the 1971–2000 adjusted OI climatology. (Smith and Reynolds 1998, *J. Climate*, **11**, 3320–3323). Regions with insufficient data for analysis in both figures are indicated by shading in the top figure only.




FIGURE E2. Monthly global (top), Northern Hemisphere (middle), and Southern Hemisphere (bottom) surface temperature anomalies (land only, °C) from January 1990 - present, computed as departures from the 1971–2000 base period means.




FIGURE E3. Anomalous precipitation (mm, top) and precipitation percentiles based on a Gamma distribution fit to the 1979–2000 base period data (bottom) for JUN 2008. Data are obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). Contours are drawn at 200, 100, 50, 25, -25, -50, -100, and -200 mm in top panel. Percentiles are not plotted in regions where mean monthly precipitation is <5mm/month.




FIGURE E4. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (%) (bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1979–2000 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.




FIGURE E5. Areal estimates of monthly mean precipitation amounts (mm, solid lines) and precipitation percentiles (% bars) for the most recent 13 months obtained from a merge of raingauge observations and satellite-derived precipitation estimates (Janowiak and Xie 1999, *J. Climate*, **12**, 3335–3342). The monthly precipitation climatology (mm, dashed lines) is from the 1979–2000 base period monthly means. Monthly percentiles are not shown if the monthly mean is less than 5 mm.

Monthly Accumulation -- June, 2008




FIGURE E6. Observed precipitation (upper left), departure from average (upper right), percent of average (lower left), and average precipitation (lower right) for JUN 2008. The units are given on each panel. Base period for averages is 1971–2000. Results are based on CPC’s U. S. daily precipitation analysis, which is available at <http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/realtime>.




FIGURE E7. Standardized monthly Northern Hemisphere teleconnection indices. The teleconnection patterns are calculated from a Rotated Principal Component Analysis (RPCA) applied to monthly standardized 500-hPa height anomalies during January 1950 – December 2000. To obtain these patterns, ten leading un-rotated modes are first calculated for each calendar month by using the monthly height anomaly fields for the three-month period centered on that month: [i.e., The July modes are calculated from the June, July, and August standardized monthly anomalies]. A Varimax spatial rotation of the ten leading un-rotated modes for each calendar month results in 120 rotated modes (12 months x 10 modes per month) that yield ten primary teleconnection patterns. The teleconnection indices are calculated by first projecting the standardized monthly anomalies onto the teleconnection patterns corresponding to that month (eight or nine teleconnection patterns are seen in each calendar month). The indices are then solved for simultaneously using a Least-Squares approach. In this approach, the indices are the solution to the Least-Squares system of equations which explains the maximum spatial structure of the observed height anomaly field during the month. The indices are then standardized for each pattern and calendar month independently. No index value exists when the teleconnection pattern does not appear as one of the ten leading rotated EOF's valid for that month.

June 2008
Sea-Level Pressure and Anomaly




FIGURE E8. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1979-95 base period monthly means.

June 2008
500-hPa Height and Anomaly




FIGURE E9. Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1979-95 base period monthly means.




FIGURE E10. Northern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms^{-1} . Values greater than 30 ms^{-1} (left) and 10 ms^{-1} (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-95 base period monthly means.

June 2008
500-hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E11. Northern Hemisphere percentage of days during JUN 2008 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour interval is 20%.

June 2008
500-hPa Height Anomalies: 40.0N





FIGURE E12. Northern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for JUN 2008 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°N. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-95 base period daily means.

June 2008
 700-hPa Heights (Contours, m)
 High Frequency Standard Deviation (Shading, m)



700-hPa Heights (Contours, m)
 High Frequency Normalized Variance (Shading)




FIGURE E13. Northern Hemisphere: 700-hPa heights for JUN 2008 overlaid with standard deviation of high-pass filtered height (left) and normalized anomalous variance of high-pass filtered height (right). Heights are indicated by thick solid contours in both panels (interval is 60 m). High-pass filtered fields reflect fluctuations having periods less than 10 days, and are indicated by thin contours and shading. Contour interval for standard deviation is 15 m with values > 45 m shaded. Contour interval for normalized variance is 1 standard deviation, with positive values shown by solid contours and dark shading and negative values shown by dashed contours and light shading. Anomalies are departures from the 1964-93 base period monthly means.

June 2008
Sea-Level Pressure and Anomaly




FIGURE E14. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous sea level pressure(CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Mean values are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 4 hPa. Anomaly contour interval is 2 hPa with values less (greater) than -2 hPa (2 hPa) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1979-95 base period monthly means.

June 2008
500-hPa Height and Anomaly





FIGURE E15. Southern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 6 dam. Anomaly contour interval is 3 dam with values less (greater) than -3 dam (3 dam) indicated by dark (light) shading. Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1979-95 base period monthly means.

June 2008
300-hPa Wind



300-hPa Wind Anomaly




FIGURE E16. Southern Hemisphere mean (left) and anomalous (right) 300-hPa vector wind (CDAS/Reanalysis) for JUN 2008. Mean (anomaly) isotach contour interval is 10 (5) ms^{-1} . Values greater than 30 ms^{-1} (left) and 10 ms^{-1} (rights) are shaded. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-95 base period monthly means.

June 2008
500-hPa: Percentage of Anomaly Days




FIGURE E17. Southern Hemisphere percentage of days during JUN 2008 in which 500-hPa height anomalies greater than 15 m (red) and less than -15 m (blue) were observed. Values greater than 70% are shaded and contour interval is 20%.

June 2008
500-hPa Height Anomalies: 40.0S




FIGURE E18. Southern Hemisphere: Daily 500-hPa height anomalies for JUN 2008 averaged over the 5° latitude band centered on 40°S. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are departures from the 1979-95 base period daily means.

June 2008
Height Anomalies




FIGURE S1. Stratospheric height anomalies (m) at selected levels for JUN 2008. Positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading. Negative values are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval is 60 m. Anomalies are calculated from the 1979–95 base period means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.




FIGURE S2. Height-longitude sections during JUN 2008 for height anomalies (contour) and temperature anomalies (shaded). In both panels, positive values are indicated by solid contours and dark shading, while negative anomalies are indicated by dashed contours and light shading. Contour interval for height anomalies is 60 m and for temperature anomalies is 2°C. Anomalies are calculated from the 1979–95 base period monthly means. Winter Hemisphere is shown.

50hPa AMJ Mean Temperature Anomalies




FIGURE S3. Seasonal mean temperature anomalies at 50-hPa for the latitude bands 65°–90°N, 25°–65°N, 25°N–25°S, 25°–65°S, 65°–90°S. The seasonal mean is comprised of the most recent three months. Zonal anomalies are taken from the mean of the entire data set.

Zonal Mean Temperature for 2007 & 2008




FIGURE S4. Daily mean temperatures at 10-hPa and 2-hPa (thick line) in the region 65°–90°N and 65°–90°S for the past two years. Dashed line depicts the 1979–99 base period daily mean. Thin solid lines depict the daily extreme maximum and minimum temperatures.




FIGURE S5. Monthly ozone anomalies (percent) from the long term monthly means for five zones: 50N-30N (NH mid-latitudes), 25N-10N (NH tropical surf zone), 10N-10S (Equatorial-QBO zone), 10S-25S (SH tropical surf zone), and 30S-50S (SH mid-latitudes). The long term monthly means are determined from the entire data set beginning in 1979.

JUNE PERCENT DIFF (2008 - AVG(79-86))




FIGURE S6. Northern (top) and Southern (bottom) Hemisphere total ozone anomaly (percent difference from monthly mean for the period 1979–86). The region near the winter pole has no SBUV/2 data.

Fz at 100 hPa (Jun. 2008)




FIGURE S7. Daily vertical component of EP flux (which is proportional to the poleward transport of heat or upward transport of potential energy by planetary wave) at 100 hPa averaged over (top) 30°N–90°N and (bottom) 30°S–90°S for JUN 2008. The EP flux unit ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-2}$) has been scaled by multiplying a factor of the Brunt Vaisala frequency divided by the Coriolis parameter and the radius of the earth. The letter 'M' indicates the current monthly mean value and the letter 'C' indicates the climatological mean value. Additionally, the normalized departures from the monthly climatological EP flux values are shown.







FIGURE S8. Daily time series showing the size of the NH polar vortex (representing the area enclosed by the 32 PVU contour on the 450K isentropic surface), and the areal coverage of temperatures <-78C on the 450K isentropic surface.



500-hPa Height (dm) & Anomalies (m)
(Jun 1–15, 2008)



500-hPa Height (dm) & Anomalies (m)
(Jun 16–30, 2008)



500-hPa Height (dm) & Anomalies (m)
(Jun 1–30, 2008)




FIGURE A2.1. (a) Daily amplitudes of the Arctic Oscillation (AO) the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), and the Pacific-North American (PNA) pattern. The pattern amplitudes for the AO, (NAO, PNA) are calculated by projecting the daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height anomaly field onto the leading EOF obtained from standardized time-series of daily 1000-hPa (500-hPa) height for all months of the year. The base period is 1979–2000.

(b-d) Northern Hemisphere mean and anomalous 500-hPa geopotential height (CDAS/Reanalysis) for selected periods during JUN 2008 are shown in the remaining 3 panels. Mean heights are denoted by solid contours drawn at an interval of 8 dam. Dark (light) shading corresponds to anomalies greater than 50 m (less than -50 m). Anomalies are calculated as departures from the 1979–95 base period daily means.

**SSM/I Snow Cover for Jun 2008
anomaly based on departure from 1987–2007 baseline**




FIGURE A2.2. SSM/I derived snow cover frequency (%) (left) and snow cover anomaly (%) (right) for the month of JUN 2008 based on 1987 - 2006 base period for the Northern Hemisphere (top) and Southern Hemisphere (bottom). It is generated using the algorithm described by Ferraro et. al, 1996, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., vol 77, 891-905.