



# Contaminated Sediment Remediation Guidance for Hazardous Waste Sites



*This page left intentionally blank.*

## ADDITIONAL COPIES

The *Contaminated Sediment Remediation Guidance for Hazardous Waste Sites* is available to download from EPA's Superfund program Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/sediment/guidance.htm>. Hard copies of the document can be obtained at no charge by contacting by contacting EPA's National Service Center for Environmental Publications (NSCEP) at (800) 490-9198 or ordered via the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/nscep/ordering.htm>.

*This page left intentionally blank.*

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Initial drafts of this document were prepared by an Inter-Agency workgroup led by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office of Emergency and Remedial Response [now Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation (OSRTI)]. In addition to EPA, the workgroup included representatives from the following organizations:

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)

Representatives of other organizations contributed to the document by commenting on early drafts. These included the following:

Environment Canada  
U.S. Navy  
U.S. Geological Survey  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality  
Massachusetts Department of Environmental Quality  
Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources

The following individuals led subgroups to draft various sections of the document or otherwise contributed substantially to the overall character of the guidance:

Steve Ells (EPA OSRTI)  
Allison Hiltner (EPA Region 10)  
Doug Johnson (EPA Region 4)  
Fran Kremer (EPA ORD)  
Judith McCulley (EPA Region 8)  
Richard Nagle (EPA Region 5)  
Michael Palermo (formerly USACE)

The following individuals drafted sections of the document or assisted in various substantial ways in preparation of the guidance, and EPA also sincerely appreciates their assistance:

David Allen (USFWS)	Kevin E. Donovan (EPA OSW)
Daniel Averett (USACE)	David Drake (EPA Region 7)
Ed Barth (EPA ORD)	Bonnie Eleder (EPA Region 5)
Gary Baumgarten (EPA Region 6)	Jane Marshall Farris (EPA OST)
Stacey Bennett (EPA Region 6)	Joan Fisk (EPA OSRTI)
Barbara Bergen (EPA ORD)	Tom Fredette (USACE)
Ned Black (EPA Region 9)	Gayle Garman (NOAA)
Richard Brenner (EPA ORD)	Joanna Gibson (EPA OSRTI)
Daniel Chellaraj (EPA OSRTI)	Ron Gouguet (NOAA)
Scott Cieniawski (EPA GLNPO)	Patricia Gowland (EPA OSRTI)
Sherri Clark (EPA OSRTI)	Jim Hahnenberg (EPA Region 5)
Barbara Davis (EPA OSRTI)	Earl Hayter (EPA ORD)

*Contaminated Sediment Remediation Guidance  
for Hazardous Waste Sites*

---

Richard Healy (EPA OST)  
Glynis Hill (EPA OWOW)  
Robert Hitzig (EPA OSRTI)  
Michael Horne (USFWS)  
Michael Hurd (EPA OSRTI)  
Sheila Igoe (EPA OGC)  
Sharon Jaffess (EPA Region 2)  
Brenda Jones (EPA Region 5)  
Kymberlee Keckler (EPA Region 1)  
Karen Keeley (EPA Region 10)  
Anne Kelly (EPA Region 2)  
Michael Kravitz (EPA ORD)  
Tim Kubiak (USFWS)  
Carlos Lago (EPA OSW)  
Amy Legare (EPA OSRE)  
Sharon Lin (EPA OWOW)  
John Lindsay (NOAA)  
Terry Lyons (EPA ORD)  
Kelly Madalinski (EPA OSRTI)  
John Malek (EPA Region 10)  
Steve Mangion (EPA ORD, Region 1)  
Dale Haroski (EPA OSWER)

Bruce Means (EPA OSRTI)  
Amy Merten (NOAA)  
David Mueller (USGS)  
Jan A. Miller (USACE)  
William Nelson (EPA ORD)  
Walter Nied (EPA Region 5)  
Mary Kay O'Mara (USACE)  
Charles Openchowski (EPA OGC)  
David Petrovski (EPA Region 5)  
Cornell Rosiu (EPA Region 1)  
Fred Schauffler (EPA Region 9)  
Ken Seeley (USFWS)  
Robert Shippen (EPA OST)  
Craig Smith (EPA Region 7)  
Mark Sprenger (EPA OSRTI)  
Laurel Staley (EPA ORD)  
Pam Tames (EPA Region 2)  
Dennis Timberlake (EPA ORD)  
Yolaanda Walker (EPA OSRE)  
Larry Zaragoza (EPA OSRTI)  
Craig Zeller (EPA Region 4)

Technical support for this project was provided by Rebecca Tirrell, Molly Wenner, Aaron George, William Zobel, and others at CSC Systems & Solutions LLC. Workgroup facilitation services were provided by Kim Fletcher, SRA International, Inc., and by Jim Fary, EPA OSRTI. EPA very much appreciates their able support.

Ernie Watkins, Chair, Contaminated Sediment Remediation Guidance Workgroup, 1998-2001

Leah Evison, Project Manager, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation, 2001-2005

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	i
Appendices	xi
Highlights	xi
<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 PURPOSE	1-1
1.2 CONTAMINATED SEDIMENT	1-2
1.3 RISK MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES AND REMEDIAL APPROACHES	1-5
1.3.1 Remedial Approaches	1-6
1.3.2 Urban Revitalization and Reuse	1-7
1.4 DECISION-MAKING PROCESS	1-7
1.4.1 Decision Process Framework	1-7
1.4.2 Technical Team Approach	1-9
1.4.3 Technical Support	1-10
1.5 STATE, TRIBAL, AND TRUSTEE INVOLVEMENT	1-10
1.6 COMMUNITY AND OTHER STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT	1-11
<b>2.0 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION CONSIDERATIONS</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 SITE CHARACTERIZATION	2-1
2.1.1 Data Quality Objectives	2-2
2.1.2 Types of Data	2-3
2.1.3 Background Data	2-6
2.2 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODELS	2-7
2.3 RISK ASSESSMENT	2-8
2.3.1 Screening Risk Assessment	2-9
2.3.2 Baseline Risk Assessment	2-13
2.3.3 Risks from Remedial Alternatives	2-14
2.4 CLEANUP GOALS	2-15
2.4.1 Remedial Action Objectives and Remediation Goals	2-15
2.4.2 Cleanup Levels	2-16
2.5 WATERSHED CONSIDERATIONS	2-18
2.5.1 Role of the Contaminated Water Body	2-18
2.5.2 Water Body and Land Uses	2-19
2.6 SOURCE CONTROL	2-20
2.7 PHASED APPROACHES, ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT, AND EARLY ACTIONS	2-21
2.8 SEDIMENT AND CONTAMINANT FATE AND TRANSPORT	2-23
2.8.1 Data Collection	2-25
2.8.2 Routine and Extreme Events	2-27
2.8.3 Bioturbation	2-30
2.8.4 Predicting the Consequences of Sediment and Contaminant Movement	2-31
2.9 MODELING	2-32
2.9.1 Sediment/Contaminant Transport and Fate Model Characteristics	2-34
2.9.2 Determining Whether A Mathematical Model is Appropriate	2-36
2.9.3 Determining the Appropriate Level of Model	2-36

2.9.4	Model Verification, Calibration, and Validation	2-39
2.9.5	Sensitivity and Uncertainty of Models	2-40
2.9.6	Peer Review	2-41
<b>3.0</b>	<b>FEASIBILITY STUDY CONSIDERATIONS</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	DEVELOPING REMEDIAL ALTERNATIVES FOR SEDIMENT	3-1
3.1.1	Alternatives that Combine Approaches	3-2
3.1.2	No-Action Alternative	3-3
3.1.3	In-Situ Treatment and Other Innovative Alternatives	3-3
3.2	NCP REMEDY SELECTION CRITERIA	3-5
3.3	APPLICABLE OR RELEVANT AND APPROPRIATE REQUIREMENTS	3-7
3.4	EFFECTIVENESS AND PERMANENCE OF SEDIMENT ALTERNATIVES	3-13
3.5	COST	3-17
3.5.1	Capital Costs	3-18
3.5.2	Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Costs	3-20
3.5.3	Net Present Value	3-21
3.5.4	State Cost Share	3-22
3.6	INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS	3-22
<b>4.0</b>	<b>MONITORED NATURAL RECOVERY</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.2	POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS	4-3
4.3	NATURAL RECOVERY PROCESSES	4-4
4.3.1	Physical Processes	4-6
4.3.2	Biological and Chemical Processes	4-7
4.4	EVALUATION OF NATURAL RECOVERY	4-9
4.5	ENHANCED NATURAL RECOVERY	4-11
4.6	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS	4-11
<b>5.0</b>	<b>IN-SITU CAPPING</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1	INTRODUCTION	5-1
5.2	POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS	5-2
5.3	EVALUATING SITE CONDITIONS	5-3
5.3.1	Physical Environment	5-3
5.3.2	Sediment Characteristics	5-4
5.3.3	Waterway Uses and Infrastructure	5-5
5.3.4	Habitat Alterations	5-6
5.4	FUNCTIONAL COMPONENTS OF A CAP	5-7
5.4.1	Physical Isolation Component	5-8
5.4.2	Stabilization/Erosion Protection Component	5-9
5.4.3	Chemical Isolation Component	5-9
5.5	OTHER CAPPING CONSIDERATIONS	5-11
5.5.1	Identification of Capping Materials	5-11
5.5.2	Geotechnical Considerations	5-13
5.5.3	Placement Methods	5-13
5.5.4	Performance Monitoring	5-14



<b>6.0 DREDGING AND EXCAVATION</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 INTRODUCTION	6-1
6.2 POTENTIAL ADVANTAGES AND LIMITATIONS	6-3
6.3 SITE CONDITIONS	6-5
6.3.1 Physical Environment	6-5
6.3.2 Waterway Uses and Infrastructures	6-6
6.3.3 Habitat Alteration	6-6
6.4 EXCAVATION TECHNOLOGIES	6-7
6.5 DREDGING TECHNOLOGIES	6-9
6.5.1 Mechanical Dredging	6-10
6.5.2 Hydraulic Dredging	6-10
6.5.3 Dredge Equipment Selection	6-12
6.5.4 Dredge Positioning	6-20
6.5.5 Predicting and Minimizing Sediment Resuspension and Contaminant Release and Transport During Dredging	6-21
6.5.6 Containment Barriers	6-23
6.5.7 Predicting and Minimizing Dredging Residuals	6-25
6.6 TRANSPORT, STAGING, AND DEWATERING	6-27
6.7 SEDIMENT TREATMENT	6-29
6.7.1 Pretreatment	6-29
6.7.2 Treatment	6-30
6.7.3 Beneficial Use	6-33
6.8 SEDIMENT DISPOSAL	6-34
6.8.1 Sanitary/Hazardous Waste Landfills	6-34
6.8.2 Confined Disposal Facilities (CDFs)	6-35
6.8.3 Contained Aquatic Disposal (CAD)	6-35
6.8.4 Losses from Disposal Facilities	6-36
<b>7.0 REMEDY SELECTION CONSIDERATIONS</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1 RISK MANAGEMENT DECISION MAKING	7-1
7.2 NCP REMEDY SELECTION FRAMEWORK	7-2
7.3 CONSIDERING REMEDIES	7-3
7.4 COMPARING NET RISK REDUCTION	7-13
7.5 CONSIDERING INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS (ICs)	7-14
7.6 CONSIDERING NO-ACTION	7-16
7.7 CONCLUSIONS	7-16
<b>8.0 REMEDIAL ACTION AND LONG-TERM MONITORING</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1 INTRODUCTION	8-2
8.2 SIX RECOMMENDED STEPS FOR SITE MONITORING	8-4
8.3 POTENTIAL MONITORING TECHNIQUES	8-9
8.3.1 Physical Measurements	8-10
8.3.2 Chemical Measurements	8-11
8.3.3 Biological Measurements	8-11
8.4 REMEDY-SPECIFIC MONITORING APPROACHES	8-12
8.4.1 Monitoring Natural Recovery	8-12

*Contaminated Sediment Remediation Guidance  
for Hazardous Waste Sites*

---

8.4.2	Monitoring In-Situ Capping	8-14
8.4.3	Monitoring Dredging or Excavation	8-16

**REFERENCES**

## APPENDICES

### A Principles for Managing Contaminated Sediment Risks at Hazardous Waste Sites A-1

#### HIGHLIGHTS

##### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Highlight 1-1: Potential Sources of Contaminants in Sediment	1-2
Highlight 1-2: Major Contaminants at Superfund Sediment Sites	1-4
Highlight 1-3: Why Sediment Sites Are a Unique Challenge	1-4
Highlight 1-4: Risk Management Principles Recommended for Contaminated Sediment Sites	1-5
Highlight 1-5: Remedial Approaches for Contaminated Sediment	1-6
Highlight 1-6: General Overview of the Superfund Remedial Response Process	1-8
Highlight 1-7: National Research Council - Recommended Framework for Risk Management	1-9
Highlight 1-8: Common Community Concerns about Contaminated Sediment	1-12
Highlight 1-9: Common Community Concerns about Sediment Cleanup	1-12
Highlight 1-10: Community Involvement Guidance and Advice	1-13

##### 2.0 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION CONSIDERATIONS

Highlight 2-1: Example Site Characterization Data for Sediment Sites	2-5
Highlight 2-2: Typical Elements of a Conceptual Site Model for Sediment	2-8
Highlight 2-3: Sample Pictorial-Style Conceptual Site Model Focusing on Human and Ecological Threats	2-10
Highlight 2-4: Sample Conceptual Site Model Focusing on Ecological Threats	2-11
Highlight 2-5: Sample Conceptual Site Model Focusing on Human Health Threats	2-12
Highlight 2-6: Sample Remedial Action Objectives for Contaminated Sediment Sites	2-16
Highlight 2-7: Potential Examples of Early Actions at Contaminated Sediment Sites	2-23
Highlight 2-8: Potential Causes of Sediment and/or Contaminant Movement	2-24
Highlight 2-9: Principal Types of Armoring	2-26
Highlight 2-10: Key Empirical Methods to Evaluate Sediment and Contaminant Movement	2-28
Highlight 2-11: Sample Depths of Bioturbation Activity	2-31
Highlight 2-12: Key Characteristics of the Major Types of Sediment/Contaminant Transport and Fate Models	2-34
Highlight 2-13: Sample Conceptual Site Model Focusing on Sediment-Water Interaction	2-35
Highlight 2-14: Sample Contaminant Exposure Modeling Framework	2-38
Highlight 2-15: Important Principles to Consider in Developing and Using Model at Sediment Sites	2-42

##### 3.0 FEASIBILITY STUDY CONSIDERATIONS

Highlight 3-1: SITE Program In-situ Treatment Technology Demonstrations	3-4
Highlight 3-2: Examples of Potential ARARs for Sediment Sites	3-9
Highlight 3-3: Examples of Categories of Capital Costs for Sediment Remediation	3-18
Highlight 3-4: Some Key Points to Remember about Feasibility Studies for Sediment	3-25

#### **4.0 MONITORED NATURAL RECOVERY**

Highlight 4-1: General Hierarchy of Natural Recovery Processes for Sediment Sites	4-2
Highlight 4-2: Some Site Conditions Especially Conducive to Monitored Natural Recovery	4-3
Highlight 4-3: Sample Conceptual Model of Natural Processes Potentially Related to MNR	4-5
Highlight 4-4: Potential Lines of Evidence of Monitored Natural Recovery	4-9
Highlight 4-5: Some Key Points to Remember When Considering Monitored Natural Recovery	4-13

#### **5.0 IN SITU CAPPING**

Highlight 5-1: Some Site Conditions Especially Conducive to In-Situ Capping	5-2
Highlight 5-2: Sample Cap Designs	5-12
Highlight 5-3: Sample Capping Equipment and Placement Techniques	5-15
Highlight 5-4: Some Key Points to Remember When Considering In-Situ Capping	5-16

#### **6.0 DREDGING AND EXCAVATION**

Highlight 6-1: Sample Flow Diagram for Dredging/Excavation	6-1
Highlight 6-2: Some Site Conditions Especially Conducive to Dredging or Excavation	6-2
Highlight 6-3: Example of Excavation Following Isolation Using Sheet Piling	6-8
Highlight 6-4: Examples of Permanent or Temporary Rerouting of a Water Body	6-9
Highlight 6-5: Examples of Mechanical Dredges	6-11
Highlight 6-6: Examples of Hydraulic Dredges	6-13
Highlight 6-7a: Sample Environmental Dredging Operational Characteristics and Selection Factors	6-14
Highlight 6-7b: Footnotes for Sample Environmental Dredging Operational Characteristics and Selection Factors	6-17
Highlight 6-8: Sample of Dredging Dewatering Process	6-28
Highlight 6-9: NY/NJ Harbor - An Example of Treatment Technologies and Beneficial Use	6-32
Highlight 6-10: Cross Section of a Typical Confined Disposal Facility Dike with a Filter Layer	6-35
Highlight 6-11: Some Key Points to Remember When Considering Dredging and Excavation	6-37

#### **7.0 REMEDY SELECTION CONSIDERATIONS**

Highlight 7-1: NCP Remedy Expectations and Their Potential Application to Contaminated Sediment	7-4
Highlight 7-2: Some Site Characteristics and Conditions Especially Conducive to Particular Remedial Approaches for Contaminated Sediment	7-5
Highlight 7-3: Examples of Some Key Differences Between Remedial Approaches for Contaminated Sediment	7-7
Highlight 7-4: Sample Elements for Comparative Evaluation of Net Risk Reduction	7-14

#### **8.0 REMEDIAL ACTION AND LONG-TERM MONITORING**

Highlight 8-1: Sample Measures of Sediment Remedy Effectiveness	8-1
Highlight 8-2: Key Questions For Environmental Monitoring	8-3
Highlight 8-3: Recommended Six-Step Process for Developing and Implementing a Monitoring Plan	8-5
Highlight 8-4: Sample Cap Monitoring Phases and Elements	8-15
Highlight 8-5: Some Key Points to Remember About Monitoring Sediment Sites	8-18