# Exposure Levels of Chlorinated Compounds and Metals in Urban Anglers 

## 2005 Superfund Basic Research Program Annual Meeting

Kimberly Morland, Ph.D.
Mount Sinai School of Medicine
Department of Community and Preventive Medicine

## Overview

- The Lower Hudson River, Newark Bay Complex and surrounding waters is a complex urban, highly industrialized, river system.
- Despite heavy commercial and industrial use, it is also used by recreational anglers.
- Commercial fishing has been closed in the areas for many years due to sediment contamination from legal and illegal industrial discharge.
- Fish advisories have been in place for about the past 20 years.


## Background <br> Fish consumption from the Lower Hudson

- Methods
- 160 people angling at six locations along Manhattan waterfront (May-Nov. 1999)
- Mostly Latino (64.9\%) and Black (27.3\%)
- Male (97\%)
- Mean age 46
- Annual income < \$25,000 (48\%)
- Results
- 70\% reported Hudson River was a safe fishing location.
- No posted fish advisory signs observed
- Averaged fishing 3 times/wk; 6 months/yr
- Catch 7 fish per outing
- 75\% report take fish home
- 65.5\% eat more than one fish meal per month


## Background <br> Fish consumption from the Lower Hudson

- Methods
- 267 people angling at several locations in New Jersey (May-September 1999)
- 43\% White; 23\% Black; 21\% Latino; 13\% Asian
- Results
- No ethnic differences in fishing or crabbing
- People who both fished and crabbed (12\%), ate their local catch over 6 times per month.
- 30\% did not eat catch
- Very few reported angling to obtain food


## Background <br> Fish consumption from the East River

- Methods
- 200 people angling at several locations along the East River (AugSeptember 2000)
- Mostly Latino and Black
- All male
- 16-60 years of age
- Results
- Catch between 40-75 fish per week ( $\sim 9.5$ fish per week per family member)
- Blue crab, American eel, blue fish and striped bass most frequently consumed
- Toxicological tests on fish: cadmium, mercury, chlordane, DDT, dioxins, PCBs, arsenic and lead.


## Background

## Perception and knowledge of risk from local fish consumption

- Methods
- 300 anglers along the Newark Bay (July-Oct. 1995)
- Mostly White (55\%); Latino (20\%) and Black (17\%)
- Male (91\%)
- mean age 46
- Results
- 47\% reported fish from local waters were safe to eat; 34\% reported not safe to eat.
- Response for 'Safe to eat'
- "If the water were polluted there would be no fish"
- "I have been eating them all of my life and never gotten sick"
- 60\% aware of fish advisories
- Only 15\% correctly understood the advisories


## Goals and Objectives of the Urban Anglers Study

To determine current body burdens of persistent, bioaccumulative environmental pollutants, including PCBs, organochlorine pesticide residues and mercury through a serological survey of persons who consume fish and crabs from the estuarine waters of the lower Hudson River

To quantitatively examine associations between self reported consumption of fish and crabs taken from the lower Hudson River watershed and body burdens of persistent pollutants

To determine whether patterns of exposure to persistent pollutants differ among persons who consume fish and crabs from various regions of the lower Hudson River watershed with different known sources and patterns of contaminants

## Locations of Recruitments for the Urban Anglers Study



## Study Description

- Enrolled 191 anglers during
 fishing seasons 2001 2004.
- Anglers were recruited from fishing piers and fishing clubs from the following locations: Harlem, NY; Canarsie Pier in Brooklyn, NY; Staten Island, NY; Ridgefield, NJ; Englewood NJ; Bayonne, NJ; Elizabeth, NJ


## Data Collection: Questionnaires



- Questionnaires
- Local fish intake (species specific; frequency; amount)
- Fish preparation and cooking practices;
- Knowledge of local fish advisories;
- Share fish;
- Demographic information


## Data Collection: Blood Samples

- Venipuncture blood samples collected and centrifuged on site
- 68\% response rate for blood collection
- Three Vacutaner tubes collected for analysis of: Polychlorinated biphenlys, mercury, chlordane, DDT/DDE and polybrominated diphenyl ethers


## Description of Urban Angler Study Population ( $\mathrm{N}=191$ )

- Male
- Mean age in yrs
- Mean BMI
- Share Catch
- Education
- High School

55\%
-> High School

## Race/Ethnicity of Urban Angler Study Population



| $\square$ White |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Black |
| $\square$ Hispanic |
| $\square$ Asian |
| $\square$ Not reported |

## Household Income of Urban Angler Study Population



## Angler Consumption of Specific Species of Fish



| $\square$ Fluke |
| :--- |
| $\square$ Striped Bass |
| $\square$ Blue Fish |
| $\square$ Flounder |
| $\square$ Weak Fish |
| $\square$ Black Fish |
| $\square$ Blue Crabs |
| $\square$ American Eel |
| $\square$ Clams/Mussels |
| $\square$ White Perch |
| $\square$ Catfish |
| $\square$ Tommy Cod |

## Proportion Report Eating Locally Caught \& Commercial Fish



| $\square$ Never |
| :--- |
| $\square<1 /$ week |
| $\square>1 /$ week |

## Methods for Mercury Analysis

- Whole blood samples ( 10 mL ) were stored at -20 degrees Celsius and analyzed for total mercury content using a UVabsorptiometer at the Clarkson Lab at the University of Rochester.
- LOD was 0.75 and samples with concentrations below the LOD were coded with LOD/SQRT of 2.
- Total Mercury was positively skewed, therefore log transformed geometric means were calculated.
- Frequency of locally caught fish was calculated based on summed weighted frequencies across species of fish.

Gobeille A, Morland K, Bopp R, Godbold J, Landrigan P. Body Burden of Mercury in Hudson River Area

## Geometric Mean Concentrations of Mercury

 (ng/mL) by Demographic Characteristics( $\mathrm{N}=124$ )
Mean $^{\text {(SE) }}$ T $\quad$-value

| Total |  | 2.2 (0.2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/ Ethnicity |  |  |  |
|  | Non-Hispanic White | 2.4 (1.1) | $r e f$. |
|  | Black | 1.6 (1.2) | 0.063 |
|  | Hispanic | 2.0 (1.3) | 0.490 |
|  | Other | 3.5 (1.6) | 0.392 |
| Gender |  |  |  |
|  | Men | 2.3 (1.3) | 0.180 |
|  | Women | 1.7 (1.2) | ref. |
| Yearly Household Income |  |  |  |
|  | < \$30,000 | 1.8 (1.2) | 0.157 |
|  | \$30,000 - \$49,999 | 2.0 (1.3) | 0.393 |
|  | > \$50,000 | 2.4 (1.1) | $r e f$. |
|  | Not Reported | 3.0 (1.3) | 0.408 |
| Completed Years of Education |  |  |  |
|  | $\leq 12$ | 1.9 (1.1) | 0.046 |
|  | $>12$ | 2.6 (1.2) | ref. |

## Geometric Mean Concentration of Mercury by Age



## Geometric Mean Concentration of Mercury by BMI



## Mercury Concentration (ng/mL) by Reported Fish Consumption

Unadjusted Adjusted $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{a}}$ Mean ${ }^{\text {² }}$ (SE) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ P value

Never versus Any Local Fish Intake
Never
Any Fish Intake
erage Frequency per Week ${ }^{\text {e }}$
Never
Any fish < once per week
Any fish > once per week

| 20 | $1.3(1.2)$ | ref. | $0.2(2.1)$ | ref. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 31 | $2.0(1.3)$ | 0.142 | $0.4(1.3)$ | 0.031 |
| 73 | $2.6(1.3)$ | 0.004 | $0.5(1.3)$ | 0.001 |

${ }^{\text {an }}$ n is the number of participants ; bMean is log transformed (geometric mean); cSD is log transformed (geometric standard error); dModel adjusted for race, gender, income, education age and BMI; ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{p}$ values presented against reference dose (never eats local fish)

## Methods for PBDE Analysis

- 93 samples were selected from the 2002-2003 data collection to be analyzed for PBDEs at the National Center for Environmental Health at the CDC in Atlanta.
- Concentrations below the limit of detection (LOD) were coded with LOD.
- PBDE concentrations were positively skewed, therefore log transformed geometric means were calculated.
- Frequency of locally caught fish was calculated based on summed weighted frequencies across species of fish.

Morland KB, et al. Body burdens of polybrominated diphenyl ethers among urban anglers. Enviromental Health Perspectives 2005;113:1689-1692.

## Mean concentratation of

 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in human serum| PBDE Congener | Unadjusted (pg/g fresh weight) |  |  | Lipid adjusted (ng/g lipid weight) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}^{\ddagger}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | STD ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | STD ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 47 | 93 | 91.4 | 3.8 | 13.3 | 3.6 |
| 85 | 92 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 1.0 | 3.6 |
| 99 | 93 | 21.5 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
| 100 | 93 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 |
| 153 | 93 | 21.8 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
| 154 | 89 | 4.4 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 2.3 |
| 183 | 93 | 3.6 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.7 |

[^0]
## Mean concentration of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) by local fish intake (ng/g lipid weight)

## No local fish intake

Any local fish intake
PBDE

| Congener | $\mathrm{N}^{\ddagger}$ | Mean $^{\S}$ | STD $^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\ddagger}$ | Mean $^{\S}$ | STD $^{\dagger}$ | p-value |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 14 | 12.61 | 5.42 | 79 | 13.41 | 3.30 | 0.87 |
| $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 14 | 0.70 | 3.56 | 78 | 1.11 | 3.54 | 0.21 |
| 99 | 14 | 2.83 | 4.69 | 79 | 3.30 | 3.24 | 0.67 |
| 100 | 14 | 2.32 | 4.66 | 79 | 2.77 | 2.94 | 0.59 |
| 153 | 14 | 2.02 | 4.13 | 79 | 3.43 | 2.88 | 0.10 |
| 154 | 12 | 0.56 | 3.74 | 77 | 0.64 | 2.09 | 0.57 |
| 183 | 14 | 0.38 | 1.99 | 79 | 0.56 | 1.65 | 0.01 |

[^1]
## Mean concentratation of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) by frequency of reported local fish intake (ng/g lipid weight)

|  | No local fish intake |  |  | Fish Intake <= 1 wk |  |  | Fish Intake > 1 wk |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Congener | $\mathrm{N}^{\ddagger}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | STD ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\ddagger}$ | Mean ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | STD ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\ddagger}$ | Mean ${ }^{\S}$ | STD ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 47 | 14 | 12.61 | 5.42 | 25 | 11.55 | 3.07 | 54 | 14.37 | 3.41 |
| 85 | 14 | 0.70 | 3.56 | 25 | 0.89 | 3.28 | 53 | 1.23 | 3.65 |
| 99 | 14 | 2.83 | 4.69 | 25 | 2.68 | 2.92 | 54 | 3.63 | 3.38 |
| 100 | 14 | 2.32 | 4.66 | 25 | 2.34 | 2.63 | 54 | 3.00 | 3.08 |
| 153 | 14 | 2.02 | 4.13 | 25 | 2.58 | 3.06 | 54 | 3.91 | 2.76 |
| 154 | 12 | 0.56 | 3.74 | 23 | 0.51 | 1.91 | 54 | 0.71 | 2.13 |
| 183 | 14 | 0.38 | 1.99 | 25 | 0.49 | 1.70 | 54 | 0.59 | 1.62 |

[^2]
## Comparison of mean concentrations (BDE-47)



## Geometric Mean Concentration of Major PCBs by Local Fish Intake



## Geometric Mean Concentration of Tetra \& Penta Chlorinated PCBs by Fish Intake



## Summary

- Observed higher levels of total mercury among urban anglers reporting eating locally caught fish
- Levels of mercury higher than other US populations (ex. NHANES)
- Significant differences in PBDE levels were not observed between anglers reporting eating locally caught fish and those that do not.
- Observed concentrations lower than other US populations but higher than non-US populations.
- Differences in levels of PCBs were not observed by fish consumption.
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