

2009 Tribal Leaders' Annual Consultation Conference
"Bridging the Generations"
Conference Evaluation Results

Number of evaluations received: 31 (not all respondents answered each question - see "n" in session titles)

General and Break Out Sessions:

5=Very Satisfied 4=Mostly Satisfied 3=Somewhat Satisfied 2=Mostly Unsatisfied 1=Very Unsatisfied

	Satisfaction with Content					Satisfaction with Speaker(s)				
	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Darryl Tonemah, PhD: "Bridging the Generations" (n=27)	67%	19%	11%	4%	0%	81%	4%	12%	0%	4%
David Sprenger, M.D.: "YRTC Progress: North and South" (n=27)	26%	26%	30%	15%	4%	16%	40%	32%	12%	0%
Margo Kerrigan, M.P.H., "Conversation to Renew the Indian Healthcare System" (n=26)	23%	27%	27%	23%	0%	17%	25%	38%	21%	0%
Rachel Joseph: "The National Steering Committee IHCA" and "Behavioral Health Advisory Committee New Funding" (n=26)	35%	35%	23%	4%	4%	33%	42%	17%	4%	4%
Richard Phelps: "Performance Management in Tribal Health Programs" (n=22)	14%	32%	45%	9%	0%	15%	35%	40%	10%	0%
Carrie Johnson, PhD, & Darryl Tonemah, PhD: "Intergenerational and Historical Pain and the Road to Healing" (n=27)	37%	30%	19%	15%	0%	32%	28%	20%	20%	0%
Susan Ducore: "Elder Falls Prevention Program" (n=10)	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%	13%	50%	25%	13%	0%
Mikela Jones, "Honoring the Circle of Life from the Eyes of Youth" (n=20)	75%	15%	5%	5%	0%	67%	28%	0%	6%	0%
David Sprenger, M.D.: "Director's 3 Initiatives" (n=16)	13%	38%	31%	19%	0%	14%	36%	21%	29%	0%
Kimberly Yellow Robe: "Social Security & American Indian Community & Outreach" (n=20)	35%	30%	25%	10%	0%	42%	32%	21%	5%	0%
Rosemary Nelson & Peter Masten: California Representatives to National Workgroups (n=8)	0%	50%	38%	13%	0%	17%	33%	33%	17%	0%

Comments/Ideas for Future Topics:

1. Meeting was improved by the tribes taking more involvement with the presentations.
2. A facilitator to the break out to work with the professional.
3. Need more time for Tribal Leader input.
4. Two groups in one room. Could not hear. Need separate space.
5. IHS was very cooperative in allowing Tribal Leaders time.
6. Meeting was a formality, not specific, more info was needed. How, what, where, when - not prepared to share stimulus info. Training sessions were great!
7. Thank you Margo! For having an open attitude to listed to the tribes. California is a sleeping giant and we are awakening. The alarms are going off. Tribal Leadership is getting stronger.

Break Out Sessions: (only rate those you attended)	Satisfaction with Content					Satisfaction with Speaker(s)				
	5	4	3	2	1	5	4	3	2	1
Carrie Johnson, PhD, Helen Maldonado, & Darryl Tonemah, PhD: “Discovering Solutions: Intergenerational and Historical Pain” (n=16)	31%	38%	19%	13%	0%	33%	42%	8%	17%	0%
Falmouth Group: “Board Roles and Responsibilities” (n=18)	28%	28%	28%	17%	0%	38%	31%	19%	13%	0%
Kaufman Hall: “Best Practices Financial Leadership” (n=8)	25%	25%	38%	13%	0%	25%	38%	25%	13%	0%
Susan Ducore, RN & Robert Newsad, MPH: “Implementing an Elder Falls Prevention Program in Your Community” (n=7)	29%	43%	14%	14%	0%	17%	33%	33%	17%	0%

What did you like about the workshops?

1. The small group discussion was very helpful.
2. Used people that actually worked with grass roots people.
3. Do something on youth prevention.
4. Real ideas being utilized.
5. I only attended one, which was the one I co-moderated and I may be biased, but I felt it was excellent.
6. Positive speakers - more Tribal Leader input.
7. Intergenerational and Historical Pain - no solutions same concerns for everyone.
8. Found out how many other organizations can shake hands and collaborate, so there's not just one cost.
9. Content.
10. Falmouth - great speaker.

What could be improved?

1. Provide materials that are programs that work - including your model plan, implementation and evaluation tools.
2. More focused topics.
3. For actual CONSULTATION.
4. I knew what programs were doing, but I wanted to learn more about solutions from the speakers. I was very disappointed. Financial Leadership - I missed her points, I should have been more focused.
5. Power point more geared to the allotted time.

Please rate the caucus process. Circle the number that best represents your agreement with each of the following statements:

5=Completely Agree 4=Mostly Agree 3=Somewhat Agree 2=Mostly Disagree 1=Completely Disagree

	5	4	3	2	1
Margo Kerrigan's presentation provided adequate information to discuss in the caucus: (n=24)	21%	29%	25%	21%	4%
Adequate time was provided for caucus meetings: (n=25)	24%	28%	28%	16%	4%
Adequate time was provided for Tribal discussion and presentation to the entire conference body on Thursday: (n=24)	17%	33%	25%	17%	8%

Comments:

1. Meeting was improved by the tribes taking more involvement with the presentations.
2. A facilitator to the break out to work with the professional.
3. Need more time for Tribal Leader input.
4. Two groups in one room. Could not hear. Need separate space.
5. IHS was very cooperative in allowing Tribal Leaders time.
6. Meeting was a formality, not specific, more info was needed. How, what, where, when - not prepared to share stimulus info. Training sessions were great!
7. Thank you Margo! For having an open attitude to listened to the tribes. California is a sleeping giant and we are awakening. The alarms are going off. Tribal Leadership is getting stronger.

Please give your general impressions of the conference. Circle the number that best represents your judgment for each of the following statements:

5=Excellent	4=Good	3=Neutral	2=Fair	1=Poor	5	4	3	2	1
The meeting location was convenient: (n=31)					26%	39%	26%	10%	0%
The meeting dates were convenient: (n=31)					29%	48%	23%	0%	0%
The registration process was easy: (n=28)					46%	39%	11%	4%	0%
The conference staff were courteous and helpful: (n=30)					60%	33%	7%	0%	0%
The hotel worked well for the conference: (n=31)					58%	23%	16%	0%	3%
The exhibits and information booths were conveniently located and provided useful services: (n=31)					39%	32%	26%	3%	0%
The conference was well organized: (n=31)					32%	42%	10%	13%	3%

What did you enjoy most about this conference?

1. The area and the hospitality of the Chumash Tribe. Very cultural (food was great). The Chumash people really treated the other Indians like of old.
2. Speakers.
3. Breakout discussion.
4. The easiness of the staff, it was much more relaxed, and I felt comfortable unlike previous years, even though it was actually consultation it was informative and I made great connection and the comprehension was refreshing in that it was in a way that did not make me or anyone else feel ignorant.
5. The keynote speakers of Dr. Tonemah and Mikela Jones.
6. Tribal Consultation, Darryl Tonemah.
7. Receiving information and the discussion between Tribal Leaders.
8. The was the BEST conference in my 7 years of attendance. Plenty of Tribal Leader interaction and involvement - excellent location.
9. Tribal Caucus Meeting and Training Sessions.
10. Tribal Leader Caucus.
11. Dialogue, true consultation verbal and written.
12. Meeting outside of the agenda with peers.
13. I enjoyed the conference immensely.

What could be improved?

1. Focus on the Tribal Leaders role in Health Care (ie. How we are going to sustain programs (good topic on Roles of Boards) understanding Indian Health Service individual consultation with Tribal Leaders.
2. More info on what's going on. Quit treating us like mushrooms. We have grown up.
3. Make days toward end of week (example Thurs-Sat).
4. Meeting memory.
5. The location should be near a large airport. The Santa Barbara airport only takes small planes which is not an option for some of us nervous flyers.
6. Better location at Indian owned facility.
7. Some speakers didn't seem to know they were going to be present.
8. I have no complaints.
9. Improvement would be IHS working with Tribes. Help Native Veterans with healthcare.
10. Get an agenda in place and follow it!
11. More Tribal Leaders.
12. Maybe a little more time between sessions.