

## GENERAL REVIEW CRITERIA

### Significance:

- Does this study address an important problem?
- If the aims of the application are achieved, how will scientific knowledge or clinical practice be advanced?
- What will be the effect of these studies on the concepts, methods, technologies, treatments, services, or preventative interventions that drive this field?

### Approach:

- Are the conceptual or clinical framework, design, methods, and analyses adequately developed, well integrated, well reasoned, and appropriate to the aims of the project?
- Does the applicant acknowledge potential problem areas and consider alternative tactics?

### Innovation:

- Is the project original and innovative? For example: Does the project challenge existing paradigms or clinical practice; address an innovative hypothesis or critical barrier to progress in the field?
- Does the project develop or employ novel concepts, approaches, methodologies, tools, or technologies for this area?

### Investigators:

- Are the investigators appropriately trained and well suited to carry out this work?
- Is the work proposed appropriate to the experience level of the principal investigator and other researchers?
- Does the investigative team bring complementary and integrated expertise to the project (if applicable)?

### Environment:

- Are the investigators appropriately trained and well suited to carry out this work?
- Is the work proposed appropriate to the experience level of the principal investigator and other researchers?
- Does the investigative team bring complementary and integrated expertise to the project (if applicable)?