then transported to an off site location. The CWM containing chemical agents would then be either chemically treated or thermally destroyed or disposed of by another method at the off-site location.

No Action—CWM containing chemical agent already in storage and RDT&E materiel would continue to be stored. CWM containing chemical agent recovered in the future would be packaged in accordance with appropriate transport regulations and then transported to an off-site location for long term storage at a permitted location.

For all disposal alternatives, treated residual metal parts would likely be recycled or disposed of in accordance with applicable environmental regulations.

The PEIS, as currently envisioned, will not evaluate specific off-site/on-site treatment and/or destruction/disposal locations under these strategies. Should the Army select an off-site destruction/disposal strategy, further environmental review would be required to determine the potential environmental consequences of implementing that strategy at that specific location. The PEIS will also not evaluate on-site contamination. This contamination will be handled under established environmental remediation/restoration procedures and regulations.

The important environmental issues that have been identified on a preliminary basis for evaluation and analysis in the PEIS are: (1) The potential impacts of the alternative strategies on air quality, water resources, and land resources; (2) the potential impacts to public health from the implementation of the destruction technologies; (3) the potential impacts to public health and safety from accidents that could occur during the handling, transport, storage, and destruction of CWM; and (4) the potential socioeconomic impacts of the alternative strategies.

Scoping Process

Scoping, which is integral to the NEPA process, is a procedure that solicits input to the EIS process to ensure that issues are identified early and properly studied. Scoping commences after a decision is made to prepare an EIS in order to provide an early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for identifying the significant issues related to a proposed action. The scope of issues to be addressed in the draft PEIS will be determined, in part, from written comments received by mail and oral comments received and recorded by phone and at the public meetings. The

preliminary identification of alternatives and environmental issues is not meant to be exhaustive or final. The Army considers the scoping process to be open and dynamic in the sense that alternatives other than those given above may warrant study and new matters may be identified for potential evaluation.

The scoping process will include both interagency and public scoping. The public is invited to submit written comments or provide oral comments at a meeting or by phone to the addresses and phone numbers listed under the DATES section of this notice and/or attend a public meeting that will be announced in area news media.

The Army will use the public input received during scoping to develop a Statement of Scope to guide preparation of the PEIS. After completion, the Statement of Scope will be made available to scoping participants and the public upon request. The draft PEIS prepared from the scoping process will be made available for public review and comment. Notice of availability of the draft PEIS will be announced, written comments on the draft solicited, and information about a possible public meeting to comment on the draft will be published at a future date. The Army expects to release a final PEIS by mid-1999.

Richard E. Newsome,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) OASA(I,L&E).

[FR Doc. 96–26343 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–P

Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB).

Date of Meeting: 24 & 25 October 1996. Time of Meeting: 0930–1600, 24 Oct 96, 0930–1600, 25 Oct 96.

Place: Pentagon—Washington, DC. Agenda: The Army Science Board (ASB) Summer Study on "Technical Architecture C4I" will meet for briefings and discussions. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The proprietary matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these

meetings. For further information, please contact Michelle Diaz at (703) 695–0781. Michelle P. Diaz,

Program Support Specialist, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 96–26737 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of Committee: Army Science Board (ASB).

Date of Meeting: 17 & 18 October 1996. Time of Meeting: 0900–1600, 17 Oct 96, 0900–1700, 18 Oct 96.

Place: Pentagon—Washington, DC. Agenda: The Army Science Board (ASB) Ad Hoc Study on "Global Broadcast Service" will meet for briefings and discussions on the study subject. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C. Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The proprietary matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings. For further information, please contact Michelle Diaz at (703) 695–0781.

Michelle P. Diaz,

Program Support Specialist, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 96–26738 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Intent To Repay to the Maine
Department of Education Funds
Recovered as a Result of a Final Audit
Determination

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Correction notice.

DATE OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS: On April 2, 1996, the Secretary published in the Federal Register (61 FR 14598) a notice of intent to award grantback funds to the Maine Department of Education. Detailed information concerning the intended grantback award was contained in that notice. The purpose of this notice is to correct the execution date of the settlement agreement that resolved one of the audits, ACN: 01-93025, involved in the intended grantback award and to correct the period of availability of funds awarded through this grantback.

The execution date of the settlement agreement for ACN: 01–93025 is "December 2, 1992." The funds

recovered under ACN: 01–932025 were available for expenditure until September 30, 1996. The funds recovered under ACN: 01–93245 and ACN: 01–13035 are available for expenditure until September 30, 1998.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William D. Tyrrell, Sr., U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 3609 Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20202–6132. Telephone (202) 205–8825. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday. Internet:

William_Tyrrell@ed.gov

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers: 84.027 Handicapped State Grants; 84.012 Educationally Deprived Children; and 84.011 Chapter I—Migrant Education)

Dated: October 11, 1996.

Howard R. Moses,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.

[FR Doc. 96–26702 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy

Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

AGENCY: Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy; Department of Energy.

ACTION: Subsequent arrangement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160), notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada concerning Civil Uses of Atomic Energy, as amended.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the above-mentioned agreement involves approval of the following sale: Contract Number S–CA–454, for the sale of 4 grams of plutonium, enriched to 99.75% in the isotope plutonium-239, to the AECL Chalk River Laboratories in Canada for use in chemical research associated with reactor development and waste management.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security. This subsequent arrangement

will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: October 10, 1996.

For the Department of Energy.

Cherie P. Fitzgerald,

Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 96–26762 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Proposed Subsequent Arrangement

AGENCY: Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation Policy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Subsequent Arrangement.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2160), notice is hereby given of a proposed "subsequent arrangement" under the Agreement for Cooperation between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Japan concerning Peaceful Uses of Nuclear Energy.

The subsequent arrangement to be carried out under the above-mentioned agreement involves approval of the following sale: Contract number S–JA–466 for the sale of 8 kilograms of lithium-6 (enriched to 95–96%), in the form of lithium carbonate to the Japan Radioisotope Association for use as thermal neutron shielding material in neutron capture therapy and for biomedical use.

In accordance with section 131 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, it has been determined that this subsequent arrangement will not be inimical to the common defense and security. This subsequent arrangement will take effect no sooner than fifteen days after the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: October 10, 1996

For the Department of Energy.

Cherie P. Fitzgerald,

Director, International Policy and Analysis Division, Office of Arms Control and Nonproliferation.

[FR Doc. 96–26763 Filed 10–17–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Nevada Test Site and Off-Site Locations in the State of Nevada

AGENCY: Department of Energy. **ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces the availability of the

Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) for the Nevada Test Site (NTS) and Off-Site Locations in the State of Nevada (DOE/EIS-0243).

DATES: DOE intends to issue a Record of Decision on the NTS no sooner than 30 days from the date the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Notice of Availability appears in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Requests for a copy of the NTS Final EIS or its Summary should be directed to: Bob Golden, NEPA Compliance Officer, U.S. Department of Energy, Nevada Operations Office, P.O. Box 98518, Las Vegas, NV 89193–8518, phone (702) 295–4652 or by calling the Nevada Test Site EIS Hotline, 1–800–405–1140. Copies of the Final EIS will also be available in Reading Rooms listed in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information on the Department's NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC, 20585, 202–586–4600, or leave a message at 1–800–472–2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This sitewide EIS evaluates the potential environmental impacts of four possible use alternatives being considered for the Nevada Test Site (NTS), the Tonopah Test Range, and the formerly operated DOE sites in the State of Nevada: the Project Shoal Area, the Central Nevada Test Area, and portions of the Nellis Air Force Range Complex. Three additional sites in Nevada—Coyote Spring Valley, Dry Lake Valley and Eldorado Valleyare evaluated for collocation of solar energy production facilities. The four alternatives include: No Action (Alternative 1)—continue to operate at the level maintained for the past 5 years; Discontinue Operations (Alternative 2)—discontinue operations and interagency programs and close the site; Expanded Use (Alternative 3)maximize use of NTS and its resources to support defense and nondefense programs; and Alternate Use of Withdrawn Lands (Alternative 4) discontinue all defense-related activities at NTS; continue waste management operations in support of NTS environmental restoration efforts; expand nondefense research.

The Department's preferred alternative includes the activities described in the Expanded Use alternative (Alternative 3) plus the educational activities described in Alternative 4. For purposes of providing a bounding analysis in the EIS,