# U.S. Housing Market Conditions

February 1997

### **SUMMARY**

Despite downturns in the fourth quarter in housing production and marketing, 1996 was an excellent year for the housing industry. Building permits slipped 2 percent in the fourth quarter, but the 1,430,900 units permitted in 1996 made it the best year since 1988. Single-family permits at 1,073,100 were at the fourth-highest number since the series started in 1959, exceeded only in 1977, 1978, and 1986. Housing starts declined 5 percent in the fourth quarter, but at 1,473,700 units, 1996 also was the best year since 1988 for housing starts. Singlefamily housing starts had their second-best year since 1986, exceeded only in 1994.

In housing marketing, new home sales decreased approximately 6 percent in the fourth quarter of 1996, but the 756,000 sales made 1996 the thirdbest year since the series began in 1963; only the hyperactive years of 1977 and 1978 had higher new home sales. Existing home sales slipped 4 percent in the fourth quarter, but 1996 had the highest sales total ever with 4,086,000 units sold. This was 100,000 sales and  $2^{1}/_{2}$  percent above the previous high year, 1978. The total for new and existing sales, 4,842,000 units, also set a new record, surpassing the 4,803,000 units sold in 1978.

Because new homes are now larger and have more amenities than new homes in earlier years, the aggregate value of new homes sold in 1996 exceeded the value of homes in any prior year except 1994. The 1996 home typically was a trade-up home, while the typical 1978 home was a starter home. The average new home in 1978 had 1,755 square feet of floor area; the average new home in 1995 had about 2,100 square feet of floor area, which was a 20-percent increase. Based on constant 1996 dollars, the 1996 output totalled more than \$135 billion, compared with \$127 billion in 1995, \$140 billion in 1994, and \$134 billion in 1978.

Another record was set by manufactured (mobile) home shipments in 1996. Shipments from manufacturers to dealers were expected to total 365,000 units in 1996, exceeding the previous record of 340,000 units shipped in 1995. This data series goes back to 1974, when the third-highest number, 329,000 units, was shipped.

Another area that showed strength and vibrancy during 1996 was homeownership. The growing demand for owning a home, due to growing consumer confidence and made possible by affordable mortgage interest rates, accounted for the excellent year in new and existing home sales and manufactured (mobile) home shipments. The Nation's homeownership rate rose 0.7 percentage points in 1996, following an identical rise in 1995. The homeownership rate at the end of 1996 was 65.4 percent, almost back to where it was in 1980 (65.6 percent) before the decade-long decline in homeownership set in.

The fourth-quarter downturn may presage the return to more normal levels of activity than many housing analysts have been anticipating. Except for housing completions, which naturally experience a timelag and so should continue to rise in 1997, most production and marketing measures can be expected to show some small declines into 1997 and perhaps 1998 as well. Any slowdown should be modest in the important single-family sector because the inventory of unsold homes is low and, therefore, any decrease in demand should not be magnified by an inventory correction. While the annual rental

<u>I</u>	n	S	i	d	e
Tab	le of Co	ontents			2
Nat	ional Da	ata		••••••	13
Reg	ional A	ctivity			31
Hist	torical I	Data			51

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development and Research



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	1
	a
The Providers of Attordable Housing	3
Who Are the Owners?	5
How Was the Property Acquired?	6
Attitudes Toward the Property	7
Dealing With Section 8	8 10
Concluding Comments	11
National Data	13
Housing Production	13
Starts	13
Under Construction	14
Completions	15
Manufactured (Mobile) Home	15
	15
Home Sales	16
Home Prices	17
Housing Affordability	18
Apartment Absorptions	18
Placements	19
Builders' Views of	1/
Housing Market Activity	20
Housing Finance	21
Mortgage Interest Rates	21
FHA 1–4 Family Mortgage	າາ
PMI and VA Activity	22
Mortgage Originations by Loan	
Type, 1–4 Family Units	23
hy Building Type	2.4
Mortgage Originations by Lender	2.
Type, 1–4 Family Units	25
Delinquencies and Foreclosures	26
Housing Investment	27
Cross Domestic Product	27
Housing Inventory	20
Housing Stock	28
Vacancy Rates	29
Homeownership Rates	29
Regional Activity	31
New England	32
Spotlight on: Boston,	
Massachusetts	33
Spotlight on: Albany-Schenectady-	00
Troy, New York	34
Mid-Atlantic	35
Southeast/Caribbean	37
Spotlight on: Louisville, Kentucky	38
Midwest	39
Spotngnt on: Ann Arbor, Michigan	41
Southwest	42
Spotlight on: Las Cruces,	
New Mexico	43

Great Plains	43
Spotlight on: Des Moines, Iowa	44
Spotlight on: Colorado Springs	45
Colorado	46
Pacific	47
Spotlight on: Phoenix, Arizona	48
Northwest	49
Spotlight on: Spokane, Washington.	50
Historical Data	51
Table 1	
New Privately Owned Housing	
Units Authorized: 1959-Present	51
Table 2	
New Privately Owned Housing	
Units Started: 1959–Present	52
Table 3	
New Privately Owned	
Construction: 1969 Present	52
Table 4	55
Ladie 4 New Privately Owned Housing	
Units Completed: 1968–Present	54
Table 5	
Manufactured (Mobile) Home	
Shipments, Residential Placements,	
Average Prices, and Units for Sale:	
1974–Present	55
Table 6	
New Single-Family	E C
Home Sales: 1964–Present	56
Lable / Existing Single Family	
Home Sales: 1968–Present	57
Table 8A	
New Single-Family	
Home Prices: 1963–Present	58
Table 8B	
Existing Single-Family	
Home Prices: 1968–Present	59
Table 8C	
Repeat Sales House Price Index:	(0)
1980–Present	60
Table 9 Housing Affordability	
Index: 1970_Present	61
Table 10	01
Market Absorption of New Rental	
Units and Median Asking Rent:	
1970–Present	62
Table 11	
Builders' Views of Housing	
Market Activity: 1978–Present	63
Table 12	
Mortgage Interest Rates, Average	
1972_Present	64
Table 13	04
Mortgage Interest Rates, Points	
Effective Rates, and Average Term	
to Maturity on Conventional Loans	
Closed: 1982–Present	65
Table 14	
FHA, VA, and PMI 1–4 Family	
Mortgage Insurance Activity:	66
1700-11050Ht	00

Table 15 FHA Unassisted Multifamily Mortgage Insurance Activity:
1980–Present
Table 16 Mortgage Originations
1–4 Family Units by Loan
Type: 1970–Present
Table 17
Residential Mortgage Originations by Building Type: 1970 Present
Type. 1970–Present
Table 18 Mortgage Originations 1.4
Family Units by Lender
Type: 1970–Present 70
Table 10
Net Acquisitions 1-4
Family Units by Lender
Type: 1970–Present 71
Table 20
Mortgage Delinquencies
and Foreclosures Started
1986–Present
Table 21
Expenditures for Existing
Residential Properties:
1968–Present
Table 22
Value of New Construction
Put in Place. Private Residential
Buildings: 1974–Present
Table 23
Gross Domestic Product and
Residential Fixed Investment:
1959–Present
Table 24
Net Change in Number
of Households by Age of
Householder: 1971–Present
Table 25
Net Change in Number
of Households by Type of
Household: 1971–Present 77
Table 26
Net Change in Number of
Households by Race and Ethnicity
of Householder: 1971–Present
Table 27
Total U.S. Housing
Stock: 19/0–Present
Table 28
Rental Vacancy
Rates: 19/9–Present
Table 29
Homeownership Rates by Age
of Householder: 1982–Present
Table 30
Homeownership Rates by
Statuce 1082 Procent 82
Status. 1903–FICSCIIL
1 able 31 Homeownership Pates
hunded whership Rates
1083_Present 02
1700-FICSCHL
Homeownership Petco
homeownersnip Kates
1983_Present Q4
1700 11000110

vacancy rate is at an all-time high and the regional reports indicate some soft markets are developing, multifamily housing construction is at a modest level by historical standards. Continued good economic conditions and a healthy demand for homeownership should continue to buoy the Nation's housing production and marketing.

### **Regional Perspective**

Confirming the national data, field economists at the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development reported that 1996 was one of the best years in the past 7 years for housing sales at the local level. Homebuilding continued at a brisk pace in most of the major markets, while sales of both new and existing homes sustained high levels and set records in some areas.

Sales of existing homes were especially strong in the Boston area. In the Mid-Atlantic region, the Washington, D.C., area ended the year with the fourth-largest volume of single-family permits nationally. Atlanta remained the hot market in the Southeast with more than 37,500 single-family houses authorized by building permits in 1996.

The Midwest region had its highest level of home construction since 1978. California's sales housing market continued to improve, racking up the best performance since 1989; single-family permits were up in 17 of 25 of the State's metropolitan markets. Sales markets in the Northwest, particularly in the Puget Sound area, also continued to show strength.

In much of the country, rental housing markets held firm. Tighter market conditions in Boston made rental housing construction feasible for the first time in many years. Midwest apartment building was at the highest level in the past 7 years. Texas also experienced high volumes of apartment construction.

But there are warning signs that previously hot rental housing markets are starting to cool off. Large multifamily housing production volumes are causing concern in some markets in the Southeast, Southwest, and Rocky Mountain regions. There have been significant reductions in construction activity in the Orlando, Miami-Fort Lauderdale, Atlanta, and Raleigh-Durham areas. The Austin and Albuquerque rental markets, in particular, have softened due to an oversupply of new high-rent apartments. New apartment projects in the Denver area are continuing to fill, but rent concessions are widespread.

## THE PROVIDERS OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Nearly 35 million households rent their housing units. Because of the importance of housing, the Federal Government provides assistance to slightly more than 4 million low-income households. Even with this level of support, another 12 million families who are eligible for assistance receive none and must rely on private-sector property owners for affordable housing. Thus it is important to know how this segment of the market operates. Whether and how providers of unassisted, affordable rental units serving these low-income families differ from owners providing more expensive rental units to families with higher incomes and more extensive choices is an important policy issue. This paper looks at information collected in the Property Owners and Managers Survey (POMS) conducted for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) by the U.S. Bureau of the Census.<sup>1</sup>

### Identifying Affordable Rental Units

This work examines whether property owners and managers who provide affordable rental housing behave differently from property owners and managers of more expensive rental units. Affordable rental housing refers to units that do not cost so much as to be burdensome to lower income families. To identify affordable units, an approach is used based on HUD's income eligibility rules and affordabilityburden guidelines. Affordable rental units are identified as those that a family with 50 percent of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The survey was conducted in the later part of 1995 and early 1996 from a nationally representative, scientifically selected sample of privately owned rental units. About 8,000 owners or property managers answered questions on property acquisition, financing, maintenance and capital spending, expenses, income, strategies, tenant selection, and tenant relationships. Additional information can be found in the November 1996 issue of *U.S. Housing Market Conditions Report* or on the World Wide Web at http://www.census.gov/hhes/www/poms.html.



	% Single	e-Family	% Multifamily		
Owner Characteristics	Affordable	More Expensive	Affordable	More Expensive	
Type of Owner Individual Investor Trustee for Estate Limited Partnership General Partnership Joint Venture Real Estate Investment Trust Life Insurance Co. Financial Institution Real Estate Corporation Corporation Housing Co-op Nonprofit Fraternal Other Not Reported	89 2 1 2 1 0 0 0 2 2 1 1 1 0 0 4	90 3 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 5	59 2 14 7 2 1 0 0 6 3 0 4 0 4 0 11	44 1 17 11 2 4 1 2 8 5 0 5 0 0 17	
Number of Owners 1 Owner 2 Owners 3 or More Owners Not Reported Institutional Investor	52 44 4 11 6	45 50 5 13 5	31 33 36 11 16	23 29 48 17 22	
Age of Owner Under 25 25–34 35–44 45–54 55–64 65–74 75 or Older Not Reported Institutional Investor 55 or Older	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 3 \\ 14 \\ 21 \\ 26 \\ 21 \\ 16 \\ 10 \\ 6 \\ 63 \\ \end{array} $	0 3 23 30 22 17 6 14 5 44	0 5 14 26 26 19 9 28 16 55	0 4 15 30 25 17 9 36 22 51	
Sex of Owner Male Female Not Reported Institutional Investor	68 32 7 6	73 27 9 5	80 20 22 16	83 17 29 22	
Race of Owner White African American	85 11	87 2	89 6	90 3	
Alaska Native Asia/Pacific Islander Other Not Reported Institutional Investor	1 1 2 7 6	0 8 3 11 5	0 4 2 22 16	0 4 2 30 22	
Hispanic Origin Yes No Not Reported Institutional Investor	6 94 10 6	7 93 12 5	4 96 22 16	5 95 31 22	
Number of Units Owned 1 2–4 5–9 10–49 50 or More Not Reported Institutional Investor	46 24 12 14 4 16 6	42 31 12 11 5 20 5	NA 29 15 25 32 37 16	13 4 8 18 56 44 22	

#### Table 1. Who Are the Owners of Rental Properties?

HUD-adjusted area median income<sup>2</sup> (an income-eligible family) could afford without spending more than 30 percent of their income on rent, which is the standard of reasonable rent burden implicit in most of HUD's assisted-housing programs.<sup>3</sup> HUD-adjusted median family incomes are available for every county or county equivalent (nearly 3,200 areas). Ideally, these cutoffs would be adjusted for differences in the number of bedrooms; however, this added precision must await the merging of POMS, which does not contain number of bedrooms, with the 1995 American Housing Survey.<sup>4</sup> Using this definition about one-half of private-sector rental units would be considered affordable. This is somewhat higher than other studies have found.<sup>5</sup> For now this

<sup>2</sup> HUD-adjusted median incomes, along with a description of the methodology used to calculate them for metropolitan areas and nonmetropolitan counties, are published each year as a *HUD Notice*. For example, the 1997 estimates were published in *U.S. Department of Housing* and Urban Development Notice: *PDR-96-01—Estimated Median Family Income for Fiscal Year 1997*, issued December 27, 1996. This is also available on the World Wide Web at http://www.huduser.org/fmrdata97/ medians.html.

<sup>3</sup> About 5 percent of the responses did not have a reported rent and thus are excluded from the tables. Units with no flash rents have also been excluded from the tables.

<sup>4</sup> As a result of not adjusting for bedroom size, some rental units will be misclassified. For example it is possible that affordable three-bedroom units will be classified as more expensive, while expensive efficiency units will be classified as affordable.

<sup>5</sup> See Rental Housing Assistance at a Crossroads: A Report to Congress on Worst Case Housing Need, Office of approach is sufficient to provide useful results on the providers of affordable housing, although the intention is to use the more refined definition in future work.

#### Who Are the Owners?

Single-family privately owned rental units are predominately owned by individual investors whether the unit is affordable to lower income families or only to higher income families as shown in table 1.6 The other forms of ownership infrequently occur for single-family properties and are distributed nearly the same for affordable units as for the more expensive units. Units in multifamily properties are also frequently owned by individual investors; however, individual investors more often own affordable units (59 percent) than more expensive units (44 percent).<sup>7</sup> This shortfall for more expensive units is made up by the higher presence of limited partnerships (14 percent for affordable units compared with 17 percent for more expensive units) and general partnerships (7 percent for affordable units compared with 11 percent for more expensive units). These last two differences are not statistically significant.

Affordable rental units owned by noninstitutional owners, such as individual investors (including joint ownership by two or more individuals), estate trustees, and limited and general partnerships, are more likely to have a lower number of owners than the more expensive properties as shown in panel 2 of table 1. For example 52 percent of affordable single-family rental units have one owner, while only 45 percent of the more expensive singlefamily rental units have one owner. Affordable multifamily rental properties owned by

noninstitutional owners8 exhibit the same pattern, with 31 percent of affordable units owned by a single owner compared with 23 percent for more expensive units. The difference for single-family rental units just misses being statistically significant. Other characteristics of noninstitutional owners reveal that affordable single-family rental units are more likely to be owned by older individuals, with 63 percent of the units having owners over 55 years old compared with only 44 percent for more expensive singlefamily units. No such clear pattern holds for noninstitutional owners of multifamily rental properties as shown in panel 3 of table 1.

Owners of affordable rental properties are more often reported to be female, with 32 percent for single-family affordable units compared with 27 percent for more expensive units as shown in panel 4 of table 1. The difference for multifamily units is not as great, with 20 percent for affordable units compared with 17 percent for more expensive units. (Neither difference is statistically significant as shown in panel 5 of table 1.) Overall, most rental units are owned by owners who are white. However, there are some interesting differences between affordable and more expensive rental units. Respondents for noninstitutionally owned affordable rental properties are more likely to have reported that the owners are African American (11 percent for single-family and 6 percent for multifamily) than is the case for more expensive rental units (2 percent for singlefamily and 3 percent for multifamily). Only the difference for single-family rental units is statistically significant. Owners of single-family rental units who are Asian or Pacific Islanders are more often owners of more expensive rental units, but again the difference is not large enough to be statistically meaningful. The differences in ownership by persons of Hispanic origin as indicated in the table are small and insignificant as shown in panel 6 of table 1.

In terms of the number of total rental units owned, there is a statistically slight tendency for single-family rental units to be owned by persons who have no other properties as shown in panel 7 of table 1. Owners of affordable multifamily rental units are more likely to own fewer total units than owners of more expensive rental units; 56 percent of the more expensive units have owners who own

Policy Development and Research, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, March 1996. Table A–1 shows that 43 percent of rental units were affordable to families with up to 50 percent of area median family incomes.

<sup>6</sup> Percentages in the table columns have two bases. The basis used for legitimate responses is the sum of all units with any legitimate response that could be classified according to rent. These percentages are used to describe the distribution of the owners. The second basis used for "not reported" and "institutional investor" (usually the last two entries in a table panel) is all units who responded to the survey that could be classified according to rent. The percentage for "not reported" provides an indication of the validity of the responses.

<sup>7</sup> Differences noted in the text are statistically significant at the 90-percent confidence level unless otherwise noted.

<sup>8</sup> Personal characteristics for noninstitutional owners refer to the single owner, the operating general or lead partner in general partnerships, or any one of the owners if the property is owned by two or more individuals. 50 or more units, while only 32 percent of affordable multifamily units have owners who own 50 units or more. This shift toward smaller scale for owners of affordable units in multifamily properties is also evident in the number of owners with two to four units (29 percent compared with 4 percent for owners of more expensive units).

Compared with more expensive rental units, affordable rental units seem to be owned by individuals who are older, more frequently women, more frequently African Americans, and who own fewer other units.

### How Was the Property Acquired?

The predominant method of acquiring rental properties is reported to be purchasing for all types of property—affordable and more expensive, singlefamily and multifamily—as shown in table 2. However, affordable single-family rental properties were acquired more often by inheritance or gift (13 percent) than was the case for more expensive single-family rental units (5 percent). This tendency, though smaller, is also present for rental units in multifamily properties, but it is not statistically significant (4 percent for affordable units versus 1 percent for more expensive units).

Reasons for acquiring rental properties were somewhat different for single-family properties and less so for units in multifamily properties, though they are not statistically significant for either type of property, as shown in panel 2 of table 2. "Providing affordable housing" (4 percent for affordable units, 1 percent for more expensive

	% Single-Family		% Multifamily	
Acquisitions	Affordable	More Expensive	Affordable	More Expensive
Method of Acquisition Purchase Tax-Free Exchange Foreclosure/Assignment	80 0 2	86 2 1	84 2 3	84 1 2
Other Inheritance/Gift Not Reported	5 13 7	6 5 9	7 4 19	11 1 25
Reason for Acquisition Residence for Self/Family Provide Affordable Housing Income L-T Capital Gains Convert to Nonresidential Convert to Residential Tax Shelter Retirement Security Family Security Other Not Reported Not Applicable	28 4 31 7 0 0 2 11 5 12 13 12	$32 \\ 1 \\ 25 \\ 11 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 10 \\ 5 \\ 11 \\ 14 \\ 4$	$     \begin{array}{r}       10 \\       11 \\       40 \\       15 \\       0 \\       0 \\       4 \\       9 \\       4 \\       8 \\       25 \\       4     \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 10\\ 11\\ 39\\ 19\\ 0\\ 0\\ 3\\ 5\\ 2\\ 10\\ 31\\ 1 \end{array} $
Year Property Acquired 1949 or Earlier 1950–59 1960–69 1970–79 1980–84 1985–89 1990–93 1994 or 1995 Not Reported Before 1980	4 4 10 21 15 22 19 6 10 39	1 2 5 16 19 30 23 5 11 24	2 3 10 24 13 21 17 11 19 38	1 2 7 21 14 24 19 12 23 31
Method of Financing Purchase Placed One New Mortgage Placed Two or More	52	65	65	67
New Mortgages Assumed a Mortgage Assumed and Placed	1 5	2 12	5 7	8 5
a Mortgage Borrowed Using Other Assets	2	3	3	2
as Security Paid All Cash Other Not Reported Not Applicable	4 29 6 12 12	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\12\\3\\17\\4\end{array} $	3 9 8 32 4	$ \begin{array}{r} 3\\7\\8\\42\\1\end{array} $

#### Table 2. How Was the Property Acquired?

units) and "income" (31 percent for affordable units, 25 percent for more expensive units) were more prevalent reasons for acquiring affordable singlefamily rental properties, while "as a residence for self or family" was the more prevalent reason for acquiring more expensive single-family rental units (32 percent versus 28 percent). For owners of units in multifamily rental properties, "retirement security" (9 percent for affordable units, 5 percent for more expensive units) and "family security" (4 percent versus 2 percent) played more important roles for affordable units than for more expensive units. "Long-term capital gains" was given as a reason more often by owners of more expensive multifamily rental units

	<u> </u>				
	% Singl	% Single-Family		% Multifamily	
Owner Attitudes	Affordable	More Expensive	Affordable	More Expensive	
Length of Time Plan to Own Less than 1 Year 1 up to 3 Years 3 up to 5 Years More than 5 Years Do Not Know Not Reported	8 10 11 71 38 8	8 14 16 62 33 10	6 8 10 76 30 21	5 6 9 81 26 27	
Would Owner Buy this Property Again? Yes No Do Not Know Not Reported	58 42 21 8	49 51 25 10	65 35 21 21	75 25 22 28	
Profit Last Year? Yes Broke Even Had a Loss Do Not Know/Not Sure Not Reported	49 20 31 15 3	52 15 32 16 3	58 15 27 25 7	67 11 22 25 8	
Profitability Compared With Similar Properties Less Profitable More Profitable About the Same Do Not Know/Not Sure Not Reported	36 4 60 23 4	25 7 68 24 2	20 13 67 24 6	12 22 66 25 7	
Change in Area Property Values Increased Decreased Remained the Same Do Not Know/Not Sure Not Reported	31 13 55 14 3	34 23 44 12 2	29 18 53 17 5	37 14 49 17 5	
Competitors for New Tenants Private, Unassisted Units Private Accepting Section 8 Private, Assisted— Not Section 8 Public Housing	78 45 38 28	87 35 27 16	76 51 42 28	78 37 29 17	

#### Table 3. Attitudes Toward the Property

(19 percent) than by owners of affordable multifamily rental units (15 percent).

Owners of affordable rental units, both single-family and multifamily, acquired their properties earlier than owners of more expensive rental units as shown in panel 3 of table 2. Affordable rental units were more likely to be acquired before 1980 (39 percent for single-family and 38 percent for multifamily) than were more expensive rental units (24 percent for single-family and 31 percent for multifamily).

Methods of financing acquisitions of properties showed a very sharp distinction for affordable single-family rental units, with 29 percent of owners reporting that they paid all cash while about 12 percent of the owners of more expensive single-family rental units reported paying all cash as shown in panel 4 of table 2. Owners of multifamily rental units paid all cash less frequently, and the distinction between affordable and more expensive rental units is not statistically significant (9 percent for affordable units and 7 percent for more expensive units).

In summary affordable singlefamily rental units were more often acquired as inheritances or gifts, purchased for all cash, and acquired earlier than more expensive units. Multifamily rental unit acquisition methods, reasons, and financing differ less between owners of affordable and more expensive units, with the exception of when they acquired the units.

### Attitudes Toward the Property

Regardless of unit type or affordability, the majority of owners plan to hold their properties for more than 5 years. Owners of affordable singlefamily rental units are more attached to their units than owners of more expensive single-family rental units, in contrast to multifamily rental properties where the opposite is true. As indicated in the first panel of table 3, owners of affordable single-family rental properties more often responded that they would hold their properties for more than 5 years (71 percent compared with 62 percent for owners of more expensive units). For multifamily rental properties the pattern is reversed, although it is less pronounced and not quite statistically significant (81 percent for more expensive units versus 76 percent for affordable units).

When asked whether they would buy their properties again, owners of affordable

single-family rental units were more likely to answer in the affirmative (58 percent) than owners of more expensive single-family rental units (49 percent) as shown in panel 2 of table 3. Owners of multifamily rental properties were even more positive about doing it over again, but in this case the owners of more expensive units were more positive than owners of affordable units (75 percent versus 65 percent).

More than 60 percent of all owners reported earning a profit or breaking even as shown in panel 3 of table 3. For singlefamily rental units, owners of more expensive units more often reported earning a profit (52 percent compared with 49 percent for owners of affordable units) or having a loss (32 percent versus 31 percent for owners of affordable units). Owners of affordable rental units were more likely to report breaking even (20 percent compared with 15 percent for owners of more expensive rental units). These distinctions, however, do not have statistical significance. Owners of the more expensive units in multifamily rental properties were more positive, with more reporting profits (67 percent versus 58 percent for owners of affordable units) and fewer reporting losses (22 percent versus 27 percent for owners of affordable units). This is a statistically insignificant difference.

When asked about the profitability of their properties compared with similar properties, most owners (60 to 68 percent) reported that their units were about the same as other similar units as shown in panel 4 of table 3. Owners of affordable units were more likely to respond that their properties were less profitable than owners of more expensive units (36 percent versus 25 percent for single-family units and 20 percent versus 12 percent for multifamily units).

In addition to profitability, owners were queried about changes in the value of their properties compared with other similar properties as shown in panel 5 of table 3. The most common response (44 to 55 percent) was that the value remained the same. Owners of the more expensive singlefamily rental units were ambivalent: more indicated an increase in value (34 percent compared with 31 percent, although the difference is not statistically significant) and more indicated a decrease in value (23 percent compared with 13 percent of owners of affordable units). Owners of the more expensive units in multifamily rental properties were more positive about value, with 37 percent indicating an increase in value compared with 29 percent for owners of affordable units in multifamily rental properties.

Owners of affordable rental units feel that they are competing with rental properties that are either assisted or that accept tenant-based assistance as shown in panel 6 of table 3. Owners of affordable rental units, when asked if they compete with private properties that accept Section 8 tenants, more often respond affirmatively (45 percent versus 35 percent for single-family units and 51 percent versus 37 percent for multifamily properties). These owners of affordable rental units also see themselves competing more often with rental properties receiving non-Section 8 assistance and with public housing, as shown by the data in the final two rows of table 3.

Owners are generally positive about their properties-they plan to hold on to them for long periods of time; they would most often purchase the properties again; they most likely made a profit or broke even; and they rated their units "better" or "about the same" compared with similar properties in terms of profits and value appreciation. Nevertheless important differences among these characteristics exist between affordable and more expensive singlefamily rental units. Owners of affordable single-family rental units gave more positive answers for length of future ownership, buying property again, and property value appreciation, and gave less positive answers for current profits and profitability compared with similar properties, than the owners of more expensive single-family rental units. Owners of units in more expensive multifamily rental properties were more unequivocal: They gave more positive responses to all these questions. Finally, owners of affordable rental units more often saw themselves competing with assisted housing for new tenants than owners of more expensive rental units.

#### Dealing With Section 8

Knowledge of HUD's Section 8 program is not as widespread as one might expect. Only about one in six owners of singlefamily rental units is very familiar with the program; this is true for owners of both affordable and more expensive rental units, as shown in table 4. Furthermore, the percent of single-family homeowners not familiar with the program is higher among the owners of affordable units than among owners of more expensive units (59 versus 54 percent, a difference that is not statistically significant). Owners of multifamily rental properties are much more aware of the program, with one out of three owners very familiar and more than one out of three owners somewhat familiar. Multifamily owners' awareness of the program is about the same for those with affordable units as for those with more expensive units.

About six out of seven owners of single-family rental units reported that they had not received any inquiries from prospective Section 8 tenants as shown in panel 2 of table 4. There were no statistically significant differences in the number of inquiries received by owners of affordable units and owners of more expensive units.9 Owners of multifamily rental units more often received some inquiries, with only 4 out of 10 reporting that they received no inquiries in the past 6 months. There is very little difference in the number of inquiries received by owners of affordable units and by owners of more expensive units in multifamily rental properties.10

Similarly, owners of affordable rental units were more likely to have reported that they would accept Section 8 tenants (57 percent versus 47 percent for single-family units and 57 percent versus 44 percent for multifamily properties) as shown in panel 3 of table 4. Owners of both affordable and more expensive rental units who reported that they would not accept Section 8 tenants most often cited three reasons: potential problems with tenants, too many regulations, and too much

paperwork. Owners of more expensive units cited these problems more often than owners of affordable units as shown in panel 4 of table 4. Owners of more expensive units often said that their rents were too high compared with the Fair Market Rents used by the Section 8 program (31 percent versus 5 percent for single-family units and 45 percent versus 13 percent for multifamily properties).

Owners of affordable singlefamily rental units had a slight tendency to be not as familiar with Section 8 and to receive fewer inquiries than owners of more expensive units, but they were more likely to accept Section 8 tenants than owners of more expensive single-family rental units. Owners of affordable units in multifamily rental

<sup>9</sup> The upper response category for single-family units is "5 or more," and it is "100 or more" for units in multifamily properties.

<sup>10</sup> The apparent anomaly of more expensive units receiving more inquiries may be an artifact. More expensive units may be located in larger properties (where owners indicated that they owned more total units) and thus would be expected to have more inquiries because of their size.

	% Single-Family		% Multifamily	
Knowledge of Section 8	Affordable	More Expensive	Affordable	More Expensive
Familiarity With Section 8 Very Familiar Somewhat Familiar Not Familiar Not Reported	17 24 59 5	16 30 54 5	32 37 31 6	30 37 33 8
Number of Section 8 Inquiries in Last 6 Months None 1-4 5+ Single-Family/5-9 Multifamily 10-19 20-49 50-99	85 8 	87 7 6 	44 21 12 8 7 4	38 24 12 9 9 4
100 or More Do Not Know Not Reported Some Inquiries			5 8 6 32	4 8 9 36
Accept Section 8 Tenants? Yes No Not Reported	57 43 7	47 53 7	57 43 8	44 56 12
Reasons for Not Accepting Section 8 Tenants Total— Not Accepting         Ability To Collect on Vouchers/Certificates         Potential Problems with Tenants         Too Many Regulations         Too Much Paperwork         Rents Are Too High Object to Government	9 24 28 26 5	19 45 44 43 31	10 23 26 26 13	13 34 40 41 44
Subsidies Other	15 27	22 29	9 23	14 31

#### Table 4. Section 8



#### Table 5. Record of Maintenance

	% Single-Family		% Multifamily	
Maintenance	Affordable	More Expensive	Affordable	More Expensive
Year Structure Built Before 1920 1920–29 1930–39 1940–49 1950–59 1960–69 1970–79 1980–84 1985–89 1990 or Later Not Reported Built Before 1970	11 7 9 15 17 13 16 6 4 1 9 72	5 5 4 8 13 14 24 12 11 4 5 49	12 7 6 7 17 27 9 8 2 5 55	6 5 4 5 5 13 23 12 20 7 3 37
Unit Inspected in Last 2 Years Yes No Do Not Know Not Reported	23 77 16 1	21 79 18 1	41 59 18 1	36 64 20 1
Results of Unit Inspection Passed Passed Subject to Repairs Passed Reinspection Did Not Pass Do Not Know Not Reported Not Inspected	80 13 6 0 1 1 80	85 13 2 0 3 1 82	89 9 1 2 1 66	92 6 1 0 2 1 71
Percent of Rental Income Spent on Maintenance None Less than 5 Percent 5–9 Percent 10–19 Percent 20–29 Percent 30–39 Percent 40–49 Percent 50–74 Percent 75 Percent or More Not Reported	9 19 19 24 13 5 3 4 5 11	4 23 26 27 8 4 2 3 2 10	2 9 18 30 16 9 5 7 4 25	1 12 29 13 8 5 5 4 28
Current Maintenance Program Most Problems Postponed, Major Problems ASAP Minor Problems Postponed, Major Immediately All Immediate and Preventive Maintenance Not Reported	10 16 75 4	5 8 87 2	4 10 86 3	2 7 91 3
Future Maintenance Program Most Problems Postponed, Major Problems ASAP Minor Problems Postponed, Major Immediately All Immediate and Preventive Maintenance Not Reported	9 14 77 5	7 13 80 3	4 8 88 4	2 5 93 4

properties were at least as familiar with the Section 8 program and were more willing to accept Section 8 tenants than owners of the more expensive multifamily rental properties.

### Maintenance and Upkeep

Affordable rental units are generally older than more expensive rental units as shown in table 5. Seventy-two percent of affordable single-family rental units were built before 1970, while only 49 percent of more expensive rental units are as old. Multifamily rental units are newer than single-family rental units (55 percent built before 1970 compared with 37 percent built before 1970), but once again the affordable stock is older than the more expensive stock.

A higher percentage of the owners of affordable singlefamily rental units (9 percent) reported spending no money on maintenance compared with owners of more expensive single-family rental units (4 percent), though the difference is not large enough to be statistically significant as shown in panel 4 of table 5. In spite of the fact that many owners spent no money on maintenance, the median percentage of rental income spent on maintenance by owners of affordable single-family rental units is slightly above 10 percent, whereas owners of more expensive rental units spent slightly less than 10 percent. Owners of units in multifamily rental properties rarely spent nothing on maintenance, with their median amount spent on maintenance being about 16 percent of rental income for

affordable units and a slightly higher median for more expensive units.

This maintenance spending may reflect the maintenance policies that owners currently pursue as shown in panel 5 of table 5. Only 75 percent of the owners of affordable single-family rental units reported handling all problems immediately and practicing preventive maintenance, while 87 percent of the owners of more expensive rental units followed such a policy. The percentage reporting that they postponed handling most problems and handled only major problems as soon as possible was nearly twice as high for affordable single-family rental units than for more expensive rental units (10 percent versus 5 percent). Though owners of units in multifamily rental properties more often followed better maintenance policies, the level of preventive maintenance was lower for affordable units than for more expensive units (86 percent versus 91 percent), and the frequency of postponing most problems was lower for more expensive units (2 percent versus 4 percent) than for affordable units, though not statistically so. When asked about their plans for future maintenance, owners gave almost identical

responses as they gave for their current maintenance plans.

Another indicator of maintenance and unit quality can be gleaned from owners' answers to questions about unit inspections in the past 2 years as shown in panel 2 of table 5. Between 21 and 23 percent of single-family rental units and between 36 and 41 percent of units in multifamily rental properties were reported to have been inspected in the past 2 years. The most frequent outcome was that the units passed inspection (80 to 93 percent) as shown in panel 3 of table 5. Though the differences are not statistically significant, affordable units fared slightly worse than the more expensive units, with only 80 percent of single-family units and 89 percent of multifamily properties passing initial inspections, while the rates for the more expensive units were 85 percent and 92 percent, respectively.

Overall, owners of affordable rental units faced more maintenance challenges from their older stock, but at the same time many of them spent no money on maintenance and, compared with more expensive rental units, did not follow as frequently a preventive approach with immediate attention to problems.

### Concluding Comments

This paper has delved in a cursory way into the issue of whether owners of affordable rental housing units are different from owners of more expensive rental housing units. The analysis is based on tables with a simple and inexact two-way characterization of affordability. Further analysis is warranted, yet this preliminary treatment clearly reveals important differences that should be recognized in developing national housing policies.

Affordable rental properties are older and are less aggressively maintained. They tend to be less profitable, and the providers of affordable multifamily rental properties are less optimistic about the future. Affordable rental housing providers more often perceive themselves to be in competition with federally assisted housing. Still the majority of providers of affordable rental housing expect to retain ownership for 5 or more years and would acquire the property again.



*U.S. Housing Market Conditions* is published quarterly by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Policy Development and Research.

Andrew M. Cuomo	
Michael A. Stegman	Assistant Secretary, Office of Policy Development and Research
Frederick J. Eggers	Deputy Assistant Secretary, Economic Affairs
Paul A. Leonard	Deputy Assistant Secretary, Policy Development
Duane T. McGough	Director, Housing and Demographic Analysis Division
David E. Shenk	Director, Economic Market Analysis Division
Katherine L. O'Leary	Director, Research Utilization Division
Ronald J. Sepanik	Deputy Director, Housing and Demographic Analysis Division
Bruce D. Atkinson	
Sue George Neal	Economist
Randall M. Scheessele	Economist
Edward J. Szymanoski	Economist
Vanessa Void-Taylor	Research Utilization Specialist
Robert R. Callis	Bureau of the Census

HUD Field Office Economists who contributed to this issue are:

New England: John R. Riley	Boston
Boston, MA: John R. Riley	Boston
New York/New Jersey: David S. Burns	New York
Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY: William Coyner	Buffalo
Mid-Atlantic: Frances A. Kenney	Richmond
Washington, DC-MD-VA-WV: Rafiq A. Munir	Washington, DC
Southeast: Bette L. Almand	Atlanta
Louisville, KY-IN: Charles P. Hugghins	Atlanta
Midwest: Joseph P. McDonnell	Chicago
Ann Arbor, MI: Thomas W. Miesse	Detroit
Southwest: Linda L. Hanratty	Ft. Worth
Las Cruces, NM: Linda L. Hanratty	Ft. Worth
Great Plains: Donald J. Gebauer	Kansas City
Des Moines, IA: James P. Laakso	Omaha
Rocky Mountain: James A. Coil	Denver
Colorado Springs, CO: George H. Antoine	Denver
Pacific: Robert E. Jolda	San Francisco
Phoenix, AZ: Robert E. Jolda	San Francisco
Northwest: Pamela R. Sharpe	Seattle
Spokane, WA: Sarah E. Bland	Seattle



# **HOUSING PRODUCTION**



Permits for construction of new housing units decreased 2 percent in the fourth quarter of 1996 to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 1,397,000 units and were 3 percent below the fourth quarter of 1995. One-unit permits, at 1,017,000 units, were 4 percent below the level of the previous quarter and down 6 percent from a year earlier. Multifamily permits (5 or more units in structure), at 313,000 units, were 6 percent above the third quarter and 6 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
TOTAL	1,397	1,426	1,443	- 2	- 3
ONE UNIT	1,017	1,064	1,082	- 4	- 6
TWO TO FOUR	67	67	66	_	+ 1**
FIVE PLUS	313	296	295	+ 6	+ 6

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



Construction starts of new housing units in the fourth quarter of 1996 totalled 1,409,000 units at a seasonally adjusted annual rate, 5 percent below the third quarter of 1996, but even with the fourth quarter of 1995. Single-family starts, at 1,091,000 units, were 7 percent lower than the previous quarter and 3 percent below the 1995 rate. Multifamily starts totalled 266,000 units, a statistically insignificant 2 percent below the previous quarter and a statistically insignificant 6 percent above the same quarter in 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
TOTAL	1,409	1,488	1,411	- 5	_
ONE UNIT	1,091	1,176	1,129	- 7	- 3
TWO TO FOUR	52	42	31	+ 25	+ 70
FIVE PLUS	266	271	251	- 2**	+ 6**

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



Housing units under construction at the end of the fourth quarter of 1996 were at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 820,000 units, 1 percent lower than the previous quarter but 3 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995. Single-family units under construction at the end of the fourth quarter of 1996 stood at 577,000 units, a statistically insignificant 3 percent below the previous quarter but a statistically insignificant 1 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995. Multifamily units were at 215,000 units, up a statistically insignificant 3 percent from both the previous quarter of 1995.

-	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
TOTAL	820	826	800	- 1**	+ 3
ONE UNIT	577	592	569	- 3**	+ 1**
TWO TO FOUR	28	25	22	+ 12	+ 27
FIVE PLUS	215	209	209	+ 3**	+ 3**

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



Housing units completed in the fourth quarter of 1996 at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 1,416,000 units were down a statistically insignificant 1 percent but up 9 percent above the same quarter of 1995. Single-family completions at 1,135,000 units were unchanged from the previous quarter and 9 percent above the rate of a year earlier. Multifamily completions at 241,000 units were a statistically insignificant 3 percent below the previous quarter but a statistically insignificant 5 percent above the same quarter of 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
TOTAL	1,416	1,423	1,302	- 1**	+ 9
ONE UNIT	1,135	1,138	1,041		+ 9
TWO TO FOUR	40	38	31	+ 5**	+ 27
FIVE PLUS	241	247	229	- 3**	+ 5**

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



Shipments of new manufactured (mobile) homes to dealers were at a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 371,000 units in the third quarter of 1996, which is the same as the previous quarter and 8 percent above the rate of a year earlier.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
MANUFACTURERS' SHIPMENTS	371	373	344		+ 8

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. These are HUD-code homes only and do not include manufactured housing units built to meet local building codes, which are included in housing starts figures. Source: National Conference of States on Building Codes and Standards



# HOUSING MARKETING



Sales of new single-family homes totalled 749,000 units at a seasonally adjusted annual rate (SAAR) in the fourth quarter of 1996, a statistically insignificant 6 percent below the previous quarter but a statistically insignificant 10 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995. The number of new homes for sale at the end of December 1996 numbered 327,000 units, down a statistically insignificant 5 percent from the last quarter and down 12 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. At the end of December, inventories represented a 5.2-months supply at the current sales rates, down a statistically insignificant 2 percent from the previous quarter and down 21 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995.

Sales of existing single-family homes reported by the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®, for the fourth quarter of 1996 totalled 3,950,000 (SAAR), down 4 percent from the third quarter's level and down 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. The number of units for sale at the end of the fourth quarter was 1,650,000, which is 23 percent below the previous quarter but 12 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995. At the end of the fourth quarter, there was a 5.1-months supply of units, 20 percent below the previous quarter but 11 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995.

SOLD	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year				
New Homes									
NEW HOMES SOLD	749	793	678	- 6**	+ 10**				
FOR SALE	327	343	372	- 5**	- 12				
MONTHS' SUPPLY	5.2	5.3	6.6	- 2**	- 21				
		Existing H	lomes						
EXISTING HOMES SOLD	3,950	4,107	3,980	- 4	- 1				
FOR SALE	1,650	2,150	1,470	- 23	+ 12				
MONTHS' SUPPLY	5.1	6.4	4.6	- 20	+ 11				

\*Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: New: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development

Existing: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®



The median price of new homes during the fourth quarter of 1996 was \$144,700, up a statistically insignificant 3 percent from the previous quarter's level and up a statistically insignificant 5 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. The average price of new homes sold during the fourth quarter of 1996 was \$171,100, up 4 percent from the third quarter of 1996 and up 6 percent from the same quarter a year ago. The price adjusted to represent a constant-quality house was \$164,900, a statistically insignificant 1 percent below the third quarter of 1996 but up a statistically insignificant 1 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. The values for the set of physical characteristics used for the constant-quality house are based on 1992 sales.

The median price of existing single-family homes in the fourth quarter of 1996 was \$117,600, which is 2 percent below last quarter but 3 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995, according to the NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS<sup>®</sup>. The average price of \$145,000 was 2 percent below the previous quarter but 4 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995.

\$	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year				
New Homes									
MEDIAN	\$144,700	\$140,000	\$138,000	+ 3*	+ 5*				
AVERAGE	\$171,100	\$164,900	\$160,900	+ 4	+ 6				
CONSTANT- QUALITY HOUSE <sup>1</sup>	\$164,900	\$165,800	\$162,800	- 1*	+ 1*				
		Existing H	lomes						
MEDIAN	\$117,600	\$120,500	\$113,800	- 2	+ 3				
AVERAGE	\$145,000	\$148,100	\$139,000	- 2	+ 4				

\*This change is not statistically significant.

<sup>1</sup>A constant-quality house has the same physical characteristics from year to year and its price is estimated using statistical models. Sources: New: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development

Existing: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®



### Housing Affordability

Housing affordability is the ratio of median family income to the income needed to purchase the medianpriced home based on current interest rates and underwriting standards, expressed as an index. The NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS<sup>®</sup> composite index value for the fourth quarter of 1996 shows that families earning the median income have 126.7 percent of the income needed to purchase the medianpriced existing home. This figure is 6 percent above the third quarter of 1996 but 2 percent below the fourth quarter of 1995. This increase is the result of a 2-percent fall in the median home price and a 25-basis-point decrease in the interest rate that failed to be offset by a 5-percent drop in median family income during the last quarter. The fixed-rate index increased by 6 percent from the third quarter of 1996 but fell by 3 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. The adjustable-rate index increased by 6 percent from the previous quarter but decreased by 1 percent from the same quarter a year earlier.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
COMPOSITE INDEX	126.7	119.6	128.8	+ 6	- 2
FIXED-RATE INDEX	122.5	115.1	126.1	+ 6	- 3
ADJUSTABLE- RATE INDEX	137.6	129.3	138.8	+ 6	- 1

Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

### Apartment Absorptions

There were 53,300 new, unsubsidized, unfurnished, multifamily (5 or more units in structure) rental apartments completed in the third quarter of 1996, up a statistically insignificant 5 percent from the previous quarter and up a statistically insignificant 11 percent from the third quarter of 1995. Of the apartments completed in the third quarter of 1996, 72 percent were rented within 3 months. This absorption rate is up a statistically insignificant 1 percent from the previous quarter the previous year. The median asking rent for apartments completed in the third quarter was \$682, which is a statistically insignificant 1 percent below the previous quarter but a statistically insignificant 3 percent higher than a year earlier.

<b>.</b>	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
APARTMENTS COMPLETED*	53.3	50.9	48.0	+ 5**	+ 11**
PERCENT ABSORBED NEXT QUARTER	72	71	72	+ 1**	
MEDIAN RENT	\$682	\$687	\$662	- 1**	+ 3**

\*Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



**M** anufactured homes placed on site ready for occupancy in the third quarter of 1996 totalled 300,000 at a seasonally adjusted annual rate, nearly equal to the level of the previous quarter and a statistically insignificant 3 percent below the third quarter of 1995. The number of homes for sale on dealers' lots at the end of the third quarter totalled 117,000 units, 14 percent above the previous quarter and 39 percent above the same quarter of 1995. The average sales price of the units sold in the third quarter was \$39,000, up a statistically insignificant 1 percent from the previous quarter and 6 percent higher than the 1995 price.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
<b>PLACEMENTS*</b>	300	299	310		- 3**
ON DEALER LOTS*	117	103	84	+ 14	+ 39
AVERAGE SALES PRICE	\$39,000	\$38,500	\$36,800	+ 1**	+ 6

\*Units in thousands. These are HUD-code homes only and do not include manufactured housing units built to meet local building codes, which are included in housing completions figures.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



### **Builders' Views of Housing Market Activity**

The National Association of Home Builders (NAHB) conducts a monthly survey focusing on builders' views of the level of sales activity and their expectations for the near future. NAHB uses these survey responses to construct indices of housing market activity. (The index values range from 0 to 100.) The fourth-quarter value for the index of current market activity for single-family detached houses stood at 57, down 5 points from the third-quarter level of 62 but up 1 point from 1995's fourth quarter. The index for future sales expectations, 61, was down 3 points from the third-quarter value and down 1 point from 1995's level. Prospective buyer traffic had an index value of 41, which is 5 points below the third-quarter value and 1 point below 1995's fourth quarter level. NAHB combines these separate indices into a single housing market index that mirrors the three components quite closely. In the fourth quarter, this index stood at 53, which is 5 points below the third-quarter level and equal to the value from 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
HOUSING MARKET INDEX	53	58	53	- 9	- 1
CURRENT SALES ACTIVITY— SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED	57	62	56	- 8	+ 1
FUTURE SALES EXPECTATIONS— SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED	61	64	62	- 4	- 2
PROSPECTIVE BUYER TRAFFIC	41	46	42	- 11	- 2

Source: National Association of Home Builders, Builders Economic Council Survey

# **HOUSING FINANCE**



Mortgage interest rates for all categories of loans declined from the previous quarter. The contract mortgage interest rate for 30-year, fixed-rate, conventional mortgages reported by Freddie Mac was 7.69 percent in the fourth quarter, 47 basis points lower than the previous quarter but 35 basis points higher than the same quarter of 1995. Adjustable-rate mortgages in the fourth quarter were going for 5.56 percent, 33 basis points below the previous quarter and 9 basis points below the same quarter of 1995. Fixed-rate, 15-year mortgages, at 7.20 percent, were down 48 basis points from last quarter but up 33 basis points from the same quarter of the previous year. The FHA rate fell 33 basis points during the quarter and was 50 basis points above the same quarter in 1995.

+ %^ <b>†</b>	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
CONVENTIONAL FIXED-RATE 30-YEAR	7.69	8.16	7.34	- 6	+ 5
CONVENTIONAL ARMs	5.56	5.89	5.65	- 6	- 2
CONVENTIONAL FIXED-RATE 15-YEAR	7.20	7.68	6.87	- 6	+ 5
FHA FIXED-RATE 30-YEAR	8.17	8.50	7.67	- 4	+ 7

Sources: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation; and Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development



## FHA 1-4 Family Mortgage Insurance\*

Applications for FHA mortgage insurance on 1–4 family homes were received for 238,700 (not seasonally adjusted) properties in the fourth quarter of 1996, down 4 percent from the previous quarter but up 11 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. Endorsements or insurance policies issued totalled 209,500, down 5 percent from the third quarter of 1996 but up 39 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. Endorsements for refinancing were 18,100, down 24 percent from the third quarter of 1996 and 3 percent from a year earlier.

Louis	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
APPLICATIONS RECEIVED	238.7	249.6	215.0	- 4	+ 11
TOTAL ENDORSEMENTS	209.5	220.9	150.5	- 5	+ 39
PURCHASE ENDORSEMENTS	191.4	197.1	131.9	- 3	+ 45
REFINANCING	18.1	23.8	18.6	- 24	- 3

\*Thousands of properties.

Source: Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development



Private mortgage insurers issued 240,500 policies or certificates of insurance on conventional mortgage loans during the fourth quarter of 1996, down 16 percent from the third quarter and down 10 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995; these numbers are not seasonally adjusted. The U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs reported the issuance of mortgage loan guaranties for 68,700 single-family properties in the fourth quarter of 1996, down 13 percent from the previous guarter but up 9 percent from the fourth guarter of 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
TOTAL PMI CERTIFICATES	240.5	286.3	268.8	- 16	- 10
TOTAL VA GUARANTIES	68.7	78.6	63.0	- 13	+ 9

\*Thousands of loans.

Sources: PMI-Mortgage Insurance Companies of America; VA-Department of Veterans Affairs



### Mortgage Originations by Loan Type, 1–4 Family Units

The total value of mortgage originations for 1–4 family homes was \$218 billion in the third quarter of 1996, unchanged from the second quarter of 1996. Uninsured mortgage volume grew 1 percent; FHA-insured mortgages increased 1 percent; privately insured mortgages decreased 3 percent; and VA-guarantied mortgages decreased by 19 percent. The overall increase from the third quarter of 1995 was 15 percent. FHA mortgage volume increased 34 percent, VA-guarantied mortgages increased 12 percent, and privately insured mortgages rose 3 percent while the volume for uninsured mortgages increased 16 percent. Market shares changed very little during the third quarter of 1996 or from the third quarter of 1995.

S	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year			
Dollar Volume (\$Billions)								
FHA INSURED	18.5	18.4	13.8	+ 1	+ 34			
VA GUARANTIED	8.3	10.3	7.4	- 19	+ 12			
PRIVATE INSURANCE	34.0	35.1	33.1	- 3	+ 3			
NOT INSURED*	157.0	156.0	135.6	+ 1	+ 16			
TOTAL	218.0	219.9	189.8	- 1	+ 15			
		Percentage of Ma	rket Shares**					
FHA INSURED	8.5	8.4	7.3	+ 1	+ 17			
VA GUARANTIED	3.8	4.7	3.9	- 19	- 2			
PRIVATE INSURANCE	15.6	16.0	17.4	- 2	- 11			
NOT INSURED	72.0	70.9	71.4	+ 2	+ 1			

\*Includes Rural Housing Service (formerly Farmers Home Administration) loans.

\*\*Market shares and percentages are computed from unrounded data.

Source: Mortgage Insurance Companies of America and HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity





Residential mortgage originations totalled \$230.7 billion in the third quarter of 1996, down 1 percent from the second quarter of 1996 but up 16 percent from the third quarter of 1995, and nearly identical to the single-family mortgage pattern. The financing volume for multifamily (5+) units totalled \$12.7 billion in the third quarter, down 1 percent from the previous quarter but up 28 percent from the third quarter of 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
ONE TO FOUR UNITS	218.0	219.9	189.8	- 1	+ 15
FIVE PLUS	12.7	12.8	9.9	- 1	+ 28
TOTAL	230.7	232.7	199.6	- 1	+ 16

\*Billions of dollars.

Source: HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity



During the third quarter of 1996, commercial banks with a volume of \$60.2 billion and a market share of 27.6 percent and mutual savings banks with a volume of \$9.6 billion and a market share of 4.4 percent show increases from the second quarter of 1996 and the third quarter of 1995. However, the second and third quarter data are based on a newly introduced sample design that calls into question any comparisons of current data with earlier data. More data from the newly introduced sample design needs to be collected before the series can be used with confidence for time-to-time comparisons. Volume data for other lender types are unaffected; however, comparisons of their market share data across time are affected by this question of comparability. Mortgage companies decreased their volumes during the third quarter of 1996 to \$116.7 billion, a 9-percent decrease from the second quarter of 1996 but an 11-percent gain over the third quarter of 1995. Their market share is now estimated to be 53.5 percent, and they continue to dominate the market. Savings and loans originated \$30.4 billion in mortgages, a 13-percent decrease from the second quarter of 1996 but an 11-percent gain over the third quarter of 1996 but an 11-percent increase from the third quarter of 1995. Their market share in the third quarter is now estimated to be 13.9 percent. Volumes and market shares for "other lenders" continue to be quite small.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year			
Dollar Volume (\$Billions)								
COMMERCIAL BANKS	60.2	45.9	47.8	+ 31	+ 26			
MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS	9.6	9.1	7.5	+ 5	+ 28			
SAVINGS AND LOANS	30.4	35.1	27.5	- 13	+ 11			
MORTGAGE COMPANIES	116.7	128.4	105.3	- 9	+ 11			
OTHER LENDERS	1.1	1.4	1.6	- 21	- 31			
TOTAL	218.0	219.9	189.8	- 1	+ 15			
		Percentage of Ma	arket Shares					
COMMERCIAL BANKS	27.6	20.9	25.2	+ 32	+ 10			
MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS	4.4	4.1	4.0	+ 6	+ 11			
SAVINGS AND LOANS	13.9	16.0	14.5	- 13	- 4			
MORTGAGE COMPANIES	53.5	58.4	55.5	- 8	- 4			
OTHER LENDERS	0.5	0.6	0.8	- 21	- 40			

Source: HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity



Total delinquencies were at 4.16 percent at the end of the third quarter of 1996, 4 percent below the second quarter and 6 percent below the third quarter of 1995. Ninety-day delinquencies were at 0.59 percent, down 6 percent from the second quarter of 1996 and down 23 percent from the third quarter of 1995. During the third quarter of 1996, 0.33 percent of loans entered foreclosure, down 3 percent from both the previous quarter and the third quarter of 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
TOTAL PAST DUE (%)	4.16	4.35	4.41	- 4	- 6
90 DAYS PAST DUE (%)	0.59	0.63	0.77	- 6	- 23
FORECLOSURES STARTED (%)	0.33	0.34	0.32	- 3	- 3

Source: National Delinquency Survey, Mortgage Bankers Association

# **HOUSING INVESTMENT**



### **Residential Fixed Investment and Gross Domestic Product\***

Residential Fixed Investment (RFI) for the fourth quarter of 1996 was \$312.2 billion, equal to the value from the third quarter of 1996 but up 5 percent from the fourth quarter of 1995. As a percentage of the Gross Domestic Product, RFI was 4 percent, down 0.1 percentage point from the previous quarter but equal to the value from a year ago.

apre 1	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
GDP	7,731.7	7,616.3	7,350.6	+ 2	+ 5
RFI	312.2	312.6	296.5	_	+ 5
RFI/GDP (%)	4.0	4.1	4.0	- 2	_

\*Billions of dollars.

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce



# **HOUSING INVENTORY**



As of the fourth quarter of 1996, the estimate of the total housing stock, 114,555,000 units, was equal to the level of the third quarter of 1996 and 1.4 percent above 1995's level. The number of occupied units was up a statistically insignificant 0.1 percent from last quarter but was 0.9 percent above the same quarter in 1995. Owner-occupied homes were equal to the level of the third quarter of 1996 and 1.4 percent above the fourth quarter of 1995. Rentals declined a statistically insignificant 0.1 percent from 1995. Vacant units fell a statistically insignificant 0.9 percent from 1995. The number of 0.9 percent from 1995. Vacant units fell a statistically insignificant 0.9 percent from 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
ALL HOUSING UNITS	114,555	114,534	112,987	_	+ 1.4
OCCUPIED UNITS	101,264	101,120	100,363	+ 0.1**	+ 0.9
OWNERS	66,277	66,288	65,355		+ 1.4
RENTERS	34,987	34,832	35,008	+ 0.4**	- 0.1**
VACANT UNITS	13,291	13,414	12,624	- 0.9**	+ 5.3

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

\*\*This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



The 1996 fourth-quarter national rental vacancy rate, at 7.7 percent, was down a statistically insignificant 0.3 percentage point from last quarter and equal to the level of 1995. The homeowner vacancy rate, at 1.7 percent, was unchanged from last quarter but up a statistically insignificant 0.1 percentage point from 1995.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
HOMEOWNER RATE <sup>1</sup>	1.7	1.7	1.6	_	+ 6**
RENTAL RATE <sup>1</sup>	7.7	8.0	7.7	- 4**	_

\*This change is not statistically significant.

<sup>1</sup>Major changes related to the survey effective with 1994 first quarter data.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



The national homeownership rate was 65.4 percent in the fourth quarter of 1996, down a statistically insignificant 0.2 percentage point from the third quarter but up 0.3 percentage point from the fourth quarter of 1995. The homeownership rate for minority households decreased a statistically insignificant 0.5 percentage point from the third quarter but increased 0.7 percentage point from 1995. The rate for young households of 58-percent homeownership was up a statistically insignificant 0.2 percentage point from last quarter's rate and a statistically insignificant 0.1 percentage point from 1995's fourth quarter.

	Latest Quarter	Previous Quarter	Same Quarter Previous Year	% Change From Previous Quarter	% Change From Last Year
ALL HOUSEHOLDS	65.4	65.6	65.1	- 0.3*	+ 0.5
MINORITIES	45.0	45.5	44.3	+ 1.1*	+ 1.6*
YOUNG MARRIED-COUPLE HOUSEHOLDS	58.0	57.8	57.9	+ 0.3*	+ 0.2*

\*This change is not statistically significant.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce









he following summaries of housing market conditions and activities have been prepared by economists in the U.S. Depart-

ment of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD's) field offices. The reports provide overviews of economic and housing market trends. Each regional report also includes a profile of a selected housing market that provides a perspective of current economic conditions and their impact on the local housing market. The reports are based on information obtained by HUD economists from State and local governments, housing industry sources, and from their ongoing investigations of housing market conditions carried out in connection with the review of HUD program applications.





New England added 73,000 jobs in the 12 months ending November 1996, a 2.1-percent increase over the same period a year ago. Service-producing industries led the way, creating 80,900 jobs, but this gain was partially offset by the decline of 7,900 jobs in goods-producing industries. Massachusetts had the largest share of the increase, 39,000 new jobs. Connecticut ranked second with more than 20,000 new jobs, despite cutbacks in the defense and insurance industries. Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont also reported net increases in employment over the past 12 months.

Since the employment downturn bottomed out in late 1992, Connecticut has regained 65,000 of the 160,000 jobs previously lost. Hartford saw its unemployment rate decline from 5.4 percent to 4.8 percent. In the New London area, job losses in manufacturing have been offset by gains in the casino business. The Mohegan Sun Casino, which recently opened in Montville near New London, has 5,000 employees.

The unemployment rates for all of the New England States are below the national average. Massachusetts and New Hampshire had the lowest rates, both 3.9 percent, in November 1996. Maine's rate of 4.2 percent was not far behind. Connecticut's rate was 5.1 percent, down from 5.6 percent in November 1995.

Photonics, the practical use of light, such as with laser surgery and laser machinery, is the emerging industry in New England. Massachusetts, New Hampshire, and Connecticut are the top three States in the Nation in this area. Connecticut has 11,000 persons employed in photonics firms, most of which have fewer than 50 employees.

Home construction in the region, as measured by single-family building permits, showed continued

strength through the fourth quarter of 1996. For the year, permits were issued for 35,575 units, a 3.7-percent increase over the same period in 1995. Maine had the greatest gain, 8.4 percent. Portland, the largest metropolitan area in Maine, registered a 12-percent increase in single-family permits. Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Vermont also reported modest increases in single-family permits from 1 year ago. New Hampshire showed a small decline.

Existing home sales held steady in the region. Connecticut sales declined slightly during 1996 compared with 1995. The sales housing market in Massachusetts is doing significantly better, with the annual sales volume at 83,100 as of the third quarter compared with 68,100 in the third quarter of the previous year.

New England rental housing markets are tightening as the economy expands. The hottest markets are in eastern Massachusetts, southern New Hampshire, and southeastern Maine. Absorption of new rental housing in the Greater Boston area has continued at a strong pace. Apartment vacancy rates in communities along Route 128 are still 2 percent or less. Steady economic growth coupled with modest apartment construction have kept pressure on the existing rental stock.

The number of multifamily housing units permitted in New England in 1996 increased 25 percent. In Massachusetts multifamily permit activity was up almost 19 percent from 1,911 to 2,269 units; New Hampshire experienced a jump from 376 to 1,023 units. Only Connecticut and Maine recorded declines. Boston, Hartford, Manchester, and Providence all reported increases in multifamily permit activity compared with 1995 levels.

Leggat McCall Retirement Properties announced plans to develop about 1,700 units of housing for seniors in New England during the next 2 years. The majority of the units will be in Massachusetts. The target market will be seniors with incomes between \$15,000 and \$30,000.

#### Spotlight on

#### **Boston**, Massachusetts

The economy of the Boston metropolitan area continues its slow improvement. Employment during the 12 months ending in October 1996 increased almost 1 percent, reaching a level of 1,852,800 jobs. Local economic forecasts expect this modest rate of growth to continue in 1997. The latest unemployment figures support the positive change in the Boston area's economic climate. The area's unemployment rate declined substantially to 3.2 percent as of October 1996.

The largest job gains have been in the services and financial sectors. The financial sector has benefitted from a State law that provided a \$40 million tax break for mutual fund companies. Local financial corporations have made major commitments on commercial properties in downtown Boston and plan to expand. Employment gains are also expected in the biomedical research and computer software industries. However, downsizing continues by a number of major defense-related employers such as Raytheon. Manufacturing comprises only about 12 percent of employment, down from 20 percent in the early 1980s.

The Boston metropolitan area housing market has steadily improved since 1992. Existing home sales have been extremely strong throughout the metropolitan area, with many people trading up to take advantage of low interest rates. Buoyed by an improving economy, the median sales price of an existing home increased from \$171,100 in 1992 to \$179,000 in 1995.

Home construction continued to improve in 1996. Single-family building permits in the Boston area increased by 7.4 percent to 5,943 units. The number of speculatively built homes has increased for the first time since the late 1980s. However, custom construction for predetermined buyers remains the norm, with most new homes priced from \$275,000 to \$325,000. As a result of increased demand inside Route 128, prices of improved lots have increased 50 percent during the past 2 years. A standard improved lot in this submarket now sells for \$100,000 to \$250,000. Outside Route 128, lot prices are \$75,000 to \$100,000.

Construction has begun on a new commuter line from the South Station section of Boston to communities in southeastern Massachusetts. The line, which is expected to begin operation in 1998, has stimulated considerable interest in commercial and residential development near the planned stations.

The Boston rental housing market has tightened due to increased demand over the past 2 years and little significant development over the past 7 years. Low apartment vacancy rates (typically less than 3 percent) throughout the area have begun to spur the planning and development of new units. Permits were issued for 1,342 multifamily units in 1996, a 42.3-percent increase over 1995.

With the end of rent control in Boston, Cambridge, and Brookline, rents have increased significantly. Many apartment complexes in Cambridge are reporting almost 100-percent occupancy, with rents ranging from \$1,000 to \$2,000 for two-bedroom units. The rise in rents in the metropolitan area has made development of new rental housing financially attractive. Downtown rental housing is becoming increasingly attractive to developers. Students at Boston's colleges and universities have doubled up to pay higher rents, putting pressure on the downtown rental market. Developers are expressing interest in meeting this demand by converting older manufacturing buildings to rentals.

## New York/ New Jersey



Nonagricultural employment in New York State increased by 58,200 jobs, or 0.7 percent, between November 1995 and November 1996, led by the construction and services sectors. New Jersey employment increased by 34,500 jobs, or 1.0 percent, during the same period. New York State's



unemployment rate was 6.0 percent in November 1996, down from 6.3 percent a year earlier. New Jersey's unemployment rate was 6.2 percent in November 1996, also down by 0.3 percentage points from November 1995.

Employment increased by 29,500 jobs in New York City, or 0.9 percent, between November 1995 and November 1996. The unemployment rate for the city was 8.8 percent in November 1996, up from 8.2 percent in November 1995.

New York City's estimated 1995 population was 7,312,000, a slight increase of about 15,000 persons since 1990. The population would have declined were it not for a substantial increase in immigration. A recent report by New York City's Department of Planning indicated that 563,000 immigrants arrived between 1990 and 1994. Almost two-thirds of these new residents have located in Brooklyn and Queens, making an already tight market tighter.

The rental housing market is strong throughout the New York City metropolitan area. Hoboken and Jersey City, particularly the waterfront areas, have recently experienced substantial increases in rental housing construction. The demand for housing in these communities has been stimulated by ongoing neighborhood revitalization, much lower rents, and easy accessibility to Manhattan.

According to a recent report of the Real Estate Board of New York, residential condominium sales in Manhattan were 32 percent higher during the third quarter of 1996 than the third quarter of 1995. Several brokerage firms reported that thirdquarter 1996 cooperative housing sales were the highest ever in Manhattan. The market for lower priced cooperatives (\$25,000 for a studio apartment to \$75,000 for a three-bedroom unit) is beginning to experience increased activity in such areas as Flatbush and Sheepshead Bay in Brooklyn.

The recovery continues in New York City's commercial real estate market. The sales price of Class A office buildings in Manhattan is averaging about \$200 per square foot. Rents have increased modestly to an average of \$40 per square foot, and landlords are offering fewer concessions than previously. The vacancy rate fell to 8.9 percent in November 1996 from 10.4 percent in November 1995. Office space in Brooklyn and Queens is in short supply, and, in many instances, is commanding higher rents than in Lower Manhattan.

The hotel industry in Manhattan is particularly robust, with occupancy in 1996 at 82 percent, up from 79 percent in 1995. Room rates increased to an average of \$170.50 a night, up from \$155.50 in 1995. At least three new hotels are scheduled to begin construction in Manhattan in 1997.

In New York State, single-family building permits totalled 20,225 units in 1996, a slight 1-percent decline from 1995 levels. However, home sales on Long Island increased 11.6 percent during the first 9 months of 1996, and singlefamily building permits for 1996 were also up 11.6 percent over 1995. The improvement is attributable to a stronger than anticipated local economy.

New York State multifamily housing construction activity in 1996 doubled from the previous year. Permits were issued for 15,763 units, the highest level in the past 7 years. The New York City metropolitan area accounted for 55 percent of multifamily units due in large part to the strong rental market in Manhattan. In New Jersey single-family permit activity (21,107 units) was up 14.9 percent in 1996, and multifamily housing (3,457 units) was up close to 9 percent.

#### Spotlight on

#### Albany-Schenectady-Troy, New York

The six-county Albany-Schenectady-Troy metropolitan area experienced a slight 1.4-percent growth in population between 1990 and 1995, compared with a 0.8-percent increase for New York State. During this period total population in the metropolitan area increased from 861,400 to 873,400 persons, primarily due to growth in Saratoga County. In the 12 months ending November 1996, nonagricultural employment in the metropolitan area declined by approximately 3,000 jobs, largely the result of reductions in State government, although employment losses also occurred in manufacturing. There were modest increases in construction employment, transportation and public utilities, and wholesale and retail trade.

The Albany area has a well-educated and highly skilled workforce, due to the presence of the State government. Approximately one in four jobs in the metropolitan area is in government. Manufacturing currently comprises less than 10 percent of the employment base within the metropolitan area. As of November 1996, the unemployment rate for the area had declined to 3.7 percent, primarily reflecting losses in the workforce.

Between 1990 and 1994, single-family building permits in the Albany area held fairly steady at about 2,350 homes a year. The majority of new housing is custom-built homes priced above \$175,000. In response to declining demand for new units, due to regional economic conditions and recent job losses in the public sector, singlefamily permits have dropped more than 30 percent to 1,600 homes annually in the past 24 months. Housing development is most prevalent in suburban townships of Albany, Rensselaer, and Saratoga counties.

Existing home sales in the Albany area have declined since 1993, according to New York State Association of Realtors<sup>®</sup> data. From the third quarter of 1995 to the third quarter of 1996, the median sales price of an existing single-family home fell by 2.2 percent to \$106,100.

Multifamily building activity in the Albany area has held fairly steady since 1990, averaging 600 units annually. Recent construction has been largely in Albany, Rensselaer, and Saratoga counties. The rental market is balanced. Recent rent increases for newer rental properties in suburban submarkets have been in the 2- to 3-percent range; these projects generally have high occupancy levels. Some of the older rental properties have vacancy rates in excess of 10 percent.

### Mid-Atlantic



Mid-Atlantic employment in the first 11 months of 1996 increased by less than 1 percent. In Virginia the 48,000 jobs added in the first 11 months, a 1.6-percent gain, were due to rapid expansion of high-technology business services in Northern Virginia. The four major metropolitan areas of Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Baltimore, and Washington, D.C., had employment gains of less than 1 percent through the first 11 months. Delaware employment grew by 2.5 percent, helped by the retention of automobile-production jobs at both Chrysler and General Motors.

November 1996 unemployment rates hit a 6-year low in both Maryland (4.4 percent) and Virginia (3.8 percent). Pennsylvania's unemployment rate of 4.5 percent represents a significant decline from the November 1995 rate of 5.8 percent. West Virginia's rate of 6.8 percent also represents a continuing steady decline.

In Center City, Philadelphia, two leading companies, SmithKline Beecham and Independence Blue Cross, announced downtown expansions that will add 700 jobs. Planning is under way in Baltimore for development of an 800-room luxury hotel and retail complex in the Inner Harbor. This will be the city's biggest and first major hotel development since 1988.

New home production in the Mid-Atlantic has held steady. Single-family building permits totalled 96,432 units in 1996. None of the States or major markets showed much change from 1995 permit levels. Existing home sales increased 11 percent in the Baltimore area through November, led by Carroll County (16 percent), Baltimore City (13 percent), and Baltimore County (11 percent). Sales in Virginia for 1996 are expected to be about the same as 1995. In the Pittsburgh area, the 6-percent increase in sales in 1996 included a gain in Allegheny County.



Rental housing markets continue to tighten and production has increased from the low levels in the early part of the decade. Apartment production, as measured by building permits, increased 14.5 percent to 20,234 units from 1995 to 1996. Pennsylvania's multifamily permit activity was up 42 percent from very low 1995 levels, including a 63-percent increase to 2,162 units in the Philadelphia area. Demand for downtown residential rentals in Philadelphia is strong. The Philadelphia HUD Office is processing 3 multifamily housing applications, with a total of 451 units, for FHA mortgage insurance, and 3 other projects are in the planning stages. The Pittsburgh rental market continues to improve, with suburban projects averaging vacancy rates of less than 3 percent. In Virginia the number of multifamily permits increased 19 percent to 10,746 in 1996. The Baltimore area rental market has been relatively soft since 1990, and the recovery has been stymied by a sluggish economy. Reduced vacancies and fewer rent concessions have been noted.

#### Spotlight on

#### Washington, D.C.

Cutbacks in Federal spending reduced employment growth from more than 5 percent a year in the late 1980s to less than 1 percent in 1990 and 1991. Employment gains from 1992 to 1995 ranged from 1.4 to 1.9 percent annually. Based on data for the first 11 months of 1996, employment growth slowed again to less than 1 percent as government employment declined by 15,600 jobs. The District of Columbia has been particularly hard hit by both government and private-sector job losses in recent years, while suburban job gains have accrued primarily in the Virginia suburbs.

Northern Virginia's healthy job growth of 2.3 percent (22,000 jobs) in 1996 contrasts with the static picture in suburban Maryland. Northern Virginia's aggressive economic development strategy and a competitive edge in lower taxes have paid off with significant job gains in hightechnology business services. Participation in telecommunications by companies like MCI and LCI has spurred expansion in the utility sector. Job gains in Manassas (Prince William County) have been spurred by the new computer chip plant, Dominion Semiconductor. The plant, scheduled to open in late 1997, is already generating secondary development by equipment and service providers. Reston (Fairfax County) is projected to add 3,000 jobs in 8 years to Oracle's new headquarters; BDM International will move 1,000 employees to new offices in Reston. The two headquarters will generate more than 1 million square feet in office space expansion in 1997.

By early December 1996, office vacancy rates in the Washington metropolitan area had dropped to less than 8 percent. There was a 9-percent vacancy rate in the District, an 11-percent rate in Maryland, and a less than 5-percent rate in Northern Virginia. The current construction level of 3.4 million square feet represents less than 1 year's absorption and is nearly evenly divided between the District (1.8 million) and Northern Virginia (1.3 million). Average rates in the District for new space, at nearly \$36 per square foot, were one-third higher than suburban rents.

Job gains in outlying suburban areas have stimulated development and growth of edge cities beyond the beltway, pushing residential development into third-ring suburban counties. Both the Frederick and Hagerstown, Maryland, areas are benefitting from the movement of jobs to peripheral locations, while Northern Virginia's growth follows both major highway and airport corridors.

The sales housing market continued to show gradual improvement in 1996. New and existing home sales were up 3 and 4 percent, respectively, over 1995 totals. However, existing home sales slowed in the second half of 1996, and 1997 forecasts are cautious regarding continued market improvement. On the Maryland side, new home sales were up 3 percent and existing home sales increased 5 percent. Montgomery and Prince George's counties, which account for more than half of all activity, showed only slight gains. Outlying Frederick County reported a slight drop in existing home sales, but a 12-percent gain in new activity. On the Virginia side, new home sales remained steady, while existing home sales increased 3 percent. The high-volume Arlington-Alexandria-Fairfax submarket remained steady, while Loudoun County reported a 14-percent increase in sales. Prince William County held steady in new home sales, while existing home sales were up 6 percent.

The Washington area's new home production, as measured by building permits, is the fourthlargest market nationally, with 23,184 units permitted in 1996. While single-family permit activity in 1996 was about equal to 1995 levels, production was up 7 percent in Northern Virginia due largely to increased volume in the outlying counties. New homebuyers have a wide inventory from which to choose and are still able to obtain builder concessions in the form of more amenities or lower closing costs. The southern Maryland area (Charles and Calvert counties) is experiencing greater growth due to the transfer of 6,800 military and civilian personnel to the Patuxent River Naval Air Station. Both Charles and Prince George's counties are evaluating measures to halt or slow townhouse development.

The Washington area rental market is generally balanced. New apartments in Tysons Corner, Reston, Alexandria, Gaithersburg, and Rockville are being quickly absorbed at a typical pace of 30 units per project a month. The number of multifamily units permitted in 1996 (7,892 units) was up almost 28 percent. Suburban rental vacancy rates range from 5 percent in Northern Virginia to 6.5 percent in Prince George's County.

### Southeast/ Caribbean



Nonagricultural employment growth in the Southeast kept pace with the national growth rate of 2.1 percent between November 1995 and November 1996. Georgia, Florida, and South Carolina exceeded this figure, but in Alabama and Mississippi, the rate of growth was less than 1 percent. Florida's tourism industry had an excellent year; a record 42 million persons visited the State in 1996, 2 percent more than the previous year. The decline of the textile and apparel industries continues to be a drag on the economy of North Carolina.

The Southeast's November unemployment rate of 4.9 percent declined from the November 1995 level in every State except South Carolina. The labor supply is tight in many areas of the Southeast. Disney is holding job fairs in Orlando and reports difficulty filling positions. Jacksonville's building contractors face a shortage of skilled workers, resulting in delays, and some companies find it necessary to turn down work because of the labor shortage. The unemployment rate for Puerto Rico has declined, but still remains high, at 12.2 percent.

Single-family construction in the Southeast, as measured by building permits, was up 8.2 percent (295,896 units) in 1996 compared with 1995's volume. The biggest percentage increase was in South Carolina, where the number of permits increased by almost 17 percent to 22,133 units, led by the Greenville-Spartanburg and Columbia areas. Alabama also had a big percentage increase (13.3), with 14,466 units permitted in 1996. The smallest increase in single-family permit activity was in Tennessee (2.7 percent), where a 13-percent decline in Memphis was offset by a substantial 17-percent gain to 10,039 units in the Nashville area. The Atlanta area remains the national leader among metropolitan areas, with 37,523 single-family units permitted in 1996, up 7.4 percent from a year ago.

Birmingham home sales for 1996 reached a record level of 9,238, surpassing the previous high of 8,527 homes sold in 1993 when mortgage rates hit a 25-year low. The rise in home sales in 1996 occurred despite a steady rise in 30-year fixed-rate conventional mortgages from 7 percent in February to 7.8 percent in December. In the Caribbean, sales volume, as measured by the number of FHA loan applications in the first 11 months of 1996, increased 11.3 percent to 22,501 units.

Multifamily permit activity in the Southeast in 1996 was slightly below the 1995 level, but the total volume of 96,383 units led all the other


regions of the Nation. South Carolina and Tennessee experienced the biggest percentage increases, 40 and 60 percent, respectively. The increase in South Carolina was largely the result of activity in Greenville and Myrtle Beach. In Tennessee in 1996 the number of units permitted in Memphis (3,563 units) was up 86 percent, and in Nashville activity more than doubled to 5,557 units.

Florida had close to a 14-percent decline in multifamily activity in 1996, but still recorded the highest volume (33,551 units) in the Southeast. No rental markets in Florida are considered soft at this time. However, recent production levels are a cause for concern in the Jacksonville area, where more than 3,000 rental units will have been completed from mid-1996 to mid-1997. In the Fort Lauderdale area, rents were flat between February and August 1996, which, combined with the increases in multifamily production over the past several years, may be an indication of a weakening rental market.

In Georgia multifamily housing activity in 1996 declined 11 percent to 15,447 units. In Atlanta multifamily activity in 1996 fell by 18 percent to 10,739 units from the year-earlier total due to an excessive pace of construction in 1995 in many of the area's submarkets. Apartment occupancy remained below 90 percent in the Augusta area, which is still suffering from cutbacks at the Savannah River Plant. An additional 875 jobs are to be eliminated in January at this facility. Occupancy has also declined in the Macon area.

A recent survey of apartment units along the Mississippi Gulf Coast indicates an increase in the vacancy rate to about 10 percent.

The rental housing market in North Carolina continues to show strength. Multifamily permit activity in 1996 totalled 14,527 units, the best year over the past 7 years. In August 1996 there were 7,500 units under construction or proposed for the Research Triangle area. However, in response to the large pipeline, building permits declined by 20 percent in 1996. Apartment construction in Raleigh and Durham exceeds current requirements, but because of the rapid growth in the area, the surplus is not expected to last long. In the Charlotte-Gastonia area, multifamily activity in 1996 (5,943 units) was up almost 60 percent over 1995. As a result the apartment vacancy rate in this area is expected to exceed 8 percent in the near future.

### Spotlight on

# Louisville, Kentucky

Since 1990 the Louisville area's nonagricultural wage and salary employment has increased by an average of 2.1 percent annually. For the 12 months ending in November 1996, nonagricultural employment averaged 536,850 jobs, or 1.9 percent above the preceding 12-month period. Of the gain of 11,400 jobs, 7,000 were in the services sector and 3,200 were in trade. Manufacturing jobs declined by 400 over the period.

The largest private employers in the Louisville area are United Parcel Service (UPS)(14,400 jobs), General Electric Appliances (9,750 jobs), and Ford Motor Company (8,600 jobs). Capitalizing on the fast delivery service provided by the UPS national air service hub, the area has become a popular location for computer repair facilities. Local estimates anticipate there will be 2,000 to 2,500 computer repair jobs in the area by the end of 1997.

A locally prepared index of payroll growth in metropolitan areas ranked Louisville 37th among the largest 75 metropolitan areas in 1996. Since 1993 the area has slipped from 15th place on this index, as other areas that were harder hit by the recession have rebounded more vigorously. In contrast, the Louisville economy has had a more moderate rate of growth.

The population of the Louisville metropolitan area is approaching 1 million; the last estimate by the U.S. Bureau of the Census placed the area's population at 987,102 as of July 1, 1995. Jefferson County, with 672,918 residents, has just over two-thirds of the area's population. Most of the growth, however, has occurred in the other six counties of the metropolitan area. The largest increase, more than 8,000 persons, has been in Bullitt County. Multifamily housing authorized by building permits in the Louisville metropolitan area averaged 1,853 units a year from 1983 through 1989 and 1,018 units annually from 1990 through 1996. The 1996 total of 1,426 units was the highest since 1987.

A recent survey of Jefferson County's large apartment projects by the appraisal firm of Chapman and Bell found occupancy above 95 percent. Building permit data indicate that growth in the county's multifamily housing stock has been at a modest rate during the 1990s, averaging 663 units per year.

The number of single-family homes authorized by building permits for the metropolitan area averaged 2,375 units during the 1980s and 4,542 units during the 1990s. In 1996 permits were issued for 5,024 single-family units, a near record level. Realty Research Corporation, which monitors homebuilding, reports that demand is keeping up with production, thereby creating a balanced market. Increasing land costs are prompting the development of patio homes and zero-lot-line developments.

Statistics provided by the Louisville Board of Realtors<sup>®</sup> show that the average sales price of the 7,684 houses sold during the first 11 months of 1996 was \$121,000. This figure was slightly more than 4 percent above the average for 1995.

# MIDWEST



The Midwest economy continued to perform well in 1996. All States in the region reported employment gains through November and unemployment rates below the national average. Durable-goods manufacturing remains strong, but construction, retail trade, and business and health services have provided the largest number of new jobs. Home construction was up in all States. Based on the House Price Index from the Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight, housing prices for the 12 months ending September 1996 appreciated the fastest in the Nation.

Private surveys of business conditions showed local economies expanding throughout the year in the Chicago, Detroit, Cleveland, Cincinnati, Milwaukee, Grand Rapids, and Rockford metropolitan areas. Hiring plans for the first quarter of 1997 are stronger in the Midwest than a year earlier, particularly in finance, trade, and services.

Illinois and Michigan led the region in employment growth, each adding about 90,000 jobs in the 12 months ending November 1996. A \$722 million expansion of Chicago's Midway Airport is expected to double employment near the airport and increase personal income by \$1.6 billion over the next 10 years. Detroit's economy will receive a boost from construction of three casinos approved by Michigan voters in November, which are expected to add about 9,000 jobs. In northwest Indiana three casino openings since June contributed to a strong 10-percent gain in services employment and helped reduce the unemployment rate in the Gary area to 3.8 percent in November. All major industry sectors gained employment in Minnesota and Wisconsin, where the unemployment rate has stayed below 4 percent in both States for the past 2 years.

Existing home sales in the region continued strong in 1996. Third-quarter sales were at an 871,000-unit annual rate, 3 percent above the third quarter of 1995 and close to the Midwest sales record of 884,000 homes annually as of second quarter 1994. Michigan's booming economy helped boost home prices 9.4 percent in the 12-month period ending September 1996, the second-highest price appreciation in the country. Indiana and Wisconsin had the next largest price appreciation in the region, each up 5.7 percent.

Healthy local economies and favorable mortgage rates boosted single-family home construction in the region to its highest level since 1978. In 1996 single-family permits were issued for 187,992 units, an increase of 9.6 percent compared with a year earlier. Activity was up in all States of the region, led by Indiana's 11-percent increase to 30,662 units. Michigan and Ohio reported the



largest number of single-family permits, 41,937 and 36,031 units, respectively. Southeast Michigan (Detroit, Flint, and Ann Arbor metropolitan areas) saw a record year for homebuilding, according to the local builders' association; in 1996 permits were issued for 21,671 single-family units, 11 percent above 1995.

Chicago's sales housing market is strong. Almost 25,000 single-family units were permitted in the metropolitan area in 1996. Existing home sales in the city were up 12 percent to 49,460 units through November 1996, while home sales in suburban Cook County increased by 7 percent over last year. Chicago's robust market is spurring construction of new homes in the downtown area. In the South Loop, Draper and Kramer Incorporated are developing a 330-unit townhome development adjacent to 2,000 rental units, which they rehabilitated with a \$41 million FHAinsured loan. The townhomes are planned to sell for \$178,000 compared with an average price of around \$200,000 for similar housing in other parts of downtown. Chicago's HUD Office led the Nation for the second consecutive fiscal year, approving 36,984 FHA-insured homes in 1996.

Continuing to benefit from a strong economy, Minneapolis-St. Paul area builders reported that home construction in 1996 was up 8 percent over last year. One builder reported steady growth in sales of new homes in 1996, and expects sales to double in 1997. Existing home sales in the metropolitan area in 1996 were up 11 percent over 1995, and the median sales price increased 7 percent to \$109,500.

Based on data from the Ohio Association of Realtors®, 173,800 existing homes were sold in the State during the 10 months ending in October 1996, 10 percent above the 1995 volume for the comparable period, and the highest level in the past 6 years. In Columbus Affordable Housing Associates reported that 375 new homes priced between \$85,000 and \$126,000 sold well. Another 400 homes are under construction in the city, and the developer plans to build 600 more during the next 3 years. The Cincinnati Homebuilders Association reported that new home sales exceeded expectations at the first annual CITIRAMA home show in October. All but 1 of the 13 detached, single-family homes were sold at prices ranging between \$120,000 and \$169,000. The city donated the land and will abate homeowner property taxes for the next 15 years. The strong market response to downtown sales housing has encouraged Cincinnati to offer more city-owned lots for single-family development at next summer's home showcase.

New home sales in the Madison, Wisconsin, area were down from last year, but activity varied widely by price range. One builder reported that sales of new homes priced between \$125,000 and \$180,000 sold particularly well in 1996, while sales of higher priced homes (\$230,000 to \$270,000) were way down. To stimulate sales a builder of luxury homes reduced prices by 5 percent, and another builder of condominiums will shift to less expensive \$130,000 to \$150,000 units.

Midwest rental markets remain generally sound, with apartment occupancy in the 93- to 97-percent range in the fourth quarter of 1996. Multifamily housing production, which slowed in the first half of 1996, strengthened in the fall to finish the year well ahead of last year's strong performance. Permits were issued for 62,105 units in the Midwest region in 1996, almost 10 percent above last year's total. Ohio (13,192 units), Michigan (9,586 units), and Illinois (13,702 units) all recorded substantial increases in the number of multifamily units permitted in 1996.

Indianapolis' rental market is holding up well in the face of increased construction activity. Fourth-quarter occupancy was 94 percent, unchanged from the previous quarter. About 1,900 apartment units entered the market in 1996 and another 2,900 units will be completed in 1997, well above the 540-unit annual average between 1992 and 1994. Builders have begun to cut back, and the number of multifamily housing units permitted in 1996 is down 17 percent from 1995.

In Madison, Wisconsin, absorption of several thousand new units remains strong, but rent increases in existing projects have slowed and concessions are now more common. The local apartment association reported that more existing projects are offering 1 month's free rent or a reduced security deposit. Apartment occupancy in the metropolitan area is 93 percent currently, slightly below 94 percent in 1995.

Cleveland's downtown apartment market has continued to improve. The city's recent survey of 1,500 market-rate rental units showed a 7.7-percent vacancy rate. There is increased developer interest in converting older office buildings to apartments in the Playhouse Square District. Columbus' third-quarter apartment vacancy rate was 3.8 percent, according to a survey of 105,164 rental units by the Danter Company. This vacancy rate was down from 4.7 percent in 1995.

Minneapolis-St. Paul's healthy economy and low level of apartment construction have kept the rental vacancy rate in the metropolitan area close to 3 percent for the past several years. Multifamily housing production in the Twin Cities area in 1996 was down 22 percent compared with 1995's volume.

Chicago's rental market is strong overall. In 1996 the number of multifamily units permitted in the metropolitan area increased 21 percent to 9,332. Apartment occupancy is currently between 95 and 97 percent. However, in west Du Page County rent concessions in luxury apartments are becoming more widespread. About 1,000 units are in initial rentup in the Aurora area, with another 2,500 units expected on the market in 1997.

### Spotlight on

### Ann Arbor, Michigan

Ann Arbor's strong economy is due in great part to the University of Michigan and the automobile supply companies servicing nearby Detroit. With more than 31,000 employees, the university and its hospitals comprise 11 percent of nonagricultural employment in the metropolitan area. Research activities have resulted in the startup of about 160 companies during the past 10 years, adding approximately 7,000 employees. The university spent \$400 million on research in the 1994–95 academic year, up from \$160 million in 1985. This amount was the second-largest research expenditure among U.S. universities. More than \$1.5 billion in capital improvements also was completed by the university during the past 10 years. General Motors and Ford Motor Company, with combined workforces of nearly 15,000, are the next largest employers in the Ann Arbor area.

Ann Arbor's unemployment rate has historically been lower than any other metropolitan area in Michigan. As of November 1996, the unemployment rate was 2.6 percent compared with 2.9 percent a year earlier. Ann Arbor added 4,900 jobs over the past 12 months, boosting total nonagricultural employment in the metropolitan area to 261,500 jobs in November. State and local government, transportation equipment, trade, and business and health services provided most of the new jobs. The population in the metropolitan area, estimated to be around 500,000 in 1995, has increased more than 7 percent since the 1990 census.

Ann Arbor's strong economy has boosted the sales housing market. From 1990 through 1992, an average of 900 single-family building permits were authorized annually in the metropolitan area. However, with the recovery of the automobile industry and other sectors of the area's economy in 1993, activity increased dramatically to an average of 3,373 units annually from 1993 to 1995. In 1996 single-family activity continued to surge, with 4,310 units. Especially active areas include Ann Arbor, Ypsilanti, and Pittsfield Township in Washtenaw County, and Brighton and Green Oaks Townships in Livingston County. The most popular price range for new homes is from \$150,000 to \$180,000.

In Washtenaw County the median price of existing homes sold in the first 11 months of 1996 was \$140,000, an 11-percent increase over the same period in 1995. Sales activity for the period was down slightly from last year. According to Ann Arbor's Board of Realtors<sup>®</sup>, the average sale price of existing homes has been increasing by about 10 percent during the past 3 years. FHA mortgage insurance activity in the metropolitan area jumped 34 percent to 778 endorsed loans in the 12 months ending September 1996.



The Ann Arbor area's rental market is currently balanced, but is getting tighter as a result of limited apartment construction. The rental housing vacancy rate is 5 to 6 percent in the metropolitan area, down from 8 percent in the late 1980s. Multifamily housing permits averaged only 365 units annually from 1991 to 1993, as the excess supply from the late 1980s was absorbed. In 1996 permits were issued for 614 multifamily units. The tight rental market within the city is dominated by the University of Michigan's more than 36,000 students. Several developers are planning apartment projects near the city.

There are few affordable rental or homeownership opportunities for lower income households in Ann Arbor. To expand its stock of affordable housing, the city allocated HUD funds in 1996 for the development of 50 rental units. Rehabilitation of the Ann Arbor Inn with tax credits will add another 114 units of affordable housing for the elderly.

# Southwest



For the 12-month period ending in November 1996, regionwide job growth averaged 2.8 percent. Job growth in Texas remained strong, with a 3.1-percent increase of 244,100 new jobs.

Single-family construction and sales in 1996 were up throughout the Southwest, with an overall increase in single-family permits of 14.4 percent to 119,721 units. Texas, with 81,452 single-family units, had the largest increase, 17.4 percent. All major markets in Texas reported substantial increases in activity over strong 1995 levels. In the Austin-San Marcos metropolitan area, single-family permits were issued for 8,083 homes, a 43-percent increase over 1995. Activity was up by 15 percent in the Dallas-Fort Worth area to 25,508 units, by 22 percent in the Houston-Galveston area to 19,366 units, and by 11 percent in the San Antonio area to 6,484 units. Oklahoma City and Tulsa continue to show improvement, with single-family permits up 12 percent in each area.

Multifamily building-permit activity in 1996 rose slightly less than 2 percent regionwide to 45,581 units. Only Texas and Louisiana reported increases. In Texas 35,089 units were permitted in 1996, 8 percent greater than 1995 and the highest volume in the past 7 years. Activity in the Houston area fell 16 percent in 1996. In the Dallas-Fort Worth area, the number of multifamily units was up only 1 percent to 13,512 units. Austin-San Marcos recorded a modest 5.6-percent gain to 6,325 units in 1996.

Apartment construction is beginning to be cut back in the region's major markets in response to increasingly competitive market conditions, the large number of units still in the pipeline, and the large supply of affordable sales housing. Apartment occupancy is currently in the 90- to 94-percent range in most of the major markets. The Austin and Albuquerque rental markets have softened due to an oversupply of new luxury units and moderating employment growth. In Austin rental occupancy is likely to drop to 90 percent or less in 1997 as some 7,800 apartment units enter the market. Occupancy rates in Albuquerque should stabilize in the low 90s.

In northwest Houston some new projects have been forced to lower rents to reach 90-percent occupancy. The San Antonio rental market continues to be in a slump, with overall apartment occupancy at 91 percent, unchanged from 12 months ago. Rent concessions have become widespread in both new and existing projects. In the New Orleans area, apartment occupancy has dropped about 1 percentage point to 92 percent during the past 12 months, and occupancy in the city of New Orleans has dropped below 90 percent.

In Dallas, despite an estimated 11,000 new apartment units, apartment occupancy remained in the 94-percent range as people continued arriving in response to the new jobs. In El Paso the apartment occupancy rate has declined to around 90 percent as a result of reductions in military personnel at Fort Bliss and the slowdown in the local economy.

#### Spotlight on

#### Las Cruces, New Mexico

The Las Cruces metropolitan area is located in south-central New Mexico bordering Texas and Mexico. The population of the metropolitan area has increased 3 percent annually since 1990 to more than 160,000 persons. During the same period, the city of Las Cruces has grown by 8,900 persons to become the largest city in southern New Mexico, with an estimated population of 71,000.

For the 12 months ending in November 1996, employment in the Las Cruces area increased by 5.1 percent to 51,300 jobs over the previous 12-month period. This followed 1995, when employment increased by a healthy 4.3 percent. Nearly all job categories have contributed to the growth. Between 1990 and 1994, nonagricultural wage and salary job growth in the Las Cruces area averaged only 600 jobs per year, restrained by job losses at the White Sands Missile Range. Employment at the missile range peaked in 1990 at 9,033 jobs, but totalled only 6,981 by September 30, 1996. No additional layoffs are planned in 1997, but attrition should continue to reduce employment at this facility.

Las Cruces is home to New Mexico State University, which had a full-time enrollment of 11,671 students in the Fall of 1996. The university has a major economic impact on the area. In addition the area has been attracting retirees due to its desert climate and scenery and relatively low cost of living. El Paso, Texas' airport and military installations are 40 miles to the south. Other major growth areas in the metropolitan area are Santa Teresa and Sunland Park. Santa Teresa is a 25-year-old planned development west of El Paso, which has a new border crossing with Mexico as well as golf courses and an airport industrial park. Sunland Park is a fast-growing community of more than 9,000 persons that is bounded by El Paso, Mexico, and Santa Teresa.

There were 1,185 homes sold through the Las Cruces Multiple Listing Service in 1995 and another 1,173 in 1996. The average cost of existing homes in 1995 was \$94,368. Because of its affordability, manufactured housing comprises a significant part of the housing stock in the area (25 percent in 1990). More than 1,000 new manufactured home permits have been approved each year since 1990. This compares with an average of 725 single-family homes and 150 multifamily housing units permitted annually during the same period.

A large number of rental housing units in Las Cruces are in two- to four-unit buildings that are occupied by college students. Rental occupancy typically drops 10 to 15 percent in the summer months. There are a few existing luxury-type apartment complexes that cater to single professionals and retirees. The recent strong job growth should increase rental housing demand slightly during the next 2 years.



Nonagricultural wage and salary employment in the Great Plains increased by 127,500 jobs, or 2.1 percent, from November 1995 to November 1996 compared with 1.7 percent for the same 1994 to 1995 period. The region's labor market remains tight. As of November 1996, the unemployment rates in the region ranged from 2.4 percent in Nebraska to 4.0 percent in Missouri. Kansas City's unemployment rate was 3.5 percent, while in suburban Johnson County, it was 2.6 percent.

Kansas reported the largest annual rate of employment growth in the region, 3.2 percent. A total of 38,800 jobs were added, nearly threefourths of which were in construction, manufacturing, retail trade, and government. Wichita's booming aviation business provided all of the manufacturing employment growth in Kansas.

Nebraska's 2.5-percent employment growth in the 12 months ending November 1996 added 20,400 new jobs. Job growth in manufacturing



and services provided more than 60 percent of the increase. More than two-thirds of the State's job gains have been outside the Lincoln and Omaha metropolitan areas.

Iowa's 1.8-percent employment growth continued to lag that of the national economy. A total of 24,700 jobs were created from November 1995 to November 1996, the major share in construction, retail trade, and services. Shortages of workers in the State are constraining job growth, particularly in the meatpacking industry. There are also shortages of skilled construction and metals workers.

Missouri added the most jobs (43,600) from November 1995 to November 1996, but had the lowest growth rate (1.7 percent) of the four States. Manufacturing employment dropped by 7,200 jobs. Declines were widespread in both durableand nondurable-goods manufacturing. The large decline of 2,200 jobs (8.6 percent) in aircraft and parts manufacturing reflects the winding down of several defense contracts in the St. Louis area. Kansas City is still facing shortages of telemarketing and customer service employees and skilled computer personnel.

Homebuilding activity in the region continues at a strong pace, with single-family building permits for 1996 (43,350 homes) up 11 percent over 1995. All four States reported increases. Kansas (9,800 units) and Missouri (19,405 units) had the second best year in the past 7 years. Third-quarter sales of existing homes in the region remain strong, up 1.2 percent from the year-earlier period. Iowa and Nebraska registered gains of 6.6 and 4.6 percent, respectively.

The Kansas City sales market has been strong, with single-family building permits (9,728 homes) exceeding 1995 totals by 17 percent. Sales of new homes in 1996 were up 14 percent over 1995, while sales of existing homes were up 8 percent during the year. The median price of existing home sales increased 8.7 percent from the second quarter of 1995 to the second quarter of 1996.

Multifamily activity in 1996 reached the highest level (18,929 units) of the past 9 years. Strong gains were recorded in Kansas (51.7 percent), Missouri (27.1 percent), and Nebraska (47 percent). Nebraska, with 4,496 units, had its best year since 1972, and the Omaha metropolitan area saw its largest volume of multifamily construction since 1986. During the past year, the vacancy rate in larger garden apartments in this tight market has increased slightly from 2.7 to 3.5 percent.

In the St. Louis area, an era is ending with the relocation of the last tenants from LaClede Town, a 1,240-unit HUD housing project. Once a model housing development, the complex has deteriorated over time, resulting in HUD's decision to raze all of the units. The city of St. Louis, which will obtain the cleared 53-acre site, plans to sell parcels of the land to Harris-Stowe State College, St. Louis University, Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, and A.G. Edwards and Sons. Proceeds from the sale will go to the Gateway Village project, a proposed residential community planned for an area of north St. Louis. Harris-Stowe State College is to obtain 17.5 acres, which will enable it to expand its campus by constructing 6 new buildings. St. Louis University will receive 14 acres for new student recreational facilities and a parking garage. Sigma-Aldrich Corporation will build a new headquarters on the 14 acres it will purchase, while A.G. Edwards and Sons investment firm plans to acquire 7 acres to expand its company's headquarters.

### Spotlight on

#### **Des Moines**, Iowa

Des Moines continues to expand as an insurance industry center. More than 60 home offices of insurance companies and 100 State, district, and regional offices for other insurance companies are located in the area. The Principal Financial Group has completed construction of an eightstory office building on its downtown corporate campus. The new 525,000-square-foot building, which will house an additional 2,500 employees, brings Principal's home-office space to more than 1.7 million square feet.

In the Spring EMC Insurance Companies will complete their new 20-story office tower in

downtown Des Moines. Known as "700 Walnut," the \$50 million, 425,000-square-foot building is a major addition to its corporate headquarters. This building will house 1,250 employees. Equitable of Iowa recently announced that it will construct a six-story building in downtown Des Moines.

Des Moines has started a major revitalization program known as the Gateway Project. The Gateway Commons Project, located six blocks west of downtown, involves a joint city/private-sector effort to revitalize the area known as Auto Row. Efforts will include preserving nine buildings that were of significance to the Des Moines automotive production and merchandising industry in the early years of the 20th century. Two new office buildings will also be part of this project. East of downtown, the Capitol Gateway East Project will revitalize the area bordering the Des Moines River and State capitol. Part of the plan is to improve the seven blocks of Locust Street leading from the river to the State capitol to make it an attractive boulevard and encourage pedestrian use.

Since 1990 nonagricultural wage and salary employment in the Des Moines metropolitan area has increased a healthy 2.4 percent each year. The unemployment rate through November 1996 was a very low 3.3 percent.

Multifamily housing building permits have averaged more than 1,050 units a year during the past 5 years. This level of production, however, has exceeded demand, resulting in an increase in rental vacancies. At the end of 1996, the apartment vacancy rate was estimated to be about 8 percent and is expected to continue increasing in 1997. Competition from the increased supply of condominium units has been a factor in the rise in rental vacancies.

For the past 5 years, single-family building permits have averaged more than 2,250 units a year. According to the Des Moines Area Association of Realtors®, 7,882 homes were sold during 1996, a 3-percent increase from the previous year. Sales prices also were up about 3 percent from 1995, averaging \$104,700. Two large housing developments were recently announced in West Des Moines. When completed in approximately 5 years, one will have 600 houses and 900 apartments and townhomes, and the other will include 500 houses and 500 apartments and townhomes.

# Rocky Mountain



Fourth-quarter employment levels were up in most States. Annual growth rates continue in the 2- to 3-percent range in the Dakotas and Montana. Utah leads the region with a 5.1-percent rate, while Wyoming's annual growth rate remains less than 1 percent. Colorado's growth rate dropped below 2 percent in this quarter; some economists are now wondering if the anticipated slowdown in the State's economy will be more abrupt than expected.

While residential construction in the region began to slow in the second half of 1996, nonresidential construction continues to expand. Hiring at South Dakota's food-processing industries helped spur manufacturing growth in the second half of the year. Financial-service firms continue to expand. Utah's manufacturing sector is also strong, as evidenced by widespread gains in most durable-goods sectors. Montana's construction industry has lagged many of the other States, but this sector should get a boost from the start of construction on an 800-mile pipeline from Canada and a major plant in Butte. Colorado's advanced-technology sector will add a major employer when Sun Microsystems locates one of its three largest campuses in an industrial park between Denver and Boulder. The Colorado campus will eventually employ up to 3,500 workers.

North Dakota's 2.7-percent unemployment rate in November tied Nebraska's figure for the lowest rate in the United States. South Dakota (2.9 percent) and Utah (3.0 percent) were not far behind. Business concerns about labor shortages continue to make news. A recent issue of a local business journal included an article entitled



"Finding Employees in a Tight Labor Market." A local chamber of commerce is sponsoring a seminar with the same title. A recent survey done for the University of Colorado Economic Outlook Forum revealed that, for the second consecutive year, manufacturers indicated that the limited availability of trained labor was their primary concern for the coming year.

In contrast to the manufacturing and services sectors, labor and material shortages in the residential construction industry that were reported a few years ago are no longer a major factor. While labor and material costs continue to increase, the rate of increase is manageable. Real estate brokers have noted that the quality of construction has improved because quality labor and building materials are more readily available.

Regionwide building permit activity in 1996 was up a modest 6 percent for both single-family homes (54,310 units) and multifamily housing (22,708 units). The Denver, Colorado Springs, and Salt Lake City areas all recorded strong increases in single-family permits over 1995 levels.

Rental vacancy rates remain at moderate levels in major rental markets in the Rocky Mountain region. Major softening is not likely in 1997, but most markets have become more competitive. There are a large number of apartment units under construction, and absorption of these will slow as the result of reduced employment growth and in-migration in 1997. Salt Lake City's and Colorado Springs' rental vacancy rates have increased to more than 4 percent for the first time since the early 1990s. Denver's rate hovered around 5 percent for most of 1996. Rent increases have fallen from double-digit levels recorded a few years ago to the 3- to 5-percent range. New apartment projects are renting up, but incentives are widespread. The large number of luxury units have made this segment of the market very competitive. In response, multifamily permit activity in the Denver area in 1996 declined 11 percent. The underlying strength of major metropolitan economies throughout the Rocky Mountain region will continue to support apartment construction, but markets must first absorb the large supply of units started in 1996.

Rental markets in the smaller areas have also eased. Fargo, Sioux Falls, and Billings are absorbing new units coming on line, but vacancies have increased and rent increases are limited. Military cutbacks have adversely affected the Cheyenne and Great Falls rental markets.

The strong rates of home sales and construction diminished during the second half of 1996. By the end of the year, the number of new and active listings had increased and sellers had begun to lower their asking prices. This trend should continue into 1997 as the market absorbs the increased supply of new and existing houses for sale. Home prices are expected to continue increasing, although the days of double-digit annual gains are over. Still, homebuilding activity in 1996 surpassed 1995's performance based on the strength of the first half of the year.

### Spotlight on

### **Colorado Springs, Colorado**

Colorado Springs' economy continues to grow at robust levels despite military reductions at Fort Carson and some corporate layoffs. Wage and salary employment has grown by 6 to 8 percent per year during the past 3 years. The unemployment rate had declined to 3.8 percent by November 1996, one of the lowest rates in decades. The area's population has increased by 3 percent a year since 1990 to an estimated 480,000 persons.

Construction, trade, and services-sector employment have accounted for about 75 percent of the new jobs during the past year. Also helping the local economy expand has been the growth in telecommunications, credit card and insurance processing, and semiconductor manufacturing. The \$140 million expansion of the Colorado Springs Municipal Airport in 1994 and the entry of Western Pacific, a discount air carrier, added hundreds of employees in the transportation sector. Low fares and shorter commuting distances attracted many travellers who would otherwise have used the Denver International Airport. These activities more than offset the loss of 2,500 military personnel at Fort Carson, and downsizing at Digital Equipment, Apple Computer, and Quantum.

The outlook during the next few years is for continued, if slower, economic growth. The expected slowdown in the semiconductor industry has delayed the opening of Rockwell Semiconductor Systems' \$500 million plant. This slowdown will affect other area semiconductor manufacturers, including Atmel, Symbios Logic, and Vitesse Semiconductor. The rapid growth in trade employment recorded during the past few years also will subside because of an expected slowdown in retail expansions.

Construction, however, will remain strong, including semiconductor-related and hotel expansions. While the bargains for commercial buildings and residential housing have passed, the area still competes well with many other areas of the country. Its amenities will continue to appeal to workers, retirees, and businesses relocating to the area.

The sales market had eased by the end of 1996, but the year as a whole was a good one. Total sales increased slightly, and the average sales price was up 8 percent to nearly \$140,000. Most new homes are priced between \$150,000 and \$200,000. Demand is strong for homes priced less than \$120,000, but the supply is limited. In 1996 permits were issued for more than 4,000 singlefamily units, an 18-percent increase over strong 1995 activity.

The rental housing market has moved from tight to balanced, but the slowing of apartment construction in the second half of 1996 should keep it from softening. The vacancy rate has increased slightly to about 4 percent, and the average annual rent increase has slowed to about 3 percent. Since the beginning of 1995, 12 large multifamily projects totalling nearly 2,500 units have broken ground. The phased completion of these projects has allowed them to reach sustaining occupancy.

The largest U.S. Department of Defense housing project under the Military Family Housing Privatization Initiative is underway at Fort Carson. The Army has issued an invitation to build and manage 840 new units and renovate the post's existing family housing stock of 1,824 units. Construction could begin by the end of 1997 and will take 4 to 5 years to complete. Completion of this project will free up moderately priced housing units in strong demand by the civilian population.



The Pacific economy has improved significantly in the past year, adding 459,500 new jobs in the 12 months ending November 1996. With its best economy since the late 1980s, California's 326,400-job increase (2.6 percent) was fueled by services, electronics manufacturing, and exports. The State is expected to have a 2.5- to 3-percent employment growth rate in 1997. California's unemployment rate fell sharply in 1996 to 6.9 percent as of November. San Jose's hightechnology products recently made it the State's leading export zone and fastest-growing area. Six Southern California counties produced half of the State's new jobs.

Arizona's economy finished the year robustly, with 85,800 new jobs added during the 12 months ending in November, a record 4.7-percent increase. Construction has been a major factor in the fast-growing economy, with current employment more than 10,000 jobs above the 1986 peak. However, the completion of Intel's Chandler semiconductor plant and a slowdown of both residential and commercial construction should slow employment growth in 1997.

The continued growth of Nevada's hotel/casino business in 1996 supported a 7.4-percent increase in employment of about 58,000 new jobs. Construction and expansion of hotels and casinos in 1997 are expected to fuel employment gains that may be even larger.



Hawaii's economic performance was mixed in 1996. After several years of job losses, modest growth of tourism and the tapering of government downsizing should lead to stabilization or slight increases in employment in 1997.

The U.S. Bureau of the Census recently predicted that Nevada and Arizona will be the first- and third-ranked States, respectively, for percentage population growth from 1995 to 2000, 22.2 and 13.1 percent, respectively. California is expected to add 932,000 persons, the third-largest absolute gain.

Single-family production in 1996, as measured by building permits, increased 7 percent in California to 73,610 homes. While an improvement over 1995, levels remain well below those of the late 1980s. Permit activity was up in 17 of 25 metropolitan areas, with activity in San Diego up more than 20 percent. In San Jose single-family activity in 1996 (4,035 homes) was almost double that of 1995. In Arizona single-family permits were up 5 percent to 41,666 units, the second best year of the past 7 years. Nevada also experienced its best year of the past 7 years, with permits up 6 percent to 23,875 units. New home construction in Hawaii retreated during the year, reflecting the sluggish economy.

Existing home sales were very strong in 1996, increasing 20 percent in California through November for the best performance since 1989. The Phoenix and Las Vegas areas each gained 14 percent.

Multifamily building permit activity for the Pacific region was up 7.9 percent in 1996 compared with 1995 levels. Nevada led the region with a 30-percent increase (13,412 units), reflecting the strong Las Vegas rental market. In Arizona multifamily activity was down a slight 3 percent but remains very strong at 12,311 units. Apartment production was up nearly 14 percent in California to 18,299 units, though still far below the levels of the 1980s.

With the improved economy and relatively low levels of production, tighter conditions are evident in a number of rental markets. The San Jose and San Francisco rental markets are extremely tight, with vacancy rates of less than 3 percent in professionally managed properties. The number of multifamily units permitted in San Jose in 1996 (3,538) was almost triple 1995 levels, and activity in the entire Bay Area was up 71 percent over 1995 to 7,158 units. The Sacramento area rental market is balanced, with the vacancy rate in the 4- to 5-percent range.

Southern California rental markets improved gradually in 1996. Southern Orange County is reported to be tight, with an overall vacancy rate estimated to be in the 3- to 4-percent range, while northern Orange County submarkets are showing 5- to 6-percent vacancy rates. Multifamily permit activity in Orange County was up 41 percent in 1996 to 3,174 units.

Property managers report double-digit vacancy rates in most Riverside-San Bernardino submarkets. There was minor improvement in the predominantly soft Los Angeles market, but the apartment vacancy rate remains around 9 percent. San Diego County is balanced overall, with a 5to 6-percent rental vacancy rate, and northerntier communities are reporting tighter conditions.

### Spotlight on

#### Phoenix, Arizona

Since 1990 the Phoenix metropolitan area has been the most rapidly growing of the 39 major job markets in the Nation. The area added 63,000 jobs, a 5.2-percent increase, in the 12 months ending November 1996, but is expected to grow more moderately in 1997. Reflecting the tight labor market, the unemployment rate as of November was a low 3.4 percent. Services and trade have each contributed about one-third of 1996's employment growth. One of the largest employers in the area is Arizona State University (ASU), with 47,000 students and 7,700 faculty and staff. High-technology manufacturing has been a major source of the growth. Motorola is the largest private-sector employer (19,350 jobs), followed by AlliedSignal, Intel, Honeywell, and

McDonnell-Douglas. Intel is completing a semiconductor chip plant in Chandler, while Sumitomo Sitix is building one in north Phoenix.

In-migrants attracted by employment opportunities or retirement amenities have accounted for more than two-thirds of the population growth since 1990. The ASU Center for Business Research estimated the population of Maricopa County at 2,634,000 as of the third quarter of 1996, reflecting a 3.1-percent annual increase since 1990. The population growth for the remainder of the decade is forecast to slow to around 2.6 percent annually.

The area's substantial growth has supported an extremely strong housing market. Single-family permit activity in 1996 set a record with 29,505 units. With new home prices now more than \$140,000, up more than \$10,000 during the year, affordability is a growing concern. The higher prices reflect increased costs of finished lots, labor shortages, and development fees. Local observers expect home production to drop 10 to 15 percent in 1997, still a very healthy level by past standards.

Existing sales also hit a record in 1996, with sales up nearly 14 percent during the year. The median sales price for a three-bedroom home started the year at about \$94,000 and increased to \$100,000 in December.

Phoenix permitted 9,849 multifamily units in 1996, a 12.1-percent increase over 1995. Despite the high levels of production, the overall rental market remains balanced. The Arizona Real Estate Center estimated an apartment vacancy rate of 4.5 percent in the third quarter, up from 4.2 percent a year earlier. Concessions have been necessary, however, in submarkets where too many similar projects came on line simultaneously.

# Northwest



Northwest employment as of the fourth quarter of 1996 was up 3.2 percent, or 143,800 jobs, over the fourth quarter of 1995. This figure compares with a 1.6-percent growth rate from 1994 to 1995. All States reported job gains, the smallest being in Alaska. Employment was up 4.9 percent in Idaho, 4.2 percent in Oregon, 2.4 percent in Washington, and 1 percent in Alaska. The region's unemployment rate as of the fourth quarter was 5.8 percent compared with 5.9 percent during the same period in 1995. The metropolitan areas with the lowest unemployment rates were Boise (3.4 percent) and Portland (4.2 percent).

The outlook for the Northwest economy is optimistic. The boom in the aerospace industry will coincide with the continued expansion in the high-technology, banking, construction, utilities, and services sectors, especially in Washington and Oregon. Mining industry prospects also appear favorable in Alaska and Idaho.

Net migration will continue strong through the end of the decade, particularly into metropolitan areas where unemployment rates are low and the pressure on wages is significant. Washington's population is expected to increase by 400,000 during the next 4 years. According to the State's projection, the population in the 1990s will grow at the fastest rate since the World War II boom. Winter storms came early to the region with devastating effect. Engineers and contractors are busy in the aftermath of the storms. In Washington insured losses are estimated to be in the \$125 million to \$150 million range. The State is also requesting \$42 million in Federal disaster assistance.

The growing strength of the economy kept residential construction rising in 1996. Single-family building permit activity jumped in every State. Activity was up 10.6 percent in Washington to



30,013 homes and 9.2 percent in Oregon to 16,913 homes.

Sales of existing homes in most metropolitan areas in Oregon exceeded levels attained in 1995. Price appreciation continued to motivate most trade-up activity. The Salem and Portland-Vancouver areas remained very strong in 1996, with single-family permits up 21 and 12 percent, respectively, over strong 1995 volumes.

Nearly 50 percent of Idaho's single-family permit activity occurred in Boise, where sales of newly constructed homes have been strong, especially in the \$100,000 to \$150,000 range. The Idaho Association of Realtors® reported that total 1996 sales equalled 12,443, just 1.3 percent below the strong level of 1995. House price appreciation advanced 1 percent in 1996 compared with 5 percent in 1995.

The number of homes sold in the Puget Sound area (Seattle, Tacoma, and Bremerton metropolitan areas) was up by 10 percent in 1996. The median price of a home sold in the Seattle metropolitan area as of the third quarter of 1996 was \$168,800. Single-family permits in the Puget Sound area for 1996 were up a modest 3.5 percent to 16,026 units.

Alaska and Washington reported impressive increases in multifamily housing production, while activity in Idaho decreased. In Washington multifamily units were up 9.4 percent to 12,370 units due to the 29-percent jump in activity in the Puget Sound area (8,296 units). Idaho production declined as builders responded to the soft rental market conditions in the Boise area. Apartment permits issued in Boise in 1996 totalled 297 units, less than one-third of the 1995 level, and far below the 2,300 units permitted in 1994.

The rental vacancy rate in Oregon's metropolitan areas increased from 3.5 percent in the fourth quarter of 1995 to 4.8 percent in the fourth quarter of 1996. Multifamily housing activity in the Portland metropolitan area in 1996 remained fairly strong with 7,170 units permitted. Activity in Salem increased more than 27 percent in 1996 to 1,419 units in response to continued tight market conditions. The apartment vacancy rate in the Seattle area is 3.5 percent, a 6-year low.

# Spotlight on

### Spokane, Washington

From 1991 through 1994, employment in the Spokane area grew at an average annual rate of 2.3 percent, hitting a peak of 3.5 percent in 1994. Layoffs by existing employers and a reduction in the number of new enterprises caused nonagricultural wage and salary employment growth to decline by 1.4 percent in 1995. The economy showed modest improvement in 1996, with employment up 1.9 percent to 182,440 jobs. The unemployment rate as of the fourth quarter of 1996 was 4.8 percent, down from 5.4 percent during the fourth quarter of 1995.

The Spokane area's population growth also has slowed during the past 2 years. The population increased by a modest 1.3 percent in 1996 to just more than 406,000 persons. This figure compares with an annual average increase of around 3 percent from 1991 to 1994. The unincorporated area east of the city of Spokane known as the Valley has been the center of much of the area's recent commercial and residential development.

The sales market has cooled. Home sales for the first 11 months of 1996 were down by 5 percent to 4,294 homes. The average sales price rose by 2.5 percent to \$113,250. New home construction has averaged about 1,475 units annually during the past 2 years, which is 25 percent below 1991 through 1994 activity.

The Spokane rental market has begun to show signs of softness. Concessions are becoming more evident. For the third consecutive quarter, the area has an estimated rental vacancy rate of 7 percent, up from 5.5 percent in 1995. Multifamily housing construction, however, has showed no signs of slowing down. There were more multifamily units permitted during 1996 (1,816 units) than in any year since 1979. The outlook is that the supply of new rental units will continue to outpace the demand for the near future.



# Table 1. New Privately Owned Housing Units Authorized:\* 1959–Present \*\*



	In Structures With				MSAs		Regions				
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
	1			A	nnual D	ata	1		1	1	I
1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994	1,208.3 998.0 1,064.2 1,186.6 1,334.7 1,285.8 1,239.8 971.9 1,141.0 1,353.4 1,353.5 1,924.6 2,218.9 1,351.5 1,924.6 2,218.9 1,819.5 1,074.4 939.2 1,296.2 1,690.0 1,800.5 1,551.8 1,190.6 985.5 1,000.5 1,605.2 1,605.2 1,605.2 1,681.8 1,733.3 1,769.4 1,534.8 1,455.6 1,338.4 1,110.8 948.8 1,094.9 1,199.1 1,371.6	$\begin{array}{c} 938.3\\ 746.1\\ 722.8\\ 716.2\\ 750.2\\ 720.1\\ 709.9\\ 563.2\\ 650.6\\ 694.7\\ 625.9\\ 646.8\\ 906.1\\ 1,033.1\\ 882.1\\ 643.8\\ 675.5\\ 893.6\\ 1,126.1\\ 1,182.6\\ 981.5\\ 710.4\\ 564.3\\ 546.4\\ 901.5\\ 922.4\\ 956.6\\ 1,077.6\\ 1,022.4\\ 993.8\\ 931.7\\ 793.9\\ 910.7\\ 986.5\\ 1,068.5\\ 1,068.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77.1 \\ 64.6 \\ 67.6 \\ 87.1 \\ 51.0 \\ 49.1 \\ 47.3 \\ 36.3 \\ 42.5 \\ 45.1 \\ 44.7 \\ 43.0 \\ 61.8 \\ 68.1 \\ 53.8 \\ 32.6 \\ 34.1 \\ 47.5 \\ 62.1 \\ 64.5 \\ 59.5 \\ 53.8 \\ 44.6 \\ 38.4 \\ 57.5 \\ 61.9 \\ 54.0 \\ 50.4 \\ 40.8 \\ 35.0 \\ 31.7 \\ 26.7 \\ 22.0 \\ 23.3 \\ 26.7 \\ 31.4 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ NA\\ 07.9\\ 51.7\\ 37.5\\ 24.7\\ 30.5\\ 39.2\\ 40.5\\ 45.1\\ 71.1\\ 80.5\\ 63.2\\ 31.7\\ 29.8\\ 45.6\\ 59.2\\ 66.1\\ 65.9\\ 60.7\\ 57.2\\ 49.9\\ 76.1\\ 80.7\\ 66.1\\ 58.0\\ 48.5\\ 40.7\\ 35.3\\ 27.6\\ 21.1\\ 22.5\\ 25.6\\ 30.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 192.9\\ 187.4\\ 273.8\\ 383.3\\ 465.6\\ 464.9\\ 445.1\\ 347.7\\ 417.5\\ 574.4\\ 612.7\\ 616.7\\ 885.7\\ 1,037.2\\ 820.5\\ 366.2\\ 199.8\\ 309.5\\ 442.7\\ 487.3\\ 444.8\\ 365.7\\ 319.4\\ 365.8\\ 570.1\\ 616.8\\ 656.6\\ 583.5\\ 421.1\\ 386.1\\ 339.8\\ 262.6\\ 152.1\\ 138.4\\ 160.2\\ 241.0\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA 1,080.8 1,034.4 992.3 775.2 918.0 1,104.6 1,074.1 1,067.6 1,798.0 1,483.5 835.0 704.1 1,001.9 1,326.3 1,398.6 1,210.6 911.0 765.2 812.6 1,359.7 1,456.2 1,551.3 1,319.5 1,239.7 1,127.6 910.9 766.8 888.5 1,009.0 1,144.1	NA NA NA 253.8 251.4 247.5 196.8 223.0 248.8 249.6 284.0 327.0 420.9 336.0 239.4 235.1 294.2 363.7 401.9 341.2 279.6 220.4 187.9 245.5 225.7 225.6 218.1 215.2 215.9 210.8 199.9 182.0 206.5 190.1 227.5	$\begin{array}{c} 222.4\\ 199.0\\ 229.4\\ 242.5\\ 239.4\\ 243.4\\ 252.7\\ 209.8\\ 222.6\\ 234.8\\ 215.8\\ 218.3\\ 303.6\\ 333.3\\ 271.9\\ 165.4\\ 129.5\\ 152.4\\ 181.9\\ 194.4\\ 166.9\\ 117.9\\ 109.8\\ 106.7\\ 164.1\\ 200.8\\ 259.7\\ 283.3\\ 271.8\\ 230.2\\ 179.0\\ 125.8\\ 109.8\\ 124.8\\ 133.5\\ 138.5\\ 138.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 285.8\\ 228.3\\ 228.3\\ 226.1\\ 238.3\\ 268.8\\ 286.9\\ 310.5\\ 250.9\\ 309.8\\ 350.1\\ 317.0\\ 287.4\\ 421.1\\ 440.8\\ 361.4\\ 424.1.3\\ 241.5\\ 326.1\\ 402.4\\ 388.0\\ 289.1\\ 192.0\\ 133.3\\ 126.3\\ 187.8\\ 241.5\\ 326.1\\ 402.4\\ 388.0\\ 289.1\\ 192.0\\ 133.3\\ 126.3\\ 187.8\\ 211.7\\ 237.0\\ 290.0\\ 282.3\\ 266.3\\ 252.1\\ 233.8\\ 215.4\\ 259.0\\ 276.6\\ 305.2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 355.8\\ 283.0\\ 299.4\\ 342.8\\ 403.2\\ 401.4\\ 407.5\\ 331.1\\ 390.8\\ 477.3\\ 470.5\\ 502.9\\ 725.4\\ 905.4\\ 763.2\\ 390.1\\ 292.7\\ 401.7\\ 561.1\\ 667.6\\ 628.0\\ 561.9\\ 491.1\\ 543.5\\ 862.9\\ 812.1\\ 752.6\\ 686.5\\ 574.7\\ 543.5\\ 862.9\\ 812.1\\ 752.6\\ 686.5\\ 574.7\\ 543.5\\ 505.3\\ 426.2\\ 375.7\\ 442.5\\ 505.3\\ 426.2\\ 375.7\\ 442.5\\ 500.7\\ 585.5\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 344.3\\ 287.7\\ 309.4\\ 363.0\\ 423.3\\ 354.2\\ 269.1\\ 180.2\\ 217.8\\ 291.1\\ 320.4\\ 342.9\\ 474.6\\ 539.3\\ 423.1\\ 277.6\\ 275.5\\ 416.0\\ 544.6\\ 550.5\\ 467.7\\ 318.9\\ 251.3\\ 224.1\\ 390.4\\ 457.3\\ 483.9\\ 509.7\\ 406.0\\ 415.6\\ 402.1\\ 324.9\\ 247.9\\ 268.6\\ 288.2\\ 342.4\\ \end{array}$
1996	1,430.9	1,073.1	33.0	32.9	291.8	1,196.8	234.1	137.0	318.4	626.0	349.5
		Mor	nthly Da	ta (Seas	onally A	djusted A	Annual R	lates)			
<b>1995</b> October November December	1,393 1,450 1,487	1,050 1,073 1,123	6 7 6	8 1 0	275 306 304	N. N. N.	A A A	125 124 128	323 324 317	629 634 644	316 368 398
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	1,378 1,417 1,423 1,459 1,452 1,415 1,457 1,423 1,399 1,362 1,418 1,410	1,056 1,087 1,097 1,115 1,098 1,085 1,073 1,078 1,040 1,011 1,025 1,016	6 6 7 6 6 6 6 7 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	5 0 1 5 2 2 3 8 0 3 9 9 7 4	257 270 265 269 292 268 316 285 286 285 286 282 326 330	N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N. N.	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	$102 \\ 122 \\ 137 \\ 135 \\ 137 \\ 134 \\ 136 \\ 147 \\ 138 \\ 138 \\ 138 \\ 135 \\ 143$	312 323 329 342 304 302 338 317 306 298 313 314	594 600 591 634 668 634 625 629 604 587 641 633	370 372 366 348 343 345 358 330 351 339 329 320

\*Authorized in Permit-Issuing Places.

\*\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



		In Structures With			MS	As	Regions				
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
		<u> </u>		A	Annual D	ata					
1959     1960     1961     1962     1963     1964     1965     1966     1967     1968     1969     1970     1971     1972     1973     1974     1975     1976     1977     1978     1979     1980     1981     1982     1983     1984     1985     1986     1987     1988     1989     1990     1991     1992     1993     1994     1995     1996	$\begin{array}{c} 1,517.0\\ 1,252.2\\ 1,313.0\\ 1,462.9\\ 1,603.2\\ 1,528.8\\ 1,472.8\\ 1,164.9\\ 1,291.6\\ 1,507.6\\ 1,466.8\\ 1,433.6\\ 2,052.2\\ 2,356.6\\ 2,045.3\\ 1,337.7\\ 1,160.4\\ 1,537.5\\ 1,987.1\\ 2,020.3\\ 1,745.1\\ 1,292.2\\ 1,084.2\\ 1,062.2\\ 1,062.2\\ 1,703.0\\ 1,749.5\\ 1,741.8\\ 1,805.4\\ 1,620.5\\ 1,488.1\\ 1,376.1\\ 1,192.7\\ 1,013.9\\ 1,199.7\\ 1,287.6\\ 1,457.0\\ 1,354.1\\ 1,473.7\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1,234.0\\ 994.7\\ 974.3\\ 991.4\\ 1,012.4\\ 970.5\\ 963.7\\ 778.6\\ 843.9\\ 899.4\\ 810.6\\ 812.9\\ 1,151.0\\ 1,309.2\\ 1,132.0\\ 888.1\\ 892.2\\ 1,162.4\\ 1,450.9\\ 1,433.3\\ 1,194.1\\ 852.2\\ 705.4\\ 662.6\\ 1,067.6\\ 1,084.2\\ 1,072.4\\ 1,179.4\\ 1,146.4\\ 1,072.4\\ 1,179.4\\ 1,146.4\\ 1,081.3\\ 1,003.3\\ 894.8\\ 840.4\\ 1,029.9\\ 1,125.7\\ 1,198.4\\ 1,076.2\\ 1,160.2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 55.9\\ 44.0\\ 43.9\\ 49.2\\ 52.9\\ 53.9\\ 50.8\\ 34.6\\ 41.4\\ 46.0\\ 43.0\\ 42.4\\ 55.1\\ 67.1\\ 54.2\\ 33.2\\ 34.5\\ 44.0\\ 60.7\\ 62.2\\ 56.1\\ 48.8\\ 38.2\\ 31.9\\ 41.8\\ 38.6\\ 37.0\\ 36.1\\ 27.8\\ 23.4\\ 19.9\\ 16.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 19.9\\ 16.1\\ 15.5\\ 12.4\\ 11.1\\ 14.8\\ 14.3\\ 15.9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 227.0\\ 213.5\\ 294.8\\ 422.3\\ 537.8\\ 54.5\\ 35.8\\ 26.5\\ 30.2\\ 34.9\\ 42.0\\ 42.4\\ 65.2\\ 74.2\\ 64.1\\ 34.9\\ 29.5\\ 41.9\\ 61.0\\ 62.8\\ 65.9\\ 60.7\\ 52.9\\ 48.1\\ 71.7\\ 82.8\\ 56.4\\ 47.9\\ 37.5\\ 35.4\\ 35.3\\ 21.4\\ 20.1\\ 18.3\\ 20.2\\ 19.4\\ 28.8\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA 450.0 422.5 325.1 376.1 527.3 571.2 535.9 780.9 906.2 795.0 381.6 204.3 289.2 414.4 462.0 429.0 330.5 287.7 319.6 522.0 544.0 576.1 542.0 408.7 348.0 317.6 260.4 137.9 139.0 132.6 223.5 244.1 268.8	1,054.9 864.5 913.6 1,034.1 1,125.4 1,079.8 1,011.9 787.7 902.9 1,096.4 1,078.7 1,017.9 1,501.8 1,720.4 1,495.4 922.5 760.3 1,043.5 1,377.3 1,432.1 1,240.6 913.6 759.8 784.8 1,351.1 1,414.6 1,493.9 1,546.3 1,372.2 1,243.0 1,128.1 946.9 789.2 931.5 1,031.9 1,183.1 1,106.4 NA	462.1 387.7 399.4 428.8 477.8 449.0 460.9 377.1 388.7 411.2 388.0 415.7 550.4 636.2 549.9 415.3 400.1 494.1 609.8 588.2 504.6 378.7 324.3 277.4 351.9 334.9 247.9 259.1 248.2 245.1 248.2 245.1 248.0 245.7 224.7 268.2 255.8 273.9 247.6 NA	$\begin{array}{c} 268.7\\ 221.4\\ 246.3\\ 263.8\\ 261.0\\ 254.5\\ 270.2\\ 206.5\\ 214.9\\ 226.8\\ 206.1\\ 217.9\\ 263.8\\ 329.5\\ 277.3\\ 183.2\\ 149.2\\ 169.2\\ 201.6\\ 200.3\\ 177.9\\ 125.4\\ 117.3\\ 116.7\\ 167.6\\ 204.1\\ 251.7\\ 293.5\\ 269.0\\ 235.3\\ 178.5\\ 131.3\\ 112.9\\ 126.7\\ 126.5\\ 138.2\\ 117.7\\ 130.9\\ \end{array}$	367.4 292.0 277.7 289.6 329.2 339.7 361.5 288.3 337.1 368.6 348.7 293.5 434.1 442.8 439.7 317.3 294.0 400.1 464.6 451.2 349.2 218.1 165.2 149.1 217.9 243.4 239.7 295.8 297.9 274.0 265.8 253.2 233.0 287.8 297.7 328.9 290.1 320.8	$\begin{array}{c} 511.4\\ 429.4\\ 472.7\\ 531.2\\ 586.2\\ 577.8\\ 574.7\\ 472.5\\ 519.5\\ 618.5\\ 588.4\\ 611.6\\ 868.7\\ 1,057.0\\ 899.4\\ 552.8\\ 442.1\\ 568.5\\ 783.1\\ 823.7\\ 747.5\\ 642.7\\ 561.6\\ 591.0\\ 935.2\\ 866.0\\ 782.3\\ 733.1\\ 633.9\\ 574.9\\ 536.2\\ 479.3\\ 414.1\\ 496.9\\ 536.2\\ 479.3\\ 414.1\\ 496.9\\ 536.2\\ 479.3\\ 414.1\\ 496.9\\ 536.2\\ 479.3\\ 414.1\\ 496.9\\ 536.2\\ 479.3\\ 414.1\\ 496.9\\ 536.2\\ 479.3\\ 414.1\\ 496.9\\ 561.8\\ 639.1\\ 615.0\\ 661.2\\ \end{array}$	369.5 309.4 316.3 378.3 426.8 356.9 266.3 197.6 220.1 293.7 323.5 310.5 485.6 527.4 428.8 284.5 275.1 399.6 537.9 545.2 470.5 306.0 240.0 352.3 330.7 328.9 254.0 288.3 301.7 350.8 331.3 360.6
	<u> </u>	Mor	nthlv Da	ta (Seas	onally A	diusted A	nnual R	ates)	<u> </u>	II	
<b>1995</b> October November December	1,351 1,458 1,425	1,109 1,129 1,150	31	1 2 9	211 297 246	N/ N/ N/	A A A	120 103 93	278 318 305	606 708 679	347 329 348
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	1,453 1,514 1,439 1,511 1,478 1,490 1,470 1,533 1,461 1,385 1,514 1,329	1,146 1,183 1,163 1,209 1,144 1,209 1,150 1,239 1,138 1,088 1,161 1,024	20 33 22 53 49 44 44 31 44 55 6 44	0 3 5 5 3 9 6 6 3 8 8 4 5 1 0	287 298 251 249 285 235 277 256 279 242 292 265	N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/		99 130 139 136 129 128 128 133 140 135 128 128 123	333 317 325 322 324 301 352 341 307 282 328 302	625 648 634 660 678 684 656 676 676 676 608 695 645	396 419 341 393 347 377 334 383 383 388 360 363 257

# Table 2. New Privately Owned Housing Units Started: 1959–Present\*

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce

		In Structures With				MSAs		Regions			
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West
				А	nnual D	ata					
1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	$\begin{array}{c} 884.8\\ 922.0\\ 1,254.0\\ 1,542.1\\ 1,454.4\\ 1,000.8\\ 794.3\\ 922.0\\ 1,208.0\\ 1,310.2\\ 1,140.1\\ 896.1\\ 682.4\\ 720.0\\ 1,002.8\\ 1,050.5\\ 1,062.5\\ 1,062.5\\ 1,073.5\\ 987.3\\ 919.4\\ 850.3\\ 711.4\\ 606.3\\ 612.4\\ 680.1\\ 762.2\\ 775.9\\ 797.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 349.6\\ 381.1\\ 504.9\\ 612.5\\ 521.7\\ 441.1\\ 447.5\\ 562.6\\ 729.8\\ 764.5\\ 638.7\\ 514.5\\ 381.7\\ 399.7\\ 523.9\\ 556.0\\ 538.6\\ 583.1\\ 590.6\\ 569.6\\ 535.1\\ 449.1\\ 433.5\\ 472.7\\ 543.0\\ 557.8\\ 547.2\\ 556.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.0\\ 22.8\\ 26.7\\ 36.4\\ 31.0\\ 19.4\\ 20.1\\ 22.7\\ 34.0\\ 36.1\\ 31.3\\ 28.3\\ 16.5\\ 16.5\\ 19.0\\ 20.9\\ 20.6\\ 19.3\\ 17.3\\ 16.1\\ 11.9\\ 10.9\\ 9.1\\ 5.6\\ 6.5\\ 9.1\\ 8.4\\ 9.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.2 \\ 27.3 \\ 37.8 \\ 46.4 \\ 48.0 \\ 29.1 \\ 27.4 \\ 31.8 \\ 44.9 \\ 47.3 \\ 46.7 \\ 40.3 \\ 29.0 \\ 24.9 \\ 39.1 \\ 42.5 \\ 34.9 \\ 28.4 \\ 22.5 \\ 24.1 \\ 25.1 \\ 15.1 \\ 14.5 \\ 11.3 \\ 12.4 \\ 12.9 \\ 12.7 \\ 18.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 486.0\\ 490.8\\ 684.6\\ 846.8\\ 853.6\\ 511.3\\ 299.4\\ 304.9\\ 399.3\\ 462.2\\ 423.4\\ 313.1\\ 255.3\\ 278.9\\ 420.8\\ 431.0\\ 468.4\\ 442.7\\ 356.9\\ 309.5\\ 278.1\\ 236.3\\ 149.2\\ 122.8\\ 118.2\\ 182.5\\ 207.7\\ 212.8\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA S63.2 658.5 862.5 968.0 820.1 620.9 458.9 511.7 757.8 814.1 885.1 889.7 820.6 757.5 686.7 553.9 458.4 453.1 521.0 597.6 NA NA	NA NA NA NA 231.1 263.5 345.5 345.5 345.5 342.2 223.5 208.3 245.0 236.4 177.4 173.8 166.7 161.9 163.6 157.5 147.9 159.4 159.1 164.5 NA NA	$\begin{array}{c} 158.7\\ 197.1\\ 236.6\\ 264.4\\ 239.4\\ 178.0\\ 130.2\\ 125.4\\ 145.5\\ 158.3\\ 146.7\\ 120.1\\ 103.2\\ 98.6\\ 120.8\\ 152.5\\ 186.6\\ 218.9\\ 221.7\\ 201.6\\ 103.9\\ 81.4\\ 89.3\\ 96.3\\ 84.8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 210.5\\ 189.3\\ 278.5\\ 306.8\\ 293.1\\ 218.8\\ 195.1\\ 232.1\\ 284.6\\ 309.2\\ 232.5\\ 171.4\\ 109.7\\ 112.4\\ 122.6\\ 137.3\\ 143.8\\ 165.7\\ 158.7\\ 148.1\\ 145.5\\ 133.4\\ 122.4\\ 137.8\\ 154.4\\ 173.5\\ 172.0\\ 180.2\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 335.2\\ 359.2\\ 494.4\\ 669.1\\ 650.2\\ 418.9\\ 298.1\\ 333.3\\ 457.3\\ 497.6\\ 449.3\\ 376.7\\ 299.7\\ 344.0\\ 520.6\\ 488.9\\ 437.5\\ 387.3\\ 342.5\\ 308.2\\ 282.1\\ 242.3\\ 208.5\\ 228.4\\ 265.4\\ 312.1\\ 331.4\\ 338.8 \end{array}$	$180.3 \\ 176.4 \\ 244.4 \\ 301.8 \\ 271.7 \\ 185.1 \\ 171.0 \\ 231.2 \\ 320.6 \\ 345.2 \\ 311.6 \\ 227.9 \\ 169.8 \\ 165.0 \\ 238.8 \\ 271.7 \\ 294.7 \\ 301.5 \\ 264.4 \\ 261.6 \\ 263.9 \\ 214.1 \\ 171.6 \\ 164.8 \\ 170.9 \\ 180.3 \\ 186.3 \\ 193.6 \\ 193.6 \\ 100000000000000000000000000000000000$
		Mo	nthly Da	ta (Seas	onally A	djusted A	Annual H	Rates)			
<b>1995</b> October November December <b>1996</b> January	781 790 800 803	560 562 569 569	2 2 2 2	1 0 2 0	200 208 209 214	NA NA NA	4 4 4	90 89 87 86	165 170 175 177	333 339 345 346	193 192 193 194
February March April May June July August September October November December	800 816 826 829 823 820 826 823 826 823 828 828 820	565 581 590 596 592 593 592 586 585 585 577	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 0 2 4 6 7 4 5 7 7 8	214 215 213 212 207 204 203 209 210 216 215	N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	83 88 90 89 91 90 90 88 85 86	$\left \begin{array}{c} 181\\ 180\\ 180\\ 180\\ 181\\ 179\\ 180\\ 183\\ 182\\ 182\\ 182\\ 181\end{array}\right $	339 348 352 353 354 351 348 355 352 355 352 355	197 200 204 205 202 202 198 201 206 201

# **Table 3.** New Privately Owned Housing Units Under Construction: 1969–Present\*

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



				C	,	1						
		]	In Structur	es With		MSAs			Regions			
Period	Total	1 Unit	2 Units	3 and 4 Units	5 Units or More	Inside	Outside	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	
				A	Annual E	)ata						
1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	$\begin{array}{c} 1,319.8\\ 1,399.0\\ 1,418.4\\ 1,706.1\\ 2,003.9\\ 2,100.5\\ 1,728.5\\ 1,317.2\\ 1,377.2\\ 1,657.1\\ 1,867.5\\ 1,870.8\\ 1,501.6\\ 1,265.7\\ 1,005.5\\ 1,390.3\\ 1,652.2\\ 1,703.3\\ 1,756.4\\ 1,668.8\\ 1,529.8\\ 1,422.8\\ 1,308.0\\ 1,090.8\\ 1,157.5\\ 1,192.7\\ 1,346.9\\ 1,312.6\\ 1,409.8\\ \end{array}$	858.6 807.5 801.8 1,014.0 1,160.2 1,197.2 940.3 874.8 1,034.2 1,258.4 1,369.0 1,301.0 956.7 818.5 631.5 923.7 1,025.1 1,072.5 1,120.2 1,122.8 1,084.6 1,026.3 966.0 837.6 963.6 1,039.4 1,160.3 1,065.5 1,125.0	$\begin{array}{c} 44.2\\ 44.0\\ 42.9\\ 50.9\\ 54.0\\ 59.9\\ 43.5\\ 31.5\\ 40.8\\ 48.9\\ 59.0\\ 60.5\\ 51.4\\ 49.2\\ 29.8\\ 37.0\\ 35.0\\ 35.0\\ 35.0\\ 29.0\\ 23.5\\ 24.1\\ 16.5\\ 16.9\\ 15.1\\ 9.5\\ 12.1\\ 14.8\\ 13.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33.4\\ 35.4\\ 42.2\\ 55.2\\ 64.9\\ 63.6\\ 51.8\\ 29.1\\ 36.5\\ 46.1\\ 57.2\\ 64.4\\ 67.2\\ 62.4\\ 51.1\\ 55.2\\ 77.3\\ 60.7\\ 51.0\\ 42.4\\ 33.2\\ 34.6\\ 28.2\\ 19.7\\ 20.8\\ 16.7\\ 19.5\\ 19.8\\ 19.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 383.6\\ 512.1\\ 531.5\\ 586.1\\ 724.7\\ 779.8\\ 692.9\\ 381.8\\ 265.8\\ 303.7\\ 382.2\\ 444.9\\ 426.3\\ 335.7\\ 293.1\\ 374.4\\ 513.6\\ 550.1\\ 474.6\\ 388.6\\ 337.9\\ 297.3\\ 216.6\\ 158.0\\ 127.1\\ 154.9\\ 212.4\\ 251.5\end{array}$	920.4 1,009.4 1,013.2 1,192.5 1,430.9 1,541.0 1,266.1 922.6 950.1 1,161.9 1,313.6 1,332.0 1,078.9 888.4 708.2 1,073.9 1,316.7 1,422.2 1,502.1 1,420.4 1,286.1 1,420.4 1,286.1 1,41.2 1,060.2 862.1 909.5 943.0 1,086.3 NA NA	399.5 389.6 405.2 513.6 573.0 559.5 462.4 394.5 427.2 495.2 553.9 538.8 422.7 377.4 297.3 316.5 335.6 281.0 254.3 248.4 243.7 241.7 247.7 248.7 248.0 249.8 260.6 NA NA	$\begin{array}{c} 198.8\\ 219.8\\ 184.9\\ 225.8\\ 281.1\\ 294.0\\ 231.7\\ 185.8\\ 170.2\\ 176.8\\ 181.9\\ 188.4\\ 146.0\\ 127.3\\ 120.5\\ 138.9\\ 168.2\\ 213.8\\ 254.0\\ 257.4\\ 250.2\\ 218.8\\ 157.7\\ 120.1\\ 136.4\\ 117.6\\ 123.4\\ 126.9\\ 125.7\\ \end{array}$	347.5 344.7 323.4 348.1 411.8 441.7 377.4 313.2 355.6 400.0 416.5 414.7 273.5 217.7 143.0 200.8 221.1 230.5 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 269.8 302.3 280.3 280.3 267.1 263.3 240.4 268.4 273.5 307.1 287.9 308.1	527.4 553.1 594.6 727.0 848.5 906.3 755.8 531.3 513.2 636.1 752.0 761.7 696.1 626.4 538.8 746.0 866.6 812.2 763.8 660.4 594.8 549.4 510.7 438.9 462.4 512.0 580.9 581.1 635.9	$\begin{array}{c} 246.1\\ 281.4\\ 315.5\\ 405.2\\ 462.4\\ 458.6\\ 363.6\\ 286.8\\ 338.3\\ 444.2\\ 517.1\\ 506.0\\ 386.0\\ 294.3\\ 203.2\\ 304.6\\ 396.4\\ 446.8\\ 448.7\\ 404.6\\ 387.5\\ 376.3\\ 291.3\\ 290.3\\ 290.3\\ 290.0\\ 335.5\\ 316.7\\ 345.0\\ \end{array}$	
	Į	Mo	nthly Da	ita (Seas	onally A	Adjusted Annual Rates)						
<b>1995</b> October November December	1,320 1,360 1,225	1,039 1,081 1,003	2:	5 9 0	256 240 192	NA NA NA	A A A	127 104 136	255 270 225	604 630 561	334 356 303	
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	1,403 1,328 1,391 1,350 1,408 1,418 1,447 1,445 1,377 1,368 1,417 1,462	1,113 1,052 1,112 1,073 1,120 1,128 1,145 1,151 1,118 1,120 1,136 1,149	2: 3: 3: 2: 2: 2: 3: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4: 4:	8 2 0 6 5 4 4 1 2 0 7 5 5 7	262 244 249 251 263 266 271 252 219 221 236 266	N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/ N/	A A A A A A A A A A A	112 142 97 117 118 127 102 127 134 131 146 140	323 244 305 304 285 304 352 308 287 268 321 316	612 596 633 607 645 634 643 660 601 639 637 670	356 346 352 360 353 350 350 355 330 313 336	

#### Table 4. New Privately Owned Housing Units Completed: 1968–Present\*

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



	Shipments*		Placed for					
Period	U.S.	U.S.	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Average Price	For Sale*
			An	nual Data				
1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	$\begin{array}{c} 329\\ 213\\ 246\\ 277\\ 276\\ 277\\ 222\\ 241\\ 240\\ 296\\ 295\\ 284\\ 244\\ 233\\ 218\\ 198\\ 188\\ 171\\ 211\\ 211\\ 254\\ 304\\ 340\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 332\\229\\250\\258\\280\\280\\234\\229\\234\\278\\288\\283\\256\\239\\224\\203\\195\\174\\212\\243\\286\\311\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23\\ 15\\ 17\\ 17\\ 17\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 12\\ 16\\ 20\\ 20\\ 21\\ 24\\ 23\\ 20\\ 19\\ 14\\ 15\\ 15\\ 16\\ 15\\ 16\\ 15\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 68\\ 49\\ 52\\ 51\\ 50\\ 47\\ 32\\ 30\\ 26\\ 34\\ 35\\ 39\\ 37\\ 40\\ 39\\ 38\\ 35\\ 42\\ 45\\ 53\\ 56\end{array}$	$171 \\ 111 \\ 115 \\ 113 \\ 135 \\ 145 \\ 140 \\ 144 \\ 161 \\ 186 \\ 193 \\ 188 \\ 162 \\ 146 \\ 131 \\ 113 \\ 108 \\ 98 \\ 124 \\ 147 \\ 174 \\ 198 \\ 198 \\ 198 \\ 100 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 70\\ 55\\ 67\\ 78\\ 78\\ 71\\ 49\\ 44\\ 35\\ 41\\ 39\\ 37\\ 35\\ 30\\ 32\\ 31\\ 31\\ 27\\ 30\\ 36\\ 43\\ 42 \end{array}$	\$9,300 \$10,600 \$12,300 \$14,200 \$15,900 \$17,600 \$19,800 \$19,800 \$19,700 \$21,000 \$21,000 \$21,500 \$22,400 \$22,400 \$22,400 \$22,400 \$22,400 \$22,700 \$25,100 \$27,200 \$27,200 \$27,200 \$27,200 \$30,500 \$33,500 \$36,300	$\begin{array}{c} 92\\ 64\\ 67\\ 70\\ 74\\ 76\\ 58\\ 58\\ 73\\ 82\\ 78\\ 67\\ 61\\ 58\\ 56\\ 49\\ 49\\ 49\\ 51\\ 61\\ 72\\ 91\\ \end{array}$
	Ĩ	Monthly D	ata (Season	allv Adius	sted Annua	al Rates)		
<b>1995</b> July August September October November December	337 344 352 354 355 352	298 305 328 316 289 307	15 17 18 12 14 9	52 54 66 52 55 58	191 190 200 208 180 199	40 44 44 43 39 40	\$36,200 \$38,300 \$35,800 \$37,700 \$37,700 \$40,000	82 85 84 88 89 92
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November	352 341 364 378 369 372 372 369 373 369 373 369 354	311 360 328 252 315 331 295 314 292 377 NA	17 20 13 9 17 15 16 17 16 14 NA	49 71 55 44 57 58 59 59 64 NA	205 222 218 161 201 207 184 196 169 257 NA	40 47 43 38 39 50 42 43 43 47 43 NA	\$37,600 \$36,600 \$35,900 \$38,300 \$38,200 \$38,900 \$39,600 \$38,000 \$39,900 \$39,400 NA	91 84 90 95 98 103 110 112 117 118 NA

# Table 5. Manufactured (Mobile) Home Shipments, Residential Placements, Average Prices, and Units for Sale: 1974–Present

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

Sources: Shipments—National Conference of States on Building Codes and Standards Placements—Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development





# Table 6. New Single-Family Home Sales: 1964–Present\*

Period	Sold During Period						Fo	or Sale at I	End of Peri	od		Month's Supply at
	U.S.	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	U.S.	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	U.S.	Sales Rate
					Ann	ual Dat	a					
1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	$\begin{array}{c} 565\\ 575\\ 461\\ 487\\ 490\\ 448\\ 485\\ 656\\ 718\\ 634\\ 519\\ 549\\ 646\\ 819\\ 817\\ 709\\ 545\\ 436\\ 412\\ 623\\ 639\\ 688\\ 750\\ 671\\ 676\\ 650\\ 534\\ 509\\ 610\\ 666\\ 670\\ 667\\ 667\\ 667\\ 667\\ 667\\ 66$	$\begin{array}{c} 90\\ 94\\ 84\\ 77\\ 73\\ 62\\ 61\\ 82\\ 96\\ 95\\ 69\\ 71\\ 72\\ 86\\ 78\\ 67\\ 50\\ 46\\ 47\\ 76\\ 94\\ 112\\ 136\\ 117\\ 101\\ 86\\ 71\\ 57\\ 65\\ 60\\ 61\\ 55\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 146\\ 142\\ 113\\ 112\\ 119\\ 97\\ 100\\ 127\\ 130\\ 120\\ 103\\ 106\\ 128\\ 162\\ 145\\ 112\\ 81\\ 60\\ 48\\ 71\\ 76\\ 82\\ 96\\ 97\\ 102\\ 89\\ 93\\ 116\\ 123\\ 123\\ 125\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 200\\ 210\\ 166\\ 179\\ 177\\ 175\\ 203\\ 270\\ 305\\ 257\\ 207\\ 222\\ 247\\ 317\\ 331\\ 304\\ 267\\ 219\\ 323\\ 309\\ 323\\ 322\\ 271\\ 276\\ 260\\ 225\\ 215\\ 259\\ 295\\ 295\\ 300\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 129\\ 129\\ 99\\ 119\\ 121\\ 114\\ 121\\ 176\\ 187\\ 161\\ 139\\ 150\\ 199\\ 255\\ 262\\ 225\\ 145\\ 112\\ 99\\ 152\\ 160\\ 171\\ 196\\ 186\\ 202\\ 202\\ 149\\ 144\\ 170\\ 188\\ 191\\ 187\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 250\\ 228\\ 196\\ 190\\ 218\\ 228\\ 227\\ 294\\ 413\\ 422\\ 350\\ 316\\ 358\\ 408\\ 419\\ 402\\ 342\\ 278\\ 255\\ 304\\ 358\\ 350\\ 361\\ 370\\ 371\\ 366\\ 321\\ 284\\ 267\\ 295\\ 340\\ 374\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41\\ 47\\ 40\\ 36\\ 38\\ 39\\ 38\\ 45\\ 53\\ 59\\ 50\\ 43\\ 45\\ 44\\ 45\\ 42\\ 40\\ 41\\ 39\\ 42\\ 55\\ 66\\ 88\\ 103\\ 112\\ 108\\ 77\\ 62\\ 48\\ 53\\ 55\\ 62\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 49\\ 50\\ 45\\ 48\\ 53\\ 52\\ 47\\ 55\\ 69\\ 81\\ 68\\ 66\\ 68\\ 73\\ 80\\ 74\\ 55\\ 34\\ 27\\ 33\\ 41\\ 32\\ 39\\ 43\\ 41\\ 42\\ 41\\ 42\\ 41\\ 41\\ 42\\ 41\\ 41\\ 48\\ 63\\ 69\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 87\\75\\61\\65\\82\\91\\131\\199\\181\\150\\133\\154\\168\\170\\172\\149\\127\\129\\149\\177\\172\\153\\149\\133\\123\\105\\97\\104\\121\\140\\158\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 71\\ 55\\ 49\\ 40\\ 45\\ 53\\ 51\\ 63\\ 95\\ 102\\ 82\\ 74\\ 91\\ 123\\ 124\\ 114\\ 97\\ 76\\ 60\\ 79\\ 85\\ 79\\ 85\\ 79\\ 85\\ 79\\ 87\\ 79\\ 85\\ 79\\ 87\\ 79\\ 82\\ 93\\ 97\\ 83\\ 74\\ 73\\ 82\\ 86\end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
1996	756	75	137	336	208	330	40	68	147	75	NA	NA
	(Seas	Mo onally A	onthly D djusted	ata Annual	Rates)		(Not Sea	sonally	Adjusted	.)	(Seas Adj	sonally usted)
<b>1995</b> October November December	673 679 683	50 43 72	123 128 124	305 310 301	195 198 187	361 371 374	62 64 62	66 69 69	153 156 158	79 82 86	360 368 372	6.6 6.7 6.6
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	743 784 713 740 734 733 780 820 779 672 791 783	52 67 51 62 56 73 81 86 96 66 90 87	159 131 132 124 139 134 139 163 130 100 120 176	322 354 321 325 342 324 345 364 343 312 359 335	210 232 209 229 197 201 215 207 210 194 222 185	370 362 366 360 355 351 342 332 335 333 330	61 58 59 59 61 59 56 51 45 45 43 40	66 66 66 67 66 65 64 65 68 70 68	158 157 159 162 158 156 155 153 148 147 147 147	85 81 79 74 74 75 74 73 73 75 73 75	370 355 368 369 362 356 356 353 343 333 330 327	$\begin{array}{c} 6.2 \\ 5.1 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.1 \\ 5.9 \\ 6.0 \\ 5.6 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.2 \end{array}$

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development

	SOLD
1	4

Period	U.S.	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	For Sale	Months' Supply
			Annua	al Data	1		
1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	1,569 1,594 1,612 2,018 2,252 2,334 2,272 2,476 3,064 3,650 3,986 3,827 2,973 2,419 1,990 2,719 2,868 3,214 3,565 3,526 3,526 3,526 3,524 3,346 3,211 3,220 3,520 3,802 3	$\begin{array}{c} 243\\ 240\\ 251\\ 311\\ 361\\ 367\\ 354\\ 370\\ 439\\ 515\\ 516\\ 526\\ 403\\ 353\\ 354\\ 493\\ 511\\ 622\\ 703\\ 685\\ 673\\ 531\\ 469\\ 479\\ 534\\ 571\\ 592\\ 575\\ 610\\ \end{array}$	490 508 501 583 630 674 645 701 881 1,101 1,144 1,061 806 632 490 709 755 866 991 959 929 855 831 840 939 1,007 1,027 992 1047	$\begin{array}{c} 529\\ 538\\ 568\\ 735\\ 788\\ 847\\ 839\\ 862\\ 1,033\\ 1,231\\ 1,416\\ 1,353\\ 1,092\\ 917\\ 780\\ 1,035\\ 1,092\\ 917\\ 780\\ 1,035\\ 1,073\\ 1,172\\ 1,261\\ 1,282\\ 1,350\\ 1,185\\ 1,202\\ 1,199\\ 1,292\\ 1,416\\ 1,464\\ 1,429\\ 1,516\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 308\\ 308\\ 292\\ 389\\ 473\\ 446\\ 434\\ 543\\ 712\\ 803\\ 911\\ 887\\ 672\\ 516\\ 366\\ 481\\ 529\\ 554\\ 610\\ 600\\ 642\\ 775\\ 709\\ 702\\ 755\\ 808\\ 863\\ 806\\ 8012\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 1,910 1,980 2,260 2,200 1,970 2,160 2,160 2,160 1,870 2,160 1,870 2,130 1,760 1,520 1,380 1,470	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N
1996	4,086	610	1,047	1,516	912	1,850	INA
		Monthly D	oata (Seasonall	y Adjusted Anı	ual Rates)	l .	1
<b>1995</b> October November December	4,070 4,000 3,870	610 630 590	1,060 1,050 990	1,520 1,470 1,430	880 850 850	1,890 1,810 1,470	5.6 5.4 4.6
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	3,720 3,940 4,200 4,280 4,160 4,150 4,140 4,030 3,970 4,010 3,870	560 570 600 650 640 640 630 570 600 620 590 620	950 960 1,080 1,050 1,120 1,010 1,060 1,070 1,030 1,020 1,050 1,010	$1,380 \\ 1,490 \\ 1,560 \\ 1,530 \\ 1,580 \\ 1,580 \\ 1,560 \\ 1,530 \\ 1,540 \\ 1,540 \\ 1,450 \\ 1,460 \\ 1,400 $	820 910 970 930 920 900 970 850 880 910 840	1,780 2,010 2,270 2,170 2,170 2,210 2,200 2,150 2,310 2,240 1,650	$5.8 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.5 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.4 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.4 \\ 6.4 \\ 7.0 \\ 6.7 \\ 5.1$

# **Table 7.** Existing Single-Family Home Sales: 1968–Present\*

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands. Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®



	0	,					
			Median			U.S. A	verage
Period	U.S.	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Houses Actually Sold	<b>Constant</b> <b>Quality House</b> <sup>1</sup>
			Annual	Data			
1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1977 1978 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990	$\begin{array}{c} 18,000\\ 18,900\\ 20,000\\ 21,400\\ 22,700\\ 24,700\\ 25,600\\ 23,400\\ 25,200\\ 27,600\\ 32,500\\ 32,500\\ 39,300\\ 44,200\\ 48,800\\ 55,700\\ 62,900\\ 64,600\\ 68,900\\ 69,300\\ 75,300\\ 79,900\\ 84,300\\ 92,000\\ 104,500\\ 112,500\\ 120,000\\ 122,900\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 120,000\\ 121,500\\ 130,000\\ 130,000\\ 130,000\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100$	$\begin{array}{c} 20,300\\ 20,300\\ 21,500\\ 23,500\\ 25,400\\ 27,700\\ 31,600\\ 30,300\\ 30,300\\ 30,600\\ 31,400\\ 37,100\\ 40,100\\ 44,000\\ 47,300\\ 51,600\\ 58,100\\ 65,500\\ 69,500\\ 76,000\\ 76,000\\ 76,000\\ 78,200\\ 82,200\\ 88,600\\ 103,300\\ 125,000\\ 149,000\\ 149,000\\ 159,600\\ 159,000\\ 155,900\\ 155,900\\ 155,900\\ 159,000\\ 152,600\\ 162,600\\ 169,000\\ 162,600\\ 169,000\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\ 100\\$	17,900 19,400 21,600 23,200 25,100 27,400 27,400 27,600 24,400 27,200 29,300 32,900 36,100 39,600 44,800 51,500 59,200 63,900 10,600 10,600 10,600 10,600 115,600 125,000 132,900	$\begin{array}{c} 16,100\\ 16,700\\ 17,500\\ 18,200\\ 19,400\\ 21,500\\ 22,800\\ 20,300\\ 22,500\\ 25,800\\ 30,900\\ 34,500\\ 37,300\\ 40,500\\ 44,100\\ 50,300\\ 57,300\\ 59,600\\ 64,400\\ 66,100\\ 70,900\\ 72,000\\ 75,000\\ 80,200\\ 80,200\\ 80,200\\ 80,200\\ 80,200\\ 80,000\\ 99,000\\ 100,000\\ 105,500\\ 115,000\\ 116,900\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18,800\\ 20,400\\ 21,600\\ 23,200\\ 24,100\\ 25,100\\ 25,300\\ 24,000\\ 25,500\\ 27,500\\ 32,400\\ 35,800\\ 40,600\\ 47,200\\ 53,500\\ 61,300\\ 69,600\\ 72,300\\ 75,000\\ 72,300\\ 77,800\\ 75,000\\ 87,300\\ 92,600\\ 95,700\\ 111,000\\ 126,500\\ 139,000\\ 147,500\\ 141,100\\ 130,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 135,000\\ 140,400\\ 140$	$19,300 \\ 20,500 \\ 21,500 \\ 23,300 \\ 24,600 \\ 26,600 \\ 26,600 \\ 26,600 \\ 28,300 \\ 30,500 \\ 35,500 \\ 38,900 \\ 42,600 \\ 48,000 \\ 54,200 \\ 62,500 \\ 71,800 \\ 76,400 \\ 83,000 \\ 83,900 \\ 83,900 \\ 83,900 \\ 83,900 \\ 83,900 \\ 83,900 \\ 111,900 \\ 127,200 \\ 100,800 \\ 141,900 \\ 127,200 \\ 138,300 \\ 148,800 \\ 149,800 \\ 147,200 \\ 144,100 \\ 147,700 \\ 154,500 \\ 154,500 \\ 100,800 \\ 145,500 \\ 100,800 \\ 145,500 \\ 100,800 \\$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 67,400 77,400 89,100 98,100 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 105,900 116,600 116,600 116,600 121,200 127,700 132,400 137,800 140,400 144,100 150,300 157,500
1995 1996	133,900 140,000	180,000 186,900	134,000 137,500	124,500 125,000	141,000 153,900	158,700 165,800	161,900 165,500
			Quarterl	y Data			
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	138,000	183,500	135,000	127,000	143,000	160,900	162,800
<b>1996</b> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	137,000 139,900 140,000 144,700	179,000 199,700 181,000 215,000	135,200 138,200 134,900 144,900	125,500 125,000 123,900 126,800	148,200 159,000 154,800 159,000	161,100 166,000 164,000 171,100	165,200 164,000 165,800 164,900

#### Table 8A. New Single-Family Home Prices: 1963–Present

<sup>1</sup>The average price for a constant-quality unit is derived from a set of statistical models relating sales price to selected standard physical characteristics of housing units.

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development

Period 1968 1969	U.S. 20,100 21,800 23,000	Northeast 21,400	Midwest Annual Data	South	West	U.S.								
1968 1969	20,100 21,800 23,000	21,400	Annual Data											
1968 1969	20,100 21,800 23,000	21,400	Allitual Data											
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	24,800 26,700 28,900 32,000 35,300 35,300 42,900 48,700 55,700 62,200 66,400 67,800 70,300 72,400 75,500 80,300 93,100 95,500 100,300 103,700 106,800 109,800 112,900 118,100	23,700 25,200 27,100 29,800 32,800 35,800 39,300 41,800 44,000 47,900 53,600 60,800 63,700 63,700 63,700 72,200 78,700 88,900 104,800 143,000 143,000 143,000 144,200 141,200 141,200 141,200 141,200 141,200 141,200 143,900 139,100 136,900 140,800	$18,200 \\19,000 \\20,100 \\22,100 \\23,900 \\25,300 \\27,700 \\30,100 \\32,900 \\36,700 \\42,200 \\47,800 \\51,900 \\54,300 \\55,100 \\56,600 \\57,100 \\56,600 \\57,100 \\56,600 \\63,500 \\63,500 \\63,500 \\63,500 \\63,500 \\68,400 \\71,300 \\74,000 \\77,800 \\81,700 \\85,200 \\87,900 \\93,600 \\99,800 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 19,000\\ 20,300\\ 22,200\\ 24,300\\ 26,400\\ 29,000\\ 32,300\\ 34,800\\ 36,500\\ 39,800\\ 45,100\\ 51,300\\ 58,300\\ 64,400\\ 67,100\\ 69,200\\ 71,300\\ 75,200\\ 71,300\\ 75,200\\ 78,200\\ 80,400\\ 82,200\\ 84,500\\ 82,200\\ 84,500\\ 85,900\\ 88,900\\ 92,100\\ 95,000\\ 96,000\\ 97,700\\ 102,800\\ \end{array}$	22,900 23,900 24,300 26,500 28,400 31,000 34,800 39,600 46,100 57,300 66,700 77,400 89,300 96,200 98,900 94,900 94,900 95,800 95,400 100,900 113,200 124,900 139,600 147,200 143,800 142,600 146,700 147,200 152,400	22,300 23,700 25,700 28,000 30,100 32,900 35,800 39,000 42,200 47,900 55,500 64,200 72,800 78,300 80,500 83,100 80,500 83,100 80,500 83,100 80,500 83,100 80,500 12,800 112,800 112,800 118,100 118,600 128,400 133,500 136,700 139,000 145,300								
			Monthly Data											
1995 October November December 1996 January February March April May June July August September October	113,200 114,300 113,900 114,800 114,000 115,700 116,500 117,600 122,900 121,300 122,300 117,800 116,600	134,000 135,200 133,300 136,200 138,900 141,100 140,600 142,500 146,100 145,300 144,500 137,700 137,800	95,200 95,800 95,700 96,900 96,100 97,200 98,600 99,200 105,000 102,400 104,900 100,300 98,000	98,100 99,400 99,400 99,100 98,300 99,700 100,600 102,300 106,800 106,600 105,700 102,700 102,400	147,700 148,700 147,100 150,600 146,500 150,300 149,900 151,700 157,200 156,400 154,700 153,600 150,300	138,700 139,500 138,700 141,200 140,100 140,100 141,900 144,400 150,200 149,600 149,900 144,700 143,600								

# Table 8B. Existing Single-Family Home Prices: 1968–Present

Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®

\$





Period	U.S.	New England	Middle Atlantic	South Atlantic	East South Central	West South Central	West North Central	East North Central	Mountain	Pacific
				Annua	l Average	2				
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	$\begin{array}{c} 102.1\\ 107.0\\ 110.6\\ 114.3\\ 118.1\\ 124.6\\ 135.6\\ 147.4\\ 157.6\\ 166.9\\ 171.5\\ 173.8\\ 177.8\\ 181.2\\ 185.6\\ 192.1\\ \end{array}$	$104.8 \\113.6 \\119.6 \\133.4 \\157.5 \\190.7 \\232.9 \\273.5 \\292.7 \\295.5 \\284.4 \\271.0 \\267.9 \\268.2 \\265.8 \\271.3 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 102.8\\ 105.5\\ 110.3\\ 116.8\\ 131.1\\ 147.0\\ 171.5\\ 204.7\\ 226.4\\ 233.2\\ 233.2\\ 233.2\\ 232.0\\ 236.7\\ 239.8\\ 239.1\\ 241.0\\ \end{array}$	101.5 107.2 112.9 116.7 118.5 123.4 133.6 144.0 155.5 164.1 167.8 170.6 175.1 178.7 181.9 187.9	98.9 100.6 101.8 107.1 100.8 112.8 121.6 130.5 135.9 139.4 141.9 145.7 151.1 156.8 165.3 174.5	102.2 110.9 122.5 124.0 122.2 120.2 121.6 114.2 108.8 109.8 111.5 114.3 118.5 122.8 127.1 131.0	102.0 102.1 102.0 106.5 110.3 112.9 119.2 125.8 129.3 132.7 135.2 138.6 143.1 148.0 157.4 165.7	101.1 102.7 100.0 101.6 103.7 107.1 114.6 124.5 134.2 142.4 149.4 145.2 161.4 167.2 177.1 187.6	$\begin{array}{c} 102.1\\ 109.5\\ 116.5\\ 118.6\\ 117.7\\ 119.5\\ 124.2\\ 124.2\\ 124.9\\ 123.4\\ 124.7\\ 127.5\\ 132.4\\ 139.3\\ 149.3\\ 165.3\\ 179.7\\ \end{array}$	$104.2 \\ 113.3 \\ 115.5 \\ 117.0 \\ 121.1 \\ 125.1 \\ 133.3 \\ 146.2 \\ 166.9 \\ 199.4 \\ 217.2 \\ 220.2 \\ 220.4 \\ 216.4 \\ 212.4 \\ 214.7 \\ $
	I	1	1	Quarte	erly Data	1	1		1	
1995 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter 1996 1st Quarter 2nd Ouarter	194.0 196.0 198.3 199.8	274.2 276.6 279.9 279.4	243.6 244.5 247.8 246.5	189.6 191.9 194.3 195.4	176.4 178.8 181.3 184.2	132.2 133.7 135.4 136.3	167.2 169.2 171.0 174.2	189.2 192.0 194.0 198.4	181.9 185.3 188.2 190.1	217.1 217.8 219.9 219.9
3rd Quarter	200.2	277.6	245.6	195.5	184.8	135.6	175.9	201.4	191.4	218.8

### Table 8C. Repeat Sales House Price Index: 1980–Present

Base: First Quarter 1980 equals 100.0 Source: Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight



#### Table 9. Housing Affordability Index: 1970–Present

		U	.8.	Affordability Indexes*				
Period	Median Existing Price	Mortgage Rate <sup>1</sup>	Median Family Income	Income To Qualify	Composite	Fixed	ARM	
		•	Annual	Data			•	
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	\$23,000 \$24,800 \$26,700 \$32,900 \$35,300 \$35,300 \$42,900 \$42,900 \$48,700 \$66,200 \$66,400 \$67,800 \$70,300 \$77,300 \$77,300 \$77,300 \$77,500 \$80,300 \$85,600 \$89,300 \$85,600 \$89,300 \$100,300 \$100,300 \$100,800 \$109,800 \$112,900 \$118,100	$\begin{array}{c} 8.35\\ 7.67\\ 7.52\\ 8.01\\ 9.02\\ 9.21\\ 9.11\\ 9.02\\ 9.58\\ 10.92\\ 12.95\\ 15.12\\ 15.38\\ 12.85\\ 12.49\\ 11.74\\ 10.25\\ 9.28\\ 9.31\\ 10.11\\ 10.04\\ 9.30\\ 8.11\\ 7.16\\ 7.47\\ 7.85\\ 7.71\end{array}$	\$9,867 \$10,285 \$11,116 \$12,051 \$12,902 \$13,719 \$14,958 \$16,010 \$17,640 \$19,680 \$21,023 \$22,388 \$23,433 \$24,580 \$26,433 \$22,438 \$22,438 \$22,438 \$22,438 \$22,458 \$30,970 \$32,191 \$34,213 \$35,353 \$35,939 \$36,812 \$36,812 \$36,959 \$38,782 \$39,558 \$40,922	\$6,697 \$6,770 \$7,183 \$8,151 \$9,905 \$11,112 \$11,888 \$13,279 \$15,834 \$20,240 \$26,328 \$32,485 \$33,713 \$29,546 \$29,650 \$29,243 \$27,047 \$27,113 \$28,360 \$31,662 \$32,286 \$31,825 \$29,523 \$27,727 \$29,392 \$31,359 \$32,375	$147.3 \\ 151.9 \\ 154.8 \\ 147.9 \\ 130.3 \\ 123.5 \\ 125.8 \\ 120.6 \\ 111.4 \\ 97.2 \\ 79.9 \\ 68.9 \\ 69.5 \\ 83.2 \\ 89.1 \\ 94.8 \\ 108.9 \\ 114.2 \\ 113.5 \\ 108.1 \\ 109.5 \\ 112.9 \\ 124.7 \\ 133.3 \\ 131.9 \\ 126.1 \\ 126.4 \\ 126$	$147.3 \\ 151.9 \\ 154.8 \\ 147.9 \\ 130.3 \\ 123.5 \\ 125.8 \\ 120.6 \\ 111.4 \\ 97.2 \\ 79.9 \\ 68.9 \\ 69.4 \\ 81.7 \\ 84.6 \\ 89.6 \\ 105.7 \\ 107.6 \\ 103.6 \\ 103.6 \\ 103.6 \\ 106.5 \\ 109.9 \\ 120.1 \\ 128.4 \\ 122.3 \\ 120.7 \\ 123.0 \\ 123.0 \\ 123.0 \\ 10000000000000000000000000000000000$	$147.3 \\ 151.9 \\ 154.8 \\ 147.9 \\ 130.3 \\ 123.5 \\ 125.8 \\ 120.6 \\ 111.4 \\ 97.2 \\ 79.9 \\ 68.9 \\ 69.7 \\ 85.2 \\ 92.1 \\ 100.6 \\ 116.3 \\ 122.4 \\ 122.0 \\ 114.3 \\ 124.2 \\ 145.0 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 136.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 154.9 \\ 149.6 \\ 135.5 \\ 140.0 \\ 14$	
			Monthly	Data				
<b>1995</b> October November December	\$113,200 \$114,300 \$113,900	7.68 7.61 7.33	\$39,432 \$39,497 \$39,558	\$30,932 \$31,021 \$30,074	127.5 127.3 131.5	125.2 125.5 127.6	136.5 136.6 143.2	
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	\$114,800 \$114,000 \$115,700 \$116,500 \$117,600 \$122,900 \$121,500 \$122,300 \$117,800 \$117,800 \$116,600 \$117,400 \$118,800	7.31 7.27 7.45 7.71 7.88 7.93 8.03 7.92 7.94 7.83 7.70 7.59	\$39,672 \$39,786 \$39,900 \$40,014 \$40,128 \$40,242 \$40,356 \$40,470 \$40,584 \$40,584 \$40,698 \$40,812 \$40,922	\$30,252 \$29,922 \$30,913 \$31,926 \$32,759 \$34,399 \$34,332 \$34,198 \$33,003 \$32,325 \$32,141 \$32,179	131.1 133.0 129.1 125.3 122.5 117.0 117.5 118.3 123.0 125.9 127.0 127.2	130.4 132.0 127.6 122.7 118.7 113.0 113.0 114.0 118.4 121.0 122.8 123.8	$135.5 \\ 138.6 \\ 136.4 \\ 134.7 \\ 131.0 \\ 127.7 \\ 126.0 \\ 128.6 \\ 133.2 \\ 137.2 \\ 138.2 \\ 137.3 \\ 137.3 \\$	

\*The composite affordability index is the ratio of median family income to qualifying income. Values over one indicate that the typical (median) family has more than sufficient income to purchase the median-priced home.

<sup>1</sup>The Federal Housing Finance Board's monthly effective rate (points are amortized over 10 years) combines fixed-rate and adjustable-rate loans. Entries under Annual Data are averages of the monthly rates.

Source: NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS®



# **Table 10.** Market Absorption of New Rental Units and Median Asking Rent:1970–Present



Period	Unfurnished Rental Apartment	Percent Rented in	Median Asking								
	Completions	3 Months	Rent								
Annual Data											
1970	328,400	73	\$188								
1971	334,400	68	\$187								
1972	497,900	68	\$191								
1973	531,700	70	\$191								
1974	405,500	68	\$197								
1975	223,100	70	\$211								
1976	157,000	80	\$219								
1977	195,600	80	\$232								
1978	228,700	82	\$251								
1979	241,200	82	\$272								
1980	196,100	75	\$308								
1981	135,400	80	\$347								
1982	117,000	72	\$385								
1983	191,500	69	\$386								
1984	313,200	67	\$393								
1985	364,500	65	\$432								
1986	407,600	66	\$457								
1987	345,600	63	\$517								
1988	284,500	66	\$550								
1989	246.200	70	\$590								
1990	214,300	67	\$600								
1991	165.300	70	\$614								
1992	110.200	74	\$586								
1993	77.200	75	\$573								
1994	104.000	81	\$576								
1995	155,000	72	\$655								
	(	Quarterly Data									
1005											
1995	48,000	70	¢(())								
3rd Quarter	48,000	/2	\$662								
4th Quarter	45,600	/6	\$676								
1996											
1st Quarter	43,700	75	\$615								
2nd Quarter	50,900	71	\$687								
3rd Quarter	53,300	72	\$682								
ora Quarter	00,000		<b>\$002</b>								

Sources: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce; and Office of Policy Development and Research, Department of Housing and Urban Development



#### Sales of Single-Family Detached Homes Housing Market Index Prospective Buyer Traffic Period **Current Activity Future Expectations Annual Data** 37 26 16 1979 NA NA NA 32 17 48 19 8 15 52 52 52 58 62 NA NA 60 52 62 67 NA 47 NA 60 53 57 50 59 58 42 49 43 37 27 29 68 62 56 47 61 50 60 44 1996 45 Monthly Data—Seasonally Adjusted October 55 November December January February March April 63 51 60 69 May June July 62 62 August September October 57 November December January

#### Table 11. Builders' Views of Housing Market Activity: 1978–Present

Source: National Association of Home Builders, Builders Economic Council Survey



	FF	łA	Conventional							
Period	30-Year F	ixed Rate	30-Year I	ixed Rate	15-Year Fix	ced Rate	One-Yea	r ARMs		
	Rate	<b>Points</b> <sup>1</sup>	Rate	Points	Rate	Points	Rate	Points		
		-	Aı	nnual Data			-			
1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	$\begin{array}{c} 7.00\\ 7.41\\ 8.85\\ 8.64\\ 8.50\\ 8.27\\ 9.10\\ 10.00\\ 12.36\\ 15.17\\ 14.83\\ 12.24\\ 13.21\\ 11.96\\ 9.75\\ 9.67\\ 10.25\\ 10.08\\ 9.92\\ 9.25\\ 8.29\\ 7.46\\ 8.42\\ 8.29\\ 8.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3\\ 5.4\\ 4.6\\ 4.4\\ 3.2\\ 2.7\\ 3.6\\ 4.5\\ 5.7\\ 5.1\\ 4.1\\ 4.4\\ 3.8\\ 2.8\\ 2.2\\ 2.8\\ 1.5\\ 1.6\\ 1.8\\ 0.9\\ 1.2\\ 0.4\\ 0.6\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.38\\ 8.04\\ 9.19\\ 9.04\\ 8.88\\ 8.84\\ 9.63\\ 11.19\\ 13.77\\ 16.63\\ 16.09\\ 13.23\\ 13.87\\ 12.42\\ 10.18\\ 10.20\\ 10.33\\ 10.32\\ 10.13\\ 9.25\\ 8.40\\ 7.33\\ 8.35\\ 7.95\\ 7.81\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9\\ 1.0\\ 1.2\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.1\\ 1.3\\ 1.6\\ 1.8\\ 2.1\\ 2.2\\ 2.1\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.2\\ 2.2\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA N	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 11.49 10.04 8.42 7.82 7.90 8.80 8.36 7.10 5.63 4.59 5.33 6.07 5.67	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.3 2.2 2.3 2.3 2.1 1.9 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.4		
	I		М	onthly Data						
<b>1995</b> October November December <b>1996</b> January February	8.00 7.50 7.50 7.50 7.50	0.2 0.8 0.1 0.1 0.9	7.48 7.37 7.17 7.03 7.14	1.9 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.7	7.01 6.88 6.71 6.55 6.62	1.8 1.8 1.7 1.7	5.74 5.63 5.57 5.44 5.32	1.4 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.4		
March April May June July August September October November December	7.50 8.00 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8.50 8	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5\\ 0.6\\ 0.1\\ 0.5\\ 0.4\\ 0.4\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.0\\ 0.3\\ \end{array}$	7.68 7.93 8.07 8.32 8.25 8.00 8.23 7.89 7.58 7.60	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.8\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.8\\ 1.7\\ 1.8\\ 1.7 \end{array} $	7.18 7.44 7.58 7.83 7.77 7.52 7.75 7.40 7.10 7.10	1.8 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7	5.54 5.73 5.92 5.98 5.84 5.85 5.63 5.52 5.52	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.5\\ 1.4\\ 1.5\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.4$		

# **Table 12.** Mortgage Interest Rates, Average Commitment Rates,<br/>and Points: 1972–Present

<sup>1</sup>Excludes origination fee.

Sources: Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development (FHA), and Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Conventional)

		Fixed	Rate		Adjustable Rate									
Period	Rate	Points	Effective Rate	Term to Maturity	Rate	Points	Effective Rate	Term to Maturity						
	Annual Data													
1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	$14.72 \\ 12.51 \\ 12.67 \\ 11.93 \\ 10.09 \\ 9.52 \\ 10.04 \\ 10.21 \\ 10.06 \\ 9.38 \\ 8.21 \\ 7.27 \\ 7.98 \\ 8.01 \\ 7.81 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.51\\ 2.41\\ 2.59\\ 2.56\\ 2.31\\ 2.18\\ 2.07\\ 1.92\\ 1.87\\ 1.63\\ 1.61\\ 1.21\\ 1.14\\ 1.01\\ 1.03\\ \end{array}$	$15.26 \\ 12.98 \\ 13.18 \\ 12.43 \\ 10.50 \\ 9.90 \\ 10.41 \\ 10.54 \\ 10.39 \\ 9.66 \\ 8.50 \\ 7.48 \\ 8.17 \\ 8.18 \\ 7.98 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 25.4\\ 25.5\\ 24.8\\ 24.1\\ 24.9\\ 25.5\\ 26.0\\ 27.0\\ 26.1\\ 25.8\\ 24.4\\ 24.7\\ 25.8\\ 26.5\\ 26.1\end{array}$	$14.74 \\ 11.88 \\ 11.57 \\ 10.44 \\ 9.10 \\ 8.20 \\ 8.21 \\ 9.15 \\ 8.90 \\ 8.03 \\ 6.37 \\ 5.56 \\ 6.27 \\ 7.00 \\ 6.94 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.86\\ 2.37\\ 2.57\\ 2.47\\ 1.97\\ 1.95\\ 1.88\\ 1.79\\ 1.56\\ 1.43\\ 1.44\\ 1.20\\ 1.05\\ 0.88\\ 0.81\end{array}$	$15.37 \\ 12.33 \\ 12.05 \\ 10.87 \\ 9.42 \\ 8.51 \\ 8.51 \\ 9.44 \\ 9.15 \\ 8.26 \\ 6.59 \\ 5.74 \\ 6.42 \\ 7.13 \\ 7.06 \\ \end{cases}$	26.0 26.7 28.0 27.7 27.3 28.6 28.9 28.9 29.3 28.7 29.1 28.8 29.2 29.3 29.0						
	1	I	Mo	onthly Data	I	I	I	I						
1995 October November December 1996	7.70 7.60 7.48	0.98 0.88 0.83	7.86 7.74 7.62	26.5 26.5 26.3	6.87 6.80 6.45	0.80 0.75 0.58	6.99 6.91 6.54	28.8 29.4 28.7						
January February March April May June July August September October November December	7.23 7.19 7.40 7.76 7.99 8.10 8.24 8.13 8.15 8.09 7.88 7.75	$\begin{array}{c} 0.89\\ 0.92\\ 1.07\\ 1.06\\ 1.07\\ 1.09\\ 1.13\\ 1.09\\ 1.06\\ 0.99\\ 0.99\\ 0.87\end{array}$	7.38 7.33 7.58 7.93 8.18 8.30 8.43 8.32 8.33 8.25 8.05 7.89	$\begin{array}{c} 26.4 \\ 26.1 \\ 26.0 \\ 25.9 \\ 26.4 \\ 25.4 \\ 26.4 \\ 25.7 \\ 26.2 \\ 26.6 \\ 26.7 \end{array}$	6.86 6.72 6.75 6.85 7.07 6.96 7.20 6.99 7.02 6.89 6.77 6.76	$\begin{array}{c} 0.77\\ 0.83\\ 0.83\\ 0.76\\ 0.75\\ 0.72\\ 0.79\\ 0.80\\ 0.84\\ 0.87\\ 0.88\\ 0.93\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.98\\ 6.85\\ 6.88\\ 6.97\\ 7.19\\ 7.07\\ 7.32\\ 7.11\\ 7.15\\ 7.02\\ 6.90\\ 6.90\\ \end{array}$	27.5 27.7 29.2 28.5 29.2 29.6 28.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.5 29.2 29.3 28.9						

# **Table 13.** Mortgage Interest Rates, Points, Effective Rates, and Average Termto Maturity on Conventional Loans Closed: 1982–Present

Source: Federal Housing Finance Board





# **Table 14.** FHA, VA, and PMI 1–4 Family MortgageInsurance Activity: 1968–Present



		<b>FHA</b> *			
Period	ApplicationsTotal EndorsementsPurchase Endorsements		VA Guaranties	PMI Certificates	
1968     1969     1970     1971     1972     1973     1974     1975     1976     1977     1978     1979     1980     1981     1982     1983     1984     1985     1986     1987     1988     1989     1990     1991     1992     1993     1994     1995     1996	968 $751,982$ $969$ $788,874$ $970$ $941,566$ $971$ $998,365$ $972$ $655,747$ $973$ $359,941$ $974$ $383,993$ $975$ $445,350$ $976$ $491,981$ $977$ $550,168$ $978$ $627,971$ $979$ $652,435$ $980$ $516,938$ $981$ $299,889$ $982$ $461,129$ $983$ $776,893$ $984$ $476,888$ $985$ $900,119$ $986$ $1,907,316$ $987$ $1,210,257$ $988$ $949,353$ $989$ $987,724$ $990$ $957,302$ $991$ $898,859$ $992$ $1,090,392$ $993$ $1,740,504$ $994$ $961,466$		NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 359,151 204,376 143,931 455,189 235,847 328,639 634,491 866,962 622,873 649,596 726,028 620,050 522,738 591,243 686,487 516,380 719,517	$\begin{array}{c} 211,025\\ 213,940\\ 167,734\\ 284,358\\ 375,485\\ 321,522\\ 313,156\\ 301,443\\ 330,442\\ 392,557\\ 368,648\\ 364,656\\ 274,193\\ 151,811\\ 103,354\\ 300,568\\ 210,366\\ 201,313\\ 351,242\\ 455,616\\ 212,671\\ 183,209\\ 192,992\\ 186,561\\ 290,003\\ 457,596\\ 536,931\\ 243,719\\ 326,421\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA 392,808 334,565 315,868 652,214 946,408 729,597 585,987 511,058 423,470 365,497 367,120 494,259 907,511 1,198,307 1,148,696 960,756 1,068,707
		Mon	thly Data	'	
1995 October November December 1996 January February March	81,133 77,793 56,072 96,178 109,347 107,504	61,246 54,202 35,070 72,289 68,840 64,730	54,325 47,565 29,988 61,431 55,537 48,441	25,309 21,014 16,708 30,013 26,831 30,372	97,479 88,218 83,132 85,179 73,008 85,384
April May June July August September October November December	101,442 95,755 83,258 86,616 87,344 75,609 85,774 79,768 73,194	70,266 72,876 70,475 78,743 76,364 65,753 79,768 64,041 65,716	51,518 56,171 57,866 68,370 68,860 59,917 73,194 58,713 59,499	31,019 30,951 29,974 28,870 26,216 23,490 25,851 22,639 20,195	99,727 100,681 97,932 103,495 94,418 88,391 89,836 71,913 78,743

\*These operational numbers differ slightly from adjusted accounting numbers.

Sources: Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development; Department of Veterans Affairs; and Mortgage Insurance Companies of America



# **Table 15.** FHA Unassisted Multifamily Mortgage Insurance Activity:1980–Present\*

Period	C Ne	Construction w Rental Un	of its <sup>1</sup>	Purch Exis	ase or Refina ting Rental U	nce of inits <sup>2</sup>	Congregate Housing, Nursing Homes, and Assisted Living, Board and Care Facilities <sup>3</sup>		
	Projects	Units	Mortgage Amount	Projects	Units	Mortgage Amount	Projects	Units	Mortgage Amount
				Annual I	Data				
1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 (12 mos.)	$\begin{array}{c} 79\\ 94\\ 98\\ 74\\ 96\\ 144\\ 154\\ 171\\ 140\\ 101\\ 61\\ 72\\ 54\\ 56\\ 84\\ 89\\ 128\\ \end{array}$	14,671 14,232 14,303 14,353 14,158 23,253 22,006 28,300 21,180 15,240 9,910 13,098 7,823 9,321 12,988 17,113 23,554	$\begin{array}{c} 560.8\\ 415.1\\ 460.4\\ 543.9\\ 566.2\\ 954.1\\ 1,117.5\\ 1,379.4\\ 922.2\\ 750.9\\ 411.4\\ 590.2\\ 358.5\\ 428.6\\ 658.5\\ 785.0\\ 1,178.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 32 \\ 12 \\ 28 \\ 94 \\ 88 \\ 135 \\ 245 \\ 306 \\ 234 \\ 144 \\ 69 \\ 185 \\ 119 \\ 262 \\ 321 \\ 192 \\ 268 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6,459\\ 2,974\\ 7,431\\ 22,118\\ 21,655\\ 34,730\\ 32,554\\ 68,000\\ 49,443\\ 32,995\\ 13,848\\ 40,640\\ 24,960\\ 50,140\\ 61,416\\ 32,383\\ 51,760\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 89.1\\ 43.0\\ 95.2\\ 363.0\\ 428.2\\ 764.3\\ 1,550.1\\ 1,618.0\\ 1,402.3\\ 864.6\\ 295.3\\ 1,015.1\\ 547.1\\ 1,209.4\\ 1,587.0\\ 822.3\\ 1,391.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25\\ 35\\ 50\\ 65\\ 45\\ 41\\ 22\\ 45\\ 47\\ 41\\ 53\\ 81\\ 66\\ 77\\ 94\\ 103\\ 152\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,187\\ 4,590\\ 7,096\\ 9,231\\ 5,697\\ 5,201\\ 3,123\\ 6,243\\ 5,537\\ 5,183\\ 6,166\\ 10,150\\ 8,229\\ 9,036\\ 13,688\\ 12,888\\ 20,069\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 78.1 \\ 130.0 \\ 200.0 \\ 295.8 \\ 175.2 \\ 179.1 \\ 111.2 \\ 225.7 \\ 197.1 \\ 207.9 \\ 263.2 \\ 437.2 \\ 367.4 \\ 428.6 \\ 701.7 \\ 707.2 \\ 927.5 \end{array}$

\*Mortgage insurance written—Initial endorsements. Mortgage amounts are in millions of dollars.

<sup>1</sup>Includes both new construction and substantial rehabilitation under Sections 207, 220, and 221(d).

<sup>2</sup>Includes purchase or refinance of existing rental housing under Section 223.

<sup>3</sup>Includes congregate rental housing for the elderly under Section 231, and nursing homes, board and care homes, assisted-living facilities, and intermediate-care facilities under Section 232. Includes both new construction or substantial rehabilitation, and purchase or refinance of existing projects. Number of units shown includes beds and housing units.

Source: Office of Housing-FHA Comptroller, Department of Housing and Urban Development



# **Table 16.** Mortgage Originations, 1–4 Family Units<br/>by Loan Type: 1970–Present



\*Includes Rural Housing Service (formerly Farmers Home Administration) backed loans.

Sources: Mortgage Insurance Companies of America and HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity



# **Table 17.** Residential Mortgage Originations<br/>by Building Type: 1970–Present

Period	One-to Four-Unit Buildings	Five-Unit Buildings and Greater	Totals						
Annual Data (Current Dollars in Billions)									
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993	$\begin{array}{c} 35.6\\ 57.8\\ 75.9\\ 79.1\\ 67.5\\ 77.9\\ 112.8\\ 162.0\\ 185.0\\ 187.1\\ 133.8\\ 98.2\\ 97.0\\ 201.9\\ 203.7\\ 289.8\\ 499.4\\ 507.2\\ 446.3\\ 452.9\\ 458.4\\ 562.1\\ 893.7\\ 1,019.9\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.8\\ 12.5\\ 15.4\\ 14.0\\ 12.3\\ 10.6\\ 12.3\\ 15.8\\ 16.4\\ 15.2\\ 12.5\\ 12.0\\ 11.6\\ 21.4\\ 27.6\\ 31.9\\ 49.9\\ 45.1\\ 38.2\\ 31.1\\ 32.6\\ 25.5\\ 25.7\\ 31.7\\ 31.7\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.4\\ 70.2\\ 91.3\\ 93.1\\ 79.8\\ 88.6\\ 125.1\\ 177.8\\ 201.4\\ 202.3\\ 146.2\\ 110.2\\ 108.6\\ 223.3\\ 231.3\\ 321.7\\ 549.3\\ 552.3\\ 484.4\\ 484.1\\ 491.0\\ 587.6\\ 919.4\\ 1,051.6\\ 919.4\\ 1,051.6\end{array}$						
1995	639.4	39.2	678.6						
	I	Quarterly Data							
<b>1995</b> 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	189.8 189.8	9.9 11.5	199.6 201.3						
<b>1996</b> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter	198.2 219.9 218.0	11.2 12.8 12.7	209.4 232.7 230.7						

Source: HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity



# **Table 18.** Mortgage Originations, 1–4 Family Units<br/>by Lender Type: 1970–Present



Source: HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity



# **Table 19.** Net Acquisitions, 1–4 Family Units<br/>by Lender Type: 1970–Present

Period	Commercial Banks	Mutual Savings Banks	Savings and Loans	Mortgage Companies	Private Mortgage- Backed Conduits	Federal Credit Agencies	Mortgage Pools	Other Lenders	Totals				
Annual Data (Current Dollars in Billions)													
1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994	$\begin{array}{c} 6.6\\ 11.8\\ 16.5\\ 17.7\\ 14.9\\ 11.8\\ 21.3\\ 32.6\\ 38.8\\ 37.0\\ 25.6\\ 20.3\\ 19.2\\ 33.5\\ 34.4\\ 41.6\\ 80.4\\ 95.5\\ 86.7\\ 103.6\\ 117.8\\ 112.6\\ 172.4\\ 192.1\\ 164.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.3\\ 5.2\\ 7.6\\ 7.7\\ 4.7\\ 5.2\\ 8.1\\ 11.4\\ 11.9\\ 11.1\\ 5.7\\ 3.8\\ 3.2\\ 10.6\\ 13.1\\ 0.3\\ 21.8\\ 25.0\\ 22.1\\ 12.2\\ 9.4\\ 12.0\\ 21.9\\ 23.7\\ 19.0\\ \end{array}$	$17.4 \\ 31.6 \\ 43.4 \\ 41.5 \\ 32.7 \\ 43.7 \\ 65.3 \\ 86.5 \\ 85.2 \\ 76.3 \\ 58.0 \\ 39.6 \\ 4.2 \\ 64.3 \\ 86.7 \\ 64.4 \\ 81.2 \\ 115.2 \\ 115.2 \\ 112.1 \\ 72.0 \\ 49.9 \\ 51.6 \\ 71.9 \\ 90.1 \\ 79.1 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} - 0.6\\ 0.5\\ 0.4\\ - 0.9\\ - 1.0\\ 0.3\\ 0.6\\ 2.4\\ 3.2\\ 6.5\\ 1.3\\ 1.7\\ 3.3\\ 2.8\\ 3.2\\ 2.8\\ 3.2\\ 2.8\\ 11.0\\ - 11.8\\ 5.0\\ 20.2\\ - 0.9\\ 11.3\\ - 0.4\\ - 0.5\\ - 24.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.2\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.1\\ 0.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 5.1\\ 3.7\\ 3.2\\ 5.4\\ 8.7\\ 6.9\\ 1.4\\ 4.8\\ 14.5\\ 14.4\\ 11.5\\ 9.8\\ 13.9\\ 18.9\\ 19.2\\ 25.6\\ 24.8\\ 20.4\\ 25.7\\ 25.8\\ 39.0\\ 45.0\\ 76.2\\ 107.4\\ 84.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.7\\ 3.8\\ 4.6\\ 3.7\\ 5.6\\ 10.7\\ 15.8\\ 22.1\\ 21.8\\ 27.2\\ 23.5\\ 18.3\\ 54.2\\ 81.4\\ 59.9\\ 107.4\\ 252.5\\ 225.0\\ 142.5\\ 192.1\\ 229.7\\ 271.7\\ 463.2\\ 561.8\\ 353.3\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 0.9\\ 0.9\\ 1.7\\ 1.8\\ 1.6\\ 2.0\\ 2.4\\ 4.7\\ 7.8\\ 12.2\\ 7.4\\ 4.6\\ 6.8\\ 7.1\\ 7.8\\ 10.0\\ 9.2\\ 9.8\\ 11.1\\ 7.5\\ 6.4\\ 6.2\\ 4.4\\ 8.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 34.8\\ 57.5\\ 76.8\\ 76.8\\ 67.4\\ 80.1\\ 114.7\\ 162.3\\ 180.2\\ 180.3\\ 137.9\\ 101.0\\ 105.7\\ 223.6\\ 231.3\\ 257.8\\ 497.9\\ 499.7\\ 427.2\\ 453.3\\ 472.5\\ 549.3\\ 889.5\\ 1,069.6\\ 745.4\end{array}$				
1995	164.1	21.2	64.4	- 4.5	37.4	90.5	263.4	10.0	646.5				
	I		I	Quarterly	Data	I	I	l	I				
<b>1995</b> 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	51.8 42.5	9.6 4.5	18.2 14.5	1.5 - 9.8	11.6 12.2	26.2 31.2	79.8 93.4	2.5 2.6	201.3 191.0				
<b>1996</b> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter	27.2 42.8 58.4	4.6 5.9 8.1	20.4 22.4 21.2	12.2 - 4.5 4.5	11.1 9.9 9.7	28.1 24.4 22.5	93.2 103.2 83.2	2.3 2.3 1.8	199.1 206.3 209.4				

Source: HUD Survey of Mortgage Lending Activity



	Delinquency Rates									Foreclo	sures		
Period		Total P	ast Due		90 Days Past Due				Started				
	All	Conv.	FHA	VA	All	Conv.	FHA	VA	All	Conv.	FHA	VA	
Quarterly Data													
1986													
1st Quarter	5.74	4.05	7.44	6.68	0.98	0.67	1.26	1.18	0.24	0.18	0.30	0.27	
2nd Quarter	5.69	3.92	7.29	6.63	1.04	0.71	1.32	1.25	0.25	0.18	0.31	0.29	
3rd Quarter	5.51	3.72	7.08	6.63	1.02	0.67	1.30	1.29	0.27	0.20	0.32	0.31	
4th Quarter <b>1987</b>	5.31	3.49	6.83	6.36	0.99	0.61	1.29	1.24	0.26	0.19	0.33	0.31	
1st Quarter	5.23	3.40	6.73	6.31	1.01	0.65	1.28	1.27	0.26	0.19	0.31	0.31	
2nd Quarter	5.06	3.34	6.53	6.20	0.95	0.65	1.19	1.17	0.25	0.18	0.32	0.29	
3rd Quarter	4.69	2.85	6.35	6.04	0.85	0.57	1.11	1.07	0.26	0.15	0.35	0.32	
4th Quarter	4.89	3.01	6.62	6.27	0.89	0.55	1.18	1.16	0.27	0.18	0.36	0.35	
1st Ouarter	4.88	2.93	6.66	6.26	0.87	0.54	1.17	1.13	0.27	0.17	0.36	0.33	
2nd Ouarter	4.90	2.95	6.71	6.36	0.88	0.53	1.21	1.19	0.27	0.16	0.36	0.32	
3rd Ouarter	4.70	2.87	6.39	6.00	0.83	0.53	1.10	1.09	0.27	0.17	0.36	0.31	
4th Quarter 1989	4.69	2.99	6.47	6.27	0.83	0.55	1.09	1.14	0.27	0.19	0.38	0.31	
1st Quarter	4.74	2.97	6.61	6.43	0.82	0.52	1.12	1.13	0.29	0.18	0.41	0.37	
2nd Quarter	4.56	2.90	6.28	6.17	0.79	0.51	1.07	1.11	0.30	0.19	0.43	0.40	
3rd Quarter	4.91	3.14	6.94	6.47	0.78	0.50	1.08	1.06	0.28	0.18	0.39	0.35	
4th Quarter 1990	5.03	3.11	7.12	6.74	0.76	0.46	1.07	1.04	0.28	0.18	0.40	0.35	
1st Quarter	4.54	2.84	6.48	6.17	0.70	0.38	1.04	1.03	0.31	0.21	0.44	0.39	
2nd Quarter	4.52	2.87	6.54	6.19	0.70	0.37	1.10	1.04	0.31	0.21	0.41	0.38	
3rd Quarter	4.83	3.13	6.84	6.58	0.71	0.41	1.10	1.03	0.33	0.21	0.47	0.44	
4th Quarter <b>1991</b>	4.75	3.12	6.85	6.46	0.73	0.41	1.16	1.06	0.29	0.21	0.41	0.40	
1st Quarter	5.13	3.42	7.29	6.69	0.78	0.47	1.17	1.05	0.31	0.24	0.42	0.38	
2nd Quarter	5.26	3.44	7.55	7.04	0.79	0.46	1.21	1.09	0.34	0.26	0.43	0.40	
3rd Quarter	4.87	3.02	7.22	6.73	0.82	0.44	1.31	1.16	0.35	0.28	0.44	0.45	
4th Quarter 1992	4.85	3.16	7.17	6.62	0.81	0.46	1.29	1.13	0.35	0.31	0.43	0.44	
1st Quarter	4.69	3.08	7.05	6.54	0.80	0.47	1.32	1.13	0.33	0.26	0.42	0.41	
2nd Quarter	4.69	3.06	7.12	6.51	0.83	0.49	1.38	1.17	0.33	0.25	0.43	0.40	
3rd Quarter	4.60	2.90	7.19	6.53	0.83	0.48	1.39	1.20	0.33	0.25	0.45	0.38	
4th Quarter	4.29	2.76	8.91	6.25	0.76	0.45	1.31	1.09	0.34	0.26	0.48	0.41	
1993	4.21	0.74	6.00	6.20	0.70	0.46	1.25	1.1.4	0.20	0.02	0.47	0.42	
1st Quarter	4.31	2./4	6.99	6.30	0.78	0.46	1.35	1.14	0.32	0.23	0.47	0.43	
2nd Quarter	4.26	2.66	7.21	6.37	0.78	0.45	1.41	1.15	0.32	0.25	0.48	0.42	
Ath Owerter	4.22	2.71	7.13	6.27	0.77	0.46	1.40	1.17	0.31	0.24	0.40	0.38	
1994	4.09	2.52	7.22	6.25	0.76	0.42	1.45	1.17	0.31	0.22	0.49	0.43	
Ist Quarter	4.13	2.62	/.29	6.30	0.76	0.44	1.45	1.19	0.31	0.22	0.51	0.44	
2nd Quarter	4.1/	2.6/	/.29	6.34	0.81	0.50	1.40	1.22	0.34	0.24	0.56	0.49	
3rd Quarter	3.93	2.49	7.04	6.04	0.74	0.43	1.43	1.16	0.34	0.22	0.61	0.53	
4th Quarter	4.15	2.63	/.40	6.35	0.73	0.42	1.43	1.18	0.33	0.22	0.54	0.47	
1993 1st Ouarter	3 01	2 1 5	7 00	6.05	0.71	0.41	1.36	1 1 2	0.32	0.21	0.56	0.50	
2nd Quarter	4 15	2.40	7 26	6 28	0.71	0.41	1.30	1.10	0.32	0.21	0.50	0.50	
3rd Quarter	4.13	2.00	7.80	6.68	0.77	0.43	1.40	1.21	0.30	0.22	0.30	0.55	
4th Quarter	4/8	3.01	7.64	6.60	0.71	0.40	1.33	1 11	0.32	0.20	0.40	0.01	
1996	<b>T.T</b> 0	0.01	/.00	0.04	0.71	0.71	1.7/	1.11	0.00	0.23	0.72	0.72	
1st Quarter	4.46	2.86	8,19	6,70	0.70	0.36	1.57	1.09	0.38	0.29	0.57	0.57	
2nd Quarter	4.35	2.80	8.11	6.80	0.63	0.31	1.43	1.08	0.34	0.23	0.59	0.48	
3rd Quarter	4.16	2.67	7.83	6.68	0.59	0.29	1.32	1.08	0.33	0.24	0.58	0.44	
	0	2.07	,	0.00	0.07	0.27	1.52	1.00	0.00	0.21	0.00	5.11	

# **Table 20.** Mortgage Delinquencies and Foreclosures Started: 1986–Present\*

\*All data are seasonally adjusted.

Source: National Delinquency Survey, Mortgage Bankers Association



### **Table 21.** Expenditures for Existing Residential Properties: 1968–Present



			Improvements							
Total		Maintenance			Additions and	d Alterations				
Period	Expenditures	and Repairs	Total	<b>T</b> - 4 - 1	To Stru	ictures	To Property	Major		
				Totai	Additions	Alterations	Structure	Replacements		
			Annual D	ata (Million	s of Dollars	)				
1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	$\begin{array}{c} 12,703\\ 13,535\\ 14,770\\ 16,299\\ 17,498\\ 18,512\\ 21,114\\ 25,239\\ 29,034\\ 31,280\\ 37,461\\ 42,231\\ 46,338\\ 46,351\\ 45,291\\ 49,295\\ 69,894\\ 80,267\\ 91,274\\ 94,082\\ 101,117\\ 100,891\\ 106,773\\ 97,528\\ 103,734\\ 108,304\\ 115,030\\ 111,683\\ \end{array}$	5,186 5,479 5,895 6,361 6,717 7,924 8,491 9,758 11,379 11,344 12,909 14,950 15,187 16,022 16,810 18,128 28,894 35,358 35,941 38,229 40,885 42,689 51,305 49,840 45,154 41,699 42,953 42,047	7,517 8,055 8,875 9,939 10,781 10,588 12,622 15,481 17,665 19,936 24,552 27,281 31,151 30,329 28,481 31,167 40,890 44,909 55,303 55,583 60,232 55,468 47,688 58,580 66,606 72,077 69,636	5,314 5,885 6,246 6,818 7,526 7,386 8,060 10,997 12,314 14,237 16,458 18,285 21,336 20,414 18,774 20,271 27,822 28,775 38,608 39,978 43,339 39,786 37,253 30,944 40,186 45,797 48,828 44,726	1,261 1,094 1,411 1,685 1,378 1,360 1,529 1,971 3,493 2,655 3,713 3,280 4,183 3,164 2,641 4,739 6,007 3,966 7,377 9,557 11,333 6,828 8,561 7,914 6,783 12,757 9,647 NA	3,077 3,409 3,539 3,699 4,447 4,694 4,836 6,844 6,367 8,505 8,443 9,642 11,193 11,947 10,711 11,673 14,486 17,599 21,192 21,641 22,703 23,129 21,920 16,076 22,700 24,782 28,673 NA	976 1,382 1,296 1,433 1,701 1,332 1,695 2,182 2,454 3,077 4,302 5,363 5,960 5,303 5,423 3,859 7,329 7,211 10,040 8,779 9,303 9,828 6,771 6,954 10,704 8,259 10,509 NA	2,202 2,170 2,629 3,120 3,255 3,202 4,563 4,484 5,341 5,699 8,094 8,996 9,915 9,707 10,895 13,067 16,134 16,695 15,875 16,893 18,415 18,215 16,744 18,393 20,809 23,248 24,910		
	1	Quarter	rly Data (Se	asonally Ad	justed Annı	ial Rates)	I			
1995 Ist Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter 1996 Ist Quarter	114,400 115,000 113,000 104,600 117,500	38,600 44,600 44,200 40,000 36,300	75,800 70,400 68,800 64,500 81,200	50,100 46,800 41,700 41,200 48,600	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	NA NA NA NA	25,700 23,600 27,100 23,300 32,600		

Maintenance and repairs are incidental costs that keep a property in ordinary working condition.

Additions refer to actual enlargements of the structure.

Alterations refer to changes or improvements made within or on the structure.

Alterations and additions to property outside the structure include walks, driveways, walls, fences, pools, garages, sheds, etc.

Major replacements are relatively expensive and are not considered repairs and include furnaces, boilers, roof replacement, central air conditioning, etc. Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce


### **Table 22.** Value of New Construction Put in Place, Private Residential<br/>Buildings: 1974–Present



			New Housing Units		
Period	Total	Total	1 Unit Structures	2 or More Unit Structures	Improvements
		Annual Data (Cur	rent Dollars–Milli	ons)	
1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994	55,967 51,581 68,273 92,004 109,838 116,444 100,381 99,241 84,676 125,521 153,849 158,474 187,148 194,656 198,101 196,551 182,856 157,835 187,869 210,454 238,883 236,597	43,420 36,317 50,771 72,231 85,601 89,272 69,629 69,424 57,001 94,649 113,826 114,662 133,192 139,915 138,947 139,202 127,987 110,592 129,600 144,070 167,928 162,898 162,898 162,898	$\begin{array}{c} 29,700\\ 29,639\\ 43,860\\ 62,214\\ 72,769\\ 72,257\\ 52,921\\ 51,965\\ 41,462\\ 72,203\\ 85,605\\ 86,123\\ 102,154\\ 114,463\\ 116,649\\ 116,898\\ 108,737\\ 95,444\\ 116,505\\ 133,282\\ 153,838\\ 145,009\\ 16,649\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13,720\\ 6,679\\ 6,910\\ 10,017\\ 12,832\\ 17,015\\ 16,708\\ 17,460\\ 15,838\\ 22,447\\ 28,221\\ 28,539\\ 31,038\\ 25,452\\ 22,298\\ 22,304\\ 19,250\\ 15,148\\ 13,094\\ 10,788\\ 14,090\\ 17,889\\ 14,090\\ 15,00\\ 14,00\\$	12,547 15,264 17,502 19,773 24,237 27,172 30,752 29,817 27,675 30,872 40,023 43,812 53,956 54,741 59,154 57,349 54,869 47,243 58,269 66,384 70,955 NA
1996	246,409	1/6,403		19,930	INA
	MON	iniy Data (Seasona	ily Adjusted Anni	lai kates)	
<b>1995</b> October November December	237,700 239,400 243,100	165,600 165,900 168,100	146,900 146,800 148,300	18,700 19,100 19,900	NA NA NA
1996 January February March April May June July August September October November December	$\begin{array}{c} 242,500\\ 238,600\\ 245,900\\ 247,500\\ 247,500\\ 246,900\\ 246,000\\ 246,000\\ 246,400\\ 246,400\\ 244,300\\ 244,300\\ 247,000\\ 248,000\\ \end{array}$	169,200 166,900 173,800 178,700 178,200 177,700 175,200 176,500 176,200 176,200 176,200 177,200 176,400	$149,800\\147,700\\153,800\\156,300\\157,000\\156,800\\157,300\\155,800\\155,800\\157,300\\155,800\\156,700\\156,700\\156,500\\156,000$	$19,400 \\19,200 \\20,000 \\22,500 \\21,200 \\20,900 \\17,900 \\18,500 \\18,500 \\18,900 \\19,400 \\20,700 \\20,400 \\$	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



### **Table 23.** Gross Domestic Product and ResidentialFixed Investment: 1959–Present

Period	Gross Domestic Product	Residential Fixed Investment	Residential Fixed Investment Percent of GDP
	Annual Data	(Current Dollars in Billions)	
$     \begin{array}{r}       1959\\       1960\\       1961\\       1962\\       1963\\       1964\\       1965\\       1966\\       1967\\       1968\\       1969\\       1970\\       1971\\       1972\\       1973\\       1974\\       1975\\       1976\\       1977\\       1978\\       1979\\       1980\\       1981\\       1982\\       1983\\       1984   \end{array} $	Annual Data 494.2 513.3 531.8 571.6 603.1 648.0 702.7 769.8 814.3 889.3 959.5 1,010.7 1,097.2 1,207.0 1,349.6 1,458.6 1,585.9 1,768.4 1,974.1 2,232.7 2,488.6 2,708.0 3,030.6 3,149.6 3,405.0 2,777.2 1,277.2	28.1 26.3 26.4 29.0 32.1 34.3 34.2 32.3 32.4 38.7 42.6 41.4 55.8 69.7 75.3 66.0 62.7 82.5 110.3 131.6 141.0 123.3 122.5 105.7 152.0	5.7 $5.1$ $5.0$ $5.1$ $5.3$ $5.3$ $4.9$ $4.2$ $4.0$ $4.4$ $4.4$ $4.4$ $4.1$ $5.1$ $5.8$ $5.6$ $4.5$ $4.0$ $4.7$ $5.6$ $5.9$ $5.7$ $4.6$ $4.0$ $3.4$ $4.5$ $4.7$
1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996	3,777.2 4,038.7 4,268.6 4,539.9 4,900.4 5,250.8 5,546.1 5,724.8 6,020.2 6,343.3 6,738.4 7,253.8 7,580.0	178.9 $185.9$ $216.6$ $225.2$ $232.0$ $230.9$ $215.3$ $189.6$ $223.8$ $250.6$ $283.0$ $289.8$ $310.2$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.7 \\ 4.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.0 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.4 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.3 \\ 3.7 \\ 4.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.0 \\ 4.1 \\ \end{array}$
	Quarterly Data (Se	asonally Adjusted Annual Rat	es)
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	7,350.6	296.5	4.0
<b>1996</b> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	7,426.8 7,545.1 7,616.3 7,731.7	301.7 314.2 312.6 312.2	4.1 4.2 4.1 4.0

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis, Department of Commerce



### **Table 24.** Net Change in Number of Households by Age of Householder:1971–Present



Note: The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.

<sup>r</sup>Implementation of new March CPS processing system.

 $^1\mbox{Data}$  from 1971 to 1979 weighted based on the 1970 decennial census.

<sup>2</sup>Data from 1980 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

<sup>3</sup>Beginning in 1993 CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

Units in thousands.



### **Table 25.** Net Change in Number of Households by Type of Household:1971–Present

			Fami	ilies <sup>4</sup>		Non-F House	amily cholds	One-F House	Person eholds
Period	Total	Husbar With Children	nd-Wife Without Children	Other Male Headed	Other Female Headed	Male Headed	Female Headed	Males	Females
			I	Annual Da	ita				
1971 <sup>1</sup> 1972 1973 1974 <sup>r</sup> 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 <sup>2</sup> 1981 1982 1983 1984 <sup>r</sup> 1985 1986 1987 1986 1987 1988 <sup>r</sup> 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 <sup>3</sup> 1994 1995 1996	$\begin{array}{c} 848\\ 1,898\\ 1,575\\ 1,554\\ 1,358\\ 1,704\\ 1,275\\ 1,888\\ 1,300\\ 3,446\\ 1,592\\ 1,159\\ 3,91\\ 1,372\\ 1,499\\ 1,669\\ 1,021\\ 1,645\\ 1,706\\ 517\\ 965\\ 1,364\\ 750\\ 681\\ 1,883\\ 637\end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA (191) (228) (91) 426 56 (393) (2) (60) (178) 458 75 (107) 135 (123) (66) (53) 550 207 250 (333)	NA NA NA NA NA 366 114 396 1,024 126 730 278 234 447 125 529 244 290 341 (104) 363 83 (128) 439 43	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA} \\ {\rm 36} \\ 103 \\ 53 \\ 115 \\ 201 \\ 53 \\ 311 \\ 211 \\ 189 \\ 187 \\ 96 \\ 344 \\ 0 \\ 30 \\ 28 \\ 114 \\ 44 \\ (145) \\ 308 \\ 286 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA}\\ {\rm NA}\\ {\rm NA}\\ {\rm NA}\\ {\rm NA}\\ {\rm NA}\\ {\rm 206}\\ 497\\ 182\\ 485\\ 377\\ 322\\ 65\\ 427\\ 233\\ 81\\ 235\\ 243\\ 196\\ 5\\ 373\\ 430\\ 364\\ 340\\ (182)\\ 295\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA 199 126 143 240 184 (50) 87 142 (12) 171 43 62 213 (124) 143 115 37 170 28 11	NA NA NA NA 109 93 131 60 9 81 33 14 62 71 95 51 99 97 (1) 12 87 185 (80) 169	NA NA NA NA NA 223 713 112 502 287 229 (31) 35 436 363 (39) 557 390 (144) 401 163 (169) (4) 700 148	NA NA NA NA 326 470 375 592 353 189 (73) 562 319 213 (12) 249 385 435 191 220 (247) 57 421 20
			Q	uarterly <b>D</b>	Data				
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	489	179	(62)	45	(49)	70	66	181	58
1996 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	109 610 38 144	- 253 386 106 - 66	7 14 45 57	253 2 - 54 67	251 - 196 153 - 112	- 31 50 28 150	44 - 24 40 119	- 2 88 - 99 - 137	- 161 291 - 181 66

Note: The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.

 $^{\rm r} {\rm Implementation}$  of new March CPS processing system.

 $^1\mbox{Data}$  from 1971 to 1979 weighted based on the 1970 decennial census.

<sup>2</sup>Data from 1980 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

<sup>3</sup>Beginning in 1993 CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

<sup>4</sup>Primary families only.

Units in thousands.



# nicity

### **Table 26.** Net Change in Number of Households by Race and Ethnicity<br/>of Householder: 1971–Present

Period	Total	White, Non-Hispanic	Black, Non-Hispanic	Other Races, Non-Hispanic	Hispanics
			Annual Data	-	
1971 <sup>1</sup> 1972 1973 1974 <sup>r</sup> 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 <sup>2</sup> 1981 1982 1983 1984 <sup>r</sup> 1985 1986 1987 1988 <sup>r</sup> 1988 1990 1991 1992 1993 <sup>3</sup> 1994 1995 1996	$\begin{array}{c} 848\\ 1,898\\ 1,575\\ 1,554\\ 1,358\\ 1,704\\ 1,275\\ 1,888\\ 1,300\\ 3,446\\ 1,592\\ 1,159\\ 3,91\\ 1,372\\ 1,499\\ 1,669\\ 1,021\\ 1,645\\ 1,706\\ 517\\ 965\\ 1,364\\ 750\\ 681\\ 1,883\\ 637\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm NA}\\ {\rm S32}\\ {\rm 1,356}\\ {\rm 1,115}\\ {\rm 2,367}\\ {\rm 903}\\ {\rm 890}\\ {\rm 218}\\ {\rm 434}\\ {\rm 938}\\ {\rm 954}\\ {\rm 527}\\ {\rm 1,053}\\ {\rm 947}\\ {\rm 428}\\ {\rm 540}\\ {\rm 590}\\ {\rm (518)}\\ {\rm 590}\\ {\rm 1,307}\\ {\rm (72)}\\ \end{array}$	NA NA NA NA NA 288 190 96 488 244 129 (37) 299 250 283 116 255 382 (49) 156 397 183 (6) 387 (156)	NA NA NA NA NA NA 22 119 102 198 223 66 105 58 94 102 173 113 109 115 (18) 218 312 (114) (182) 660	NA NA NA NA NA 133 223 (13) 393 222 74 105 581 217 330 205 224 268 23 287 159 774 209 373 204
	,	Q	uarterly Data	,	
1995 4th Quarter	489	214	(86)	181	180
1570 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	109 610 38 144	- 319 201 - 344 230	- 126 235 132 - 57	540 150 69 - 27	15 23 181 - 2

Note: The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.

<sup>r</sup>Implementation of new March CPS processing system.

 $^1\mbox{Data}$  from 1971 to 1979 weighted based on the 1970 decennial census.

<sup>2</sup>Data from 1980 to 1992 weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

<sup>3</sup>Beginning in 1993 CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.



Table 27.	Total U.S.	Housing Stock:	1970-Present*
-----------	------------	----------------	---------------

Period	Total <sup>4</sup>	Seasonal	Total Year Round	Total Vacant Year Round	For Rent	For Sale Only	Other Vacant	Total Occupied	Owner	Renter
				Annu	al Data					
1970 <sup>1</sup> 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1980 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989	68,672 NA NA 75,969 77,601 79,087 80,881 82,420 84,618 86,374 88,207 88,411 91,561 NA 93,519 NA 93,519 NA 102,652 NA	973 NA NA 676 1,715 1,534 1,565 1,704 1,785 1,788 2,183 1,718 1,950 NA 1,845 NA 3,182 NA 2,837 NA 2,837 NA	67,699 NA NA 75,293 75,886 77,553 79,316 80,716 82,833 84,586 86,024 86,693 89,610 NA 91,675 NA 91,675 NA 96,749 NA 99,818 NA 102,780	4,207 NA NA 5,956 5,056 5,030 5,311 5,436 5,667 6,014 5,953 NA 6,435 NA 6,435 NA 7,037 NA 8,324 NA 8,927 NA 9,097	1,655 NA NA 1,545 1,630 1,489 1,544 1,532 1,545 1,600 1,497 NA 1,634 NA 1,906 NA 2,518 NA 2,895 NA 2,644	477 NA NA 502 547 577 617 596 624 677 755 NA 812 NA 955 NA 1,128 NA 1,116 NA 1,115	2,075 NA NA 3,909 2,879 2,964 3,150 3,308 3,498 3,737 3,701 NA 3,989 NA 4,176 NA 4,176 NA 4,916 NA 5,338	63,445 NA NA 69,337 70,830 72,523 74,005 75,280 77,167 78,572 80,072 80,072 80,390 83,175 NA 84,638 NA 84,638 NA 90,888 NA 93,683	39,886 NA NA 44,653 45,784 46,867 47,904 48,765 50,283 51,411 52,516 51,795 54,251 54,724 NA 54,724 NA 54,724 NA 55,145 NA 58,164 NA 59,916	23,560 NA NA 24,684 25,046 25,656 26,101 26,515 26,884 27,160 27,556 28,595 28,833 NA 29,914 NA 32,280 NA 32,724 NA 33,767
1990 <sup>3</sup> 1991 1992 1993	102,264 104,592 NA 106,611	NA 2,728 NA 3,088	NA 101,864 NA 103,522	NA 8,717 NA 8,799	NA 2,684 NA 2,651	NA 1,026 NA 889	NA 5,007 NA 5,258	91,947 93,147 NA 94,724	59,025 59,796 NA 61,252	32,923 33,351 NA 33,472
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	112,987	3,095	109,892	9,529	2,966	1,050	5,513	100,363	65,355	35,008
1996 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	113,285 114,207 114,534 114,555	3,130 3,176 3,217 3,312	110,128 111,031 111,317 111,243	9,656 9,949 10,197 9,979	3,026 2,975 3,080 2,950	1,066 997 1,119 1,146	5,564 5,977 5,998 5,883	100,472 101,082 101,120 101,264	65,453 66,147 66,288 66,277	35,019 34,935 34,832 34,987

\*Components may not add to totals because of rounding. Units in thousands.

<sup>1</sup>Census of Housing 1970.

<sup>2</sup>Census of Housing 1980.

<sup>3</sup>Census of Housing 1990.

<sup>4</sup>Annual Housing Survey estimates through 1981 based on 1970 Census weights; 1983 to 1989 estimates based on 1980 Census weights; 1991 and 1993 estimates based on 1990 Census weights. No reduction in Nation's housing inventory has ever occurred; apparent reductions are due to changes in bases used for weighting sample data.

Sources: Annual Data—Annual or American Housing Surveys; Quarterly Data—Current Population Series/Housing Vacancy Survey in *Current Housing Reports: Housing Vacancies and Homeownership*, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



							Reg	ions		Unit	s in Struct	ure
Period	All Rental Units	Inside MSAs	In Central Cities	Suburbs	Outside MSAs	North- east	Mid- west	South	West	One	Two or More	Five or More
					Ann	ual Dat	a	_				
1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995	5.4 5.0 5.3 5.7 5.9 6.5 7.3 7.7 7.7 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.3 7.4 7.3 7.4 7.4 7.3	5.4 5.2 4.8 5.0 5.5 5.7 6.3 7.2 7.7 7.8 7.4 7.5 7.4 7.5 7.3 7.6	$5.7 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.0 \\ 5.3 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.2 \\ 6.6 \\ 7.6 \\ 8.3 \\ 8.4 \\ 7.9 \\ 7.8 \\ 8.0 \\ 8.3 \\ 8.2 \\ 8.1 \\ 8.4 \\ 8.4$	$5.1 \\ 4.8 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.8 \\ 5.1 \\ 6.0 \\ 6.6 \\ 6.9 \\ 7.0 \\ 6.6 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.8 \\ 6.4 \\ 6.6 \\ 6.4 $	5.4 6.1 5.7 6.2 6.3 6.4 7.1 8.2 7.8 7.3 7.7 7.6 7.3 7.0 6.5 7.7 7.9	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5\\ 4.2\\ 3.7\\ 3.7\\ 4.0\\ 3.7\\ 3.5\\ 3.9\\ 4.1\\ 4.8\\ 4.7\\ 6.1\\ 6.9\\ 6.9\\ 7.0\\ 7.1\\ 7.2\end{array}$	5.7 6.0 5.9 6.3 6.1 5.9 6.9 6.8 6.9 6.8 6.4 6.7 6.6 6.8 7.2	$\begin{array}{c} 6.1 \\ 6.0 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.8 \\ 6.9 \\ 7.9 \\ 9.1 \\ 10.1 \\ 10.9 \\ 10.1 \\ 9.7 \\ 8.8 \\ 8.9 \\ 8.2 \\ 7.9 \\ 8.0 \\ 8.3 \end{array}$	$5.3 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.1 \\ 5.4 \\ 5.2 \\ 5.2 \\ 6.2 \\ 7.3 \\ 7.7 \\ 7.1 \\ 6.6 \\ 6.5 \\ 7.1 \\ 7.4 \\ 7.1 \\ 7.5 \\$	3.2 3.4 3.3 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.8 4.0 3.6 4.2 4.0 3.9 3.9 3.9 3.8 5.2 NA	6.6 6.4 6.0 6.2 6.7 7.0 7.9 9.2 9.7 9.8 9.2 9.0 9.4 9.3 9.5 9.0 NA	7.6 7.1 6.4 6.5 7.1 7.5 8.8 10.4 11.2 11.4 10.1 9.5 10.4 10.1 10.3 9.8 NA
	1	1	1	1	Quarte	erly Da	ta	1	1	1	I	1
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	7.7	7.7	8.4	6.9	8.0	6.9	7.6	8.5	7.5	5.3	9.2	9.6
<b>1996</b> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	7.9 7.8 8.0 7.7	7.6 7.5 7.9 7.6	8.0 7.8 8.7 8.3	7.1 7.2 7.1 6.8	9.6 8.8 8.4 8.3	6.9 7.6 7.8 7.1	8.3 7.2 7.8 8.2	8.5 8.6 8.7 8.5	7.6 7.2 7.4 6.7	5.6 5.3 5.6 5.6	9.2 9.2 9.5 9.0	9.8 9.5 9.9 9.4

#### Table 28. Rental Vacancy Rates: 1979–Present

Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



Period	Total	Less Than 25 Years	25 to 29 Years	30 to 34 years	35 to 44 Years	45 to 54 Years	55 to 64 Years	65 Years and Over
				Annual Data	l	-		
1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1991 1992 1993 1993 1993 1995	$\begin{array}{c} 64.8\\ 64.6\\ 64.5\\ 63.9\\ 63.8\\ 64.0\\ 63.8\\ 63.9\\ 63.9\\ 63.9\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\\ 64.1\\ 64.5\\ 64.0\\ 64.0\\ 64.7\end{array}$	19.3 18.8 17.9 17.2 17.2 16.0 15.8 16.6 15.7 15.3 14.9 15.0 14.8 14.9 15.9	$\begin{array}{c} 38.6\\ 38.3\\ 38.6\\ 37.7\\ 36.7\\ 36.4\\ 35.9\\ 35.3\\ 35.2\\ 33.8\\ 33.6\\ 34.0\\ 33.6\\ 34.1\\ 34.1\\ 34.4 \end{array}$	$57.1 \\ 55.4 \\ 54.7 \\ 54.0 \\ 53.6 \\ 53.5 \\ 53.2 \\ 53.2 \\ 51.8 \\ 51.2 \\ 50.5 \\ 51.0 \\ 50.8 \\ 50.6 \\ 53.1 $	$\begin{array}{c} 70.0\\ 69.3\\ 68.9\\ 68.1\\ 67.3\\ 67.2\\ 66.9\\ 66.6\\ 66.3\\ 65.8\\ 65.1\\ 65.4\\ 65.1\\ 64.5\\ 65.2\end{array}$	77.4 77.0 76.5 75.9 76.0 76.1 75.6 75.5 75.2 74.8 75.1 75.4 75.3 75.2 75.2 75.2 75.2	80.0 79.9 80.0 79.5 79.9 80.2 79.5 79.6 79.3 80.0 80.2 79.8 79.9 79.3 79.9 79.3 79.5	74.4 75.0 75.1 74.8 75.0 75.5 75.6 75.8 76.3 77.2 77.1 77.3 77.3 77.4 8
				 	1 -			
		1	, Q	uarterly Da	ta		1	
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	65.1	16.5	35.6	53.2	65.5	75.2	79.5	78.7
<b>1996</b> 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	65.1 65.4 65.6 65.4	17.0 19.2 18.2 17.7	35.1 33.7 34.5 35.6	52.6 53.7 52.8 53.0	64.6 65.6 66.3 65.5	75.5 75.5 75.9 75.6	80.2 80.0 79.7 80.1	79.1 78.9 78.6 79.2

#### Table 29. Homeownership Rates by Age of Householder: 1982–Present

\*Revised based on adjusted 1990 Census weights rather than 1980 Census weights, resulting in lower estimates. Source: Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce



### **Table 30.** Homeownership Rates by Region and Metropolitan Status:1983–Present





		Non-Hispanic	-	
Period	White	Black	Other	Hispanic
		Annual Data		
1983 <sup>1</sup> 1984 <sup>r</sup> 1985 1986 1987 1988 <sup>r</sup> 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 <sup>2</sup> 1994 1995 1996	69.1 69.0 69.0 68.4 68.7 69.1 69.3 69.4 69.5 69.6 70.2 70.1 70.8 71.6	$\begin{array}{c} 45.6\\ 46.0\\ 44.4\\ 44.8\\ 45.8\\ 42.9\\ 42.1\\ 42.6\\ 42.7\\ 42.6\\ 42.0\\ 42.8\\ 42.2\\ 44.3\end{array}$	$53.3 \\ 50.9 \\ 50.7 \\ 49.7 \\ 48.7 \\ 49.7 \\ 50.6 \\ 49.2 \\ 51.3 \\ 52.5 \\ 50.6 \\ 51.7 \\ 51.0 \\ 50.5 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} 41.2\\ 40.1\\ 41.1\\ 40.6\\ 40.6\\ 40.6\\ 41.6\\ 41.2\\ 39.0\\ 39.9\\ 39.9\\ 39.4\\ 41.6\\ 42.4\\ 41.2 \end{array}$
	'	Quarterly Data	a	'
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	71.2	44.6	52.1	41.1
1996 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	71.4 71.7 71.8 71.8	44.2 44.0 44.9 44.8	51.5 50.4 52.0 52.1	41.4 43.9 43.5 42.3

#### Table 31. Homeownership Rates by Race and Ethnicity: 1983–Present

Note: The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.

<sup>r</sup>Implementation of new March CPS processing system.

<sup>1</sup>CPS data from 1983 to 1992 are weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

<sup>2</sup>Beginning in 1993 CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.



#### Table 32. Homeownership Rates by Household Type: 1983–Present



	Married	Couples	Other H	amilies	
Period	With Children	Without Children	With Children	Without Children	Other
		An	nual Data		
1983 <sup>1</sup> 1984 <sup>r</sup> 1985 1986 1987 1988 <sup>r</sup> 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 <sup>2</sup> 1994 1995 1996	75.0 74.2 74.0 73.4 73.8 73.9 74.3 73.5 73.0 73.4 73.7 73.8 74.7 75.3	80.8 80.9 81.1 81.4 81.6 81.7 82.0 82.2 83.0 83.0 83.0 83.0 83.2 83.2 84.0 84.5	$\begin{array}{c} 38.3\\ 39.1\\ 38.6\\ 38.0\\ 37.6\\ 38.0\\ 35.8\\ 36.0\\ 35.6\\ 35.6\\ 35.1\\ 35.5\\ 35.6\\ 37.3\\ 38.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 67.5\\ 66.4\\ 65.4\\ 65.7\\ 66.3\\ 64.9\\ 64.4\\ 64.3\\ 65.6\\ 64.9\\ 63.9\\ 65.7\\ 65.6\\ 67.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.5\\ 44.6\\ 45.0\\ 43.9\\ 43.9\\ 44.6\\ 45.6\\ 46.6\\ 46.8\\ 47.3\\ 47.1\\ 47.7\\ 47.4\\ 48.5\end{array}$
	I	Qua	rterly Data	I	I
<b>1995</b> 4th Quarter	75.2	84.3	38.2	66.0	48.3
1996 1st Quarter 2nd Quarter 3rd Quarter 4th Quarter	75.4 76.0 76.0 75.7	84.3 84.3 84.4 84.5	38.4 38.2 39.1 38.5	66.8 67.5 68.3 67.0	48.3 48.7 48.4 48.9

Note: The source of annual data is the Current Population Survey March Supplement. The quarterly data source is the monthly Current Population Survey/Housing Vacancy Survey.

 $\ensuremath{^{\mathrm{I}}}\xspace$  Implementation of new March CPS processing system.

<sup>1</sup>CPS data from 1983 to 1992 are weighted based on the 1980 decennial census.

<sup>2</sup>Beginning in 1993 CPS data weighted based on the 1990 decennial census.

## **Subscription Form**

#### U.S. Housing Market Conditions

☐ YES, I want to subscribe to <i>U.S. Housing Market Condi</i> tion on national and regional housing markets. This qua \$30/year* payable to:	<i>itions</i> and get current and comprehensive informa- arterly publication is available to me at a cost of just
HUD USER P.O. Box 6091 Rockville, MD 20849	
or call 1–800–245–2691 • fax 1–301–251–5767	
*Call for multiple subscription discounts	
Please keep me informed electronically of each issue, n	ny e-mail address is:
Check enclosed payable to HUD USER.	Government Purchase Order only (enclosed) No.:
Charge my: 🗋 MasterCard 🔲 VISA	
Acct. #	Exp. Date
Signature	
N	
Name	
Affiliation	
Name Affiliation Street Address	
Name      Affiliation      Street Address      City      State	ZIP Code
Name      Affiliation      Street Address      City      Telephone Number ()	ZIP Code

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Washington, DC 20410–6000

Official Business Penalty for Private Use \$300 FIRST CLASS MAIL POSTAGE & FEES PAID HUD Permit No. G–795

