

APPENDIX S

THREATENED OR ENDANGERED SPECIES SCREENING PROCESS

Grizzly bears, wolves, bald eagles, and lynx are the listed species that occur incidentally throughout the Dillon Field Office. This appendix describes analysis screens developed by a Level 1 team of interagency field biologists to facilitate, streamline, and ensure consistency across administrative boundaries during Section 7 consultation under the Endangered Species Act.

The screens are designed to identify simple, straightforward actions that have insignificant or discountable effects on listed species. If proposed actions are fully compliant with the wildlife screens, and the screen leads to a “not likely to adversely affect” conclusion, they will likely be covered for terrestrial species by a programmatic concurrence from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. These proposed actions could proceed once the appropriate documentation (i.e. biological assessment or worksheet with appropriate documentation) is completed. The screens are not all inclusive because some projects warrant additional analyses from the onset. Furthermore, even though an action is identified in the screen, the standard consultation procedure could still be required. A qualified wildlife biologist is responsible for implementing the screening process.

Wildlife screens are attached for bald eagle, gray wolf, and grizzly bear. Measures identified in the Lynx Conservation and Assessment Strategy (LCAS) will serve as the screen for lynx. The action agency will be required to submit periodic progress reports for NLAA actions that have been consulted on using the programmatic concurrence.

The following sections provide guidance on how to use the wildlife screens and emphasize when the programmatic concurrence would not apply. If programmatic concurrence does not apply, the standard¹ section 7 process would occur. The process described here follows and compliments the National Fire Plan consultation strategy. The screens developed for the National Fire Plan process consider the effects of certain fire-related projects and may be used to screen all National Fire Plan projects. The screens presented here consider the effects of most other activities.

CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL SCREENS

- The programmatic concurrence applies to Forest Service and BLM projects or actions where the biological assessment clearly leads to a “not likely to adversely affect” (NLAA) determination. Use of the consultation screens is intended to be a tool to arriving at an effects determination; the biologist must consider the effects of the action added to the environmental baseline and cumulative effects. The concurrence is expressly limited to those simple, straightforward actions that will have documentation supporting insignificant or discountable effects on wildlife. **More complex projects that do not clearly lead to an NLAA determination or those projects for which the project biologist has any threatened and endangered wildlife species concerns do not qualify for this programmatic concurrence. For these projects, biologists should follow standard consultation processes.**
- Further, projects not meeting or included in the species-specific criteria are not covered by the programmatic consultation and must follow the standard processes for conducting project analysis, biological assessment development, and consultation. Several activities are not included in the species’ screens because the nature of the activity warrants additional consideration provided through standard consultation procedures.
- If one species does not meet the screening criteria, then standard consultation procedures need to be followed for all species. However, it is possible to use the screens as a documentation process for those species that fit the screens and include this documentation alongside the analysis for the species that do not fit the screens.
- As always, cumulative effects must be considered; cumulative effects findings may cause the project to go to standard consultation.

¹ Standard consultation refers to the process whereby the action agency biologist commences dialogue with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) counterparts to determine the appropriate consultation procedures. Typically this involves phone correspondence to apprise the Service of the effects of an ongoing project and to reach consensus on such an effect and to determine if informal consultation is sufficient or if the project should proceed to formal consultation. Upon agreement of the respective consultation procedure, the action agency biologist will submit the appropriate request and documentation to the Service for concurrence or a biological opinion.

- No Effect determinations are included in the species-specific flowcharts to assist in overall effect determinations even though consultation is not necessary.
- Application of the screens and determination of project effects for compliance with Section 7 must be accomplished by a qualified wildlife biologist.
- In no case does the programmatic concurrence apply to any project or action that has the potential to cause or increase the likelihood of take as defined by the Service's regulations.
- In the event that a project or action proceeds under the programmatic concurrence and exceeds the conditions of the programmatic concurrence, the action agency must initiate informal or formal consultation or request reaffirmation of concurrence, as appropriate, for that project or action.