RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Correspondence from individual's supervisor, DCAA employees, former employees, between DCAA staff members, and between DCAA and other Federal agencies.

EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM: None.

[FR Doc. 99–12389 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–10–M

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

Membership of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB)

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of membership—1999 DLA PRB.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the appointment of members to the Defense Logistics Agency Senior Executive Service (SES) Performance Review Board (PRB). The publication of PRB composition is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

The PRB provides fair and impartial review of Senior Executive Service performance appraisals and makes recommendations to the Director, Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), with respect to pay level adjustments and performance awards, and other actions related to management of the DLA SES cadre.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Donna Coward, Workforce Effectiveness and Development Group, Human Resources, Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense, Ft Belvoir, Virginia, (703) 767–6427.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the following are the names and titles of DLA personnel appointed to serve as members of the SES PRBs. Members will serve a 1-year renewable term, effective July 1, 1999.

PRB 1:

- Chair: Mr. Thomas Brunk, Deputy Commander, Defense Contract Management Command
- Members: Mr. George Allen, Deputy Commander, Defense Supply Center Philadelphia; Dr. Linda Furiga, Comptroller, DLA

PRB 2:

Chair: Ms. Roberta Eaton, Special Assistant for Integrity in Contracting, General Counsel Members: Ms. Pamela Creek, Executive Director, Human Resources; Mr. Jeffrey Jones, Deputy Commander, Defense Logistics Support Command

Christine L. Gallo,

Acting Director, Corporate Administration, Defense Logistics Agency. [FR Doc. 99–12437 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3620–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Meeting of the Planning and Steering Advisory Committee (PSAC)

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this meeting is to discuss topics relevant to SSBN security.

DATES: The meeting will be held on May 25, 1999 from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Center for Naval Analyses, 4401 Ford Avenue, Alexandria, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Lieutenant Commander George P. Norman, CNO– N875C2, 2000 Navy Pentagon, NC–1, Washington, DC 20350–2000, telephone (703) 604–7392.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice of meeting is provided per the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App. 2). The entire agenda will consist of classified information that is specifically authorized by Executive Order to be kept secret in the interest of national defense and is properly classified pursuant to such Executive Order. Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy has determined in writing that all sessions of the meeting shall be closed to the public because they concern matters listed in 552b(c)(1) of title 5, United States Code.

Dated: May 7, 1999.

Pamela A. Holden,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 99–12419 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3810–FF–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

SUMMARY: The Acting Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer invites comments on the submission for OMB review as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before June 17, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Danny Werfel, Desk Officer, Department of Education, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW, Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or should be electronically mailed to the internet address DWERFEL@OMB.EOP.GOV. Requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651, or should be electronically mailed to the internet address Pat—Sherrill@ed.gov. or should be faxed to 202-708-9346.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708–8196. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Ederal Information

(TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Acting Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are

available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above.

Dated: May 12, 1999.

William E. Burrow,

Acting Leader, Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

Office of Bilingual Education and Minority Languages Affairs

Type of Review: Extension. *Title:* Applications for Grants under Emergency Immigrant Education Program.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden: Responses: 57. Burden Hours: 9,177.

Abstract: This application is used by State educational agencies to apply for formula grants authorized under the Emergency Immigrant Education Act (Title VI of Pub. L. 98–511 as amended by Pub. L. 103–382).

[FR Doc. 99–12432 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

AGENCY: Department of Energy. ACTION: Subsequent Arrangement.

SUMMARY: This notice is being issued under the authority of Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160). The Department is providing notice of a proposed 'subsequent arrangement'' under the Agreement for Cooperation in the Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy Between the United States of America and the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) and the Agreement for Cooperation Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Federative Republic of Brazil Concerning Peaceful Uses of Atomic Energy.

This subsequent arrangement concerns the approval of RTD/BR(EU)– 10 which involves the retransfer of nuclear components in the form of a secondary neutron source from Germany to Brazil for use in the Angra-2 nuclear power plant. The secondary neutron source, specially designed for use in nuclear reactors, contains 1,400 U.S.-origin antimony beryllium pellets.

The Federative Republic of Brazil has provided assurances that these components will only be used in the Angra-2 nuclear power plant and that the components will not be retransferred to the jurisdiction of any other nation or group of nations without prior consent of the United States.

¹ In accordance with Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, we have determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security.

This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

For the Department of Energy. Dated: May 12, 1999.

Edward T. Fei,

Deputy Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 99–12499 Filed 5–17–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Withdrawal of Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Transfer of Certain Operations From the Department of Energy (DOE) Mound Site

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal.

SUMMARY: On October 2, 1998, DOE announced its intent to prepare an EIS in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for the proposed transfer of the Heat Source/Radioisotope Thermoelectric Generator (HS/RTG) operations at the Mound Site near Miamisburg, Ohio, to an alternative DOE site. The Mound Site was to be cleaned up and eventually turned into an industrial park. However, after additional studies, the Secretary of Energy announced on March 22, 1999, that DOE has now decided to cancel the proposal to transfer these operations. Therefore, DOE is withdrawing its Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement. The decision not to pursue the proposed transfer of the HS/RTG operations from the Mound Site does not affect DOE's ongoing NEPA review of the proposed production of plutonium-238 for use in advanced radioisotope power systems for future space missions. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For

general and technical information associated with the HS/RTG assembly and test operations at the Mound Site, please contact: Timothy A. Frazier, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 66, Miamisburg, OH 45343–0066. Telephone: (937) 865–3748; facsimile (937) 865–4219; electronic mail: *Tim.Frazier@OHIO.DOE.GOV.* For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, EH–42, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585–0119. Telephone: (202) 586–4600 or leave a message on (800) 472–2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Mound Site, located in Miamisburg, Ohio, was established in 1946 as part of the Atomic Energy Commission. For the past 35 years, DOE (or its predecessor) has been developing HS/RTGs at the Mound Site and supplying them to user agencies. Until the early 1990s, the Mound Site also manufactured critical nuclear weapons components. The site is currently being environmentally restored under a Comprehensive Environmental Response. Compensation and Liabilities Act (CERCLA) § 120 Agreement. DOE and its site restoration contractor had planned to complete the environmental restoration and exit the site, including the HS/RTG operations, by February 2003. DOE believed that leaving the HS/RTG operations at Mound by itself may not have been feasible for various programmatic reasons or cost effective.

On October 2, 1998, the DOE published in the Federal Register a Notice of Intent to prepare an EIS in compliance with the NEPA for the proposed transfer of the HS/RTG operations at the Mound Site to an alternative DOE site. Six public scoping meetings were held in November 1998 in the vicinity of the Mound Site and the following alternative locations: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN; Pantex Plant, near Amarillo, TX; Hanford Site, Richland, WA; Nevada Test Site, near Las Vegas, NV; and Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID. The Draft EIS was in the initial stage of preparation.

DOE has decided to withdraw the proposal to transfer the HS/RTG assembly and test operations from the Mound Site. The decision to withdraw the proposal is based on a detailed cost analysis of alternate site proposals and several additional reviews by various departmental elements to determine the reasonableness and acceptability of maintaining the HS/RTG assembly and test operations at the Mound Site. The cost analysis indicated that the Department would not realize cost savings by transferring the HS/RTG assembly and test operations from the Mound Site. The review by various